





Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2015

https://archive.org/details/historyoflifeofk01lytt\_0

# HISTORY

OFTHE

Life of King Henry the Second, And of the AGE in which he lived.

I N

### FIVE BOOKS.

To which is prefixed,

A Hiftory of the Revolutions of ENGLAND, From the Death of Edward the Confession, to the Birth of HENRY the Second.

By GEORGE Lord LYTTELTON.

VOL. II.



DUBLIN, Printed by and for GEORGE FAULKNER. M DCC LXVIII.

10727 008 DA 206 .19 V.Z. Jul. 12, 1866. Gurg Rev. Zenas 13 Horcester AUX-6185

# HISTORY

THE

OF THE

## LIFE

## King Henry the Second.

OF

## BOOK II.

THE death of Stephen was a favour of provi- A. D. 1154 dence to the people of England, which faved them from many impending evils. The peace of the kingdom no longer depended upon the fictitious union of natural and irreconcileable enemies. Henry Plantagenet was now the unqueftioned and fole king of England. Whatever fecret schemes had been formed, or might be forming, to defeat his fucceffion, they were entirely overthrown by this event. It has been mentioned before, that he v. Neubrig was belieging a caftle in Normandy which had re- 1. i. c. 32. volted against him, when intelligence came to him that Stephen was dead. The lords of his council advised him to hasten to England, for fear his enemies should use the opportunity of his absence to excite fome diforders; but he cooly replied, that they would not dare to do any thing, and could not be perfuaded to raife the fiege, till the caftle had been forced to furrender at difcretion, which it did Vol. II. R in

in a few days. Nor was his confidence vain : for he had eftablished his power in England on such a folid foundation, and put the care of his interests into such fafe and able hands, that his prefence was not necessary : and this being the cafe, it was certainly wife in him, not to leave behind him any root of rebellion. It might indeed have been natural for so young a king to be more impatient to put on his royal robes : but the folidity of his mind gave no way to the impressions of vanity, and he preferred, upon all occasions, what was really great to the oftentation of greatness.

Chron.Norm. p. 990.

Having entirely pacified Normandy he went to Rouen, and conferred with his mother, who prudently agreed to remain, as before, in that dutchy, and not go with him to England; thinking that her prefence might hurt him there, as fhe was not beloved by the English; or feeling, perhaps, that it would not be agreeable to refide as a fubject where fhe had reigned as a queen. Whatever right the had to the crown, a formal ceffion of it, in favour of her fon, by any publick act, was not thought to be neceffary, nor does it appear that he defired it: her acquiescence under what had been fettled in the treaty at Winchefter being efteemed by the nation, and even by her own most zealous friends, a fufficient release of the oaths they had taken to her, either in the life-time of her father, or after the battle of Lincoln. And Henry himfelf might think, according to the notions received in those days, that his title, in itself, was better than hers ; as he was the nearest heir male to his grandfather, King Henry. Certain it is, that there was no renunciation declared on her part, nor refignation of her claim in his behalf: but his right of fucceflion was left upon the foot of the treaty of agreement between him and Stephen. This great point being adjusted, he fummoned all the barons. and prelates of Normandy, to advise with them upon

#### Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

upon all that was proper to be done in the prefent emergency, particularly with regard to the affairs of that dutchy; but he feems to have confided the government of it entirely to Matilda, endeavouring thus to make her fome amends for giving him no trouble in the kingdom of England : and it must be owned that she deferved the most thankful acknowledgements, and best returns in his power, on that account. For though it is certain, that, if the had attempted to contend with him for it, fhe would not have fucceeded, yet by fuch a difpute the would have grievoully embarraffed his piety and diffurbed his quiet. But all being accomodated to their mutual fatisfaction, Henry, and his two brothers, with Eleanor, and a most splen-Gerv. Chrons did train of nobility, repaired to Barfleur, at which fub. ann. port they intended to embark; but the winds be- 1154. Newing contrary, they were detained in that town a 32,1 ii.c. to month, during all which time no diforders happened in England. The archbishop of Canterbury (Theobald) was eminently inftrumental in thus preferving the peace of the realm, by the extraordinary diligence, prudence, and firmnefs, with which he acted at the head of a regency, or council of ftate, that had the care of the government till Henry fhould come over : but it was principally owing to the affection of the public, which the king had acquired, and to the dread of his power which awed the most factious spirits. Nevertheless he was uneafy at fo long a delay; and the very firft moment that the change of the wind would allow him to fail, he put out to fea in fuch weather, that his fleet was difperfed, and he was himfelf in fome danger of being shipwrecked; but the form abating, he landed in the New Foreft, not far from Hurft caftle, on the feventh of December in the year eleven hundred and fifty four, about fix weeks A. D. 1154. after the death of Stephen.

Upon the king's arrival at Winchefter, the nobles, B 2 the

3

4

the prelates, and gentry of England crowded from all parts of the kingdom to meet him, not only as their fovereign, but as their deliverer. His journey from thence to London feemed to be a continued triumphal procession; and that city itself, which had been always the most devoted to Stephen, received him with the highest marks of affection. A few days afterwards, on the nineteenth of December, he and his queen were crowned in Weftminfter abbey by the archbishop of Canterbury. without any fuch capitulation having been offered to him, as had been made with his predeceffor, or any terms but the ufual oath of the kings of England. This was fufficient to bind the confcience of a good prince; and recent experience had taught the nation, that they would not be able to reftrain a bad one by any other form that could be devifed. Nor was it confiftent with reafon or good policy, to fuffer the oaths of allegiance to be limited by conditions; and declarations to be inferted into those oaths, that they should not be binding, unless fuch conditions were duly kept; as Stephen had allowed in the homage and fealty, which he received from the bishops and from Robert Earl of Gloucefter. Indeed, a diffolution of all obligations on the part of the fubject, by the fovereign's breaking those in which the relation between them confifts, is implied in the very nature of feudal allegiance; nay, I might fay, of all government and lawful fubjection : but to fet out with a fuppolition that fuch an odious cafe will exift, and make an exprefs provision for it, is what the wifeft free ftates have judicioufly avoided. Henry therefore would not admit of any fuch expressions in the oaths taken to him; but brought them back to the ufual form. Nor did he diftinguish the clergy, in any respect, from his lay fubjects, by favours conferred on them, as a body of men who had interefts feparate from those of the community. He would not encourage faction

5

faction in any; much lefs in them, who ought to be the furtheft removed from that evil, and who, in the late reign, had been carried by it fo far out of the bounds of their facred functions, to the detriment of the whole ftate, and greatly to the difhonour of religion itself. How much his predeceffor had injured the commonwealth, and weakened the civil power, by the conceffions made to the church at the beginning of his reign, he well underftood, and avoided every thing which might feem to lay him under obligations of fo dangerous a nature. Neither did he deign to apply to the pope, as Stephen had done, for a confirmation of his title; not having any need of fuch a fupport, and being fenfible that Rome would avail herfelf of it against the independence and dignity of his crown. The much ftronger pillars, on which he was determined to fix his throne, were the laws of his country and the love of his people. To gain that love, he did not ftoop to the arts of low popularity : he neither debased the majesty of his crown, nor exhaufted its treasures; he did not relax the vigour of government, nor plunge the nation into any exceffes of riot or luxury; but dealt impartial juffice to all his fubjects, and let none be deprived of his royal goodnefs. The narrow and iniquitous fpirit of party did not confine the benignity of his nature, nor the integrity, greatnefs, and candour of his mind, within its own limits. He faw that to raife again the glory of his kingdom, it was neceffary first to reftore concord and union among his people, to allay all heats, to quiet all fears, and to exftinguish all memory of their former divisions. This he was able to effect; because no false principles or notions of government flood in his way, by the obstinacy of which a reconciliation of parties might be obstructed. His title was now universally acknowledged; and all attachment to the house of Blois seemed to have been buried in the grave of King King Stephen. He therefore thought it equally unjust, and unwife, to keep his refentments still alive. The conduct he held was fuch, as fatisfied thofe, who had most violently opposed his mother, or himfelf, in the late civil war, that, by their concurrence in the treaty of Winchefter, they had obtained his forgiveness, and might, by their future loyalty, alpire to the highest degree of his favour. Thus he happily prevented the rage of defpair from diffurbing his government, and healed those wounds, which a lets gentle treatment, and a lefs skilful hand, would have rendered incurable. Neverthelets, in forgetting injuries he did not forget fervices; but eminently diftinguished and rewarded the zeal of those friends, who had been the most faithful and able supports of his party.

fub. ann. \$, 2, 3.

Gerv. Chron. Soon after his coronation he met his great coun-<sup>fub. ann.</sup> 1155. Neu-cil, and advifed with them concerning the flate of brig. Lill. c. his kingdom. The refult of their deliberations was the inftant execution of the treaty of Winchefter, in those parts which his predecessor had left unperformed, beginning first with that capital article, the fending away the foreign troops. It was not without extreme reluctance that these mercenaries thought of leaving the kingdom. They had long been accustomed to riot on the spoils of it, and many of their officers had acquired great eftablifhments there, particularly their general, Willi-Wid.Finleph am of Ipres; to whom the earldom of Kent had & Camden been given by Stephen, with all the wealth that in Kent. the bounty of a most prodigal monarch could beftow on a favourite, who knew no fcruples in obeying the will of his mafter, nor any moderation in enriching himfelf. Others had been rewarded, in proportion to their rank, with liberal grants, which the wafte of the royal demenne, or the confifcations of the adverse party, had largely supplied. To part with all these emoluments, to give up the recompence of fo many crimes, appeared to them very

6

very hard; and they would willingly have prevent 'ed it by ftill greater crimes, if it had been in their power. But they could find no competitor to fet up againft Henry; William of Blois, Stephen's fon, being too young, and too weak, in all refpects, to undertake fo perilous an enterprize; and no other nobleman having pretenfions, or power, or difcontent enough to engage with them, in any attempt againft the king, or the peace of the kingdom.

Under these circumstances, this formidable body of veteran forces, who had fo long been the terror of the people of England, began to fear for themfelves, deprived, as they were, of all fupport, and exposed to the refentments of an injured, infulted, and high-spirited nation. The divisions that had weakened it in the preceding reign, and the protection of the crown, which was never withdrawn from them, had been their fecurity; but they could not be able now, with the royal power against them, to withstand the united ftrength of the whole kingdom. One hope remained, viz. that Henry himfelf might accept of their fervices. and (as his predeceffor had done) make them the inftruments of arbitrary power. Examples are frequent of princes having recourse to those measures of government, as uteful and neceffary, which they had complained of, as national grievances, before they came to the throne. William of Ipres, who had been long experienced in affairs, and was too wicked to believe that any man could be virtuous, might therefore imagine, that Henry would think differently, when king of England, from what he had profeft, at the head of the publick, in opposition to Stephen. But that prince was well convinced, that, to be a great king, he must continue at the head of the publick, and not degrade himfelf into the captain of a band of foreign mercenaries. He therefore determined to v. Newbrig. execute the refolutions of parliament against these ut supra.

7

men,

B4

men, and iffued a proclamation commanding them all to leave the realm, on pain of death, before a certain day, appointed in the edict. When that day came, not one foreign foldier was to be found in the kingdom: All were vanished in an inftant, like evil phantoms of the night, at the rifing of See Dugdale the fun ! Their general himfelf had gone with them, Baron. Kent. difpoffeffed of his earldom and other honours in Britan. England, the loss of which he bewailed with tears of rage; and, not able to bear this change of fortune, forfook the world, and became a monk at Laon in Flanders, where he died very penitent, in the year eleven hundred and fixty two.

Gerv. Chron. The honour of the nation, as well as its liberty fub ann. 1155. New- and repose, seemed to be restored by this act, and brig. ut fu- by the proceedings of Henry in another affair of a like nature, the deftroying the caftles which Stephen had kept undemolished, against the faith he had given. All those that had been erected in the late reign were now burnt, or levelled to the ground ; except a few, that, from their fituation, were judged to be neceffary for the defence of the kingdom. Whilft Henry was in the north, employed in performing this falutary work, William de Peverel, a great northern baron, who (as I have related in the preceding book) was accufed of having poifoned the earl of Chefter, confcious of his guilt and dreading the royal vengeance impending upon him, retired to a convent, as a ftronger afylum than any of his caftles: But when the king approached to his fanctuary, armed with all the majefty and terrors of justice, he durst not trust even to that; but fled out of the realm. He was immediately outlawed, and his lands were feized, as forfeited to the crown. Thus Henry revenged the death of the earl of Chefter, and convinced other offenders, who in the reign of King Stephen had apprehended no punishment for the most heinous crimes, that it was his refolution they fhould not be fafe even under

Dra.

3

der the hood of a monk, nor within the protection of the altar itfelf.

But in his next undertaking he found greater difficulties. Stephen's extravagance and the infatiable demands of his faction had induced him to alienate fo much of the ancient demefne of the crown, that the remaining effate was not fufficient to maintain the royal dignity. Some royal cities, and forts of great importance, had been alfo granted away, which could not be fuffered to continue in the hands of the nobles to whom they had been been given, without confiderably impairing the ftrength of the crown, and no lefs endangering the peace of the kingdom. Folicy and law concurred in demanding these concessions back again. The Vid. Sir Rob. ancient demeine of the crown was held to be facred, Pouthum. and, like the lands of the church, fo inalienable, See allo Fle-as that no length of time could give a right of pre-6. etBracton fcription to any other poffeffors, even by virtue of 1. ii. c. s. grants from the crown, against the claim of fucceeding princes. But all thefe alienations were of no earlier date than the reign of King Stephen; and, therefore, the refumption of them was free from those difficulties, and insuperable objections, that must necessarily attend the refuming of grants transmitted down through feveral generations.

For these reasons it had been agreed by a feparate and fecret article in the treaty of Winchefter, that whatever lands, or poffessions, had belonged to the crown, at the death of King Henry the First. should now be reftored to it; except those that Stephen had granted to William his fon, or had beflowed on the church. The latter exception was, doubtlefs, owing to the governing influence of the bishop of Winchefter in that treaty. Nor durft the temporal barons, however diffatisfied, complain of a partiality, which was fanctified by the names of piety and religion. Among the refumable grants there were some of Matilda. For she too, acting as

as fovereign, had followed the example fet her by Stephen, in giving away certain parts of the effate of the crown, to reward her adherents. And much had been usurped by the barons of both parties, without any warrant but the licence of the times, or pretences that could not be juftified, when they were legally examined : fo that no article of the treaty of Winchefter was either more just, or more necessary, than that, which ftipulated a refumption of all these alienations. Nevertheless it had been abfolutely neglected by Stephen, for the fame reafon, I fuppole, as he had not fulfilled the other articles of that treaty, relating to the expulfion of all the foreign troops and the demolition of caftles, because he sought to maintain a faction attached to himfelf, and was unwilling to withdraw his favours from perfons, whole affiftance he defired. Nothing elfe can account for fo indigent a prince having been to remifs in this point. But Henry, who refolved to extinguish all factions, and was not obliged to court his nobles at the expence of his crown, as he meant to ask nothing of them inconfiftent with their duty, faw the affair in other lights. He knew indeed that a refumption would raife much difcontent in those affected by it, who were many and powerful: but he chofe to ftand their ill humour, with reafon and law on his fide, rather than to remain a needy king, or relieve his necessities by opprefling his people. Nor was he difpleafed to leffen by this means that exorbitant wealth, which rendered fome of his fubjects the rivals of his own greatnefs, and was as likely to make them rebels, as Gery, Chron, any refentment, this measure could excite. He therefore fummoned a parliament, wherein almost brig. 1. ii. c. all his nobles were prefent, and having properly laid before them the wants of the crown, the loffes it had fuffered, the illegality of the grants, and the urgent neceflity of a speedy refumption, obtained their concurrence to it, and proceeded to put it in immediate

fub. ann. 2, 3, 4.

immediate execution. The fpirit of faction was fo much overawed by the vigour of his government, that he met with lefs opposition than he had reason to expect. Very near all that had been granted to laymen, or usurped by them, in any manner, from the royal demeine, was furrendered to him, without bloodshed, after a little delay, and some ineffectual marks of reluctance in a few of the greateft barons. The earl of Aibemarle, whom Stephen had made earl of Yorkshire, and who had ruled that province with more authority than his mafter himfelf, could ill brock the being compelled to reftore to the crown all he had gained from the weakness of it in the late reign. His connexions were powerful, his credit and interest very high and extensive. Nor had any other nobleman ftronger caftles, or vaffals more warlike. But great as he was, he found, that he now had a fovereign, who was greater than he, and would equally reign in every part of his kingdom. Henry passed the V. Anthores Humber, and coming upon him while he was deli- iun. berating, brought him, by the terror that his prefence inspired, to a quiet submission, and entire reftitution of all his grants, particularly, of Scarborough caftle, which he had rendered one of the fineft and ftrongeft in England. While this nobleman had been meditating a revolt in the north, his cousin german, Roger de Mortimer, acting in concert with him, had also determined to maintain his own title to the royal cafiles of Clebury, Wigmore, and Bridgenorth, which being fituated on the borders of Wales, where he had great power, he hoped to defend them against all the force of the king, with the affiftance of his northern confederate, and of the young earl of Hertford, fon to the famous Milo, whom he had excited to join with them in this rebellion. That lord was much offended, that the fon of Matilda should refume from him those grants, with which she had recompensed the

11

the fervices of his father; fervices unqueftionably great and meritorious. He thought it very unjust, that no difference should be made between the gratuities which an usurper had given to the king's enemies, for the encouragement of his faction, and the rewards which the king's mother had beftowed upon one, who, next to the earl of Gloucester, had been the chief fupport of her party. This reafoning appeared very specious; but it was impossible for Henry to pay any regard to it, without overturning the whole fyftem on which he proceeded. The cause assigned for these refumptions was not a defect in the title of the grantor, (for on that foot it is apparent that Stephen himfelf could not have agreed to it) nor any unworthinefs in those who had received fuch favours from that prince, but the neceflity of recovering the just and infeparable rights of the crown. To have made a diffinction between the grants of Matilda and Stephen would have done that which the king was most careful to avoid; it would have revived the former animolities, and carried an appearance of his acting from motives, not of royal oeconomy and publick expediency, but party-revenge: whereas, by this equal and impartial proceeding, he left the adherents of Stephen no caufe to complain, or apprehend any illulage from him, in other respects, on account of their past conduct. And undoubtedly, if all diftrufts of that nature had not been entirely removed by his prudence and candour, the peace of the nation could not have long continued. The earl of Hereford, therefore, had not, in reality, fufficient grounds for his quarrel: but heated by youth and the inftigations of Mortimer he fecretly left the court, with a refolution to defend the tower of Gloucester, and the castle of Hereford, against Henry's claim. As he was allied by his mother to the Welch, and had great estates in Wales, he procured fome troops from that nation; and flattered himfelf

Book II.

himfelf that, by acting in conjunction with Mortimer, he should be able to engage the whole strength of the marches, and counties adjacent to them, in the fupport of his caufe. This infurrection might indeed have proved very troublefome and dangerous to the kingdom, especially if the earl of Albemarle had, according to his promife, taken up arms in the north. But Gilbert Foliot, bishop of Hereford, a wife and virtuous prelate, went to the earl of Hereford, whole kinfman he was, and fo wrought upon him, by the force of his exhortations and arguments, that he perfuaded him to ftop on the brink of the precipice, and give up the two caftles. Henry not only pardoned, but reftored him to favour, remembering his father's merit, and knowing there was fomething fo hard in his cafe, that it might reafonably excufe fuch a fally of paffion, in a young man, who had an hereditary greatness of spirit. Thus was this ftrong confederacy broken : but Mortimer, though abandoned by both his friends, would not lay down his arms. Henry, incenfed at his obstinacy, led a great army against him, with which, having divided it into three bodies, he at once affaulted the three caftles of Clebury, Wigmore, and Bridgenorth; and though it was expected that each of them would fland a long fiege, they were all furrendered to him in a fhort time. Before v. Radulphi that of Bridgenorth, which was defended by Mor-Nigri Chrotimer, he commanded in perfon, and exposed him- fcript. Bib. felf to fo much danger, that he would have been Cotton. Vef flain, if a faithful vaffal had not preferred his life to 1. f. 33. fub. his own. For while he was bufied in giving orders and 1165. too near the wall, Hubert de St. Clare, conftable, or governour, of Colchefter caftle, who flood by his fide, feeing an arrow aimed at him by one of Mortimer's archers, ftepped before him, and received it in his own breaft. The wound was mortal : he expired in the arms of his mafter, recommending his daughter, an only child, and an infant, to the care of that prince. It is hard to fay which moft deferves

deferves admiration, a fubject who died to fave his king, or a king whofe perfonal virtues could render his fafety fo dear to a fubject, whom he had not obliged by any extraordinary favours! The daughter of Hubert was educated by Henry, with all the affection that he owed to the memory of her father, and when fhe had attained to maturity was honourably married to William de Longueville, a nobleman of great diffinction, on condition of his taking the name of St. Clare, which Henry defired to perpetuate.

Mortimer, being conftrained to furrender at difcretion, expected no mercy from an exafperated fovereign, whofe power he alone had prefumed to defy. His fierce and haughty fpirit now funk, and bowed itfelf to humble fupplications. Henry was fatisfied, forgave him his revolt, and left him in free poffefilion of all his honours and eftates, except those only that belonged to the crown,

Thus was concluded this important and arduous bufinefs, in the profecution whereof the king adorned the beginning of his reign with the moft illuftrious proofs of two royal virtues, by the happy union of which the honour, the peace, and the profperity of a government are chiefly fupported, great firmnefs and great clemency. The undertaking, moft certainly, was full of difficulty and danger, even to the mightieft monarch; but befides the perfonal qualities which enabled Henry to act fuccefsfully in it, he was affifted by the general fenfe of the nation; and, with this on the fide of government, no ftrength of private intereft ever was an overmatch for the power of the crown fteadily and wifely adminiftered.

The prefent quiet of the kingdom being now well fecured, it was proper to extend the care of the legislature to future times. Henry therefore called Gerr Chron. a parliament to meet him at Wallingford, foon affubana.1155 ter Eafter, in the year eleven hundred and fifty five,

which

Book II.

which fettled the fucceffion of the crown, after his decease, upon his eldeft fon William, who was then but three years old; and, in cafe of the death of William (which happened foon afterwards) upon Prince Henry, a fecond fon, born to him at London in the month of March this year. Oaths of fealty were accordingly taken to both; and we may undeniably infer from this, as well as many other facts, that no right of birth, how indifputable foever, was thought, in those days, a fufficient title to convey the fucceffion, without a parliamentary acknowledgement of it, followed and confirmed by feudal engagements. For, if the crown had then defcended of course to the eldest fon of the king, it would not have been neceffary to fummon a parliament on this account. Henry indeed found no difficulty to obtain their confent. The Normans and English were equally defirous to fix their monarchy in the family of a well-beloved prince, who fprung from the kings of both nations. The faction of Stephen, if it still existed, was filent. Henry's respectable and popular government, his juffice, his moderation, and the great kindnefs with which he treated them, when it could not poffibly be imputed to any weakness or fear, took from them the inclination, as well as the ability, of oppofing his will.

In this great flow of profperity, when all difficulties gave way to his power and fortune, if he had defired to affume a defpotick authority, he, probably, might have fucceeded. For, there is no time of greater danger to liberty, than the firft calm, that fucceeds to a long continuance of inteftine commotions. Befides a general dread in the body of the people of lofing again their newly-recovered tranquillity, there is ufually, in fuch a feafon, a conteft between the two parties, which fhall outgo the other in flattering, and making court to the prince ; and thofe are moft fervile, who think they have moft to fear, or leaft to hope, from their paft behaviour.

behaviour. Henry might have availed himfelf of these dispositions, as other kings have done in a like fituation : but he faw further, and judged better, than those who take fuch advantages to encrease their power. He well underftood the temper of the nation, capable, perhaps, of fubmitting to abfolute monarchy, in the first violent and thoughtless emotions of love or fear, but always incapable of enduring it long. And even fuppofing he could break the vigour of their fpirit, and tame it to fervitude. he knew that the mafter of a people fo debafed and dejected must necessarily himself be funk by their vilenefs, and could not be a great king. Thefe reflexions concurring with a generous fense of virtue. which appears to have been deeply fixed in his mind. he readily determined by what policy he should govern this kingdom. In another parliament, held at London foon after this time, or rather in the fame, adjourned to that city, he granted to his people a charter of liberties, confirming that of his grandfather, King Henry the Firft.

Thus, by the magnanimity of this excellent prince, was the whole ftate of England, which had fuffered alike by tyranny and by faction, completely re-eftablished in those legal rights, that were the proper fences to guard it from both those evils. It was not indeed fo well fecured, either from the one, or the other, as it is by the wifdom of our prefent conftitution: but, from the mixture of Saxon cuftoms, which mitigated and tempered the Norman inftitutions, it was the beft feudal government fubfifting, at that time, in any part of the world. Nor was Henry content with having only reftored good laws to his people. He did more; he enforced the good execution of those laws. This was a task of no fmall difficulty, and which required the activity, the fpirit, the refolution, and that fervour of zeal for the fervice of the publick, with which his mind

See the Charter in the Appendix. mind was endued. The manners of the nation were to be changed. During the reign of his predeceffor the law had been an empty name. Even where violence did not abfolutely controul it, the partiality of party and the iniquity of the times corrupted the whole administration of justice. Appeals to the crown, the conftitutional and neceffary reffource of the people against the too frequent injustice of the nobles, had loft their force. The king had not power to give the fuitors the relief they demanded. Matilda's friends denied his authority, and againft his own adherents he durft not exert it, left it should provoke them to leave him. Nor were the lives of his fubjects more fecure than their properties. The fword of every ruffian was ftronger than that of the magistrate, and the most notorious criminals found, not only protection, but reward and advancement, if to their private enormities they joined a remorfelefs and daring alacrity in carrying on the horrors of civil war. Upon the agreement between the chiefs of the two contending factions fome check was given to these diforders : but the habits of licentiousnefs had gained too much ftrength to be quickly overcome. Henry applied his utmost endeavours v. Neubrig, to fubdue them, and to accomplish the heroical work D ce'o sub of reftoring the purity and vigour of juffice, and ann. 1154. fettling good order, good morals, and good difci- fub ana. pline again in his kingdom. He attended perfonally 1155 Petri at the judgement of all greater caufes in his own epit. 66, ad court, and made frequent progreties into the feveral Gualter, counties, that he might the better difcover and re-normit. in medy all abufes in the rural jurifdictions, or in the Appendice. behaviour of the judges whom he fent thither, as his delegates, to administer justice. He did not v. Petrum'. (fays a writer, to whom he was perforally and inti- Blefeuf at fupra. mately krown) fit fill in his palace, as most other kings do, but going over the provinces explored the actions of all his Jubjects, chiefly judging those whom he had appointed the judges of others. A conftant fense of the VOL. II. C fuper-

fuperintendance of the royal authority was thus kept up in the minds of his people; and the power of the crown, which they had been used to defpife or hate, was made both respectable and amiable to them: the intermediate powers, eftablished by the fystem of the feudal constitution, were duly controuled; and the diforder attending the abufe of those powers in the several parts of that system was prevented. The meaneft peafant, who fued for juftice against the highest nobleman, was favourably heard, and obtained from the king a fpeedy redrefs of his wrongs. Robbers and freebooters were put to death without mercy; and every other breach of the peace was corrected by exemplary punifhments : fo that even the most profligate were awed and reftrained. Publick fecurity being reftored by this necellary rigour, and by the continued activity, vigilance, and firmnefs of the fovereign, in fuppreffing whatever had a tendency to produce inteffine troubles, the farmer, and the husbandman, the merchant, and the manufacturer, returned to their . occupations; the towns and villages were repeopled; agriculture and commerce revived and flourifhed, virtue and religion were encouraged and promoted. Such were the confequences of Henry's beneficent government; and thus he obtained the higheft glory a king can obtain, that of having reformed a depraved and corrupted state !

In these affairs he was ferved ably (and to chuse able fervants is the most necessary part of royal wisdom) by those he entrusted with the ministry. They were all perfons whom approved and emirent merit recommended to his favour. Robert de Bellomont earl of Leicester was grand justiciary, a post not usually filled, in that age, by a layman; or at least not by a layman, without fome prelate being joined in commission with him: but Henry, who faw the clergy too powerful, did not think it adviseable to ftrengthen them still more, by fuch an addition tion of power as that office gave; defiring rather to make the authority of it a curb to that of the church. He therefore joined two laymen in the commiftion, the earl of Leicefter and Richard de Lucy. The former was a perfon of great prudence, and yet of a refolute fpirit, very proper to maintain the rights of the ftate againft the attempts of the clergy and the pope; which he was the better enabled to perform, becaufe his known piety and the regularity of his life fet him above the imputation of irreligion, ufually thrown in that age upon any of the laity who dared to refift the uturpations of **R**ome.

His collegue was a gentleman of confiderable rank, and one who had diftinguished himself as a foldier, but joined to his valour, and military abilities, the knowledge of a lawyer and talents of a Attefman. In chuling him to thare this office Henry gave a new proof of his not being influenced by the spirit of party, and of having entirely banished those resentments, which a narrow mind, or a bad heart, would have retained and indulged. For Richard de Lucy had been highly in favour with Stephen, nor had he ever betrayed him or deferted his fervice. A little before the agreement of that king with Henry we find him in arms against the latter : and by an article of that treaty the tower of London a. d. Willdfor caffle were put into his cuftody; which have been done at the defire of Stephen | caufe it appears that he gave no fecurities for its fide ity to him in that truft: whereas he was obliged to give his for to Henry, as a hoftage for the delivery of those forts to that prince after the death of the king. But it is probable that Henry approved the choice made by Stephen, from the reputation of integrity which Richard de Lucy had gained: and that character, with the abilities he foon discovered in him on a nearer acquaintance, was now the caufe of his advancement to this high C 2 dignity,

dignity. His conduct in it justified the prudence of Henry. He was one of the faithfulleft and beft fervants that any prince ever employed, useful in all business, and as fit to command an army, as to prefide in a court of judicature, or a council of ftate.

The archbishop of Canterbury was treated by the king with great regard, and had a principal fhare in the administration of government, which he deferved by the fervices he had done that prince in affairs of the higheft importance, and by the cordial affection which he bore to his perfon. He was a man whom experience and knowledge of bufinefs had made a minister of state rather than genius; having parts good enough to be effeemed, and not great enough to be feared, by his mafter. Yet, had he been of an enterprifing temper, he would have given trouble to government : for whatever he undertook he purfued with an obstinate and undaunted resolution; as Stephen found to his coft on fome occafions. But being now grown old and weary of faction, as well as difinclined to any quarrel with a fovereign whom he loved, he tried to keep the church and ftate as quiet as he could; which was all that Henry defired, till, by a continual and infupportable encrease of the evils arifing from the unwarranted pretenfions of the clergy, he was compelled, for the fake of civil fociety, to attempt a reformation of those abuses.

On the recommendation of the primate, Thomas Becket was raifed to the office of chancellor. This man, the moft extraordinary of the age he lived in, and from the fingularity of his character (to which there are few parallels in the hiftory of mankind) deferving the notice of all ages, was born at London, in the year eleven hundred and feventeen. His father and anceftors (as he fays himfelf in one of his epiftles)

#### Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

epistles) were citizens there, who had lived contentedly Epist. 108. and quietly among their fellow citizens, and were not edition. the lowest among them. It feems that his education was intended to qualify him for the church. We quadrilogue are told, that, during his childhood, his father put five H floria him to fchool in Merton abbey; and, when he had ta. Vit. et attained to manhood, fent him to finish his studies proc. S. Thoat Paris. After fome time, he returned from thence mæ Cantuar. to London, was employed as a clerk in the Port-edit. Paris. greve's office there, and then introduced to the arch- 2nn. 1495. bishop of Canterbury, who finding him a youth of uncommon parts, and being captivated with his graceful and winning address, gave him the livings of St. Mary le Strand and Otteford in Kent, and ob- Quadrilogues. tained for him two prebends in the cathedrals of Gerv att. London and Lincoln. These benefices he, proba-tuar. de bly, held by the pope's difpenfation; (for he was Theebaldo yet only in deacon's orders) and defiring to qualify himself for greater preferments prevailed on his patron to fend him to Bologna, the most famous univerfity then in the world, especially for the study of the canon and civil laws, which of all fciences was most likely to procure his advancement, either in the church, or the ftate. After reliding there a year, he went to Auxerre in Burgundy, where those laws vit. et proc. were alfo taught; and returned into England no S. Thoma, mean proficient in them, but with ftill fuperior ta-ut fupra. lents for negociation; which the archbishop difcovering, he difpatched him foon afterwards as his agent to the pope, on a point he thought of great moment, namely, to get the legantine power reftored to the fee of Canterbury. This commission was performed with fuch dexterity and fuccefs, that the archbishop entrusted to him all his most fecret intrigues with the court of Rome, and particularly a matter of the highest importance to England, the Gerv. ut fufoliciting from the pope those prohibitory letters a-pra. gainst the crowning of prince Eustace, by which that defign was defeated. There was great diffi-C 3 culty

21

culty in conducting this bufinefs : for, though Eugenius the Third, who then held the pontificate, had quarrelled with Stephen, yet as the election of that monarch had been ratified by the papal authority, it was very prejudicial to the honour of Rome. that he should be declared, by the same authority, a perjured ufurper. Nor, indeed, was it the intereft of that fee to cooperate, in supporting the pretenfions of Henry Plantagenet, against the fon of Stephen, if it defired to maintain the encroachments it had made, upon the rights of the English monarchy, during the reign of his father. And therefore (as we are informed by an anecdote preferved to us in a letter of Becket) one of the cardinals, who favoured Eustace, told the pope on this occasion, that it would be easier to hold a ram by the borns than a lion by the tail. The ftrength and power of Euftace, whole foreign dominions were but imall, compared with those of Henry, certain y could not be fo hard to contend with, not was it probable, that his authority in the kingdom of England would be fo firmly and fecurely established as Henry's, if the latter should recover the crown of his ancestors. This was a confideration, which it behoved the court of Rome to regard with great attention, before they took any measures to oppose the succession of Euftace; efpecially, as there was no reason to believe, that the principles and maxims of government infuled into Heary would incline him to acquiefce in their universitions. For Becket himfelf obferves, in the above-cited letter, that, when he came to the crown, be opposed the liberty of the church, by a kind of bereditary right; his father having relifted it, in feveral inftances, with remarkable fpirit. Euftace then might justly hope, that he should be favoured by the pulicy of the Vatican; and there was the lefs probability that Eugenius could be brought to act against him, as Stephen, in that conjuncture, had a minister at Rome, who had much influence over the

Lpift. 14. 1. iv. the mind of that pontif, namely, Henry de Murdac; to whom Eugenius himfelf had given the fee of York (as I have before related) and whom Stephen, who had long refused to acknowledge him, had now received, in hopes of obtaining a papal bull for the coronation of his fon. But the impla- Gerv. ut fucable hatred of the pope against him, and Becket's Chron. fub great abilities in negociation, overcame all the ann. 1152. weighty arguments and powerful intereft on the fide of that prince : which happy fuccels, in an affair of fuch confequence and to much difficulty, gave Becket a merit, not only to the prelate by whom he was employed, but alfo to Henry, which was the first foundation of his high fortune. At his return into England, the archbishop conferred upon him feveral new favours, making him provoft of Beverley and dean of Haftings, which benefices he held Fitz-Stephen together with the former; and just before the death Becket. of Stephen the archdeaconry of Canterbury was likewise given to him by the same prelate. But these were only the beginnings of his advancement. For immediately after Henry's accellion to the throne, he was made the king's chancellor, at the requeft of his patron, who thought no dignity or truft above his merit. Nor, in doing this, did Henry pleafe the archbishop alone. Becket's promotion must have been extremely agreeable to the English; as he was the first of that nation, fince the latter years of the reign of William the Conquerour, on whom any great office, either in the church or flate, had been conferred by the kings of Norman race; the exclusion of them from all dignities being a maxim of policy, delivered down by that monarch to his fons, and founded (as we are told by William of Malmfbury) on the alarming ex- V. Malmfb. ample of what had befallen the Danes in England, f. 9 t. iii. after the decease of Canute the Great. For the Will. I. English having been suffered, by the indulgence of Canute, to retain under him a large fhare of ho-C<sub>4</sub> nours

nours and power, the confequence was, that they foon recovered the government, and drove out the foreigners. Whether the expulsion of the latter were really owing to the caufe here affigned, or to their own provoking infolence, may well be difputed : but this opinion, unquestionably, prevailed too much in the minds of the Normans, and continued too long. Even Henry the First, who courted the affection of the English, as the chief strength of his government, and in other refpects was kind to them, adhered to this maxim, more, perhaps, from an apprehension of offending the Normans, than any jealouly in himfelf. Stephen and Matilda feem to have acted on the fame principle : fo that this difhonourable mark of humiliation and inequality remained fixed on that people, till the aufpicious reign of Henry Plantagenet. He was the first who took it off: and certainly this deferves to be celebrated among the most memorable and most laudable acts of his life; being that which removed all appearance of a conquest, and entirely completed the incorporating union between the two nations, which his royal grandfather had formed, but had not brought to full perfection. He might, poslibly, be more inclined to favour the English, as, by his grandmother, he defeended from the Anglo-Saxon kings: but one may better afcribe the kindness he fhewed them to large and generous notions of policy. which made him defire to widen the foundations, on which the government of England had flood for fome time : foundations too narrow for the fuperftructure of glory and publick good, which his noble ambition and extensive benevolence aspired to raife. The work, indeed, was to him lefs difficult than it would have been to his grandfather : for Engy. Ailreaus land had now (as a contemporary author tells us) Abb Riv. de not only a king, but many bifbops and abbots, many great Vit. & Mi rac. Edward. earls and noble knights, who, being descended both from Conf. p. 40. the Norman and English blood, were an honour to the one

24

a. 40.

one and a comfort to the other. This happy effect of the inter-marriages between the two nations naturally leffened the jealoufy, which, for almost a century, had been fo ftrong in the Normans. But a prince of a narrow foul would not have feen the practicability, or comprehended the utility of departing from the maxim his predeceffors had adhered to: and it would have been fingly fufficient to illustrate the reign of Henry the Second, that, by putting an end to this diffinction, as well as to that which the fury of civil difcord had lately produced, he opened the temple of Honour to all merit, called forth every virtue, and every talent, into the fervice of the publick, and made himfelf the common father of his whole people.

The chancellour of England, at this time, had no See Dogdiftinct court of judicature, in which he prefided : dales Ori-but he acted together with the influence of the prefided in the second together with the influence of the prefided in the second together with the second tog but he acted together with the jufficiary and other ciales. & great officers, in matters of the revenue, at the ex-Hit, of the chequer, and fometimes in the counties, upon cir- Excheq c. 2. cuits. The great feal being in his cuftody, he fu- p. 42, 43. pervifed and fealed the writs and precepts, that iffued in proceedings pending in the king's court and in the exchequer. He also supervised all charters, which were to be fealed with that feal. Mr. Madox observes, that he was usually a bishop or prelate, because he was looked upon as chief of the king's chapel, which was under his fpecial care. In the council his rank was very high. It feems that he had the principal direction and conduct of all foreign affairs, performing most of that business which is now done by the fecretaries of ftate. Such was the office to which Becket was raifed : but the favour of his mafter made him greater than even the power of that office, great as it was in itfelf.

The bishop of Winchester, who had hoped to govern the kingdom, had no fhare in the miniftry, or none that went beyond the appearance and form of

of being called to a council, where his opinion was never followed, but when it might help to confirm and authorize that of others. who had the confidence of their mafter. Henry was too honeft to love, too wife to truft him, and too ftrong in the efteem and affection of the public to fear his refentment. Difgusted at this neglect; and imagining, perhaps, that by intriguing with the pope, or the king of France, against Henry, he might be able to revenge himfelf more effectually on the latter, and with greater fafety to himfelf, than by remaining in England, he privately fent his treafures out of the realm, and then left it himfelf, without the permillion of his fovereign, who immediately gave orders, that all the fix caftles, belonging to him in England, should be demolished .- The blow decifive.-It broke at once all his military power in this kingdom; it shewed a boldness and vigour in the government, which deterred even the clergy from efpoufing his quarrel; and as, abroad, he did not find the fupport he expected, he was compelled to fubmit, and fue for leave to return to his bishoprick; which Henry, who had fufficiently punifhed and humbled him, was willing to grant, but confined him to his bare episcopal duties. In this retirement, fo very unfuitable to his temper, he pined fome years, unattended to, and almost forgotten by the publick; after having made and unmade kings, and governed with more than regal power! Nor can there be a greater proof of the ftrength of the crown and the wildom of the king, than that fo crafty and bold a man, fo skilful in courts, foverfed in faction, could neither work himfelf into the government, nor make it uneafy !

Peace and obedience being thus eftablished in England, Henry had leifure to attend to his foreign affairs. His first bufiness was, to do his homage to Louis, for the fiefs he held of the crown of France. This ceremony was neceffary at the end of a war,

in

in which a vaffal had fought against his fovereign; the feudal connexion between them having been broken : and therefore it fhould have been paid by Henry, upon the conclusion of the peace, the year before. But his fickness, which came upon him immediately afterwards, and fome affairs of importance retarded it till Stephen died; and then he was forced, as foon as the commotions in Normandy, and the wind and fea would permit, to haften to England. During his ftay in this ifland, to prevent the king of France from taking any umbrage at this neglect, or, rather, becaule he was fenfible that fome had been taken, he wrote to that monarch, and affured him of his willingness to pay y. Ducketthe fame homage which he had paid him before, for me, t. iv. all the dominions which he held of his crown, on con-fr de Reb. dition of fuch a reciprocal engagement from him, Pranc. epst. as the duty of a feudal lord to his vallal required. It was the more neceffary, at this time, that fuchan affurance should be given, because, Henry the First having difputed the nature of the homage, which was due to the Crown of France from the dutchy of Normandy, and having refused to pay it in the ufual manner, it might be apprehended, that his grandfon, being now king of England, would make the fame difficulty, though he had before fubmitted to it. But he avoided any occasion of a quarrel with Louis, especially one not well-grounded; and declared, in the fame letter, that out of obedience, respect, and affection to that prince he would conclude a peace with the earl of Blois, by referring their differences to an amicable arbitration. Thus he kept every thing quiet in France, till he had leifure to go thither; which he did very early Gery, Chron. in the year eleven hundred and fifty fix. He then et Diceto, performed his homage to Louis for Normandy, 1156. Hove-Aquitaine, Anjou, Maine, and Touraine. That den. fub ann. 11:5. monarch had great reafon (as a French hiftorian well

Book II.

de France, fub. ann. 1156.

P. Daniel H well observes) to tremble when he received it ! The conjunction of fo many and fuch great feudal territories, under one vafial, had never happened before in the French monarchy; and gave no finall alarm to France; as the perfon in whom they were united was also king of England. If Louis had taken all occafions to diminish this formidable power, he would have acted with prudence : but he neglected a great one, which prefented itfelf to him foon after this time.

l. ii. c. 7.

Chron. Norm. fub. ann. 1145. et Dicete, Imag. hift fub. ann. 1156. Brompt.

v. Newbrig. It has, before, been to'd, how Henry Plantagenet had very unwillingly been compelled, at the death of his father, and before the body of that prince was buried, to fwear that he would perform every article of his will. Agreeably to that oath, he should, after he had gained possession of England. have refigned the three earldoms of Anjou, Tou-Gerv. Chron. raine and Maine, to Geoffry, his younger brother. But, as foon as he was crowned, he applied to Rome for relief from the obligation of this oath : reprefenting to the pope, that he had taken it by conftraint. Chr. p. 1048. and in abfolute ignorance of what his father's will contained, which he objected to, in this particular, as being unjuft; becaufe, against the clearest principles of natural right, without his having committed any fault, or offence, it deprived him of his whole paternal inheritance.

> The Roman fee, fince first it affumed an authority of difpenfing with oaths, has very feldom refufed, upon proper application, to reconcile the religion and confcience of a prince, with his interefts, or his paffions; unlefs when another prince of greater power, or more a friend to the interests of the papacy, has opposed the request. Henry was a great king : his brother was a fubject, who had no weight in the balance of power in Europe; which was ufually examined by the cafuifts of the Vatican with much more attention, than the niceties of the cafe referred to their judgment. It is not very certain

tain whether Anastasius the Fourth, or Adrian the Fourth, was then pontif: but either of them was in circumstances to make him defirous of Henry's frie d'hip. And, as there was really fomething hard in the cafe of that prince, the difpenfing power of Rome was plaufibly, as well as ufefully, exercifed, in his behalf, on this occasion. Being thus releafed from his oath, he paid no regard, either to the will of his father, or the complaints of his bro-The latter, indeed, could not reafonably exther. pect that he should; after having joined with his enemies to feize those dominions, by force of arms, when he had no title to them, even allowing the will to be obligatory upon Henry; as it was done before that prince had poffession of England. Confidering the time when he entered into that league, and the whole purport of it, one cannot be much furprised, that the affection of Henry should be cooled towards a brother, who had fo unnaturally covenanted his utter destruction. But though Geoffry had abundant caufe to be very well fatisfied with having been pardoned a treason of so heinous a nature, he would neither relinquish his pretensions to the earldoms, nor receive fome compensations, offered to him by Henry, whom he went to vifit at Rouen, together with his uncle and aunt, the count and countefs of Flanders, foon after the return of that king into Normandy from his late interview with Louis, which feems to have been held in the French Vexin. What these compensations were history does not inform us: but we are told that he departed in great difcontent, and going to his caftles infefted from thence the whole country round about them. As there was in all the three earldoms no fmall number of the nobility and pricipal gentry, who wished rather to be governed by a prince of their own, refiding conftantly among them, and one whole power they did not fear, than by an absent and potent monarch, Geoffry might have excited a dangerous revolt in thole

those parts, if Henry, whose vigilance was never furprifed, had not, immediately upon his departure, affembled an army, with which he marched to oppose him, and having divided them into two bodies laid fiege at the fame time to two of his caftles, Mirebeau in Anjou, and Chinion in Touraine. Nature and art had united in fortifying the latter : but nothing could then refift the force of Henry's arms. Both caftles were taken; and the rebel prince was compelled, with equal forrow and fhame, once more to have recourse to the clemency of his brother, which ingratitude itself could not weary out. Upon his furrendering the caftle of Loudon, his only remaining fortrefs, Henry fettled on him a a penfion of a thouland pounds of English money and two thousand Angevin; and left him the lands belonging to his caftles, but levelled thefe to the ground; thus, at once, giving him a maintenance. not unfuitable to his rank, and taking from him the See the note means of raifing new difturbances. The aboveon the value mentioned fum was equal to an income of twenty two thousand five hundred pounds of our money in these days, besides the revenues arising from his lands: and it would have been well if provisions of the fame nature had always been made for the younger brothers of kings or princes, inftead of appenages which gave them the pofferlion of fortreffes, by which their ambition was often tempted to carry them into faction and civil war. Neverthelefs it is certain, that, by all the rules of good policy, the king of France should have supported Geoffry's claim, and given him the investiture of the three earldoms; in order to feparate those dominions from Normandy and Aquitaine, and thereby leffen the power of Henry in that kingdom : but he overlooked this great intereft; or thought, that having fo lately received homage from him for all his territorics in France, including the three earldoms, he could not, at this time, difpute his title to them; efpecially,

the end of the fift to. lume.

efpecially, as it was ftrengthened by the authority of the pope, to which he paid, on all occasions, an implicit refpect. This acquiefcence on his part was of much advantage to Henry; who also found his account in the advances he had made, not long before, towards a peace with the earl of Blois, which tied the hands of that prince, and prevented his giving any assistance to Geoffry. Indeed, it evi- v. Duche ne, t. iv. dently appears, by the acts of a council, which epift. diver-Louis held this year at Soiffons, that the fettling a Franc. epift. general peace in the kingdom of France, and reftor- 57.59. & P. ing agriculture, commerce, and other fruits of ann. 1155. tranquillity, was the object that the king, and all his principal feudatories, had most at heart: of which disposition Henry availed himself in this conjuncture. As to the justice, or moral rectitude, of his proceedings with Geoffry, which fome hiftorians have condemned with most fevere reproaches, he would certainly have been a more pious fon, if he had not difputed his father's will: but whether that will was equitable in itfelf, or whether his brother deferved from him more kindnefs than he met with, may well be queftioned.

England feems not to have taken any part in this war: but Henry was attended, throughout the whole expedition, by his chancellour, Becket. This Gerv. Chron. minister was now become his chief favourite, and 1155. et in made a very immoderate use of his favour. Em-aft. Pontif. ployments and trufts of all kinds were heaped up-s. Thoma. on him, without measure or propriety. Befides the Brompton office of chancellour and a fcandalous number of 1058. Heriecclesiaftical benefices, he had royal castles and Befeham et forts committed to his cuftody, the temporalities Fitz Stephen of vacant prelacies, and the efcheats of great ba- invita Becket. ronies belonging to the crown. The revenues of these he made use of, with the same freedom, as if they had been his own rents; perhaps, for the general fervice of his mafter, but without keeping any regular or firict account, and certain-

V. Ducheffor. de Reb.

lv

v. Epift. S. ly with great appearance of a most extravagant prodi-Thomas, I. II. Epift. 6. gality and ostentation in himself: so unlimited was the confidence that Henry placed in him! Indeed v. Auctores he feemed almost to share the throne with his fovereign. And it must be confest, that, if such a parcitatos ut fupra. ticipation of the royal authority could have been juftified by the accomplifhments and talents of a minister, it would by his. For he possesfed all the qualites that could most powerfully engage the affections of a prince, who had a judgment capable of difcerning and a heart formed to love extraordinary merit, but a temper that required fome delicacy of address in those who approached him very nearly, and that yielded most to those friends, whole character appeared most to fympathile with it, in fentiments and in humours. The perfon of Becket was very graceful and his countenance pleafing: his wit was lively and facetious, his judgement acute, his eloquence flowing and fweet, his memory vaft and ready on all occafions. The time he had paffed in that ichool of the most exquisite policy, the court of Rome, had greatly improved and refined his understanding. Nor was his capacity limited to the fphere of bufinefs. He made himfelf a perpetual companion to the king in most of his pleafures, and fell in with all his taftes fo eafily and fo naturally, that in paying his court he feemed only to indulge his own inclinations. There was a certain inexpressible grace in his manners, given by nature, but helped by art, which rendered his virtues more amiable, and even his vices agreeable. Thus his profuseness and oftentation appeared like generofity and greatness of spirit. Nor was he devoid of these qualities : but he carried them beyond their proper bounds. His expense was enormous, and Henry would have been jealous of it, as intended to acquire too much popularity, if he had not been perfuaded by the address of Becket, that all this magnificence, in which the for

32

33.

fon of a private citizen furpaffed even the greateft and most opulent earls, was only defigned to do honour to his bountiful mafter, whole creature he was, and upon whom his whole fortune must abfolutely depend. Yet amidst the luxury in which he lived for feveral years, and all the temptations of a court where gallantry reigned, he was (if we may believe the writers of his life) conftantly temperate, and invincibly chafte.

Henry, being now triumphant in Anjou, obliged all the nobility of Gascony and Guienne to give him hoftages for their future fidelity. On what occafion he did fo we are not told : but he had, doubtlefs, fome extraordinary caufe to fufpect them; perhaps, a difcovery of their having fecretly intrigued with his brother; which confpiracy might be prevented from taking effect, by the vigilance of his government and the terror of his arms. For it is not very probable, that Geoffry would have dared fo inconfiderately to draw those arms on himself. if he had not relied on fome affiftance : and the barons of Aquitaine, having been long weakly governed by Henry's predeceffors, were impatient of reftraint, and prone to rebellion. But whatever might be the motives, on which Henry thought it neceffary to take this precaution, it answered his purpose so well, that, for many years afterwards, it kept those provinces in peace and obedience to his government.

Fortune was fo favourable to him at this time, that every accident added to his ftrength. It hap- Gerv. Chron. pened that the count and counters of Flanders en- ann. 1157. gaged themfelves by a vow to go, this year, on a Chron. Norm pilgrimage, to Jerufalem. They thought that they Neubrigencould not find so fit a guardian, in their absence, fis, l. ii. c. 4. for Philip, their eldest son, who was yet an in- sub ann. fant, or fo respectable a protector for their domi- 1157. nions, as Henry their near kinfman, and faithful friend. To him therefore they committed the care D

VOL. II.

of

of their fon, and the regency of Flanders, till they fhould return from the East: and the young prince having efpoused the heirefs of Vermandois, that province also was put under his government. This was a great augmentation of his power on the continent; and might well have added to the jealoufy of the French court: but he used his utmost art to quiet their apprehenfions; being never fo careful to pay the king of France the respects of a vaffal and the regards of an ally, as when he had made, or was endeavouring to make, fome acquifition, which might naturally give umbrage to him and his kingdom. The affairs of Flanders were fettled, with great attention and great wifdom, by their new governour; and after he had established fuch order and harmony in all his territories abroad, that he brought them to compose one political fystem, as if they had been a single state, he returned into England in the fpring of the year eleven hundred and fifty feven. To re-annex to that kingdom all the provinces it had loft to the Scotch and Welch, under the late unhappy reign. was now the object of his ambition, and of the defires of his people.

In what manner his great uncle, David, king of Scotland had gained poffeffion of the three northern counties, and had brought him to take an oath. that he would not refume them, in cafe he fhould recover the throne of his anceftors, has been already related, in the preceding book. The title of that king or of his fon, to these provinces, even as fiefs to be held of England, under homage and fealty, had been always very doubtful. By what right either of them laid claim to Westmoreland, I cannot difcover. And out of the grant which Stephen had made of Northumberland, Newcaftle and Bamburg had been exprelly referved. But David had feized upon more than he had a right to, from the terms of that compact, under the pretence

tence of holding those provinces for Matilda and her fon ; inftead of which he retained and left them to his own grandfon, as parts of the kingdom of Scotland, separated from England, and not even tied to it by any obligation of feudal obedience. It could not appear to the English in any other light, than as an acquifition the Scotch had made, by taking advantage of the weakness of England, and the diftress of the royal family, in a time of civil war : and Henry's council fuppofed, that he might with equal policy, and with more juffice, now take advantage of the weak state of Scotland, to recover to his crown its ancient rights and poffeffions. His former obligations to the Scotch royal family, for their having affifted his mother, and conferred upon himfelf the honour of knighthood, could be no fufficient argument, for fuffering territories of fo much value and importance to be loft to his kingdom; it not being permitted to a king to be grateful at the expence of his people. He therefore judged it neceffary to regain the three counties, and thought the time fo favourable for fuch a demand, that it ought not to be neglected. The oath he had taken was the fole impediment which flood in his way : but againft this he might plead, that it had been imposed upon him, when his tender age, and inexperience in matters of government, were ftrong objections to the validity of it; especially, as the alienation of thefe dominions had not been agreed to by the eftates of the kingdom, whole confent, in all governments not entirely defpotick, is neceffary to confirm an act of this nature. He might also alledge, that the only confideration, upon which he could be fuppofed to have taken fuch an oath, without fraud, or force, was the efficacious afliftance, which David had engaged to give him in England, by making an offenfive war against Stephen : but as that engagement was not kept, he was confe-D 2 quently quently freed from his part of the compact. Thefe reafons appeared fo weighty, and made his confcience fo eafy, that he did not even apply to the papal authority for relief in this cafe; but, thinking that his oath was void in itfelf, fent to demand the immediate reftitution of the three counties. His embaffadors were ordered to fay that their

Vid. Neubrig utiupra

His embaffadors were ordered to fay, that their master, the king of England, ought not to be defrauded of so confiderable a part of his kingdom; nor could be patiently see it thus dismembered : and justice required, that territories gained by the Scotch in his name (bould be restored to bim. Upon receiving this message, Malcolm, who was then but in his feventeenth year, or rather the lords of his council, by whofe advice he was governed, thought it neceffary to make the reftitution demanded; prudently confidering (fays William of Newbury, a good contemporary hiftorian) that, with regard to this point, the king of England was no lefs strong in the merits of his caufe than in the greatness of his power. But although they had not been to abfolutely convinced of the juffice of his claim, as that writer fuppofes; his power was, undoubtedly, fo formidable to them, and the ftate of their government fo infirm, that prudence required them to make this facrifice of contefted acquifitions, rather than run the hazard of a war, which might ruin their country. And Malcolm might the more eafily give up Northumberland, because, when David, his grandfather, declared him fucceffor in the kingdom of Scotland, he affigned that province to William, his younger brother.

But Henry was not fatisfied with having regained the three counties. He likewife infifted, and V. Diceto not without an ancient claim, that Malcolm should Imagin hift. acknowledge himfelf his vaffal for Lothian. This et Andal. earldom, in which all the eastern parts of Scot-Waverlenfes, fubann. land, between the Tweed and the Firth of Forth, 1157. Chron. Nor. were then comprehended, had been granted by Ed-P. 993. gar,

Neubrigenfis, l. i. c. 23. Book II.

gar, one of the greateft Saxon kings, to Kenneth Ch on Johan. gar, one of the greatest condition of homage; and it ford, p. 545. does not appear that the valialage had been ever M Weltmo-nalt, p. 193. releafed, to him, or his fuccetiors, by any other king of England. Malcolm therefore was advised by his council to agree to this demand likewife: and the English monarch conferred on him the earldom of Huntington, against the claim of the earl of Northampton, to whole father it had been See Duggiven by Stephen on the death of Henry prince of dale's Baro-Scotland. Probably, this was done on the foun-Northanpdation of the grant made to David, Malcolm's ten. grandfather, by Henry the First : and unless the right of the other family to the earldom of Huntington had been fo evidently certain in juffice and law, as not to admit of any latitude in the difpofal thereof by the power of the crown, policy required that, in this inftance, fome favour should be fhewn to the Scotch king in return for the important concessions, which he had made to England.

These northern affairs being thus fettled, Henry now turned his thoughts, and not without fome inquietude, to the great and dangerous war he intended to make against the Welch.

As I have not hitherto, during the course of this work, given any diffinct account of that ancient people, I shall now sketch out the most important outlines of their hiftory, down to the times of which I write, partly from the Welch chronicle of Caradoc of Lhancarvon, which among them is of the greatest authority; and partly from our own writers. In doing this I shall fupply fome material omiffions, which I defignedly left in the preceding hiftory of the four first Norman kings; because I thought it would be better, that their transactions with the Welch, which were not abfolutely connected with other matters there related. should be shewn together with the general view of that nation, prefented here.

How

How bravely and obfinately the Silures, Demetæ, and Ordovices, who first inhabited that part of great Britain which has fince been called Wales, refifted the all-conquering power of Rome, the Roman hiftorians themfelves declare. When that nation had entirely relinquished this island, about the year four hundred and forty eight, thefe valiant people, affifted by the natural ftrength of their country, and augmented by great numbers who fled to them for fafety from the invalions of the Scotch, the Picts, and the Saxons, preferved themfelves free under their own form of government, their own laws, and their own princes, while all the reft of South-Britain was over-run and fubdued by foreign arms.

Book II

The name of Welch was given to them first by the Saxons, and is derived from a contraction of Gwallish, or Gaulish, denoting their origin from the Gauls : but they call themfelves Cumri, of which the Latin name, Cimbri, given to a Celtic nation of Germany, was, probably, a corruption.

Camden's Britan, Radnor.hire.

Wales was bounded at first by the Irish feas and Dr. Powell's the rivers Severne and Dee. But, towards the end Welch Chro. of the eighth century, the Welch were driven out of all the level country, fituated between the Severne and Wye, by Offa the Great, king of Mercia, who planted there English colonies, and made the celebrated dike, ftill called by his name, which extended, from north to fouth, about ninety miles. running along the fides and bottoms of the hills, from the mouth of the river Dee to that of the Wye near Chepftow. It is thought to have been an imitation of the ramparts thrown up by Agricola, Adrian, and Severus to guard the Roman province against the incursions of the northern Barbarians : but, from fome remains of it, which are ftill to be feen, and for feveral other reafons, I than'd judge that it was rather intended for a bounparate the territories of the English from

from those of the Welch, than to protect the former, as a fortification. Whatever the intent of fo vaft a work may have been, the labour and charge were greater than the benefit. For, foon after Offa's death, the Welch again extended their dominions beyond that dike, forcing their way, like a rapid torrent, which defcends from the mountains and overflows the plain country. Their limits from that time, were very uncertain; being often advanced, or fet back, as the fortune of war happened to change, in favour of them, or of the Saxons. In the ninth century, Egbert; fupreme monarch of England, won from them Chefter, which had been the capital feat of the former kings of North-wales. From this city his fucceffors infefted that kingdom with perpetual inroads; and the Welch in return made incurfions, with great fury, into the counties of England that bordered upon them : each nation keeping up an implacable hatred against the other, and adding the remembrance of ancient animolities to every new quarrel. The Saxon chronicle tells us, that Ethel- V. Chron. wolf, fon to Egbert, fubdued the people of North- Sax. p. 75. Wales. It also appears, from Affer's hiftory of King Alfred the Great, that fome of the Welch princes were fubject to his crown; and the Welch chronicle owns, that his grandfon Athelftan entered Wales with a great army, which brought the kings p so fub. of the country to pay him tribute, and acknow- ann. 933. ledge his fovereignty : but they did not continue very long in this flate of fubjection. Among the y. Senatus-Saxon laws, published by Wilkins, we have a confultum conflitution agreed to by the legislatures of both lis Waliz, nations, for fecuring the peace of the borders, Wikins, p. which feems to put them upon a foot of independence and equality. It is supposed to have been made in the reign of Ethelred, who came to the crown in the year nine hundred and feventy eight; and before that time we find the Welch often in DA arms

arms on the borders, and shewing little obedience or regard to the fovereignty of England.

Book II.

In the year eight hundred and forty three all Wales was united under the dominion of Roderick, furnamed the Great: but in the year eight hundred and feventy fix that prince again divided it, by a testamentary settlement, into three kingdoms, Guyneth, or North-Wales, Deheubarth, or South-Wales, and Mathraval, or Powis-land ; which he feverally left to his three fons, who were all crowned and called kings; but the two younger were fubordinate to the eldeft, who had North-Wales, and held his royal feat at Aberffraw in the ifle of Anglefey, which was the Mona of the Bri-The grandfon of Roderick, Howel Dha, tons. (in English Howell the Good) about the year nine hundred and forty, obtained the fole dominion of all the three kingdoms, and made a reformation of their political, civil, and municipal laws, which were digefted by him into three books. This code is still extant, and has been published in England with a Latin translation, but mixed with other inton. V. Præ- flitutions of a much later date, many of which are frictly feudal, and therefore must have been chiefly derived from the Normans. The entire agreement of others with the laws of the Saxons feems to indicate that they were occafionally borrowed from thence, and adopted by Howell: though the fimilar genius of the British Celts and the Germans may have also produced some refemblance and conformity in the more ancient cuftoms of the two nations. Among those that ap-V.Lee. Wal. pear to be purely and originally British, one may difcover a great deal of barbarifm, and many things that required a further reformation. The best that can be faid of the policy of the Welch government, is, that there was in it no tincture of defpotifm. The nobles and clergy were confulted in all matters of ftate; the people were free, and feem

Welch C ro. from p. 52 to 55.

V. Leges Walliæ auft. Gul. Wotfation. Gul. Clarke.

liæ, 1. ii. 1. 4:, 58, 59. et multas alias.

feem to have affifted in the making of laws and other acts of great moment. They were oppreft v. Girald. by no taxes, nor by any toilfome work; and to this de liaudaan ancient author, who was himfelf of that nation, bilibus Walafcribes their magnanimity and courage in war. For nothing (fays he fo raifes and excites the minds of men to brave actions, as the chearfulness of liberty: nothing, on the contrary, so dejects and dispirits them. as the oppression of servitude. But, in truth, the Welch were fo far from fubmitting to fervitude. that they would fcarce endure government. Their liberty bordered too nearly upon anarchy, being rather that of a favage than a civilized people. The whole conftitution was ill framed, either to polifh their manners, or to fecure the internal peace of the country; none under heaven having been ever more agitated with civil commotions; which were to frequent and violent in all parts of Wales, that very few of their princes died natural deaths : for. either they were flain in wars with each other, or murdered by others of the fame family, who, for want of a determined rule of fucceffion, or by the power of factions, aspired to the government. One y. Dr. Powgreat caufe of this evil was, that the old British cuf- e'l's Welch tom of dividing the eftate of the father, in equal <sup>Chron. p 21</sup>, fhares, among the fons; baftards, as well as legiti- <sup>Girald</sup> Cammate ; extended, not only to private inheritances, laurabiliture but to the inferiour chieftains, or princes, in the Wallie, c g. feveral diffricts; and even to the royal families, in all the three kingdoms; the eldeft fon having no more than a kind of titular fovereignty over the younger : nor was that preference always given ; but, fometimes, all the fons of a dead monarch governed jointly, which produced the utmost confusion ; and, in feveral inftances, election, or force of arms, conferred the chief rule upon one of the younger fons, or perhaps, upon fome other more diftant kinfman. What aggravated this mifchief was another ancient cuftom, which prevailed among the chieftains

Book II.

V. Welch Chron. from and from p. 58 to 63. See alfo p 21. and Giraid. Camb. de Illau-

9.

Welch

chieftains and kings of Wales, of fending out their p. 51 to 54. infant fons, to be nursed and bred up in different families of their principal nobles or gentlemen ; from whence it enfued, that each of these foster-fathers, attaching himfelf with a ftrong, paternal affection, to the child he had reared, and being in-Welliz, c 4. cited by his own intereft to defire his advancement above his brothers, endeavoured to procure it by all the means in his power. Thus, as most of their kings cohabited with feveral women, who generally brought them many children, feveral parties were formed among their nobility; which breaking out at their deaths involved their kingdoms in blood and confusion. Minors mere never allowed to reign : but it often happened, that, when a prince, excluded in his infancy, attained to manhood, he then afpired to the throne he had loft on account of his nonage, and found a party to affift him in those pretenfions. Thus, after the decease of Howell Dha. the kingdoms of Wales were again divided into different portions, and perpetually haraffed with different claims. They were indeed reunited under Meredyth, Howell's grandfon; but his reign was unfortunate and of fhort continuance: for he was fo infefted with the piratical defcents of the Danes, that, after St. David's, and other places upon the coafts of South-Wales, had been deftroyed by their ravages, he was forced to deliver himfelf from them by a composition of the fame nature with the first Danegeld of the Saxons, viz. to pay them a capitation, at the rate of a penny for every man in that kingdom. This only allured their countrymen to other invalions, with lefs fear of reliftance and more affurance of gain. While Meredyth's arms were employed in a civil war with the fon of his elder Chron from brother Eneon, who laid claim to South-Wales, the northern corfairs landed in Anglefey, and defolated the whole island. As publick misfortunes are always

always charged to the fault of the government, the people of North-Wales revolted, and chofe another king. Great diforders enfued; till the unhappy Meredyth dying, without iffue male, in the year nine hundred and ninety eight, Lhewelyn ap Sitfylth, who had married his daughter, fucceeded to him in South-Wales, and foon obtained, by force of arms, the two other kindoms. The Welch chronicle, to express the felicity of his reign fays. that, in his time, the earth brought forth double to what it produced in the times before past: the people prospered in all their affairs, and multiplied wonderfully; the cattle encreased in great numbers; fo that there was not a poor man in Wales, from the fouth to the north fea : but every man had plenty, every house a dweller, and every town inhabitants. Yet he was not exempt from the ufual deftiny of the other Welch kings. The fons of Edwin ap Eneon rebelled against him and flew him : but Gryffyth, his fon, revenged his death ; drove Howell, the Son of Edwin, out of South-Wales; and killed in battle another prince, who had lately obtained the fovereignty of North-Wales, not without a good title, if any title but force of arms could have availed in that nation.

Gryffyth was the first, and, I believe, the only v Fir. Welch king, that ever had a navy; a few thips of Wig et S. Duntim feb war having been built for his fervice in fome foreign ann. 1063. country, and manned with foreign failors. He could 1064 not be furnished with either among his own fub- C.mb enf jects; for Giraldus Cambrenfis informs us, that Camb in dethe Welch had no thips, but fuch as were used by 8. 17. the Britons, their anceftors; fmall wicker boats, that were covered with hides, and had neither oars nor fails. On what occasion this fleet, which was fo great a novelty to his people, was provided by this prince, we are not told : but, I presume, he defigned it to protect them from the ravages of the Danes and Norwegians. Howell having attempted, Welch by the help of these and other foreigners, to regain fupra. Chron. ut from

from him South-Wales, was totally defeated in a pitched battle, and hardly escaped with his life. But an honourable death in the field would have faved him from a greater misfortune : for his wife. whom he had brought to be a witness of the triumph, which he confidently hoped to obtain over Gryffyth, was taken prifoner by that king; who, liking her beauty, kept her for his concubine. Nor does it appear, that he loft any reputation among his own people by fo brutal a rape; the Welch fuppofing, that whatever belonged to the conquered was a lawful prey to the conquerors, their wives themfelves not excepted. The unfortunate hufband, reinforced by another army of English and Danes, made a new effort, not long afterwards, to recover the poffestion of his wife and kingdom ; but was vanquished and flain in the contest. Other competitors arole against Gryffyth; for not even the greatest victories could give to thefe princes any fecurity in their power : but he overcame all his adverfaries by fair and open force in the field. Nor did he confine Sax, fub ann his valour within his own territories. In conjunction with Algar earl of Chefter, who had been barifhed from England as a traitor, in the reign of Edward the Confessor, he marched into Herefordshire, and wafted all that fertile country with fire and fword. to revenge the death of his brother Rhees, whofe Wig. p. 623. head had been brought to Edward, in purfuance of an order fent by that king, on account of the depredations which he had committed against the English on the borders. To ftop these ravages, the earl of Hereford, who was nephew to Edward, advanced with an army, not of English alone, but of mercenary Normans and French, whom he had entertained in his fervice, against Gryffyth and Algar. He met them near Hereford, and offered them battle, which the Welch monarch, who had won five pitched battles before, and never had fought without

V Chron.

V. Flor. 629.

without conquering, joyfully accepted. The earl had commanded his English forces to fight on horfeback, in imitation of the Normans, against their usual custom; but the Welch making a furious and terrible charge, that nobleman himfelf and the foreign cavalry, led by him, were fo daunted at the view of them, that they shamefully fled. without fighting; which being feen by the English, they also turned their backs on the enemy, who, having killed or wounded as many of them as they could come up with in their flight, entered triumphant into Hereford, spoiled and fired the city, razed the walls to the ground, flaughtered fome of the citizens, led many of them captive, and (to ufe the words of the Welch chronicle) left nothing in the town but blood and ashes. After this exploit, they immediately returned into Wales, undoubtedly from a defire of fecuring their prifoners, and the rich plunder they had gained. The king of England hereupon commanded Earl Harold to collect a great army, from all parts of the kingdom, and affembling them at Glocefter, advance from thence, to invade the dominions of Gryffyth in North-Wales. He performed his orders, and penetrated into that country, without refiftance from the Welch; Gryffyth and Algar retiring into fome parts of South-Wales. What were their reasons for this conduct we are not well informed; nor why Harold did not purfue his advantage against them : but it appears that he thought it more adviseable, at this time, to treat with, than fubdue, them: for he left North-Wales, and employed himfelf in rebuilding the walls of Hereford, while negociations were carrying on with Gryffyth, which foon afterwards produced the reftoration of Algar, and a peace with that king, not very honourable to England; as he made no fatisfaction for the mifchief he had done in the war, nor any fubmisfions to Edward. Harold must, doubtlefs.

p. 630. lub ann. 1056.

Welch Chron. p. 100, 101.

V. Chron. Sax. Ingulphus. Flor. Wigorn. et Chron. Petroburgen. fub ann. 1063, 1064, 1065. Malmfb. de Geft. R. A. l. ii. c. 13. Welch Chron. p. 101, 102. Girald. Camb. de Illaudabil. Wailiæ, c. 7, 8.

lefs, have had fome private and forcible motives to conclude fuch a treaty. The very next year, the Welch monarch, upon what quarrel we know not, Flor. Wigorn made a new incursion into England, and killed the bishop of Hereford; the sheriff of the county; and many more of the English, both ecclesiafticks and laymen. Edward was counfelled by Harold and Leofrick earl of Mercia to make peace with him again; which he again broke: nor could he be reftrained by any means from these barbarous inroads, before the year one thousand and fixty three: when Edward, whole patience and pacifick difpofition had been too much abused, commissioned Harold to affemble the whole ftrength of the kingdom. and make war upon him in his own country, till he had fubdued or deftroyed him. That general acted fo vigoroufly, and with fo much celerity, that he had like to have furprifed him in his palace : but, just before the English forces arrived at his gate. having notice of the danger that threatened him. and feeing no other means of fafety, he threw himfelf, with a few of his household, into one of his fhips, which happened, at the inftant, to be ready to fail; and put to fea. What country he retired to we are not informed : but, probably, he went into Ireland. Harold, vexed at his escape, fet fire to his palace, and burned all his fhips of war that remained in his harbour; after which, returning to Briftol, he there fitted out, with all poffible expedition, a powerful fleet; with which he cruized along the coafts of North and South-Wales, preventing the importation of corn and other neceffaries, which the Welch had been accustomed to receive from a-While he was employed in this manner, a broad. ftrong body of horfe, under the conduct of Earl Tofti, his brother, had marched to a rendezvous, which he had appointed, in the maritime part of North-Wales. As foon as he had intelligence of their being arrived, he landed and joined them with his

his infantry, which he had embarked for that purpofe; leaving none but the failors and rowers aboard his fleet, which he ordered to cruife as before. The two brothers, after their junction, eafily made themfelves mafters of all the flat country : but Harold, being fenfible that heavy-armed foldiers were unfit for purfuing the light troops of the Welch into their mountainous regions, provided his infantry with bucklers of hides, and other armour of a lighter fort than they ufually wore. The greater part of his cavalry he left in the plains, under the command of his brother : and taking only a few of them, with fome bands of foot heavy-armed, which he ordered to follow and fupport the light-armed forces, if they fhould be repulfed, he boldly advanced into countries, which no Saxon army ever had entered before; marching all the way on foot himfelf, and driving the enemy even from their inmost retreats, with a terrible flaughter, till they were compelled to fue for peace at the difcretion of the conqueror. Proud of having furmounted the ftrong barriers which nature had placed to oppose him, and of having fubdued this warlike people, he fet up pillars of ftone in feveral places to which he had carried his victorious arms; as trophies and monuments of his fame to posterity. Giraldus Cambrenfis affures us, that, in his time, they were ftill remaining there, with the following Latin infcription, refembling those of the Romans in fimplicity and concifeness, engraved upon each of them, HIC FVIT VICTOR HARALDVS.

Probably, the Welch would have better defended their country, if they had been under the conduct of Gryffyth, their fovereign: and, as in all his former life he had fhewn fo much courage, we may reafonably conclude, that he would not fo fhamefully have abandoned his people, through the whole courfe of a war which he himfelf had brought upon them, if the Englifh navy, which conpra.

Welch

215.

p. 109. to

continually guarded the coaft, had not prevented V. Flo.Wig. his return into any part of North-Wales. Certain et s. Dua-elm. ut fu-it is, that he did not come back to them, till the latter end of fummer in the following year, after they had been forced to fubmit to Harold; and then he found them to incenfed at having been left by him in the time of their danger, and fo averfe to any thoughts of renewing the war, that, inftead of affembling themfelves under his ftandard, as he urged them to do, they fent his head to Harold, together with the prow of the ship, or galley, in which he returned. The Welch chronicle tells us, that they were inftigated to this treason, by Blethyn and Rywallon, his mother's fons, whom Harold had made kings of North-Wales and Powis-land, as he had alfo given South-Wales to Meredyth, the eldeft fon of Owen, whole father Edwin had been expelled from that kingdom by Gryffyth. This valiant prince had ruled all Wales during four and thirty years, a very long reign for any king of that nation ! Those appointed by Harold were obliged to take an oath of fealty to Edward, and pay him the full tribute that ever had been paid to any of his predeceffors. Thus, by the valour and good conduct of that earl, was the fovereignty of England over the princes of Wales more completely eftablished, than it had ever been before. But he built no caftles in the country, nor did he plant any colonies of English, there, without which it was impossible, that the fubjection of a people found to arms, and and fo impatient of difhonour, could long continue. After his death they regained their independence : Chron. from during which they were continually and most grievoufly difturbed with deadly feuds, till the year of our Lord one thousand and feventy eight, when Gryffyth ap Conan, and Rhees ap Tewdor, having united their arms, made themselves entire masters of North and South-Wales. The claim of these princes to those dominions was good; Gryffyth being

## OF KING HENRY II. Book II.

being defcended from the eldeft fon of King Roderick, and Rhees from the eldeft fon of Howell Dha: befides which they were valiant men, a qualification the Welch regarded more than any other pretenfions. Gryffyth, in gaining the fovereignty of North-Wales, was affifted by an army, which he procured from the king of Ulfter, whole fifter he had married, while he and his father Conan were exiles in Ireland. Upon this revolution, Powis-land, which after the death of Rywellon had been annexed to North-Wales, under the government of his brother, was shared between two fons of the latter, as it feems, by an agreement with Gryffyth ap Conan.

Such was the flate of Wales in the year one thoufand and feventy nine, when William the Conquerour, provoked by fome incurfions of the Welch, and having eftablished his dominion over the Eng- welch lifh, came to St. David's with a mighty army; and Chron. ftruck fuch a terror into all the princes of Wales, and build be that, without refiftance, they fubmitted to do him Huntingdon; homage. He demanded no tribute from them; nor could they properly pay it when they became his vaffals; the feudal laws exempting those who were admitted to homage from all fuch impofitions. It does not appear, that any of them rebelled against him, or committed any depredations upon the borders of England, fo long as he lived. They alfo kept peace among themfelves : but the very year that he died, the ions of Blethyn ap Convyn gathered together their forces against Rhees ap Tewdor : who was constrained to fly to Ireland, where he had potent alliances; and from whence he returned with an army, which, being joined by his friends, enabled him to recover the kingdom of Sout Wales. Soon afterwards the earls of Hereford and of Shrewfbury, confederating themfelves with the Welch on their borders against William Rufus. ravaged the counties of Glocester and of Worcester, Nor, when this infurrection was quelled in Eng-VOL. II. E land

l. vii. f. 212,

HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

land, do we find that the Welch fubmitted to the king, or that their princes acknowledged his fovereignty over them, either by doing homage to him, or paying tribute. But, in the fourth year of his reign, Jeftyn, lord of Glamorganfhire, which country his anceftors had governed for fome ages under the kings of South Wales, having been defeated in a rebellion against Rhees ap Tewdor, fent one of his gentlemen, who had ferved in the army of England, to follicit fome of the lords and knights of that kindom to come to his affiftance, with promifes of great rewards and emoluments from him. The propofal was agreeable to the fpirit of the times. Robert Fitz-haimon, a gentleman of the king's privy chamber and great baron of the realm, undertook the adventure. Twelve knights, of confiderable note and diffinction, were retained in his fervice, or rather agreed to ferve under him, with a large body of forces. They joined those of Glamorganshire, which were ready to receive them, and invaded the territories of Rhees ap Tewdor, who met them near Brecknock, and giving them battle was vanquished by them, and flain in the action. He was the last of his nation who poffeffed the ancient kingdom of South Wales entire : for after his death it was difmembered, and foon fell to decay. When Jeftyn found himfelf conqueror (if we may believe the Welch chronicle) he kept all his engagements with the Normans very faithfully, but broke his word with the Welch gentleman, he had fent to them, and to whom he had promifed to give his daughter in marriage, if he fucceeded in his negociation. This perfon, whole name was Eneon, being fruftrated of the reward he expected, and burning with refentment, followed the Normans, who were already embarked for England, and complaining to them most bitterly of his master's perfidiousness incited them to turn their arms against him. He affured

fured them that they might eafily conquer his country, as, from his treaton to Rhees, he would be deprived of all aid from the other princes of Wales. Upon which, partly out of their regard to the man, and partly being allured by the bait he proposed to them, they all returned with him, attacked the lord of Glamorganshire, defeated, and flew him. This is the account which is given by Caradoc of Lancarvon; but, according to another see the hifvery authentic relation of this affair, Jeftyn refufed ury of the winning of to perform the covenants he had made with the Gamorgan, Normans, through the mediation of Eneon, who " Dr. Powtherefore joined them against him. Certain it is chron. that Fitz-haimon, by no other title than that of 10 124. conqueft, feized on Glamorganshire, and referving to himfelf fome principal parts, with the feignory of the whole, gave all the reft of that fair and fertile province to be held as fiefs under him, by the twelve knights who came with him, and fome others who had aflifted him, particularly Eneon. The Welch welch chronicle fays, that thefe were the first firangers that Chron. ever inhabited Wales fince the time of Camber. But foon afterwards Bernard de Neufmarche, another Ibidem, from of the great Norman barons, conquered the pro- P. 153. vince of Brecknock; and thefe examples exciting the ambition of their countrymen to like attempts, feveral of the nobility petitioned the king to grant them lands in Wales under homage and fealty, if, by their own arms, they could win them from the natives; which he did very willingly, as the beft method of fubduing that people without any charge or trouble to himfelf, and punishing their princes for having withdrawn that obedience, which they had fworn to the English crown in the reign of his father. Accordingly Roger de Montgomery, earl of Shrewsbury, did homage to him for Cardiganshire in South-Wales; and for all Powis-land, of which he afterwards fubdued and fettled fome diffricts, E 2 particularly,

St

Book II.

particularly, the town and caftle of Baldwyn. This important place, which commanded one of the fineft parts of Wales, adjacent to England, he newfortified; and called it after the name of his family, which it retains to this day. Arnu'ph, his vounger fon, obtained likewife, in South-Wales, the great lordship of Dyvet, named Pembrokeshire, from the town and caftle of Pembroke built by him there, in a fertile and open country. The earl of Chefter, and two of the Mortimers, with many other Norman barons, who were feated in the bordering counties of England, became vaffals to William Rufus for lands belonging to the Welch in all their three kingdoms, which he disposed of, as forfeited to him by the natives, on account of their rebellion; but of which the feveral perfons, on whom he bestowed them, were to obtain the posseffion at their own charges. Whatever conquefts they made they endeavoured to fecure, by immediately building ftrong caftles, and, as far as they could, by fettling in them colonies of Normans or English. Thus was this laft afylum of the Britons broken into, by their enemies, on every fide. But the fpirit of the Welch did not long remain patient under thefe ulurpations (for fuch they effected them.) Gryffyth ap Conan, who then was king of Northwales, and Cadogan ap Blethyn, who poffeffed as much of South-Wales as yet remained unconquered by the Normans, united against them; and, having defeated them in two or three battles, deftroyed all their caftles, except those of Pembroke and Rydcors, and recovered almost all Dyvet, Powis-land, and South-Wales. Nor were they content with expelling thefe invaders, but carried their arms, with terrible ravages and devastations, into the borders of England, joining all the rage of a barbarous people to the refentment of freemen, who had lately thaken off the yoke of oppression. William

Welch Chron. p. 152 to 156. Malmib. de W. II. f. 68. 70. Kiv. Huatingdon, J. vii. f. 210. Hoveden, par. I. f. 266. 267.

## OF KING HENRY II. Book II.

William Rufus, inflamed with great anger and difdain, that a nation, which had paid obedience to his father. should dare to attack and infult him in his own kingdom, raifed a mighty army, and marched in perfon against them. At his approach they retired : he determined to follow them; and entering their country at Montgomery ftopped there awhile, till he had rebuilt the ruined caftles, which being done, he tried to penetrate into the interior parts of North-Wales. But the Welch fo ftrongly guarded the defiles of the mountains, the woods, and the rivers, chuing their pofts with great judgment, and cautioufly avoiding to fight on the plains, that he made little progrefs. Great rains fell; his horfes died; and his troops were fo harraffed with the many hardships they suffered, that he was obliged to return to England, and leave the war to be profecuted by the lords of the marches. But although they exerted their utmost Vid. auctores ftrength and valour, they found the task too hard for citat. ut futhem; and, after fundry defeats, Roger de Montgomery earl of Shrewfbury, William Fitz-euftace earl of Glocefter, with many other noble perfons, having been flain; and all their caftles in those countries, except that of Pembroke, burnt, or razed to the ground ; William Rufus himfelf thought it neceffary to march a fecond time into Wales at the head of a royal army; and made all the efforts to regain the provinces he had loft, that great courage, excited by the higheft indignation and fense of shame, could produce. Yet so valiant were the Welch, fo prudent their leaders, and fuch the difficulties he found in attempting to break through the fastness of the mountains, that he now fucceeded no better than in his former expedition.

It is very furprifing that a king, ever victorious in all his other wars, should in these, with an undifciplined and barbarous nation, be fo foiled and difhonoured !

E 3

pra.

Book II. honoured ! William of Malmfbury afcribes it to the nature of the country, and inclemency of the weather. But, as to the first, Harold likewife had

that to contend with; and yet he conquered all The weather indeed might happen to be Wales. better, and more favourable to him than it was to William Rufus; and rainy or ftormy feafons add much to the difficulty of making war, in woody or mountainous countries : but other caufes, and not fo fortuitous, may well be affigned, to account for the different fuccefs of these princes. The Norman armies, being chiefly composed of horfe, and encumbered with heavy armour, were not able to act among the fteep precipices, and narrow paths of the mountains, or in the woody vales and deep bottoms; nor could they eafily be fubfifted in those barren places at a diftance from the fea : which inconveniencies it has been shewn that Harold wifely avoided, by another manner of arming and difpofing his forces. The Welch had, indeed, fubmitted to the Normans, under the first king of that race; being awed by the great name of William the Conquerour, and yielding rather to the reputation than force of his arms : whereas those impressions were now worn off: they had tried their ftrength with the Normans, and found it fuperior in repeated engagements : but the greateft difference was, that they were now under the conduct of able and skilful commanders; which advantage, more important than any other whatfoever, they had been deprived of by the absence of Gryffyth ap Lhewelyn, their general and their king, when the army of Harold attacked them in the heart of their country.

After the death of William Rufus, his fucceffor Henry the First fought to divide the Welch princes in Powis-land and South-Wales: thinking that this would be the eafieft way to fubdue them : which policy proved fo fuccefsful, that when they had wafted their force in long civil wars, fome of them,

Book II.

them, from a necessity of asking his assistance against their foes, became his friends and vaffals; particularly, Cadogan and Meredyth, fons of Blethyn ap Convin. He also strengthened those provinces of South-Wales which remained under the power of England, by a new colony, very proper to answer that intention. During the reign of his father, a great number of Flemings, having been driven out v. Girald. of their dwe lings by an extraordinary inundation Cambrenf. of the fea on that coaft, had come over to England; Cambr. 1. i. where they hoped to receive a protection from the Malmb. queen, who was daughter to Baldwin earl of f. 89. feet. Flanders. The king entertained them with great 30. 1. v. et f. kindness and favour, not only out of regard to her Liv. patronage of them, but from true notions of policy; orn. S. Duto encrease, by such an accession of useful inhabi-nelm. et tants, the wealth and strength of his kingdom. sub ann, Many of them were afterwards planted by William ..... Rufus in the wafte lands of Northumberland, and about Carlifle; but others were difperfed all over England, and began, by their multitude, to give fome uneafinefs; which Henry took off, and availed himfelf of them to more advantage, by fending them all to fettle in South-Wales; where he gave them the diffrict about Tenby and Haverford-Weft; in which their posterity remain to this day. They were very industrious, yet, at the fame time, very valiant; skilful in husbandry, manufactures, and commerce, and equally expert in the use of arms: fo that they answered all ends which can be proposed in planting a colony, cultivation of lands, improvement of trade, and defence of the country. William of Malmíbury speaks of them as a ftrong v. Malmíbi barrier, which reftrained the Welch in those regions ut fupra. from infefting the English territories; and certainly fuch a plantation was a more effectual fecurity than any fortrefs or bulwark.

As for North-Wales, Gryffyth ap Conan, the E 4 king king thereof, had never done homage, or paid tribute, to the crown of England; but, by the ftrength of his country, had maintained himfelf independent; having loft only fome diffricts in the more open and maritime parts of his kingdom. He remained in this flate till the year eleven hundred and thirteen; at which time King Henry, having supprest the troubles in Normandy, fecured that dutchy to himfelf, and overcome all the enemies of his greatness abroad, received complaints from the earl of Chefter, that frequent devastations were made in Cheshire, and parts of Flintshire, which belonged to the jurifdiction of that earldom, by the king of North-Wales, or by the rulers of provinces under him. Gilbert de Clare, earl of Pembrokeshire, but then called earl of Chepftow from the chief place of his refidence, complained alfo that Owen, the fon of Cadogan ap Blethyn, harboured and maintained fome bands of robbers, who infefted his country. Henry fwore in his anger, that he would not leave one Welch man alive in Powis-land or North-Wales ; but, after having extirpated all that nation, would plant in each of them new colonies of his own fubjects. To execute this, he drew together the whole force of his kingdom : and Alexander the Fierce, who then reigned in Scotland, came and ferved him in perfon, at the head of a confiderable body of Scotch. Three armies were formed; one, under the conduct of this prince and the earl of Chefter, which was defigned to attack North-Wales; another, led by the earl of Chepftow, which was ordered to invade those districts of South-Wales, that were still poffeft by the natives; and a third, commanded by the king of England himfelf, with which he propofed to conquer all Powis-land. But upon his approach to that country, Meredyth ap Blethyn, intimidated by the dread of impending deftruction, went and delivered himfelf up to his mercy; and Owen

56

Welch

Chron. p. 173, 174. Owen ap Cadogan fled to Gryffyth ap Conan. Henry then changed his first defign ; and joining his forces with those of the king of Scotland and the earl of Chefter, invaded North-Wales. But all the people of that realm having retired to the mountains, and carried away all their cattle and provifions, according to the orders which their king had prudently given, thefe great regular armies could not purfue them for want of fublistence, or from the impracticability of the country itself; and some 'detachments, that attempted to do it, were attacked by the enemy in the ftreights of the mountains, and either cut to pieces, or repulfed with lofs and difgrace. Under these difficulties Henry had recourfe to negociation, and artfully raifed a a jealouly between Owen and Gryffyth, by making each of them imagine, that the other was treating a feparate peace for himfelf. Thus, with the affiftance of Meredyth, whom he chiefly employed in this bufinefs, he brought them both to feek his friendthip, on fuch conditions as just fufficed to fave his honour, but were not answerable, either to the great defigns he had formed, or the extraordinary forces he had raifed. For though, in confequence of this treaty, a large fum of money was paid to him by Gryffyth, perhaps as a fine, or compensation, for the ravages made in Cheshire and Flintfhire, we are not told, even by English or Norman writers, that the Welch monarch fubmitted to do him homage. And the fine received was by no means adequate to the expence of the war. Nor did Henry acquire one foot of ground in the kingdom of North-Wales, or drive out any of the ancient inhabitants, or plant any new colonies of English or Normans, either in that country, or in Powis-land. The earl of Chepftow indeed appears to have fubdued those districts of South-Wales which were then poffeft by the natives : for, though the Welch chronicle takes no notice of what he he performed in this war, we find by it foon afterwards, that the whole of that kingdom, as it had been enjoyed by Rhees ap Tewdor, was in the hands of King Henry; from whence it may be inferred, that the reduction of it was now entirely completed.

Welch Chron.

But, after some years, new disturbances arose in p. 175, 176. that country, from the pretensions of Gryffyth the fon of Rhees; who, when his father was flain in the battle against Robert Fitz-Haimon, had been conveyed into Ireland, and remained there till the year eleven hundred and thirteen; which was about the twenty fourth or twenty fifth of his age; when he was permitted to return and vifit his fifter, who, many years before, had been mistrefs to Henry, and was mother to Robert earl of Glocester. After her commerce with the king was broken off, Gerald de Windfor, a gentleman much efteemed for his valour and prudent conduct, being then governor of Pembroke caftle, obtained her hand, and was made, by her interest, lieutenant to Henry over a part of that province. With him Gryffyth was allowed to remain for fome time, unmolefted by the king : but fuspicions arifing that he began to carry on intrigues with the Welch, whole affection to their natural princes was still unfubdued in their hearts, orders were fent to arreft him; which being informed of. he implored the protection of Gryffyth ap Conan, the friend of his father, who affured him, he should be fafe within the bounds of North-Wales.

Welch Chron. ut fupra.

When Henry received intelligence of his being gone thither, he wrote a letter to that king, in terms of great friendship, desiring him to come and confer with him in England : which request being complied with, he received him very honourably, and gave him great prefents, fuch as the poverty of the kings of North-Wales had not been accuftomed to, and which therefore had a great effect on his mind. After having thus engaged his affections, he difcourfed with him concerning the fon of Rhees

ap

ap Tewdor, whom he reprefented as one whofe ambition would difturb the peace of all Wales. Honour and good faith are feldom the virtues of a barbarous nation. The integrity of Gryffyth ap Conan was corrupted by these feductions. When he returned to his kingdom, he commanded a body of foldiers, whom he kept in readiness for his fervice upon any occasion, to go and feize the perfon of Gryffyth ap Rhees; who, being advertifed of his danger took refuge in a church. The Welch, V. Girald. of all Chriftian nations, were the most superstitious Cambria in the refpect they paid to holy places, allowing defeript. c. 8. all criminals, even murderers and traitors, to have a fecure protection there, not only for themfelves, but for their fervants, and even for their cattle ; to feed which last confiderable tracts of pasture land were affigned, in the whole compass whereof they were facred and inviolable. Nay, with relation to fome principal churches, fuch as that of Aberdaron, to which Gryffyth ap Rhees had recourfe, the right of fanctuary was extended as far as the cattle could range in a day and return at night. Yet the king of North-Wales, having violated his promife, and the laws of hospitality, fcrupled not to infringe the privileges of the church: and ordered the prince to be dragged out of his afylum by force. In doing this he exposed his authority to fome danger. His foldiers endeavoured to execute his orders; but they were ftrongly oppofed by the whole clergy of the country; with whom the people took part, not only from their bigotry but from compassion and love for a British prince, the last descendant of a long line of kings, whole memory they respected, facrificed now, by a perfidious and inhospitable policy, to an odious, foreign power. The contention about him conti- we'ch Chro. nued till night came on; and before morning the ut fapra. was fecretly conveyed to Stratywy, a woody region of South-Wales; where having affembled his friends

he

he made a fharp war against the Flemings and Normans, taking and burning fome caftles, and threatening even that of Caermarthyn, which king Henry had made his royal feat in that kingdom. Those who had the charge of it, diftrufting their own ftrength, as infufficient to maintain it, fent for the nobles of the country, who were vaffals to the king, and committed to their cuftody both the caftle and town, requiring each of them, with the affiftance of his own men, to defend them by turns, for fourteen days. Owen ap Caradoc, who was a grandfon by his mother to Blethyn ap Convyn, firft received this commission; and, notwithstanding his near relation to Gryffyth ap Rhees, acted agreeably to the truft reposed in him, and the oath of fealty he had taken: for, that prince making a fudden affault on the town, he ran to oppose him; but, being forfaken in the action by most of his men, was flain upon the rampart. The town was pillaged and deftroyed; and Gryffyth returned to the foreft of Stratywy, like a lion to his den, from whence he frequently iffued, and ravaged the whole country. The fpoils his followers had gained in the plunder of Caermarthyn, and the reputation he had won by that exploit, drew to his ftandard great numbers of his countrymen in South-Wales, who confidently hoped that he would recover the kingdom of his father. Thus ftrengthened he vigoroufly purfued his fuccefs, and in a fhort time deftroyed two caftles of the English; upon the fame of which actions the people of Cardiganshire voluntarily fubmitted themfelves to his government; calling him to deliver them from the detefted and ignominious yoke of the Normans. Much pleafed with this invitation he entered that country, and by the most rapid fuccesses made himself master of it as far as Aberistwyth, which town he befieged; but being there drawn into an ambush laid Welch Chro. for lairn, he was defeated and compelled to quit from p. 130. in 189 the

Book II.

the province. Nevertheless he maintained himself in the woods of Stratywy, till at laft King Henry, who had vainly endeavoured to deftroy him, by fending against him Owen the fon of Cadogan, a wicked but valiant prince, confented to affign him other lands in South-Wales : but he did not long remain in poffession of this grant, being driven out, upon acculations brought against him by the Normans, which the Welch chronicle fays were falfe. In the mean while, fome of the Welch in Powis- Welch Chron. ful land having revolted, the English monarch once a- ann. 1122. gain marched thither in perfon, to chaftife the rebels. In passing a defile, he was struck by an arrow on the breaft. If his habergeon, or coat of mail, had not been ftronger than usual, the wound would have been mortal : but the fkill of his armourer faved him. We are told by the Welch chronicle, that this was a mere random fhot, made at the English by a Welchman, who, with others of his countrymen, had been posted by their De Hen. 1. mafter, Meredyth ap Blethyn, to guard the pafs. But f. 89. c. 30 William of Malmfbury fays, that Henry was marching, not in the enemy's country, but his own; and that when he felt the blow, he fwore, by the death of our Lord, his usual oath, that the arrow came not from a Welch, but English bow. He never was welch Ciro. able to discover the traitor : and the danger he had ut supra. run made him prudently defirous of ending the war; which he did, foon afterwards, by a negociation with Meredyth, who fubmitted to pay him a thousand head of cattle, and a small sum of money, as a fine for the treafons committed in this infurrection by himfelf and his nephew; on which terms he very willingly granted to thefe princes pardon and peace, and returned into England. Gryffyth ap Conan, though ftrongly follicited took no part in this war against the English; nor do I find any proof, that Meredyth was excited to it by a fecret confederacy with Gryfivth ap Rhees.

A vear

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

Ord. Vital. tub ann.

931, 932.

A year before the death of Henry, while he was 1134, 1135. in Normandy, there arofe fome disturbances, in and about those districts of Pembrokeshire where the Flemings were fettled. For the natives were impatient of these strangers among them; and they, being very fenfible how much they were hated, killed without mercy, or form of trial, any of the Welch who were difcovered by them lurking about in their woods, from an apprehension that they came with an intent to commit fome murder or robbery; which, it must be acknowledged, the manners of that people gave them caufe to fufpect. But as bare fulpicion could not juftify fuch a lawlefs proceeding, when the nations were at peace, and fellow-fubjects under the protection of the fame king, the Welch were reafonably provoked at thefe acts of hostility, and some of the bravest, who dwelt upon the borders of the Flemish plantations, suddenly taking up arms affaulted the caftle of Paine Fitz-John, burnt it to the ground, and maffacred all the inhabitants, men, women, and children: after which, pofting themfelves in the most inacceilible retreats of their woods, and gathering numbers to join them, they infefted from thence the whole country of the Flemings. Henry thought this infurrection of confequence enough to demand his prefence in Wales at the head of an army, which he prepared for that purpose: but the intended expedition was ftopt by his difputes with Geoffry, his fon in law, and by his death, which foon followed.

As foon as the news of that event was brought into Wales, the fpirit of revolt became much more diffusive : and even Gryffyth ap Conan, who, from a perfonal regard for Henry, had been many years a fteady friend and ally to the English, now turned against them; confederating himself with the rebels V. Geft Rep Steph p.930. in South-Wales. King Stephen was hardly feated in the throne, when these made an incursion into the county

Book II.

county of Pembroke, and cut to pieces a very con- Continuar. ad fiderable body of Normans : after which, being a- gorn. fub nimated by their fuccefs, they over-ran the whole 1135, 1135, country, except the fortified towns and caftles, maf- B empton's facring all the foreigners, wherever they came. Ca on tub Richard, eldeft fon of Gilbert de Clare, to whom Welch Chro. all Cardiganshire had been given by Henry, was 1.188 to treacheroufly flain by Morgan ap Owen, in the courfe of this infurrection; and the county thus deprived of its chief governor and commander, was furioufly attacked by Owen Gwyneth and Cadwallader, fons of Gryffyth ap Conan, who, with the affiftance of fome nobles or chieftains, of South-Wales, took and deftroyed the caftle of Aberiftwyth, and twoor three others in that province, though ftrong and well garrifoned. These fortunate beginnings having excited their friends to fupport them, they received great fupplies, and were joined by Gryffyth ap Rhees, who had married their fifter. The three brothers, with united forces, fubdued the whole country, as far as to Cardigan, then called Aberteivy, driving out all the foreigners, and peopling it again entirely with Welch. Against them came Stephen, conftable of Aberteivy, who, after the decease of Gerald de Windsor, had married Nefta, his widow; two fons of Gerald; and other barons who had eftates in those parts, with all the power of the Normans and Flemings in Wales or the marches, which they had drawn together, in order to recover what was loft of the English dominions, or, at least, to defend what remained. But the valour of the Welch feemed to be raifed above its usual pitch, under the conduct of those princes by whom they now were commanded. The Englifh were routed, and flying to their caftles were fo hotly purfued, that great numbers of them were drowned in the river Teivy, by the breaking down of a bridge, over which they were passing; besides three thousand, who were killed in the battle and flight, and

and many more taken prifoners : infomuch, that,

c. ii. l. I.

from the time when the Normansfirst entered Wales. they never before had received fo great a defeat, nor had their arms been fo difgraced in any other country. The Welch used their victory with the utmost inhumanity, thinking excess of revenge a virtue, and, according to the nature of a barbarous people. knowing no moderation when fuccefsful. Soon afv. G Camb ter this battle, the caftle of Aberteivy, with many liner.Camt. districts in other parts of South-Wales, fell into their hands. The fifter of the earl of Chefter, who, after the murder of her husband, Richard de Clare, had retired to one of his ftrongeft caftles, was now befieged in that fortrefs by theie mercilefs enemies, in want of neceffary provisions, and expecting every hour, a fate more cruel than death itself: for they had exposed their female captives, even those of the higheft rank, to publick proftitution. She quite despaired of relief; the English being all slain, or driven out of the country; her brother far off, and io taken up in defending the earldom of Cheiter, that he could not be able to bring her a timely adiftance. In this dreadful ftate the was preferved by the courage and good conduct of Milo Fitz-Walter, then conftable to King Stephen, and afterwards made earl of Hereford by the empreis Matilda, of whom much has been faid in the former book. This nobleman, being in Brecknockthire, which he had obtained from King Henry together with his wife, the daughter and fole heirefs of Bernard de Neufmarché, the first conqueror of that province, received orders from Stephen to ufe his utmost efforts to deliver the unfortunate countefs of Clare. The enterprize appeared to be almost impossible: but his pity of her distress, and the gallant spirit of Chivalry, no lefs than his obedience to the commands of his fovereign, made him attempt it. He instantly marched, with a body of cholen troops, along the tops of the mountains

tains, and most unfrequented paths of the woods, with which the country there was covered, and arriving at the caftle unfeen by the enemy, who thought it inacceflible on that fide to the English, carried off the lady and all her attendants; an action refembling those of the knights in romancesl

It does not appear, that during all the course of this war, Glamorganshire ever was attacked by the Welch: though the opportunity feemed to be favourable; the earl of Gloucester, who was lord of that province by his marriage with the heirefs thereof, having been abfent from thence almost the whole time. But as that nobleman, on the mother's fide, was lineally derived from the kings of South-Wales, and baftardy, by the cuftoms and laws of the nation, was accounted no ftain, the Welch might naturally confider him as a prince of their own, and for this reafon might allow him a portion of that kingdom his anceftors had enjoyed; especially as he was also the fon of a king whom they had greatly refpected.

When the conquest of Cardiganshire was entire- welch Chro. ly completed, the land was divided among the from p. 191. confederates. In the following year, eleven hundred and thirty feven, died Gryffyth ap Rhees, who, in the Welch chronicle, is called the light, honour, and prop of South-Wales; and his death was quickly followed by that of Gryffyth ap Conan, styled by the fame historian the only defence and shield of all Wales. Both indeed were princes of uncommon abilities, especially the latter, who had reigned fifty years in a country fo liable to changes of government, and, by his valour and policy, had not only preferved it from inteftine commotions, but freed it from its former fubjection to England. After his death his dominions were divided among his fons; but the fovereignty was in the eldest, Owen Gwyneth. They VOL. II. E con

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

continued fometime in fraternal concord and amity one with another; their ambition being employed in endeavouring to expel the English and Flemings from every part of South-Wales. At the beginning of Owen's reign, he and his brothers made an inroad into that kingdom; took fome caftles that the Normans had lately built in Caermarthynfhire; and burned to the ground, a fecond time, the town of Caermarthyn. King Stephen fuffered much, both in reputation and dominion. by these losses in Wales : but a nearer concern employed his thoughts, how to fecure to himfelf the crown of England. The urgent necessity of refifting the attempts of the Welch had been affigned as a reason for giving him that crown; but he judged it more necessary to reftrain and fubdue the opponents of his title than the enemies of his kingdom; and therefore left the defence of the English territories in Wales and the bordering counties of England, to those who were more immediately interested in them, the proprietors of the lands, and the lords of the marches; only fupplying them with large fums of money: which proving ineffectual, he thought it expedient to make peace with the Welch, by leaving them all they had conquered, free of homage or tribute. At leaft it does not appear, that any fuch mark of his fovereignty over them was ever paid to him by any of their princes in North or South-Wales. Yet, by these shameful concessions, he only stopped them awhile from further hoftilities; but loft for ever the affections of all his English subjects in Wales and the borders. It appears that all the noble families, except that of Clare, which had any poffeilions or grants within the Welch confines, and all the counties of England contiguous to Wales, declared for Matilda, and adhered to her, through the whole civil war. Nor did the treaty made with Stephen prevent the Welch princes

princes from ftrengthening the earl of Gloucefter with a numerous body of auxiliary forces. In the latter years of this reign the fons of Gerald de Windfor, and Gilbert de Clare earl of Pembroke, made fome attempts to recover those districts of South-Wales, which the above-mentioned peace had abandoned to the Welch, particularly the provinces of Caermarthyn and Cardigan : but they welch Chro. were driven out again by the fons of Owen Gwyneth from p. 197, and of Gryffyth ap Rhees, after having been defeated in feveral battles, and having loft fome caftles, which Gilbert de Clare had rebuilt. Another very ftrong one, in Flintshire, had been often unfuccefsfully befieged by the Welch, and the welch Chro. garrifon of it much infefted the neighbouring coun- P. 199. try, till Owen himfelf came before it, and, notwithstanding a very obstinate and valiant defence. took it by ftorm, and immediately levelled it to the ground. A little before he began this fiege he had loft a favourite fon, who had diftinguished himfelf by many brave actions against the English. The weight of that affliction lay heavy on his mind : he feemed entirely deprived of all fenfe of joy: but the glory of this atchievement fo raifed his fpirits, that he fhook off his grief, and returned to his former pleasures. If all the Welch had united under this martial prince, during the weaknefs and confusion which the long civil war between Stephen and Matilda had brought upon England, they might have driven all the foreigners out of their country: but the diffentions that arofe among from p. 199. their own chiefs interrupted their victories, dimi- to p. 204. nifhed their force, and made fome of them friends and confederates to the English. Madoc ap Meredyth, who then was mafter of almost all Powisland, difdaining to hold it under the fovereignty of North-Wales, joined his arms to the earl of Chefter's, which had been lately victorious againft the Welch in those parts, and made an incursion F 2 with

with him into the territories of Owen. That prince gave them battle; and though their forces were much fuperior to his, both in numbers and in arms, he entirely routed them, and cut to pieces, or took prifoners, most of their men; but the leaders eleaped by the affiftance of their horfes; the conquering army having none. Hot incurfions were likewife made by the fons of Gryffyth ap Rhees into the territories of Madoc, to revenge his treafon against his country; for fuch they efteemed his confederacy with the English : but while their arms were thus employed, or turned against the fons of Owen, with whom they often had difputes on the division of conquests, the English and Flemings in South-Wales recovered ftrength, and were enabled to defend their longdisputed possessions.

Such was the ftate of all Wales, and of the English plantations, or settlements, which had been made there by conquest, when Henry the Second ascended the throne of England. The general character of the Welch, as it was in those days, has been given with fo much accuracy, fpirit, and judgment, in the writings of Giraldus Cambrenfis, a celebrated, contemporary author, and one, who was himfelf related to them in blood, that I think it will be proper to collect what he has faid in different places, and fet the whole picture before the eves of the reader. He tells us, that not only Cambrin de- the nobility and gentry, but the whole people of foript. c. 8, Wales, were univerfally addicted to arms: that 9, 10, 11, Wales, were universary addreted to any gation, 12, 15, 17, they gave no attention to commerce, navigation, 18. et l'iner, or mechanical arts, and but little to agriculture; c. s. et li- depending for fustenance chiefly on their cattle ; and brum ejnd. dilliking, or rather difdaining, any labour, except libus Wallize the toils of war and hunting, in which, from their infancy, they trained themlelves up with unwearied alacrity; military exercises, or the feverest fatigues in the woods and mountains, being their conftant

V. Girall. Cambreni. conftant diversions in time of peace. Their bodies were naturally not robust; but, by this manner of life, they became exceedingly active, hardy, and dextrous in the use of their arms, aad ever ready to take them up, when occasion required it. To fight for their country, and lofe their lives in defence of its honour and liberty, was their chief pride : but to die in their beds they thought difgraceful.

Book II.

A very honourable testimony was given to their valour by King Henry the Second, in a letter to the Greek emperor, Emanuel Comnenus. This prince having defired that an account might be fent him of all that was most remarkable in the island of Dritain, Henry, in answer to that request was pleased to take notice, among other particulars, of the extraordinary courage and fiercenefs of the Welch, who were not afraid to fight unarmed with enemies armed at all points, willingly shedding their blood in the cause of their country, and purchasing glory at the expence of their lives. But these words must not be taken in too ftrict a fense, as if they had absolutely worn no armour : for they used small and light targets, which were commonly made of hides, and fometimes of iron : but, except their breafts, which these guarded, all the reft of their bodies was left defenceles; nor did they cover their heads with calques, or helmets; fo that in comparison of the English, or other nations of Europe, they might be called unarmed. Their offenfive weapons were arrows, and long pikes, or spears, which were of great use against cavalry; and these they, occafionally, either pushed with, or darted; in which exercife the whole nation was wonderfully expert ; but, more efpecially, the men of North-Wales, who had pikes fo ftrong and well-pointed, that they would pierce through an iron coat of mail : but those of South-Wales, and, particularly, the province of Guent, or Monmouth, which was then a F 3 part

HE LIFE Book II.

part of that kingdom, were accounted the beft archers; not being inferior, in the use of the long bow, to the Normans themselves.

The common people fought on foot; but some of the nobility began now to ride upon horfes bred in their own country, which were high-mettled and fwift, but, not very ftrong: and even thefe gentlemen would frequently difmount, both in combating, and when they fied; the nature of their country, as well as their difcipline, being better adapted to foot than horfe. Their first onset was terrible; but, if foutly refifted, they foon gave ground, and could never be rallied; in which they refembled other barbarous nations, and particularly the Britons and Celts, their forefathers. Yet, though defeated, and dispersed, they were not subdued; but prefently returned to make war again upon those from whom they had fled, by ambufcades and night marches, or by fudden affaults, when they were leaft expected; in which their agility, fpirit, and impetuofity, made up what they wanted in weight and firmnefs: fo that, although they were eafily overcome in a battle by regular troops, they were with great difficulty vanquished in a war. The fame vivacity which animated their hearts infpired their tongues. They were of quick and fharp wit; naturally eloquent, and ready in fpeaking, without any awe or concern, before their fuperiors, or in publick affemblies. But from this fire in their tempers they were all very passionate, vindictive, and fanguinary in their refentments : nor was their revenge only fudden and violent, when they received any perional injury or affront, or while the fting of it was recent in their minds; but it was frequently carried back, by a falfe fenfe of honour, even to very remote and traditional quarrels, in which any of their family had been ever engaged. For not only the nobles and gentry, but even the loweft among them, had each by heart his own genealogy.

nealogy, together with which he retained a conftant remembrance of every injury, difgrace, or lofs, his forefathers had fuffered, and thought it would be degeneracy not to refent it as perfonal to himfelf: fo that the vanity of this people, with regard to their families, ferved to perpetuate implacable feuds, and a kind of civil war among private men; befides the diffenfions it excited among their kings and chief lords, which proved the deftruction of their national union, and confequently broke their national ftrength.

They were in their nature very light and inconfant, eafily impelled to any undertaking, even the most wicked and dangerous, and as eafily induced to quit it again; defirous of change, and not to be held by any bonds of faith or oaths, which they violated without fcruple or fenfe of fhame, both in publick or private transactions. To plunder and rob was scarce accounted dishonourable among them, even when committed against their own countrymen, much leis against foreigners. They hardly ever married without a prior cohabiration; it being cuftomary for parents to let out their daughters to young men upon trial, for a fum of money paid down, and under a penalty agreed upon between them, if the girls were returned. The people in general, and more especially their princes and nobles, gave themfelves up to exceffive lewdnefs; but were remarkably temperate in eating and drinking, conftantly failing till evening, and then making a fober meal; unless when they were entertained at the tables of foreigners, where they indulged themselves immoderately, both in liquor and food, palling at once from their habit of abstinence to the most riotous and brutal excefs: but, neverthelefs, when they came home. they returned with great cafe to their former courie of life; and none of their nobles were led by the example of the English to run out their fortunes FA

by a profutenets in keeping a table. No kind of luxury was yet introduced into their manner of living; not even a decent convenience, or neatnels. They teemed to be proud of not wanting those delicacies which other nations are proud of enjoying. Their kings indeed, and a few of their principal nobles, had built tome caftles, in imitation of the English; but most of their gentry still continued to dwell in huts made of wattles, and fituated in folitudes, by the fides of the woods, as most convenient for hunting and pasture, or for a retreat, in time of war. They had no gardens, nor orchards, nor any improvements about their dwellings, which they commonly changed every year, and removed to other places (as the Britons and Celts, their anceftors, had been accustomed to do) for the take of fresh pasture and a new supply of game.

Book II

Their furniture was as fimple and mean as their houses, fuch as might answer the mere necellities of großs and uncivilized nature. The only elegance among them was mulick, which they were to fond of, that in every family there generally were forme who played on the harp; and tkill in that inftrument was valued by them more than all other knowledge. This greatly contributed to keep up that chearfulnefs, which was more universal and conftant in the Welch than in the Saxons or Normans.

Notwithftanding their poverty they were to hofpitable, that every man's houle was open to all; and thus no wants were felt by the moft indigent, nor was there a beggar in the nation. When any ftranger, or traveller, came to a houfe, he used no other ceremony, than, at his first entrance, to deliver his arms into the hands of the master, who thereupon offered to wath his feet; which if he accepted, it was understood to fignify his intention of staying there all night; and none who did to was refused. Whatever the number or quality of their guests might happen to be, the master and mistrets of

-2

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

of the houfe waited on them, and would not fit down at table with them, or tafte any food, till they had fupped. The fire was placed in the middle of the room, on each fide of which was fpread a coarfe bed of hemp over a thin mat of rufhes, where the whole family and their guefts flept together, without even a curtain betwixt them. Their feet lay always next to the fire, which, being kept burning all night, fupplied the want of bedcloths: for they had no covering but the cleaths they wore, in the day.

It was cuftomary among them to receive in a morning large companies of young men, who, following no occupation but arms, whenever they were not in action ftrolled over the country, and entered into any houfe that they found in their way; where they were entertained, till the evening, with the mufick of the harp and free converfation with the young women of the family: upon which Giraldus Cambrenfis makes this remark, that of all the nations in the universe none were more jealous of their women than the Irifh, or lefs than the Welch. In other respects their manners so nearly agreed, when that author wrote, as to discover the marks of a Celtic origin common to both.

One is furprifed in obferving how abfolutely the V. Tacium Britons, after their retreat into Wales, loft all the in vita Agrculture they had received from the Romans, and, inftead of refining the ancient inhabitants of that part of the ifland, relapfed themfelves into their rude and barbarous manners. This is the more wonderful, becaufe the Latin tongue and no contemptible fhare of its learning were long preferved in their publick fchools, and continued, though indeed in a declining ftate, even down to the times of which I write. They had alfo retained the profefion of the Chriftian religion, but debafed with groß fuperfititons: Giraldus Cambrenfis informs us, that they paid, in his days, a more devout reverence

verence to churches and churchmen, to the relicks of faints, to croffes, and to bells, than any other nation. Whenever any of them happened to meet a monk, or other ecclesiaftick, they instantly threw down their arms, and bowing their heads implored his bleffing. When they undertook a journey into any foreign country, or when they married, or were injoined by their confessors any publick penance, they paid a full tenth of all their goods, which they called the great tythe, in the proportion of two parts to the church wherein they had been baptized, and one to their bishop. How far they carried their respect to asylums and fanctuaries has already been mentioned. The excess of their fuperstition with relation to this point is censured by Giraldus Cambrensis himself, as great a bigot as he was; and it certainly must have been one principal caufe, why fo many murders and other crimes were committed among them. Their hermits were celebrated for feverer aufterities than any others in Europe, the vehemence of their temper carrying their virtues, as well as vices, into extremes. Pilgrimages to Rome were their favourite mode of devotion, though they had many faints of their own nation, whole thrines they adored with the blindeft superstition. In short, their religion, for the most part, was fo different from genuine Christianity, that either it was prejudicial to civil fociety, or did it no good.

Welch Chro. 1. 205.

The first act of government. relating to Wales, that we find to have been done by Henry the Second, was his ftrengthening the colony of Flemings in Pembrokeshire, by allowing some of the Flemish mercenaries, whom, in the first year of his reign, he banished out of Ergland, to go to their countrymen established in that province, and settle among them. This was a very prudent and politick meafure. For they were as serviceable there to him and his realm, as they had been hurtful in England. The

-4

The former plantation, after the Welch had fubdued the bordering provinces, had, with invincible courage, maintained their ground, till the deceafe of King Stephen. A ceffation of hoftilities on the part of the Welch foon followed that event : their princes becoming jealous the one of the other, and more inclined to difpute among themfelves the possession of the conquests they had made, than to attempt more, either feparately, or confederated together. This reinforcement of brave and veteran foldiers was therefore fufficient to defend the Flemish colony; and Henry was contented with thus fortifying that part of South-Wales which was ftill poffeffed by his fubjects : but as, in the late civil war, his mother had been affectionately ferved by the Welch, and he was embarraffed with feveral more urgent affairs at the beginning of his reign, he fuffered their princes to retain the provinces, which, under that of his predecefior, they had recovered from the English: yet not by a ceffion of them; or any acknowledgement of the right of those princes; but by a bare acquiescence, which left him at liberty to affert his own pretenfions to the dominion thereof, and the claim of his fubjects to the lands, at a more proper feafon. All Powis-land, except fome diffricts between the Wye and the Severne, which were held of his crown by the earl of Chefter and other barons of England, was then under the government of Madoc ap Meredyth, his friend and valial. But the conduct of this prince had rendered him to obnoxious to the reft of his countrymen, and more efpecially to Owen Gwyneth, that, with a view to his future fecurity, he diligently employed all his credit with Henry, to incite him to make war against North-Wales, in order to reduce it under its former fubjection to England. These inftigations were vehemently enforced by Cadwallader, brother to Owen; who, having killed his own fon-in-law, the eldeft fon

J. ii. c. 10 p. 993. Gul. Neu-383, 384. Gerv. Chron. ct Annales tie Waver-11:7. Weich Chro B ompton Chron. lub sno. 1158.

fon of Gryffyth, late prince of South-Wales, in fingle combat, upon a sudden quarrel, had been driven out of his country by Owen himfelf, and was now an exile in the court of England; where Itiner. Camb. he fued to the king for aid to recover his lands. Chro. Norm. In this fuit he was affifted by all the relations and friends of his wife, a lady of the noble and powbrig 1. ii. r. erful house of Clare. But, more than all their perfuafions, the defire of glory, and a just fense of M. Weitm. the importance of the object proposed to him, urged Henry on to this war. He thought it would be a len tub ann. reproach, and a stain to his honour, if he should fuffer any longer a petty prince of North-Wales, tubeod ann. whole predeceffors had been tributaries and vaffals to England in former times, to hold his dominions independent of him, whofe empire extended fo far beyond that of any other monarch, that ever had reigned in this island. Nor could he, in the high and flourishing state of his kingdom, be eafy under the loss of those provinces of South-Wales, which the weakness of Stephen's government, amidst the distractions of civil war. had enabled the Welch to reconquer from the Englifh; especially, as neither the fons of Gryffyth ap Rhees, nor those of Owen Gwyneth, had ever done him homage for the territories they held in any parts of that country. He knew, that none of his fubjects, who still retained their possessions within the limits of Wales, could hope to enjoy a lasting tranquillity, unless he fubdued the arrogance of those ambitious princes, and forced them to acknowledge that he was their fovereign. There was no enterprize, which could be undertaken by him in foreign parts, fo neceffary as this; or of equal advantage to his great interest; that is, to the interest of his regal dominions. He therefore resolved to attempt it, and having drawn out of the whole militia of England a very great army, he led it through Cheshire into Flintshire, and advanced towards

wards Basingwerk, a castle built by an earl of V. au@or. Chefter, which the Welch, in the late reign, had fupre. taken and demolished. At this place, or nigh to it. Owen Gwyneth lay encamped, with all the forces he could collect out of a populous nation, in which (excepting the clergy) every man was a foldier. He feemed determined to flay there and give battle to the king; but this appearance was only an artifice, to draw the English into a narrow and difficult pass, between two ranges of hills, where he had fecretly placed a numerous ambufcade, under the command of his fons. Henry, too confident in the ftrength of his army, and not confulting enough with those who had a more perfect knowledge of the country, fell into the fnare, and paid dearly for his rafhnefs. When he and his vanguard were engaged in the middle of thefe ftreights, the Welch, rifing at once, with the moft horrible outcries from under the cover of the woods, that hung over the fteep and rocky fides of the pais, affaulted them with ftones, arrows, and other milile weapons. The difadvantage of the place, the confusion they were thrown into, the difmay that came upon them, quite difabled them from refifting this unexpected attack. Two great barons, Euftace Fitz-John and Robert de Courcy, were flain. Henry, finding it impoffible to advance any further, endeavoured to retire back to the entrance of the ftreights, and with much difficulty performed it : but most of the troops, which had composed his vanguard, were miferably deftroyed, before he was able to difengage, either them, or himfelf, from this fatal fituation. Some, who escaped by flight, carried their fear along with them, and meeting the reft of the army, who were advancing in good order to the entrance of the pafs, fpread among them a report of the death of the king : upon which, Henry de Effex, hereditary standard-bearer of England, was feizel

feized with fuch a terror, that he threw to the ground the royal ftandard, and cried aloud, " The " king is flain !" The confternation became general; the troops fell into diforder; the Welch perceiving it, iffued forth, and attacked them with great fury; the whole army would have been routed in the most shameful manner, if Henry, at this instant, had not shewn himself to them, and, with a countenance full of alacrity, encouraged, rallied, and led them on to the charge. Animated by the joy of feeing him fafe, they quickly drove the enemy back into the wood. He then drew off his forces, and encamping them in a flation where he had nothing to fear, deliberated with his barons and other principal officers, what measures he should purfue in the management of the war, against fuch dangerous enemies, whole valour he found to well conducted. The plan, he now formed, was, to leave upon his left the tract of woody hills, through which he had fo unhappily attempted to pass, and march along the fea-fhore, till he fhould get beyond Bafingwerk, to the back of the post the Welch had taken; at the fame time ordering his fleet (as Harold had done) to cruize along the coafts, and make defcents upon the open parts of the country. But, when Owen was informed of these refolutions, he retired to a ftrong post in the mountains of Snowden, and there encamped. Henry immediately fubdued all Flintshire; and, to fecure his possession. made roads for an army to pass without difficulty through the whole province; cut down the woods; rebuilt the important caffles of Ruthlan and Bafingwerk; began that of Flint; and founded a house for the Knights Templars, which was a new kind of garrison, unknown before in that country, but as uleful as any other to bridle the Welch. While he was employed in thefe works, Owen dreading the confequences of their being compleated, came down from the mountains, and advanced to the borders

borders of Flintshire. Several skirmishes happened afterwards between the two armies, but no general action ; the Welch prince being afraid to venture a battle in an open or level country, and the king of England, inftructed by the lofs he had fuffered, as carefully avoiding to expose himself, or his army, to any more ambuscades. In the mean time a great fleet, affembled at Chefter by his orders, had failed from that harbour and affifted his operations in Flintfhire; after which he fent it to infeft the other coafts of North-Wales, under the command of Madoc ap Meredyth, whom he employed in this fervice, to render the enmity between him and his countrymen more irreconcileable. Some of the forces of that prince, in conjunction with the English, made a defcent on the ifle of Anglefey; where they ravaged the country, and plundered even the churches without refiftance: but as they were returning to their ships, overloaded with spoils, the whole ftrength of the isle fell fuddenly upon them and cut them to pieces. Yet, though this attempt was fo unfortunate, Owen, finding himself unable to hinder the English from subduing or desolating the most fertile parts of his maritime provinces, and preventing the importation of corn from abroad, was very unealy for fear of wanting provisions, if he fhould either remain long in the post he had taken, or thut himfelf up with his army in the defarts of Snowden. He therefore fued for peace; which Henry granted him on fuch terms, as were both ad- v. eutores vantageous and honourable to England; namely, citat. at tathat Owen should do him homage, yield up all the diftricts and caftles in North-Wales, which, during the reign of king Stephen, had been won from the Englifh, and deliver two of his fons as hoftages for his future fidelity. Healfo obliged him to reftore the lands . of his brother Cadwallader; by which that prince was confirmed in his attachment to England, and others of the Welch nation were encouraged to defire its

citat. ut fupra.

its protection and favour. Having obtained thefe great points, and put ftrong garrifons in the caftles of Ruthlan and Balingwerk, he left the remains of the war to be profecuted by the Lords of the Marches against the inferior Welch princes, who, he fupposed, would not long continue in arms, after Owen had submitted. Nor was he mistaken in his judgement. For, at the beginning of the following year, all the princes of South-Wales, ex-V. autores cept Rhees ap Gryffyth, and all the leffer chieftains and nobles of that country, came to him in England, and there received from him the conditions of a peace, which he accorded to them on their making a full ceffion to him of all the territories or lordihips, which had been won from the crown or fubjects of England in the reign of his predeceffor, and doing him homage for their own patrimonial eftates. As for Powis-land, the much greater part of that country was then under the government of Madoc ap Meredyth, who held it of him by liege homage ; and the reft was in the hands of feveral Englith lords, except perhaps a few diffricts, conquered from them by the Welch during the courfe of the war, and allowed by the king to continue in their poffession, upon their becoming his vasfals. But no quiet or perfect fettlement could be made of South-Wales, while Rhees ap Gryffyth remained unconquered. The great spirit of that prince could not patiently endure to fee the dominions, which for many ages had belonged to his illustrious anceftors, torn by the arms of ambitious foreigners from him and his children. He commanded his people to remove their flocks, herds, and other goods, to the defart of Tywy, and made war on the king of England, though deferted and betrayed by all his confederates. Henry, who effeemed his courage and magnanimity, fent him a friendly invitation to come to his court, with an affurance that he fhould be graciouily and kindly received; but threatened, if he refused

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

refused the favour offered to him, that the whole power of England and Wales should be employed to bring him thither. Having confulted with his friends what answer to return, and being advised by them to go, he followed their counfel; and the king, receiving his homage, gave him the ancient demefne of his anceftors in South-Wales ; but not without taking from him, as hoftages for his fidelity, two of his fons; a like feculity having been exacted from all the other Welch princes. Thus was concluded this troublefome and very dangerous war, with great honour to Henry, who, in the iffue of it, recovered all the English possessions within the confines of Wales, which Stephen had loft; and did that, which neither his grandfather, King Henry the First, nor William Rufus could do, restored to England it's fovereignty over the whole nation, by forcing not only the inferiour princes, but the king of North-Wales himfelf, to hold his territories as a vaffal, under homage and fealty.

Some years after these events, a quarrel arifing vid, Netbetween Henry de Effex and Robert de Montfort, brig. I. ii. the former was publickly reproached by the latter Brompton's for his cowardly behaviour in this war, and accufed Chron. fub of high treason. Henry had called him to no ac- and. 11581 count for it, at the time when it happened : imputing it only to a fudden impression of terror, and not to a wilful or criminal treachery, which there does not feem to have been the leaft reason to fufpect. Military discipline, indeed, might require him to be punished, and the king was strict in that discipline (as a wife prince will always be); but, in this inftance, his regard for the honour of a family, which both in blood and alliances was very illustrious, and fome compassion for an unhappy moment of weakness, which future actions might atone for, prevailed over that rigour, which, neceffaiy as it is, may fometimes give way to the dictates of humanity, even for reasons of prudence. VOL. II. G Henry

Vid. Fitz-Stephen in mæ.

Henry de Effex served afterwards in the war of vita S. The- Touloufe without reproach : but this unfortunate quarrel happening, and one of his peers thus arraigning him of a capital crime, he either demanded himfelf a trial by duel (lefs improper in this cafe than, perhaps, in any other) or agreed to it when offered by his accufer : and the king, though he difapproved that barbarous method of trial (as I shall have occasion to shew hereafter) could not avoid allowing it at the request of both the parties. He therefore appointed the lifts according to law ; the combat was fought in his prefence : Henry de Effex was vanquished by his braver antagonist; and, if he had fuffered the legal penalties, must have been ignominioully put to death, or, at least, have lost his eyes : but the king, with his usual clemency, mitigated that doom; permitting him to take the habit of a monk in the abbey of Reading; the only ftate proper for him; as the rules of Chivalry in those days would not allow him to continue any longer in the world, or hold lands by knight's fervice, under fuch a load of publick difhonour. During the course of the year eleven hundred

Hoved. Ann. 1157.

ann. 1158.

Neubrig. 1.

ii. c. 9.

par. ii. et Chron. Mail. and fifty feven, while Henry was at Chefter, Malros, fub ann. colm the Third, king of Scotland, came to wait upon him there, and do him homage for the fiefs he held of England, which he did with a faving to Hoveden. Sub all his royal dignities. The next year he again attended a great council, held by Henry at Carlifle, and was very defirous of receiving from the hands of that monarch the honour of knighthood : but fome difference, unaccounted for by any hiftorian, arifing between them, Henry would not then confer upon him that favour. Yet they still continued friends; and, whatever this cloud of diffatisfaction might be, it was foon diffipated. The Christmas festival of the year eleven hundred and fifty eight being celebrated at Lincoln by Henry, upon his return from Carlifle, he wore his crown, as in fuch folemnities

nities it was cuftomary to do; but held his court in the suburbs, from regard to an ancient superstition, which fuppofed that great calamities would befal any king who should be crowned in that eity. Stephen had been the first, who publickly despised, and acted against this absurd opinion, but the crown having been afterwards taken from his family, it was confirmed more than ever in the minds of the vulgar. Henry yielded to a folly he could not remove, and, perhaps, in fo doing he acted wifely: but although he complied with the people, in this inftance, he did not think with them, if we may judge by his behaviour on another occasion. For Giraldus Cambrensis tells us, that Hibernia exas he made fome ftay at St. David's, on his re- pugnata, l. i. turn out of Ireland, a woman of the country brought a complaint to him against the bishop, which not being inftantly anfwered by him in the manner she defired, she cried out, with great vehemence, fcreaming and clapping her hands, Avenge us, Lech-laver, avenge our nation, this day, of this man: nor could she be hindered, by the endeavours of those who were present, from often repeating thefe words. Now, this Lech-laver, whofe vengeance fhe fo wildly invoked, was a great ftone, ten feet in length and fix in breadth, which lay acrofs a fmall rivulet, in the cathedral church-yard. Probably it had been one of those confectated ftones, which the ancient druids erected in many parts of this island; and though Christianity had long abolished the worship, the superstition of the Welch might still ascribe to it fome miraculous power : but what this woman alluded to was a prediction very famous among them, and supposed to have been delivered by their great prophet, Merlin, that a king of England, returning from the conquest of Ireland should die upon Lech-laver. Henry being informed of this by the perfons about him, went and looked at the ftone for a few moments, and then passing over

G 2

over it faid aloud to all there, Who will bereafter have any faith in the liar Merlin ? From whence I conclude, that he would not have been afraid of being crowned within the walls of Lincoln, if he could as eafily have fhewn the vanity of that prophecy, as he did of this; or if he had not judged that the fuperftitions of his fubjects in England required more complaifance from him than those of the Welch.

Book II.

Diceto et verl. fub ann. 1158. ann. 1156.

In the fame year, eleven hundred and fifty eight, Angales Wa- was compleated a very great and difficult work, which the king had begun two years before ; name-Hoveden. fub ly, the reftoring of the money of his kingdom to it's due weight and fineness. From the continual wants and diforders of government during the reign of King Stephen it had been fo debafed, that Henry faw a neceffity, for the fake of the national commerce, to call in the whole, and recoin it; an act the more meritorious, as it does not appear that any aid was granted to the crown for defraying the expence of it, or any lofs fuftained by the owners of the fpecie thus brought to the mint! Together with the reft was gathered in and melted down all that money, which, during the late unhappy times of anarchy and confusion, many of the barons, usurping the exercise of royal authority, had dared to coin in their own names: and this fufficiently accounts for none of those coins having ever been found. It was indeed very proper, not to let any memorials remain to posterity, of such a violation of the rights of our monarchy, in one of it's greateft and most effential prerogatives.

Neubrig. 1. ii. c. 7. Chron.Norm. P. 992, 994. de Bretagne, 1. iv. c. 15

The kingdom of England enjoying now a perfect tranquillity, Henry went over to Normandy, where fome affairs of importance demanded his prefence. ArgentréHilt. By the death of Conan le Gros, late duke of Bretagne that dutchy had been thrown into great troubles and diforders. For this prince having difinherited his fon Hoel on an uncertain fuspicion of baftardy,

Book II.

tardy, Eudo earl of Pontieure (now called Pentievre) laid claim to the fucceflion, in right of Bertha, his wife, the eldest daughter of Conan, whom he had married after the decease of Alan earl of Richmond and of the lower Bretagne, her first hufband. But the inhabitants of the city and earldom of Nantes, having an affection for Hoel, who, they thought, was unjuftly deprived of his inheritance, put themfelves under his government. While they were engaged in a war with the earl of Pontieure on this account, his wife Bertha died ; which event produced immediately a new competition; Conan le Petit, her fon by the earl of Richmond, laying claim to the dutchy, and Eudo, his father-in-law, refusing to refign it. Much blood was shed in this quarrel, but, after various fuccesses, the baron de Fougeres, who fought for Conan, took Eudo prifoner ; whereupon almost all the nobility of Bretagne did homage to the former. During the course of Vid. auflores thefe troubles the inhabitants of Nantes and it's citat. ut iuearldom had remained for fome time under the dominion of Hoel; but finding by experience that he was deficient in fense and courage they afterwards. drove him out, as incapable of the government to which they had called him ; and he probably died very foon, or retired into a convent; no further mention being made of him in the hiftory of those times. Nevertheless his late subjects, instead of fubmitting to Conan, elected for their ruler, Prince Geoffry Plantagenet, who, having been lately difappointed in his defign upon Anjou, gladly embraced this occasion of advancing his fortune. Nor did his brother, King Henry, oppose their choice : but on Argentré the contrary (if we may believe an historian of Bre- 1 iv. c. 51. tagne) fupported him against Conan; the goodness of his nature overcoming all those fentiments of refentment, which the past behaviour of this prince might have reasonably excited. And indeed, with-G 2 out

.85

out his affiftance, the people of Nantes must have found it a very difficult enterprize, to maintain that province thus divided from the reft of the dutchy. He did not even avail himfelf of this new provision made for Geoffry, to withdraw from him the penfion he had fettled upon him. But a long poffeffion of either was not granted by Providence to this unfortunate prince. Within lefs than two years from his election he died, and left no iffue. Prefently after his decease Conan feized on the earldom as belonging to the dutchy: but King Henry laid claim to it, as heir to his brother, who, I prefume, left it to him by a teftamentary fettlement, with the confent of the citizens and vaffals of the earldom; for otherwife it would be difficult to make out his title: fince what Geoffry had poffeft, not by blood, but election, could never defcend from that prince to his elder brother by right of inheritance. But he might defire, on his death-bed, to atone in this manner for his former rebellions against him; and his will might be ratified by the nobility and the people; who having offended, by their paft conduct, both Conan and Eudo, were afraid of fubmitting to either of those princes, and could find no potentate who was fo able to defend them againft both as Henry Plantagenet. How far they were justified in denying obedience to Conan, after the expulsion of Hoel, may be matter of doubt. The best excuse for it is, the latitude, which the ancient British customs, that continued to prevail, with regard to the government, there, as well as in Wales, gave to the community in disposing of the right of fuccession. But, whether the title of Henry was just or unjust, he did not much apprehend any oppolition thereto, unlefs a jealoufy of his further aggrandifement in France should induce Louis to take part with Conan, or Eudo, against him : and therefore he fet on foot a negociation, which he had reafon

fon to believe would hinder that monarch from obftructing his defigns. This was a propofal for a Diceto Imag. treaty of marriage between Prince Henry, who was Hift. et Gerv. Chron. now his eldeft fon (William, his first-born, having fub and. died about two years before) and Margaret the <sup>1158</sup>. daughter of Louis le Jeune by his fecond wife, Norm-p.994. Conftantia, princefs of Caftile. Both were very <sup>Neubrig. 1.</sup> young children; but it was the mode of the times H.ft. Ludov. to cement alliances and connect families by con-vin. Reg. tracts between royal infants. The offer was joy- cheine, tom. fully accepted by Louis, who thought it both 415. advantageous and honourable to him; and Conftantia, his queen, most passionately defired it, having no greater object of ambition (as fhe had no fon) than to procure for her daughter the inheritance of the kingdom of England, and other territories poffeffed by the house of Plantagenet. Henry knew this, and meant to avail himfelf of these dispositions for more than one purpole. Belides the advantage of not being molefted in his pretenfions to Nantes, he hoped, by means of this alliance to recover Gifors and the reft of the Norman Vexin, which had been ceded by his father to Louis le Gros. This territory was a frontier of great importance, containing, belides the strong fortress above-mentioned, the caftles of Neufle and Neufchitel, with fome others of leffer note; which chain of forts, if re-united to the dutchy of Normandy, would form a good barrier for the defence of that country; but remaining in the hands of the king of France, would expose it to continual danger. Henry proposed that these places should be given by that prince as a portion to his daughter : and, confidering the greatnefs of the match he offered, the demand was not exorbitant. Overtures being made of this affair to Louis by Chancellour Becket, the two kings had an V. auftores interview on the borders of Normandy, in which citat. ut futhey agreed on the match, and mutually pledged

G'4

their

their faith thereupon to each other : but fome circumstances requiring a further discussion, Louis returned to Paris, and Becket was fent to negociate with him there.

Book II.

In the mean time Henry, fecure of having no oppolition from the French court, which he had entirely gained by the lure of this marriage, ordered all the military tenants of his dutchy of Normandy to attend him in arms at Avranches, on the feaft of St. Michael; declaring his refolution to make war against Conan in the dutchy of Bretagne, if that prince should refuse to yield to him the posseffion of the city of Nantes with it's earldom. While the forces were affembling, Becket's negociation was tkilfully conducted and happily finished. He had been inftructed to require, that the young princels should be immediately fent into Normandy, and educated there, under the care of her father-in-law, till fhe fhould be of an age to accomplifh the marriage. How uneafy foever this feparation might be to the fondness of her parents, their consent to it was gained by the address of the minister; and thus Henry obtained the cuftody of her perfon, which was the most effectual fecurity for the performance of the contract, against any change in the variable mind of her father. It also gave Henry an air of fuperiority, which he was defirous to affume in this treaty. Becket found greater difficulty in another part of his business. His master required, Dicetoimag, that Gifors, with the other caftles and territories hill p. 512 that were to be given as a portion to Margaret, fhould be immediately delivered into his hands. But this was refused; and undoubtedly with good reason; because a portion is not given upon a contract of marriage, but upon it's conclusion. To get over this objection Becket proposed, that Gifors and the caftles of Neufle and Neufchâtel flould be inftantly committed to the cuftody of three knights templars,

Heribertuin vit. Becket. Neubrig Lii c. 34. Brompton's Chron. p. 10 jo.

templars, named by both kings, who should deliver them to Henry, on the day that his fon frould wed the princeis. This was agreed to, and Henry gained by it a confiderable advantage, from the neutrality of those places, which commanded his whole frontier, in case of a war breaking out between him and Louis. The complaitance of the latter may not only be afcribed to his eageneets for the match, but also to the dexterity of Henry's ambaffador, who excelled in the arts of perfuairn and infinuation, to which, upon this occasion, he added a liberality, that was still more prevailing. If we v Fitz-Stemay believe a contemporary writer of his life, he str. Canloaded with prefents every French nobleman, ba-tuar. ron, knight, and fervant of the king or queen: nay, he extended his munificence to the doctors in the univerfity of Paris, to the students, and to all the principal citizens. The court therefore, and all perfons who could have any influence over the king or his ministers, were disposed to affift him in every thing he defired. The above-cited author adds, that, before he departed from Paris, he gave away all his gold and filver plate, and almost all his wardrobe, in which were contained no lefs than four and twenty changes of garments. The magnificence he displayed in this embashy was prodigious ! He had in his own family two hundred knights, with all their attendants, amounting, upon the whole number, to above a thousand perfons, whom he lodged. fed, and cloathed in new and pompous apparel. Some accounts that are given of the luxury and expence of his table are incredible; but it is certain that he lived with most extraordinary splendour, and made entertainments to which the French themfelves, the most elegant nation on this fide of the Alps, had not been accuftomed. The whole kingdom of France was filled with the renown of his immenfe generofity, which redounded much to the Chron. honour and fervice of his mafter. 994.

89

Having

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

Having fo fuccefsfully concluded his negociation, he would have returned into Normandy; but Louis, to express the fatisfaction he felt in the union of the two families by means of this match, invited Henry to come to Paris, and receive the princefs himfelf. The propofal was agreeable. Henry went thither, and was entertained with all the honours, that the utmost civility of those times could devise. He received them with an amiable and graceful politenefs: but, as much as he could, avoided all pompous forms and ceremonies; his mind being too great, and his understanding too folid, to be fond of fuch pageantry, or not to be weary of it, even where it was neceffary to attract the admiration and respect of the vulgar.

It is an observation of Philip de Commines, that interviews between kings feldom produce good effects, but generally rather tend to leffen their friendfhip than to encrease it : and the reasons he gives for it are very judicious : yet here it proved otherwife, from the skill and prudence of Henry, who found the fecret of pleafing the nobility and people of France, without raifing any jealoufy or envy in the king. Nor did the pleasures of Paris engage him to entirely, as to divert his attention from weightier matters. He not only took advantage of the good humour of Louis, to gain his approbation of the litigable title to Nantes and it's earldom, which he was profecuting against Conan, but, with Gerv. Chron. the affiftance of Becket, whole influence over that monarch was become very great, obtained from him a commission to go into Bretagne, and, by virtue of the office of Seneichal of France, which belonged to the earls of Anjou, judge and determine the difpute between Conan and Eudo earl of Pontieure, upon the right to that dukedom.

The latter of these competitors had, some time Argentre hilt. de Bre- before, recovered his liberty, by corrupting the tagne. 1. iv. Baron C. 51, 52.

90

fub. ann.

1158.

Baron de Fougeres, into whofe hands he had yielded himfelf a prifoner, and who had kept him in his own cuftody, without delivering him to Conan ; but the best part of the dutchy having submitted to that prince, he retired to Paris, and foon afterwards ferved the king of France against the earl of Mascon, a rebellious vassal. Fortune was more favourable there to his valour : he defeated the earl, took him prifoner, and delivered him to the king. On the merit of this fervice he flattered himfelf that Louis would fupport his pretenfions to Bretagne, and was preparing to begin a war against Conan, at the time when this commission was granted to Henry. Conan was now in the utmost perplexity. Violent forms were apparently gathering against him on every fide. Henry had already feized on his earldom of Richmond, and by denying the claim of that prince to Nantes, he might provoke him to decree in favour of Eudo. Finding therefore no fafety but in obtaining his friendthip, he went to him at Avranches, on the feaft of chron. St. Michael, the day appointed for the rendezvous Norm et Argentié, ut of his forces, and made him a ceffion of Nantes fupra. with it's whole county; foon after which Henry gave fentence in his favour, and fixed him in the dukedom. It fhould feem that the difpute was cognizable by Henry, as duke of Normandy, because Bretagne was acknowledged to be a fief of that dutchy; but it would have been eafy for Eudo to find a pretence of appealing from his court to that of the king of France, as fupreme lord of both countries, if the commission given to Henry, as Senefchal of the kingdom, to determine this affair in the name of the king, had not prevented all means of eluding the judgement, and made it definitive. Indeed it was wrong, while the claim of the English monarch to a province of Bretagne was depending, that he should be impowered to exercise such a jurifdiction :

Book II.

diction; and, though his femence might be just, yet, appearing to be purchafed by the ceffion of that earldom, it had an air of injustice.

Preleatly after the interview between him and Conan, he went to Nantes, and took poffeffion of it with a great army, which may have been neceffary to guard him against the earl of Pontieure. Having fettled every thing there he marched into Poictou, where the lord of the caftle of Thouras, on fome quarrel not explained in the hiftory of those times, had thrown off his allegiance, and, probably, would have been joined by other noblemen of that province, if the king had been long detained, as they might prefume he would be, by the difputes in Bretagne: but he came unexpectedly before the caftle, and took it by affault the next day; which rapid fuccefs put an end to the rebellion begun in those parts, be-Chro. Norm. fore it could rife to any dangerous heighth. From Gerr. Chron. thence he returned very hastily into Normandy, being recalled by his defire to attend the king of France, whom the accomplishment of fome vow. or other act of devotion, brought at this time to the abbey of Mont St. Michel, a Norman town near Avranches, on the borders of Bretagne. It was of the utmost importance to Henry, in his interests on the continent, to endeavour to preferve the affection of that monarch, from which he already had drawn great advantages, and hoped to draw still greater. He therefore went to receive him on the frontiers of Normandy, nobly entertained him, with all his retinue, as long as he ftaid in that dutchy, waited upon him in perfon wherefoever he went, and conducted him back at his return into his own territories. Louis had a temper exceedingly fenfible to compliments of this nature: they made him look upon Henry, not as a rival king, of whom he ought to be jealous, but as an obsequious, affectionate vassal. And, while he gave

ut supra. fub ann. 1158.

gave himfelf up to the illusion of these pleasing ideas, that able prince purfued, without any interruption, a judicious and well-connected fyftem of measures for the continual advancement of his own greatness in the kingdom of France. Pre- Chro. Norm. fently after this time be brought the earl of Blois P. 994. to yield to him the ftrong caftles of Fretteval and Amboife, which had been usurped from Anjou. and the earl of Perche to reftore two fortreffes, which had belonged to his demefne in Normandy, but were unjustly taken from it, amidst the confusion that followed the death of his grandfather, King Henry the First. In return he confented that the town of Belefme should be held of him, under homage, by the laft of these earls. He now had Chro. Norm recovered, not at once, as he did in England, but 1153. 1157. gradually, as occafions conveniently offered, whatever had been alienated, during the late civil war, from the demenne of the dukes of Normandy: a great acceffion of wealth and ftrength, by which he was in reality no lefs a gainer than if he had conquered a province! Nor could he have done it without fome opposition, if the friendship he had fo happily cultivated with Louis had not rendered the nobility, whole grants or ulurpations were thus refumed, afraid of refifting him, from a defpair of fupport. And, confidering how much the quiet of that dutchy had been diffurbed, in past times, by the intrigues of the barons with the French court, the preventing of fo great a mischief would have alone been a reafon, why Henry fhould labour, while these affairs were transacting, to fecure to himfelf the most favourable dispositions, on the part of the king of France, by the most foothing complaifance to his humour. He did fo in one inftance which is very remarkable, though it has not been taken notice of by any historian. Vid Adriani

It appears from a letter written to that king by IV, Papæ ep. Pope Adrian the Fourth, that he had acquainted <sup>6</sup>. apud Duchefine, t. his iv.

his Holinefs with a pious intention of going into Spain, to make war on the Moors, which he was preparing to execute, inftead of undertaking another crulade against the Saracens, or Turks, in the East. The fame evidence likewife shews, that he had proposed the affair to Adrian, not only in his own name, but in that of the king of England, who was to accompany him in this expedition. But the pontiff very wifely advised him against it, because the Christian princes of that country had neither asked his assistance nor approved of his coming. The letter is dated the twelfth of the calends of March, but the year is not mentioned. Several reafons induce me to believe, that it must have been written in the year eleven hundred and fifty nine, and that the defign mentioned in it had been formed and agreed upon, between the two kings, about the latter end of the preceding autumn. For Jofeph king of Morocco, the fon of Abdulmumen of the race of the Almohades, having made himfelf maf-V l'Afrique ter of all the Mahometan empire in Africk, except de Marmol, c. what was fubject to the Caliph of Egypt, had paf-35. Mariane, fed over into Spain with a very great army, in 1157, 1158, the year eleven hundred and fifty feven, to aid the Moors in that country, who had fubmitted themfelves to his government, against the arms of Alphonfo, king of Caftile and of Leon, whole daughter Constantia was at this time queen of France. Alphonfo dying foon afterwards, his dominions were divided between his two fons. The eldeft, to whom he bequeathed the kingdom of Caftile, furvived him only one year, and left an infant to fucceed to his crown. It was then, I imagine, that Louis, being alarmed, and apparently with good reafon, on account of the nonage of his nephew, thought that the circumftances of the Chriftians in Spain called upon him to affift them againft the Moors. And he, probably, asked the aid of Henry in this war, when that prince was his guest at Paris, or rather

94

fub ann.

rather when he went himfelf into Normandy; becaufe, at that time, the diffurbances in Bretagne. and Poictou being quieted, and England in a ftate of perfect tranquillity, Henry had leifure to engage in fuch an enterprize. It was very difficult for the latter, upon any occasion, to refift the impetuous defires of Louis : but still less could he do it, in an affair of this nature, where, all the enthulialm of that monarch's zeal being kindled, he would not liften to reafon, nor endure a denial without the utmost refentment. Yet, as neither the regency of the kingdom of Caftile, nor the other princes of Spain, had made any application to either king for fuccour, it feemed imprudent and abfurd to force it upon them. The reason why they had not was doubtless a jealoufy . of letting into their country great armies of foreigners, which might in the iffue be as dangerous to them as the Moors. Nor were they really fo incapable of defending themselves as Louis imagined: for the forces raifed by Sancho, the fon of Alphonfo, had vanquished the Moors in a great battle foon after his death ; and the king of Morocco, discouraged by that defeat, had ceased to attack them, and turned his arms against fome princes of his own religion in Spain, who refused to pay him obedience. On the other hand, the late crufade had fo much exhausted France, that it could ill fuftain a further wafte of its blood and treasures. Indeed a confederacy against the Moors in Spain was far from being fo irrational as against the Mahometan princes in the East; because all the western Chriftians, but chiefly the French, and particularly the inhabitants of the dutchy of Aquitaine, had a much greater interest to drive those infidels out of that country, than out of Syria or Judæa: but, in their prefent weak condition it was more advifeable to postpone fuch an enterprize, and leave the Moors to deftroy themfelves by inteftine divifions.

Book II.

fions. Henry was fenfible of this, and had other deligns in view; but he also knew that any arguments would have more weight with Louis, if they came from the pope, than if objected by him, The featon of the year, which was then approaching to winter, would not permit even the zeal of that monarch to think of pailing the Pyrenean mountains. It would be necessary to defer the expedition till the fpring; and, if the fervour of Louis did not abate in that interval, the crufade could not be published without the authority of the pope, from whom the protections, indulgences, and all the other graces annexed to those enterprizes, were to proceed. Henry therefore promifed Louis to be his confederate : but at the fame time, he relied on the prudence of Adrian to prevent the execution of fo rath a defign. There is great reason to believe he acquainted that pontiff with his own thoughts upon it, and fecretly advised him to exhort the king of France against the undertaking : for otherwife Adrian would have written to him, as well as to Louis, on that fubject, and would have used the fame arguments to convince him of the unfitness of what he proposed : but no fuch letter is extant. The French monarch, who confidered the counfels of Rome as the oracles of God, let drop his intention, as foon as a difapprobation of it was expressed by the pope; and thus Henry, without any difficulty, or difpute with that prince, was freed from his engagement. In the mean time, he had diligently made great levies of men, in Normandy, Aquitaine, and all the dominions belonging to him in France; which Louis supposed were intended for the purpose of the crusade, as he himself had begun to make the like preparations. But it foon appeared that these forces had another destination.

Henry now avowed his refolution to revive the pretentions of his queen on the earldom of Touloufe: loufe; pretenfions, which Louis himfelf, when hufband to Eleanor, had thought well founded. For William the Eighth, duke of Aquitaine, who was grandfather to that princefs, had married the daughter and heirefs of the earl of Touloufe, and by that marriage the earldom was annexed to his dutchy, of which, before it had been held under homage, as a fief: but being in great want of money, on account of his engagement in the crufade, he mortgaged it to his wife's uncle, Raymond earl of St. Giles, who thereupon affumed the title of earl of Touloufe, and, the mortgage remaining unredeemed; left the earldom to his fon Alphonfo. But Louis, having married the heirefs of Aquitaine, claimed it, in right of his wife, againft that prince. The difpute however was quieted by the intervention of the Holy war, in which both Louis and Alphonfo engaged. The latter died at Jerufalem, and the king, upon his return, renewed his claim against the fon of Alphonso : Raymond the Fifth, who, probably, would have been forced to yield the earldom to him, if, by marrying his fifter Conftantia, the widow of Eustace eldest fon to King Stephen, he had not amicably compounded the quarrel between them. But all the rights of the dutchy of Aquitaine being afterwards conveyed from Louis to Henry, by the marriage of the latter with the repudiated dutchefs, he could not be barred from purfuing his pretenfions to this earldom, whenever he might think it expedient to do fo, by the acquiefcence of the former claimant for reafons of his own. Yet he did not rely fo much on the juffice of his caufe, as not to put all the force, he possibly could, on his fide. He therefore confederated himfelf with the earls of Monpellier, of Nimes, and of Blois, who, upon former quarrels, were perfonal enemies to the earl of Touloufe. Raymond VOL. H. H earl

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book II.

earl of Barcelona was disposed to join in this league, by motives of the fame nature: but as he was a much greater potentate than any of the others, being poffeffed of Province, and having the government of the kingdom of Arragon in right of his wife, Henry, to fix him more firmly in his intereft, both now and hereafter, concluded with him a treaty, by which he betrothed Prince Richard, his fecond fon, and then an infant, to the young princefs of Arragon, daughter to Raymond, and promifed to give them the dutchy of Aquitaine when they should be of an age to confummate the marriage. As foon as he had finished these negociations in France, he returned into England, a little before Easter in the year eleven hundred and fifty nine, thinking it neceffary to vifit that kingdom before he began fo great a war, in which he wanted the affiftance of his English subjects. Being called by fome affairs to the borders of Wales foon after his arrival, he held a great council, or parliament, in the city of Worcefter, where he kept Hoveden, fub his Eafter feftival together with Eleanor, and ann. 1159: pars pofteri. where they both wore their crowns, as their royal predeceffors had ufually done on fuch occafions. But when they came to the oblation, they laid them down, on the altar, and vowed to wear them no more. What was the occasion of this vow we are not told : but their following actions demonstrate, that it is much eafier to give up the enfigns of

or.

The barons of England engaged chearfully in support of the king's pretensions to the earldom of Touloufe; though they might well have refused it; as it, certainly, was not a war wherein this kingdom was obliged to take any part, either by alliance or intereft. Aquitaine alone was concerned in the quarrel : but all Henry's fubjects were then fo well affected to his perfon and fervice, that they thought his greatness their own. Indeed, till

royalty than the love of dominion.

till much later times, whoever attends to the hiftory of England will conftantly find, that when a king governed well, and knew how to keep himfelf on good terms with his barons, they were but too ready to affift him in any foreign wars, even of ambition and conquest. The cause of this may be found in the temper and circumstances of our ancient nobility, who, being illiterate, and ignorant of those elegancies of life which embellish and enliven a peaceful state, and finding that military merit, both by the notions of the times and inftitutions of the government, would most advance their reputation and fortunes, were always inclined to draw their fwords in the quarrels of their fovereign, if they did not draw them against him. But belides this general inclination, it has been often obferved, during the courfe of this work, how much our nobles were influenced in their political conduct, by the fiefs that many of them held in those parts of France which were fubject to our kings. This influence must have encreased in the reign of Henry the Second, whole power abroad was fo much greater than that of his anceftors, It is no wonder therefore that he was able to engage the barons of England, and all his military tenants, to affift him in this war. Nor does it feem that the policy of those times ever regarded his dominions upon the French continent as prejudicial to England. Those which were maritime provinces (and most of them were fo) appeared very commodiousto the English, on account of their trade: efpecially Normandy and Bretagne; which, lying opposite to their coafts, fecured to that nation the fovereignty of the whole British ocean. And this advantage arofe from all his French territories, that while fo large a portion of that kingdom was under his government, France had much more to fear from England than England from France. For all these reasons his English fubiects were more inclined

H 2

- 100

clined to urge him on to an attempt of this nature, than to oppose or reftrain him. All his nobility followed him to this expedition with incre-

dible ardour : and (what was more extraordinary) Malcolm, the young king of Scotland, attended on him in perfon; the first time, and the last, that any monarch of that nation ever fought under an English banner against the French! About the middle of fummer, in the year eleven hundred and fifty nine, the confederate troops were affembled from all parts in Guienne, and composed fuch an army, as feemed more than fufficient to fubdue all V. P. Daniel the territories of the earl of Touloufe, if the king hift de Fran, of France remained neutral. Those territories int. iii. p. 12. deed were much more extensive than the district which at prefent belongs to that city: for they comprehended the Quercy and almost all Languedoc. Yet though the power of this earldom was very confiderable, it was not equal to the force which Henry had drawn from his own dominions in France ; much lefs when that force was encreafed by the affiftance of fuch potent confederates. and by a formidable army brought over from England. The only valid defence, which could be oppofed by the earl to an enemy fo fuperior, was the V. Fitz Ste aid of Louis, his fovereign. But Henry had been phen in vita S.T. Cantu. fo dextrous, as to prevail on that monarch, to promife him that he would take no part in this quarrel: and, from the afcendant he had gained in all his counfels, he believed he might rely, with the utmost fecurity, on the performance of an engagement fo agreeable to the tenour of his paft conduct. But the pathetick remonstrances of the earl of Touloufe roufed the good king from his lethargy. He represented to him, with all the eloquence of grief and indignation, that his best friends were facrificed to his connections with Henry, who, under the name of a vaffal and the mafk of a friend, was his most dangerous enemy; who already was possest of

of the better half of his realm; and whom he never could fatisfy by any conceffions; fince ambition, like avarice, encreases by its gains. That none of his vaffals would any longer hope protection from him, if he gave up his own brother-inlaw to the violence of that prince: and that very hard would be the fate of his fifter Conftantia, if, after having feen the dutchy of Normandy torn from her first husband, and given by her brother himfelf to Henry, who had likewife deprived the family, into which the had married, of the kingdom of England, the thould also behold her fecond husband despoiled of his territories, by the fame encroaching hand; and this too with the confent of a brother whom she loved, and whose affection the had never deferved to lofe, by any fault on her part.

The good nature of Louis could not be infenfible to these complaints; nor could he deny that the ftrongeft reafons of prudence and policy called upon him to reftrain the ambition of Henry from more acquifitions in France. The motions of his mind were always fudden and violent; and, when once he was heated, he confidered no difficulties, and knew no fear. Following therefore the im- 1. ii. c. 10. pulfe communicated to him by Raymond, he not p. 388. only refolved to affift him againft Henry, but, before that monarch had begun the fiege of Touloufe, threw himfelf into the city, with only a few foldiers, refolving to defend it to the utmost extremity, and regardless of the danger, to which, by this temerity, he exposed his own perfon, and, together with that, the whole kingdom. Henry who had too confidently depended on his promife to observe a neutrality, was much furprised and embarraffed upon receiving this news. Being doubt- V. Firz-Steful how to act, he defired to hear the opinions of s.T. Canhis council. Becket advifed him to march, with-tuar. Johann. out a moment's delay, and affault Touloufe, which, c. g. the

H 3

Book H.

the garrifon being weak and infufficient to defend it, might be eafily taken, and with it a more important and more glorious prize, the perfon of Louis himfelf, who had fo imprudently thrown himfelf into it without an army. But others of the council objecting, that it would be too enormous, and too criminal a violation of the feudal allegiance, for a vaffal to take and hold in captivity the perfon of his Lord, the chancellor answered, That the king of France had then laid down the perfon of Henry's liege lord, when, against the engagements and conventions between them, he had opposed himself to bim as an enemy; and therefore he treated the fcruple as vain and groundlefs. This opinion was agreeabe to the fpirit and fire of his character : and if the measure he advised had proved fuccessful, it would have added greatly to the glory and renown of his master. The pride of the English nation would have been infinitely pleafed with feeing a king of France taken prifoner by their fovereign, and brought into England. No equal triumph had yet graced the annals of that kingdom; and no people in the whole universe are naturally more fenfible to any encreafe of their national honour than the English. These were strong reasons for agreeing to the advice of Becket; but others, of no fmall weight, were urged against it. Confidering the number of the fiefs held under Henry, it was highly for his interest, that the feudal principle of an awful reverence, on the part of the vallal, for the perfon of his Lord, should by no means be weakened. His own fecurity depended fo much upon it, that it was very impolitick for him to fet an example of diftinguishing it away by particular cafuiftry, and fubtilities of argument, which, on other occasions, might be turned against him by his vaffals. But further, it was very doubtful, whether the other princes and peers of France would fee the affair in the fame lights as Becket faw faw it, or allow his reafoning to be valid. If they did not; if they confidered the offence done by Henry against the perfon of his Lord as an act of high treason, which could not be justified by the circumstances of the cafe, he had much to fear from their refentment. Louis, though not highly efteemed, was beloved by his vafials. Many of them, who would not intermeddle in the quarrel between the duke of Aquitaine and the carl of Touloufe, might take up arms to free their king, and the fupreme lord of their fiefs, from an ignominious captivity. Indeed a general league of all the princes and peers of France for the deliverance of Louis, and for reftraining the too formidable power of Henry, was to be then apprehended. The latter, in fuch a cafe, could not depend even on those who were now his confederates; and thus the war might end, at laft, with great detriment to him, by feparating from him those friends and allies whom he had laboured to gain, and perhaps by the confifcation of all the territories he held of the crown of France. But there was still a further reafon, which added to the foregoing, might poslibly turn the scale in this deliberation. Louis had no iffue male : his daughters by Eleanor were virtually illegitimated by her divorce : his prefent queen had not bred for three years past : if he should happen to die without a fon, the princefs Margaret, espouled to the young prince of England, would be heirefs to his kingdom in the courfe of descent. Whether the Salick law, or the ancient cuftoms of the French nation, would bar that right of fuccession, and give a preference to the uncle before the daughter, was a queftion not v.p. Daniel yet decided, and more likely to receive its deter-Hitteire de mination from the arms of those who were interested 2nn. 1138. in the difpute, than from the opinions of lawyers. When fo great a portion of France, as the dutchy of Aquitaine, was allowed to defeend to a wo-H 4. man.

man, and to be governed by her hufband, that precedent might be naturally extended to the whole; especially, as the husband of Margaret, being heir to fo many territories within that realm, might well be regarded as a Frenchman. The great power and intereft, which Henry had there, with the whole force of England to affift him in the contest, might very probably get the better of all opposition from her uncles, and enable that prince to make his fon and daughter-in-law king and queen of France. There was fomething in this idea very flattering to a mind fo ambitious as his: but to give it any folidity, it was neceffary to avoid, with all possible care, whatever might alarm or offend the French, and above all things to be cautious, that no opportunity fhould be given to Robert earl of Dreux, the king's brother, to put himfelf at the head of any confiderable party, and get the government of the kingdom into his hands. Now, if Louis should be taken prisoner, that earl would probably be made regent, and in that fituation it would not be difficult for him, finding his countrymen exasperated and incensed against Henry, to bring the nation to fettle the fucceflion on him, in cafe of the death of Louis without a fon. This confideration therefore, together with those beforementioned, determined Henry to reject the counfel of Becket, specious and tempting as it was. V.G Camb. For, though we are told by fome writers, it was a et Bromp-ton's Chron. faying of his, That the whole world is no more than sufficient for one great man, the schemes he pursued to promote his greatnefs were always guided by the fober dictates of policy and prudence. Not even the advice of a favourite, whole opinion had the higheft authority with him, could induce him to facrifice a right plan of conduct to the triumph of a day; but, notwithstanding the great vivacity and warmth of his temper, he had patience to wait for that glory, which is the certain but flow refult

p. 1044.

refult of a series of wise, systematical measures. Inftead therefore of haftening to lay fiege to Touloufe, while Louis remained in that city, he declared his refolution, that, out of respect to the per-fon of that king, he would not besiege it. But against 1. ii. c. 10. all the territories of Earl Raymond, except his Dicet. Imag. Hilt. fub capital only, he held himfelf at liberty to make ann. 1159. war, and made it, with all his ufual alacrity : fo <sup>Chr. Bromp.</sup> that in lefs than three months he conquered the <sup>Chr. Norm.</sup> greater part of the earldom of Touloufe, and took p. 995, 996, 997. Cahors, the capital of the Quercy, with many other caftles and ftrong places. Nor did Louis oppole him in any of these enterprizes, contenting himfelf with fecuring the city of Touloufe, first by his own prefence there, and afterwards by a numerous body of forces, which he brought into it and left there, befides repairing and augmenting V. Fitz. Stethe fortifications. But his brothers, the earl of phen in vita Dreux and the bishop of Beauvais, had, by his or-Johan. in ders made fome ravages on the frontiers of Nor-Quadrilogo, mandy. At the fame time Henry fent home the V. Neubrig. earl of Blois, to attack the royal domain in the citatos ut parts about Orleans; which obliging the king to fupra. provide for the defence of that country, he could not act very powerfully, against the dutchy of Normandy, or in aid of Earl Raymond. No exploit of great importance was done on that fide by either party, through the whole course of the fummer, v. Fitz-Steor during the months of August and September : then in with but about the beginning of October, Henry, hav-S T. Cantu. ing repaired the fortifications of Cahors, to cover Quadrilogo, and fecure his conquests in Languedoc, commit- c. 9, 10. ted it to the custody of his chancellor Becket, and I. ii. c. 10. leaving his allies, the earls of Barcelona, Monpel-Dicet Imag. Hift.fub ann. lier, and Nifmes, to continue the war in the earl- 1159. dom of Touloufe, returned with the main body of Chr. Bromp. his own troops into Normandy; from whence, af- Chro. Norm. ter he had given some repose to his foldiers, he 9 995, 990, made an incursion into the Beauvoisis, took Gerberoi,

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

beroi, a ftrong fortrefs, and burnt it to the ground. excepting one tower, which the flame and fmoke of the buildings, that had been fired round about it, hindered his men from approaching. He alfo deftroyed many villages and farms of that country, in revenge of the cruel devastations, which the bithop of Beauvais had made on the borders of Normandy.

Thus were his arms in all places victorious: but, while he was carrying on thefe warlike operations, he gained no lefs by intrigues. For, in confequence of a fecret treaty, concluded with Simon de Montfort, earl of Evereux, he prevailed upon that lord to receive Norman garrifons into three of his towns, Montfort l'Amauri, Epernon, and Rochefort; by which he entirely cut off the communication of Paris with Estampes and with Orleans. This was an advantage of great confequence! Louis, who felt himfelf extremely diftreffed by it, and perhaps was touched with the extraordinary mark of respect, which Henry had shewn him, inclined to peace; an inclination, the latter was ever disposed to comply with, for the reasons abovementioned, and more especially at this time, when the feafon of the year made it necessary for him to draw his forces, which had been greatly fatigued, into winter quarters. A truce was therefore concluded, which was to last from Chriftmas till eight days after Whitfunday; and in the mean while negociations for peace were carried on with fuccels. Becket was, undoubtedly, v. Fitz-Ste- the chief negociator on the part of King Henry, S. F. Canto. whofe favour he had gained more abfolutely than ever, by great fervices in this war, not only as a counfellor, but as a foldier and a leader. For he brought into the field feven hundred knights, all of his own houshold. And it must be observed that every one of these was attended by a fquire. The writers of Becket's life affirm, that a great number of barons and knights of England did homage

106

et Johann. in Quadrilogo,

c. 9, 10.

#### OF KING HENRY II. Book II.

mage to him, which he received with a referve of their fealty to the king, and thereupon gave them his protection and patronage. They also tell us, that many noblemen not only of England, but of the neighbouring countries, fent their children to be educated, and trained to chivalry, in his family, and under his difcipline. It is no wonder, therefore, that he was able to lead fo numerous a band to this expedition; and we are affured, they were v. auctores efteemed the braveft foldiers in all the king's army, citat. ut fucharging first and daring most in every engagement. Nor was the chancellour himfelf lefs forward than they. When the king went into Normandy, he was left in the Quercy, to defend Cahors and the other conquests made in that province; but he did more: he took by ftorm, at the head of his troops, three caftles in those parts, which were accounted impregnable, and for that reason had been left unattempted by Henry. He alfo paffed the Garonne, and made inroads into the earldom of Touloufe on the other fide of the river. After performing these fervices, he left his houfhold forces to garrifon the forts he had taken, as well as those which the king had committed to his cuftody, and rejoined that prince in Normandy : but he did not go thither unattended : for he hired at his own charges twelve hundred knights, and four thousand stipendiaries of an inferior degree, to ferve under him there forty days. The knights not only received from him a very liberal pay, but were conftantly fed at his expence, and many of them at his table. During this part of his warfare, he engaged, in fingle combat, Engelran de Trie, a French knight, very famous for his valour, difmounted him with his lance, and gained his horfe, which he led off in great triumph. It was not very decent for an archdeacon of Canterbury to diftinguish himself by such exploits. The canons of the church were strong against it; but those canons were difregarded by many of the bishops : and

and Becket had fo paffionate a defire of glory, that he fought it in all ways, and among all forts of perfons. Befides, he knew that the king's temper would incline that prince to effeem and love him the more for this military merit; a fympathy of character being the ftrongest bond of affection. And, had he been only of use to his master in the cabinet, another might, in the field, have acquired fuch an influence, as he could not afterwards have removed.

From the conclusion of the truce in December eleven hundred and fifty nine, till May the next year, nothing of confequence was done, either by Chro. Norm. Louis or Henry: but in that month they concluded a treaty of peace, the terms of which were advantageous and honourable to Henry: for he retained all his conquests, except fome towns and See the trea. caftles in Languedoc, which he reftored to his ally ty in the AI- the earl of Nimes, from whom they had been unjuftly and violently taken by the earl of Touloufe. All that had belonged to the earldom of Poitou, and all its rights were confirmed to him, except the city of Toulouse, and fo much of that province as he had not yet fubdued : nor did he relinquish his claim even to thefe, but only granted to the earl a truce of one year; and it is exprest in the treaty, that this concession was made out of affection to Louis, and with a faving of Henry's honour (by which I understand the homage due from the earl) and of his own rights and those of his heirs and Chro. Norm. fucceffors. Thus did he gain the greater part of the territories which before the war had been enjoyed by the earl of Touloufe; and he had good reafon to hope, that time would enable him to acquire the remainder. The earl of Evreux was fecured, by an article of the treaty, against any effects of the refentment of Louis on account of the affiftance he had given to Henry, and certain rights, which he claimed, were flipulated for him, Some

of

P 997. Neubrig. l. il. 'c. 10.

pendix.

p. 996.

See the treaty in the Appendix.

of the other confederates, and even those who were vaffals to Henry, were left at full liberty to continue the war against the earl of Toulouse; only it was agreed, that they fhould receive no aff.ftance from the former, till the expiration of the truce which he had made with the earl. There was moreover another part of this treaty very beneficial to that king. For he was empowered by it to take poffession of the whole Norman Vexin, with Gifors and the other caffles belonging thereunto, in three years from the next feaft of the virgin Mary's Affumption, for the use and benefit of his fon, as a marriage portion given to him with the daughter of Louis. And even within that time if the prince of England should espouse the faid princes, with the confent of the church, the faid province and caftles were to be delivered to Henry for the use of his fon. Three great fiels of the Norman Vexin were alfo fecured to that monarch by this treaty, even if the princefs should die before the term there affigned; in which cafe it was agreed that the reft of the province should be reftored to her father. The caftles, in the mean while, were to remain in the cuftody of the Knights Templars, according to the tenor of the former convention, which had been concluded by Becket, when the match was agreed upon, in the year eleven hundred and fifty eight. These stipulations opened to Henry a much nearer prospect of obtaining the Vexin, than he had by that convention, befides the ceflion made to him of the three fiefs above-mentioned, in all events. For it might well have been doubted, whether the ceremony of an espoulal, before the parties were of an age to confummate the marriage, would be fufficient to authorize the delivery of that province into his hands, according to the intention of the former agreement. And, if he had been to wait for it till the prince and princefs were marriageable, the delay would have been much longer than the term

term of three years prefcribed by this treaty. Whereas he had now a clear right even to fhorten that term. Upon the whole there was no caufe for his being much discontented with the issue of the war, though he had not gained all that he propofed to himfelf when first he undertook it. The charge indeed had been great, but there is reafon to believe, that it did not diminish his treasures, having been fupplied by the fcutage which he levied in England and his other dominions. It is ofervable. that the first mention we meet with in history of this impofition on knights-fees, which became afterwards very frequent, is upon this occafion. Henry the Second appears to have been the inventor of it: at least he was the first who brought it into England. It was a commutation for the duty of perfonal fervice in foreign wars; and those upon whom it was charged contributed then to the expence of fuch wars, in much the fame manner as landholders do now, but with lefs inequality. The inferior military tenants were eafed, by being freed from the obligation of following their lords a great way from their homes, according to the original condition of their tenures; and the fervice was better done, by the foldiers hired with the money which this imposition produced; because they were not entitled, like those for whom they ferved, to a difcharge at the end of forty days, nor were they fo intractable to martial discipline, as most of the others. Mercenary forces were thus introduced into the armies of England, defigned to ferve abroad, inftead of vaffals by knight-fervice, though fill connected with, and dependent on the military tenures; and there feems to have been an abfolute necessity for it, to answer the exigence of the many foreign wars which the English were engaged in after the entrance of the Normans, and especially under the family of the Plantagenets; the feudal militia being fitter for the defence of the kingdom, than

than for expeditions into countries remote from their dwellings.

The fcutage levied in England for the war of See note on Touloufe was a hundred and fourfcore thousand money. pounds; which, computing the quantity of filver contained in those pounds; and the value thereof in those days, compared with the prefent, is equal to two millions feven hundred thousand pounds fterling. Yet, confidering the diffance of Toulouse from England, the liberty of paying this fum, instead of going thither, was a very great ease to the military tenants.

It was, I prefume, with the advice and confent of the parliament, which Henry held at Worcefter before he fet out on this enterprife, that he made this alteration in the terms of knight-fervice, which was continued for many centuries after his reign. He never neglected to confult with that affembly on proper occasions, and this was most proper : nor can we reafonably fuppofe that he would ftrain his prerogative, to introduce fuch a novelty without their concurrence, when he might be certain to obtain it with a general fatisfaction. It may be therefore prefumed that a parliamentary fanction was given, in the abovementioned council, to this new method of commuting for the duty of foreign fervice, and to the payment of fuch a commutation for this particular war : but it feems that the affeffment was then left to the king : whereas we find it declared, by the charter of King John, that fcutages ought to be affeft by the tenants in chief of the crown affembled in parliament. The reafon of this alteration was, I fuppofe, the oppressions, which, under the government of that prince and of Richard the First, their tenants had fuffered by arbitrary affefiments. But those made by this king are referred to in the charters of Henry the Third, as the beft rule to be followed.

During

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

Hoveden, pars ii. fub ann 1159. Chio. Norm. p. 996.

During the course of the war with the earl of Touloufe, as Henry returned out of Languedoc into Normandy, William de Blois, who with the other barons of his realm, had ferved him in that enterprife, fell fick and died. The only one of the late king's legitimate offspring, that now remained alive, was his daughter Mary, a nun, and abbefs of Rumfey in Hampshire. It feemed to be the interest of Henry to let her continue in this state, that the lawful pofterity of Stephen might be wholly extinct: which would more abfolutely fecure the houfe of Plantagenet against the possibility of any dispute, in times to come, concerning their right to the crown ; but views of prefent advantage inclined him to overlook this confideration. Of all the potentates on the continent, except the king of France, there was none who could benefit or hurt him fo much, as his uncle, the earl of Flanders. He had discharged with great fidelity the truft repofed in him, as guardian of Flanders, and of Philip, the earl's eldeft fon, during the time that the earl remained in the Eaft. This was unqueftionably a most endearing obligation conferred on those princes : yet he wished to oblige them still more, by extending his favours to Philip's younger brother, who wanted an eftablishment greater than the appanage his father could give him. Nothing appeared to proper for him as the earldom of Boulogne, which lying contiguous to his father's dominions, and being very confiderable in it's commerce and maritime power, would add not a little to the ftrength of the family, as well as advance his own fortune. This province indeed was a fief of the earldom of Flanders; but the earl could not give it in any other manner than according to the eftablished rule of fuccession; and his fon had no title to it, unlefs he gained one by a marriage with the daughter of Stephen. The lady herfelf was defirous of quitting the veil, either having taken it againft

### II2

against her will, or finding by experience that vows of celibacy are kept with more difficulty than they are made. The ecclefiaftical laws opposed her inclinations : but princes might, on fome occasions, dispense with those laws; and the death of her brother without iffue had fo effentially altered her circumstances, from what they had been at the time when the engaged in a monastick life, that the might now, with good reason, and no appearance of levity, retract that engagement. The papal power could release her, and to that she would certainly have applied for relief; but Pope Adrian having Diceto, fut died a little before the decease of her brother, in the year eleven hundred and fifty nine, a double election had caufed a fchifm, which was yet undecided. It was by no means adviseable to them, fub wait till the end of it; for fome prince of the two Norms. house of Blois would before that time have made in the second good his claim to the earldom. This Henry fear- Quadril 22 ed, and moreover he was glad of fuch an oppor-Becket. tunity to ferve the two families of Flanders and of Blois. He therefore confented that the lady fhould be stolen from her convent, and conveyed out of England; which was accordingly done, and the marriage was confummated in the month of May of the year eleven hundred and fixty. Becket oppoled it, on account of the fcandal and offence to religion; in which inftance, and in that alone, he appears to have acted upon the fame principles, while he was chancellour, as he afterwards did, when archbishop of Canterbury. But his opposition was fruitlefs: for though he was first in Henry's favour, the mind of that king was too great and royal, to let his judgment be fubjected to the authority of a fervant. Nor did he fee any reason for his being more scrupulous in such an affair than his uncle the earl of Flanders, who certainly did not oppose, but, in all probability, defired and follicited this match for his fon, VOL. II. F. though

113

. I. TLomæ

though he was renowned for his piety above any prince of that age.

Chro. Norm. p. 939. lub ] ana. 1163.

In confequence of the decease of William of Blois, Henry had alfo the means of making an ample provision for Hamelin, his natural brother, by marrying him to the widow of that prince, who was daughter to William of Warren. She brought to her fecond hufband the earldom of Surrey, with all the other honours and poffeffions of her father in England and Normandy: poffessions fo great, that, without alarming the jealoufy of the crown, they could not have been added to the wealth of any other noble family; especially, as the lady to whom they had defcended, was very nearly allied in blood to the kings of France and of Scotland. It was therefore, not only from affection to his brother, but from the maxims of good policy and reason of state, that Henry interested himself in this match.

He had but just accommodated his guarrel with Louis about Touloufe, when the attention of both of them was called to a bufinefs, which divided the whole Latin church, the double election of the cardinals Octavian and Orlando to the Roman pontificate. A great majority of the facred college had voted for Orlando, who took the name of Alexander the Third; but yet his election was liable to many objections. Octavian, who called himv. Radevic. felf Victor the Fourth, had the protection of the de reb. gestis emperor Frederick the First, furnamed Barbarossa. Frederic. I. Imperat. I. ii. For what reasons he had it we are told in a letter P. 318 ad 328 from the bishop of Bamburg to the archbishop of Saltzburg. "It appeared (fays the former prelate) ad 335. Act. Alexan. and Baron that, before the election, Orlando himfelf, and the cardinals of his party, had confpired with the king

of Sicily and other enemies of the empire; having V. Radev. ut even bound themfelves with an oath, which feemed fupra, l. ii. c. 71. very repugnant to the found Chriftian doctrine, in-Idem ibidem afmuch as it abfolved the fubjects of the emperor c. 52. from

from their oaths of fidelity, and forbad all perfons to pay him any obedience." We find, by another letter, written about the fame time, that they took this oath in the prefence of Adrian the Fourth, a little before his decease, and also fwore, that, whenever the fee fhould become vacant, they would not elect any pope, except one of their party, and who should be under the fame engagements. Well, therefore, might Frederick incline to difpute the election of Orlando, and favour his adverfary; especially as the latter had been always of the imperial faction. Many emperors of Germany, his predeceffors, had not only exercifed a right of V. Luiterand confirming, but even of electing, or nominating, the c. a. bishops of Rome. In the year of our Lord nine hundred and fixty three, Otho the First obliged the Roman people and Pope Leo the Eighth to yield to him that privilege, which was conftantly maintained by his fon and his grandfon, though not without occafioning many tumults and feditions. After the death of the latter the imperial authority diminish-ed in Rome, and the people refumed the election vir. Benedic. of the popes together with the clergy, till, moft in- IX. et Greg. tolerable diforders and fcandals arifing from the Frifing. I. vi. ill use they were found to make of their power, ad ann. p. the emperor Henry the Third, furnamed the Black, Onuphrins took it from them again, and nominated fucceffive- in chronico. ly four popes, who were Germans. But, during in Nem. the minority of his fon, Henry the Fourth, Nicho- of beneficiary las the Second, encroaching on the prerogative of matters, c. that prince, made a new conftitution, whereby the 23. cardinal bishops were first to confult about the election of a pope, then to call in the cardinal priefts, and, thirdly, the inferior clergy and the people of Rome for their confent, faving the bonour and reverence due to the emperor. These last words preferved indeed to the emperor the right of confirmation; though not fo explicitly as he might have defired : but Alexander the Second having been chosen

I 2

Book II

chosen according to this conftitution, Henry, in order to fignify his refentment thereof, refused to confirm that election, and named to the papacy the bilhop of Parma, upon the recommendation of Gerard his chancellor. Neverthelefs on the death of that minifter, about three years afterwards, he confented to depose the bishop of Parma and acknowledge Pope Alexander, who made him a moft ungrateful return for that favour. But Gregory the Seventh, fucceeding to the papacy after the decease of that pontiff, not only attempted to take Paul of bene- from the emperors all fhare what foever in the elections of popes, but in those of all other clergymen; judging that he should better be able to fupport the claim of his fee, by making it the general cause of the church. This contest continued. during more than half a century, under fix pontiffs, who maintained it, not only with their fpiritual weapons, but by exciting the most horrid rebellions and treafons, and arming the fon againft the father, as well as the fubject against the fovereign. Nor were the emperors eafily vanquished in a quarrel of fuch importance. Near fourfcore battles were fought, in defence of their authority, by Henry the Fourth and Henry the Fifth, before the agreement of the latter with Pope Calixtus the Second, in the year eleven hundred and twenty A. Abb. Uf. two: and even that was made with fuch temperaments, as preferved to him fome of his ancient prerogatives in all elections of bishops, except those Father Paul, of the popes; but from them he and his fucceffors were after this time entirely excluded. And, in confequence of a quarrel between Innocent the Second and the people of Rome, that pontiff deprived these also of the right of election.

> The emperour Frederick Barbaroffa, one of the greatest and bravest that ever had ascended the imperial throne, was now ftruggling to affert fo much of the power his predecessors had loft, as, in the extraordinary

See Father ficiary matters, c. 23, 24.

pergenf. in Chon. fub ann. 1122. c. 24. Onuphr. Annot. ad vit. Innocent.

traordinary cafe of a double election, to give the preference to that cardinal who was of his party, against one who was openly leagued with his enemies. He did not pretend any right to determine Radev. ut this caufe by his own fingle authority, knowing that <sup>fup. c. 54</sup>, the times would not bear it; but called a general AR. Alexan. council at Pavia, to which he invited the bihops, "Fud Baron. not only of Germany and of Italy, but of all Europe, and cited to it both popes, with the cardinals of each party. Victor obeyed, but Alexander re-fused; denying that the emperour had power to call a council without his confent, or to fummon him to appear in his prefence, as if he had any authority over him. " Chrift (he faid) had given to St. "Peter and his fucceflors the privilege of judging " all caufes wherein the church was concerned ; " which right the fee of Rome had always pre-" ferved, and had never fubmitted to any other "judgment." This was not only begging the question in dispute, that he was the rightful fucceffor of St. Peter, but arrogating to his fee fuch prerogatives, as all hiftory contradicted no lefs than the gofpel, and fuch as had , never been acknowledged by any emperour. Befides, it was evident, that, if these pretensions were admitted, it would be imposfible to end a schism between two popes; fince each might equally plead this privilege of exemption from all other judgment, and would be fure to pass fentence in favour of himself. But as Victor came, and fubmitted his cause to the council, it gave a reasonable prejudice in his behalf: his adverfary was cenfured as guilty of contumacy; and, after a proper examination of witneffes, he was declared to have been duly elected. Frederick took care to prevent any objection againft this decision, on account of it's being made by the fecular power; for he confined the examination and judgment of the caufe to the ecclefiafticks alone.

I 3

There

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book IT.

V Radevic. C. Kd. 551 50.71 Act. Alexan. apud Baron.

There were prefent in the council about fifty bifhops, belides a great number of abbots and other dignified clergymen; but all Italians, or fubjects of the empire. The kings of Bohemia and Denmark, with almost all the princes of the empire, attended in perfon, and fubfcribed to the determination in favour of Victor. The king of Hungary declared his affent to it by his embafadors. The kings of France and of England had alfo minifters in the council : but the former of these refuled to engage himfelf any further, than not to acknowledge either Alexander, or Victor, as pope, till he should receive a fuller information of the merits of the caufe by embaffadors from the emperour; and the latter declared, that in this, and all other affairs, his conduct fhould be conformable to that of the king of France. Louis, before the council was affembled, had paid him the fame compliment with regard to this queftion : and indeed it was for their mutual intereft not to difagree on fuch a point; as their difference would have produced a fchifm in France, which must have been very troublefome and hurtful to both. The French monarch was ftrongly urged to determine for Alexander, by all the power that his queen, who was zealous for that pontif, had over his mind, and by Alexan epift. the perfualions of much the major part of his clergy. 17. apud Du-cheine, t. iv. whofe inclinations he was always difpored rather to follow than lead. A jealouty of encreating the greatness of the emperour, by giving him a pope devoted to his interefts, might have also some share in prejudicing the judgement of this prince and his fubjects against any evidence on the fide of Victor. But the young earl of Champagne, who had much credit with him, and was related to Victor, kept him, fome time, in fulpence. Henry had received very early impressions in favour of Alexander, from the bishop of Lisieux, a man of V. Arou'ph. excellent parts, and one whole counfels he chiefly epift.adAlex. listened

listened to, in ecclesiastical matters. Nevertheless the regard he owed to the emperour, his friend and ally, made him defirous to proceed with great referve, and a decent flew of deliberation, in this affair. Nor would he act therein without the entire concurrence of Louis, whole irrefolution continued feveral months. During this interval the archbishop of Canterbury, pressed him most vehemently to acknowledge Pope Alexander, by fe- v. Joan Saveral letters, which, being fick at that time, he rif epift 44. wrote by the hand of John of Salifbury, his fecretary, who afterwards became very buly and factious in all the ecclefiaftical affairs of this reign. But no follicitations, or importunities, even from his beft friends, could drive the king to precipitate his measures, in a matter of this delicate nature. He prudently reftrained the zeal of that prelate till he had conferred with the chancellour of the empire, who, immediately after the diffolution of the council of Pavia, in the month of February of this year eleven hundred and fixty, had been fent to him and the king of France, to acquaint them with the reafons upon which that council had acted in acknowledging Victor, and endeavour to obtain their concurrence. The embaffador came, and Chron. Nor. was patiently heard by both kings, but prevailed 997. upon neither. As foon as Henry had concluded the peace with Louis, he founded his inclinations with regard to this question, and helped to fix them in behalf of Alexander. I shall hereafter give fome v. Petri Blereasons why he ought rather to have affifted the fentis epift. earl of Champagne in ferving Victor. But being ad Celef. III. Papam, 144drawn in by the torrent, which run very ftrong the other way, both in England and his French dominions, he used his utmost endeavours to induce the king of France to make the fame choice: of which he had foon afterwards great caufe to repent.

119

48.63.

I 4

It

It was privately agreed between the two kings, that, as a foundation for them to proceed upon, in deciding this dispute, each should separately take the fense of the clergy within his own territories : and a council was accordingly held by Louis at Beauvais; Henry at the fame time holding one at his town of Neufmarch in Normandy, by both which affemblies Alexander's election was fuppofed to be good. The fentiments of the Gallican church having been thus declared for that pontif, Henry empowered the archbishop of Canterbury to call a council in England, and fend him their opinion on the merits of the question. Theobald obeyed very joyfully; and, though we are told, that fome of the Eng-V Joan. Sa- lift clergy, particularly the biftops of Durham and rib.epift 44. Winchefter, inclined to Victor, yet they thought 59. Ejuflem ep- it adviseable to concur with their brethren in favouring Alexander, the king's dispetition to give him the preference being well underflood. The " epide 64. words of the primate, in his letter to Henry on this occasion, are remarkable. He favs that the " council had not paffed any judgment upon the " matter proposed to them, nor had they decreed " any thing about it in prejudice to the majefty of " the crown; as it would have been contrary to their " duty to do fo: but they had lawfully and dutifully " given that advice which he had required of them " by his royal mandate." From hence it may be inferred, that, in the commission which the king had fent to this prelate, care had been taken to fecure his royal prerogative against any encroachment on the part of the clergy, though he graciously condefcended to afk their advice : and, confidering the pretentions of the church in that age, an archbishop of Canterbury's acknowledging this right of

> was a great inftance of moderation. But though the kings of France and England, by these national fynods, had enabled themselves to alledge

the crown, in terms lo explicit and lo full of respect,

ift. 64, 65.

alledge the fenfe of their clergy, in answer to the emperour's follicitations in favour of Victor, they thought it expedient, before they would finally and abfolutely declare their own refolutions, to hear what the legates, fent by both the competitors, lableus, t.x. who were ordered to attend them in a more folemn council. p. and more general council, which was to affemble at Neubrigent. Touloufe, could fay on the fubject. The legates Pere Daniel arrived there in November this year; but, from hift de Fran. feveral incidents intervening, the council was not <sup>p. 407</sup>. held till fome time in the autumn of the following epift. 431. t. iv. year, eleven hundred and fixty one. Louis and Henry, with the embassadors of the emperour and of all the Spanish kings, were then prefent in it, before whom the caufe was debated by the legates on either fide; and the cardinal of Pavia, deputed by Alexander, pleaded for him fo well, that the council unanmioufly confirmed his election. It muft, however, be confessed, that this cardinal's eloquence was heard with as favourable ears by his audience, as the harangue of Victor had been by the council of Pavia; and all these grave deliberations really meant nothing more, than to furnish the princes who were at the head of each party with a plaufible appearance of being convinced of what they were before determined to believe. The emperour, with v. Othon. the whole empire, and all the northern kings, con-Merena in tinued unmoved in their attachment to Victor, for chronico. whom they procured a decree of another general council, affembled at Lodi in opposition to this of Touloufe. And both thefe meetings concluded with thundering out fentences of excommunication against the pope of the other faction and all his adherents. Nothing can exceed the rancour and bitternefs, which appears in many of the letters written during those times, by clergymen and monks of either party, against their opponents; and they were but too powerful to infpire the fame passions into the laity, whole conficiences they directed with an

HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II. an abfolute dominion. This fchilm was followed by a long war in Italy, between the emperour and the adherents of Alexander there, which I shall have occafion to fay more of hereafter.

While Louis and Henry were thus bufied in chufing a pope, there had happened other events of great importance, which entirely altered the ftate of their civil affairs. About the end of September in the year eleven hundred and fixty, the queen of France died in child-bed of a fecond daughter. who, furviving her mother, was named Adelais. The lords of the council, much defiring a male heir to the crown, exhorted the king to marry again without delay. He made fo much hafte to comply with their advice and his own inclinations, that, difregarding all decency, in lefs than a fortnight after the death of his wife, he married a fifter of the earl of Champagne. That prince and his brothers, the earls of Biois and Sancerre, were, by means of this alliance, advanced to greater power in the kingdom of France; and as Henry was affured that they were very malevolent to him, though one of them had occafionally confederated with him in the war of Touloufe, it alarmed him to fee them brought fo near to the throne. Indeed the death of Constantia was in many respects unfortunate for him. He had always found her a warm and ufeful friend. The new queen might be an enemy; and, from his knowledge of Louis, he might naturally fear, that a change in the bed of that monarch would be followed by a change in his council. These confiderations affected him with no little un-Chro. Norm. eafinefs. The peace concluded in May had not been ratified till October, a few days before this marriage was celebrated. On that occasion the prince of England did homage to the king of France for the dutchy of Normandy; which feems to imply that a ceffion had been actually made, or at least an intention declared by Henry at this time.

P. 997.

and confirmed by this act, of refigning to him those territories when he should be of full age. Proba\_ bly, Louis, whofe daughter he was to marry, might defire this ceilion; as the heir to the crown of England had not in those days any principality, dukedom, or other royal appenage, assigned to him in that kingdom. And perhaps fome difpute upon this matter was the caule, that the ratification of the peace had fo long been delayed, though we do not find any mention thereof in the treaty. However this may have been, it looked unfavourable to the concord, reftored at this meeting, that Henry departed from thence, without feeing the celebration of the king's nuptials; a ceremony, which he would undoubtedly have graced with his prefence, if his diflike of the match had not got the better of his ufual complaifance, and made him fhew the court of France a little too plainly, that he could not forget the dead queen fo foon as her hufband.

Upon his return into Normandy, he judged it adviseable to take such measures, as might secure him against the confequences of that alteration in the dispositions of Louis, which he prudently forefaw from this alliance. To put his fon's marriage with the eldest princess of France beyond all difpute was his first care. A mere verbal contract might possibly be revoked, and the lady demanded back from Robert de Neubourg, jufticiary of Normandy, who had the cuftody of her, if those who governed her father should make him with to difpole of her in a different manner. Henry thought it expedient to guard against this danger, and bind the engagement more indiffolubly by the most folemn fanction : as, befides the hope of future benefits which might arife from this match, he was very defirous, at this juncture, to get the Norman Vexin, with the important caftle of Gifors, and those of Neufle and Neuchatel, into his own hands. By the treaty of peace, which he had concluded with

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book II.

See the treaty in the Appendix.

Diceto Imag. hiftor. fub ann. 1160. Chron. Norm. p 997. Neubrig l.ii. c. 24.

V. Gul. Neubrig. l. ii. c. 24. Hoveden ann. pars poft. f. 282.

See the treaty in the Appendix.

with Louis the year before, he was authorifed to take poffestion of these, if, before the term of three years affigned by that treaty for their being delivered up to him, his fon should espouse the princes with the confent of the church. He therefore applied to the cardinals of Pifa and Pavia, legates from Alexander, who now were with him in Normandy. and prevailed upon them to celebrate the form of a marriage, or publick and folemn efpoufals, between Prince Henry his fon, not yet fix years old, and Margaret of France who was still a younger infant. This ceremony being performed, he demanded the caftles; which were immediately furrendered to him, by the knights templars, into whole cuftody they had been committed. Nor could they withhold them, against the express conditions of the treaty between the two princes. the time when Louis gave his confent to that treaty. he was, in all probability, defirous to accelerate the efpoulas of his daughter with Henry's fon, and thought the immaturity of their age no objection : but the death of her mother and his new marriage having changed his opinion, he was fo unreafonable as to complain of Henry's proceedings, in acting agreeably to their late convention.

If we may believe fome ancient writers, he accufed that monarch of fraud, and the knights templars of breach of truft, and even drove the latter out of his kingdom, for having delivered the caftles to Henry upon this hadow of a marriage. But it is evident that this anger had no foundation. For the words of the treaty, too clear to admit of any doubt, gave Henry a right to take poffession of the caftles, and of the whole Norman Vexin, for the ufe of his fon, at any time after the figning thereof, when the latter should have espoused the daughter of Louis, with the confent of the church. The legates of the pope had given that confent: the knights templars were prefent themfelves at the ceremony : their

their truft was to determine as foon as this was performed; and their honour was engaged to furrender to Henry what then belonged to him, as much as any other part of his territories in France. Nor can the reproach of a difhonourable and fraudulent practife, in this transaction, be reasonably laid on that king. Prudence required him to fecure to his fon a defirable match and the advantages that attended it, in fuch manner as he was impowered, and even invited, to do it, by Louis himfelf, not long before. But though the French monarch had not, in reality, any caufe for refentment on account of this act, the contemporary authors affign no other for his taking up arms against Henry the following year. He was, doubtlefs, incited to it, not by any good arguments, but by the influence which his bride, and the unanimous counfels of her brothers, had over his mind at this time. While, by their inftigations, he was preparing for the war he intended to make at the return of the fpring, those three princes, having drawn their forces together, began to fortify Chaumont, a caftle in the county chron. Norm. of Blois, bordering upon Touraine; from whence F. 997 they proposed to infeft the last-mentioned province, as foon as the king, their mafter, should take the field. But Henry, to whom the intention of their work was no fecret, put himfelf inftantly at the head of a body of troops, which he had kept up to be ready on any emergency, and marched to prevent them from executing their purpole. Before he came to Chaumont, the earls of Champagne and Sancerre had returned home with their forces, leaving their brother, the earl of Blois, to compleat the fortifications : but he alfo, upon intelligence of Henry's approach, which he did not expect, thought it prudent to retire. That king, whose celerity in his military operations made him always fuccefsful, found the works fo unfinished, and the garrifon of the caffle fo unable to defend it, that it was yielded

ed to him without the trouble of a fiege : and immediately given up to one of his vaffals, named Hugh d'Amboife, who claimed it, as a fief that belonged to his family, and who bore a mortal hatred against the earl of Blois, because that prince had occafioned the death of his father by an unjuft and fevere imprisonment. Then, having added fome new defences to the caffies of Frettevalle and Amboife, Henry returned into Normandy, and put that whole dutchy into a flate of fecurity, by repairing and encreasing the fortifications of almost all his caftles, but particularly of Gifors, and building a new fortreis upon the banks of the Eure. He alio garrifoned those of some noblemen, whose fidelity he fulpected, with his own troops; as he had a right to do by the cuftoms and laws of France.

But though his principal care was to provide for the fafety of his territories on that continent, in cale of a war, he did not neglect the works of peace. Even while he was erecting thefe fortifications, he built a royal palace in the neighbourhood of Rouen, and an hospital for lepers near Caen, which the Norman chronicle ftyles a wonderful building, on account, I fuppofe, of the beauty of it's architecture, or it's spacious extent. The leprofy raged, at this time, very violently, in most parts of Europe, being imported from Palestine by the pilgrimages made thither, or from Syria and Ægypt by the crufades; and fuch edifices were neceilary to receive the infected, who were cut off from lociety with all other men. No charity therefore could better become a king than this, which gave all the comfort their condition would admit to the most unhappy of his fubjects, and fecured the reft from the contagion of fo loathfome a diftemper. Henry was also a benefactor to some religious houses, both in France and in England; for which he deferves the honour due to pious intentions.

Soon

Buok II.

Soon after Easter, in the year eleven hundred and Chron. fixty-one, Louis attempted to attack the Norman 997, 998. Vexin: but Henry had fo ftrengthened every part of that district, that his enemy found it impracticable to make any fiege, and foon retired to the frontier of his own country. The king of England purfued him; and the two armies being often in fight of each other, a battle was daily expected. But the reputation of Henry's arms made Louis unwilling to run that hazard; nor, when that menarch avoided, did Henry feek it, having more to lofe, if he fhould be defeated, than to gain by a victory. He had done enough to prevent the imputation of fear being caft on his prudence; and it was agreeable to every principle that governed his conduct. to make up a quarrel with the fovereign of his foreign dominions, as foon as he could with honour. He therefore was not difpleafed that good offices of mediation were employed by fome common friends to both parties; in confequence of which, about midfummer, a truce was agreed upon between him Chro. Norm. and Louis. The first use that he made of it was to P. 998. go and fupprefs a rebellion in Aquitaine, which had broken out during the war on the borders of Normandy, on a fuppofition that his arms would have been longer detained in those parts of the kingdom. But that hope was now fruftrated : in lefs than two months he vanquished all the rebels, and recovered whatever he had loft in those provinces, either by treafon, or force; particularly the fortreis of Chastillon above Agen, upon the river Garonne, which, though nature and art had concurred to render it ftrong, he took in five or fix days, to the great aftonishment and terror of the Gafcons.

The science of engineering must certainly have been poffeft by this prince, or by those employed under him, in a high degree of perfection; as we find

find he hardly ever befieged any place without reducing it fooner than his enemies had expected.

All being fubdued and quiet in Aquitaine, he performed nothing more of any importance this year, except prefiding together with Louis at the council of Touloufe, an account of which has been given. Their meeting in that city may be regarded as a proof, that no great animolity continued between them, or between the king of England and the earl of Touloufe.

In the fpring of the year eleven hundred and fixty two, Pope Alexander landed on the coaft of Provence. He had been driven out of Rome by the faction of Victor, and had taken refuge in Campa-AG. A'eran nia, under the protection of William king of Sicily : but, all the roads to that province being infefted by the foldiers of the opposite party, his friends and adherents could have no access to him : which made him refolve to depart from thence, and HugoPictive go into France, where he might act as fupreme pontif without molestation. Indeed that kingdom had been long the ordinary refuge of popes in diftrefs; the policy of the French nation inclining their princes to abet all the enemies of the imperial power. As the passage was not fafe for Alexander Epit victo by land, he went by fea, and, touching at Genoa risalLudiv and fome other places, arrived at Montpellier, where he propoled to relide, foon after Easter. But a great change had been made in the dispositions of Louis with relation to him, by the arts of the queen of France and the earl of Champagne. Being related to Victor, and friends to the emperour, they were defirous, if possible, to draw the king off from the part he had taken with Alexander; and they fo far prevailed, that he was perfuaded to receive an agent from Victor, with an epiftle, in which that pontif, on the encouragement they had given, ventured to express very confident hopes of his favour. This letter is dated in February; and before

ap. Baron. fub ann. 1162. Pagi T. iv. fub eodem anno. T. iv p. 4:4. & feq. Epilt, Fred. Imperatoris 50, 52, 53, 51. ap. Duchelne T. iv. 56. ib'dem.

before Easter Louis sent the earl of Champagne his embaffador extraordinary to the imperial court, upon a propofal made to him, from the emperour, Frederick, by the mouth of this earl, that, in order to reftore the peace of the church, they fhould hold another council in the town of Avignon; where, after impartially rehearing the caufe, both popes being prefent, they fhould either agree to acknowledge one of them, and thereby end the fchifm, or depose them both, and elect another. I do not believe that, in making this offer to Louis, the emperour really intended to give up, or bring in queftion, the election of Victor : but it was a lure by which he tried to induce the king of France to call a new council; hoping that Alexander would refuse to appear before this affembly, with the fame contumacy, as he had before rejected the citation to the council of Pavia; and that Louis would thereupon be irritated against him, and more favourably disposed to listen to the arguments in favour of Victor. The fcheme was well laid and very fkilfully managed by the earl of Champagne. He reprefented to pathetically, and with fuch an air of pious zeal, the manifold evils which attended this fchifm, and how meritorious it would be to reftore peace and union to the catholick church, that, with the help of his fifter, whofe charms very powerfully aided his eloquence, he obtained from Louis a commission to go to the emperour and treat on this matter. Alexander, at his landing, received intelligence from his friends of these transactions, and heard that the earl was fet out on his embaffy. His furprize and indignation at fo fudden a change, which was likely to prove of fuch ill confequence to him, were equally ftrong. After the councils of Beauvais and Toulouse, he had never entertained the least apprehensions, that his right to the papacy would again be controverted in France, or that he fhould be in danger of finding an enemy where he VOL. II. K expected

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

citat ut lup.

v. auctores expected a protector. While he was full of unet epiftolas, eafinefs and difguft, two ecclefiafticks, of whom the higheft in dignity was only an abbot, were fent from Louis, to compliment him, in the name of that prince, upon his arrival in France. He received them very coldly : at which the king was fo offended, that, in the heat of his anger, he immediately difpatched the bifhop of Orleans, to carry a letter to the earl of Champagne, in which he faid, that he repented his having unadvifedly acknowledged Pope Alexander and rejected Victor. He likewife impowered that minister to confent in his name to call a new council, as Frederick had propofed, and gave him entire liberty to fettle all points relating thereto, with a general affurance of ftanding to every thing that he fhould advife. Nothing could be more welcome to the earl than this letter. Having fuch ample diferetionary powers, and fo convincing a proof, under the hand of the king, of his beginning to incline to the party of Victor, he foon agreed with the emperour, who then was at Pavia, that he and Louis should meet on the borders of Burgundy, at the town of St. Jean de Laone, between Dijon and Dole, as more convenient to both than Avignon, and fhould bring with them to that meeting the princes, the nobles, and chief ecclefiafticks of the empire and France, to re-examine the merits of the caufe between Alexander and Victor, who should both be prefent there and plead for themfelves. This affembly was to be held on the banks of the Saone, near the abovementioned town, in the year eleven hundred and fifty two. A certain number of the most approved knights and ecclesiafticks were to be chosen out of both parties, to judge of the election; and, if they gave fentence in favour of Alexander, the emperour promifed to throw himfelf at his feet; but, if in favour of Victor, the French monarch was bound, by the promife of his minister, to pay the

the fame mark of veneration to him. And, in cafe of a refulal from the king to fland to that promife, the earl of Champagne pledged himfelf, by an oath to the emperour, that he would transfer his feudal homage from Louis to him, and hold of him all the fiefs which he then held of that prince. This kind of guarrantee was very frequently given, by the feudatories of those days, to the treaties of fovereigns. But it is observable, that, in this agreement, there was no mention made of deposing both the popes and electing a third, which undoubtedly had been thrown out with no other intention than to induce the king of France to hold the council. The earl now affured himfelf, that, as the inclinations of that prince were averted from Alexander, he should eafily, by his influence over most of the knights, who were to be affociated in the judgment of this caufe with the ecclefiafticks, procure a fentence for Victor. And in fome letters which the emperour v. Enifed. wrote on this fubject he express a great confidence, 53, 54, ut that this council would end in the reception of Victor: nay; in one he affirmed, that Louis had, by his minister, engaged to receive him. There is y. Epit. so. also an epiftle from that pontif himself, dated the eighth of the kalends of July, by which it appears, that two agents were fent by him to Louis, in the character of nuncios or legates, about this time. Alexander had therefore more reafon to be v. Epift. 55. alarmed than ever before, and found it neceffary to ufe his utmost endeayours to footh that king, and regain his favour. He had still in the French court some powerful friends, particularly one of the brothers of Louis, who had lately been translated from the bishoprick of Beauvais to the metropolitan fee of Rheims: yet he could not prevail, by any mediation, to hinder Louis from keeping the promife he had made to a prince of fuch dignity and power as the emperour, who, he knew, would not bear to be trifled with in a manner injurious to his honour. K 2 He

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

V. Hugon. Pictaven. anud Ducheine ; et Act Alexan. ut fupra V. etium Pari ut fu-011.

132

He therefore fet out, to go to the place appointed for their meeting. Alexander who had removed in June from Montpellier to Clermont in Auvergne, ap, Baron.um went to meet him on his road, at the priory of Souvigny, in the province of Bourbon. There they conferred, and Louis vehemently preffed him to go to the council. He pleaded apprehenfions of danger to his perfon from the power of the emperour : nor would he be fatisfied with any fecurities offered by the king; who, at last growing angry, faid it was very furprifing, that one who was confcious of the justice of his caufe should avoid to be prefent at hearing the teftimonies of his own innocence. Neverthelefs Alexander continued inflexible, "becaufe " (fays Baronius) it feemed an indignity, and con-" trary to the decrees of the fathers of the church, " that the most holy pontif, and the supreme fee, " fhould fubmit to be judged by any human au-" thority."

The earl of Champagne had forefeen, and counted upon this, in the plan which he had formed for the fervice of Victor. After a conference of two days, Alexander would yield to nothing more, than to fend fome of his cardinals with Louis to the council, not to plead his caufe, but only to declare his unqueftionable right in the face of the world. There was much dignity in this conduct: but he run a great rifk, and might have been ruined by it, if fortune and the king of England had not been his friends. The latter was used very ill by the king of France in this bufinefs. He had agreed with that prince in acknowledging Alexander; whofe right had been folemnly judged, and unanimoufly approved of, in a council held by them both: nor does it appear that the defign of rejudging it now, before another council, had been either concerted with Henry, or communicated to him, except by a general notice, given to all the vaffals

Book II.

vaffals of France, that fuch a council was fummoned. For these reasons he neither intended to go himfelf thither, nor did he fend to it any of his barons or bifhops. Alexander knew this, and it greatly encouraged him not to comply with the defire of Louis. When that king arrived at Dijor, the earl of Champagne met him there, and informed him diffinctly of what was flipulated in the treaty with the emperour. He exprest great refentment at the earl's having engaged him fo far to that prince, denying that he had given him any authority for it. The earl appealed to the bifhop of Orleans, who not daring to make a politive answer, he then produced to Louis his own letter. Against the strength of this evidence the king, it feems, had nothing to reply : but, being diffreffed by the obstinacy of Alexander, and yet unwilling to renounce him, (for the discourses of that pontif had made no little impression upon him) he would have been glad to free himfelf by difavowing his minister. This necessarily occasioned a good deal of heat and ill temper on either fide, which turned very much to Alexander's advantage: for, in proportion as Louis was displeased with the earl, he grew more averse to Victor. When the day which had been fixed for the conference came, the emperour and that pontif appeared upon the bridge of St. Jean de Laone, which was the boundary that feparated the Imperial from the French dominions ; but finding neither Louis nor Alexander there, and understanding that the latter had refolved not to come, they prefently returned to the emperour's camp, with bitter complaints that the king had broken his faith. After their departure Louis came, and proposed to some deputies, left to confer with him, a prolongation of the time afligned in the convention for holding the council; because the v. audiores terms of the agreement made in his name had not citat. ut for. been properly explained to him till the preceding

K 3

day ;

day; and it would be indecent to conclude fo haftily an affair of fuch moment. The deputies had no power to grant this prolongation; but, the next morning, in the palace of the duke of Burgundy, where he lodged, the earl of Champagne declared to him, that, as the covenant made with the emperour had not been fulfilled, he thought himfelf bound, by the oath which he had taken, to transfer his homage, and all the fiefs he held in France, to that prince : but, he had obtained from his Imperial Majefty a delay of three weeks on these conditions, that the king should engage, and give hoftages to the emperour, that he would come on the day appointed and bring with him Alexander ; and moreover, that he would hear the caufe of both parties, and acquiesce in the judgment of those good men of the Empire and of France, to whom the decision of it should be referred, or else deliver himfelf up at Befançon a prifoner to the emperour.

Thefe were hard terms: but Louis was not in circumstances to refuse or dispute them. For, befides the damage he would have brought on himfelf and his kingdom, by lofing the homage and feudal territories of the earl of Champagne, he was afraid that the emperour fhould declare war againft him, and break into Burgundy, by a fudden attack, which he was very ill able at that time to refift. For he had brought with him many bifhops, but few barons or knights : whereas, all the nobility of the empire had attended the emperour's fummons, and, under the name of a council, composed in reality a most formidable army. The king therefore was conftrained to yield to all the conditions which Frederick had prefcribed, and gave for his hoftages the duke of Burgundy, the earl of Flanders, and the earl of Nevers. He now feemed neceffitated, either to concur with the council in acknowledging Victor, if they fhould decide for that

that pontif, which appeared hardly doubtful, or expose his realm to fuch calamities as might even intimidate the zeal of a bigot. But, before the time came for his meeting the emperour, and holding the council, a letter from Alexander revived his fpirits. That pontif, whom he had informed of the treaty he had made, and the obligation he was under of bringing him to the council at the end of three weeks, immediately applied to the archbishop of York and the two Norman bishops of Lifieux and Evreux, whom Henry had fent to attend him, and befought them to employ all their V. Duchefn. credit with that prince, in his behalf, at this crifis. epift. 50. No peace being yet made, and the behaviour of Louis having been for fome months very unfriendly towards him, Henry had not difbanded his army. Alexander implored him to advance with that army, as fast as he could, towards Dijon, and by a timely affiftance deliver his liege lord, and the pope he had acknowledged, from being oppreft by the force of the emperour. Inftead of liftening to the voice of refentment, which might have perfuaded him to leave the king of France under the difficulties he had brought on himfelf, by acting feparately from him and against his opinion, he gladly embraced the occasion of ferving that monarch and recovering his affection, while, at the fame time, he laid the highest obligation imaginable upon Alexander, whole caufe he had efpouled. Accordingly he marched with the utmost expedition, taking his road through Berry, where Alexander then relided. When he was come within the diftance of two or three days from Dijon, he fent forwards fome of his fervants, to notify his approach, and defired that pontif to difpatch them to Louis, with the ftrongeft affurances of his readinefs to expose himfelf to all dangers, for the honour and fervice of that monarch. Alexander immediately tent them to Dijon, with a letter to Louis K 4. ex-

136 ut supra.

V. Epift. 50 exhorting him to receive them as their meffage deferved, to thank their mafter for fo feafonable and fo affectionate an offer, and, without delay, to accept it. They found him difpoled to follow this advice with most entire fatisfaction. The harfh and offenfive ulage he had received from the emperour made him confider that prince as an enemy, who meant injurioufly to obtrude a falfe pope upon him, by force of arms. He therefore preffed the king of England, who alone could preferve him from the terror of that force, to haften to his fuccour. While this negociation was on foot, there began to be a famine in the emperour's camp; the country about it not furnishing provisions fufficient v. auctores for fuch a number of perfons during fo long a time. and no magazines having been formed to fupply them, as he did not expect that the business, upon which he brought them thither, would have been fo delayed. This, together with the intelligence of Henry's approach, made him take a refolution to return into Germany without meeting the king of France or holding the council. We are told that. in order to vindicate his intended departure, he fent his chancellour, the archbishop of Cologne, to fay from him to Louis, that it belonged to no prelates, but those of the holy Roman empire, to judge of the election of a bishop of Rome; and confequently the king and clergy of France had only a right to be prefent and hear their decision. Or (as another contemporary author relates it) the archbishop denied, that the emperour had ever obliged himfelf to admit any partners, in judging a caufe which concerned the church of Rome; that fee being wholly under his own jurifdiction. But whatever claim, either the emperour, or the prelates of the empire, might have to an exclusive authority in this matter, Frederick himfelf had given it up, by propofing this council. For he and the empire had before decided the queftion in favour of Victor :

V. auctores

V.A.A.Alex. ap. Baroni.

V. Hug. Pictay, apud Duchesne.

tor; nor was there any occasion to defire the king and prelates of France to affemble a council upon the fame difpute, if they had properly no cognifance of it. Even in the letters that the emperour wrote, to invite foreign bishops to the council of v. Epin. Pavia, he had exprest his intention, that it should Fied ap. be declared in his presence, by their just judgment, which of the two popes had a right to the government of the universal church. If therefore he now claimed an exclusive prerogative to judge for himfelf, or by the prelates of the empire alone, upon the election of a bishop of Rome, he acted in contradiction to all his former conduct, as well as to the engagements he had taken with Louis, through the intervention of his friend, the carl of Champagne. And one can hardly believe that fo wife a prince would have chosen to incur the reproach of fuch inconfistency, when he had to good a reason to excufe his fudden departure, as the famine in his camp. There is a ftrong probability that he quitted the neighbourhood of St. Jean de Laone before the day appointed for holding the council; for, otherwise, he would have had a still better plea, namely, the absence of Alexander, who remained in the monastery of Bourgdieu in Berry, notwithfanding the affurances which Louis had given, that he would bring him to appear before the council : and in that cate the king, not the emperour, would have broken the articles of the compact between them : nor could the former have recovered his hoftages, without yielding up his own perfon in their flead, or joining with the emperour to condemn and depole Alexander, on account of his nonappearance. Perhaps indeed that pontif might have ventured to come under the guard of King Henry: but as it was contrary to his former declarations, it is much more probable that he would have perfitted, in not fubmitting himfelf to the judicature of this affembly. Certain it is, that the retreat

retreat of Frederick and his army extricated both his Holinefs and the king of France from fuch difficulties, as they could hardly have furmounted ; and that retreat was no lefs owing to the king of England's approach, than to the want of provisions in the emperour's camp. Henry, finding that the first news of his being on his march had effectually answered his purpose, advanced no further than Bourgdieu, where Alexander, on whole head he had fixed the triple crown, received him with acknowledgements due to a fervice of fuch mighty importance. Nor was Louis lefs fenfible of his own obligation to him in this affair. He felt it for ftrongly, that it effaced from his mind all the imprefions which had been made against that prince Chro. Norm. by the intrigues of his enemies. They both had foon afterwards a meeting with Alexander at Touci nal fub ann. upon the Loire, where the two kings walking afoot on each fide of his horfe held the reins of his bridle. and led him to a pavilion which was prepared to ecdem anno, receive them; A Spectacle (fays Baronius) to God. angels, and men, fuch as had not yet been feen in the world! It was indeed aftonishing : but the emperour himfelf, by the bigotry of the times, had been compelled to fubmit to a like humiliation. For, at the ceremony of his first reception in Rome, he held the ftirrup of Adrian the Fourth, much against his own will, after a long and very warm dispute with that pontif. It is faid, that having held it on the wrong fide of the horfe, and being admonifhed of his error by the pope, he made anfwer, that his ignorance must be excused, as he had never before done the office of a groom. When the veneration for the papacy was carried fo high, and fuch kind of idolatry was paid to the perfons of the bishops of Rome, even by the greatest princes, W.Baron.An. a ftory, which Baronius has related in his annals under this year, will not feem incredible. He fays, p. 465, 465, that when Alexander made his first entrance in-

to

p. 997. Baronii An-1162. Histoire d' Allemagne tom. v. lub

Eccief. fub

ann. 1162.

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

to Montpellier, among the Chriftian nobility, that attended him on his way, in a folemn procession, there was a Saracen prince or emir, who reverently came up to him, and killed his feet, he being on horfeback; then knelt down before him, and bowing his head adored him as THE HOLY AND GOOD GOD OF THE CHRISTIANS. He does not tell us that Alexander in any manner reproved him for his blasphemous error; but, on the contrary, takes notice, that he shewed him extraordinary kindness; and adds, that all who faw it were filled with great admiration, and applied to the pope the words of the prophet David, All the kings of the earth shall worship bim, and all nations shall ferve bim. Thus, in that age of ignorance and credulity, did fuperstition even deify the bishop of Rome! but it is still a more shocking implety, that a learned cardinal, who lived in the feventeenth century, fhould relate fuch a fact without expressing the leaft difapprobation of it; nay, rather with an air of complacency and applaufe.

During this conference Alexander acted as me- Chro Norm. diator between Louis and Henry, and obtained a P. 958. peace for the latter, without the reflitution of the forts on the river Epte, or any other facrifices made by him to Louis. Gratitude and good humour had entirely expelled from the mind of this monarch all those fentiments of refentment, or political jealoufy, which had engaged him, with more heat, than reason or discretion, in the late war. He now faw the king of England in no other light, than as the deliverer of him and the church from a ftate of captivity: nor was he able to relift the interceflions of one, who ftood, as he imagined, in the place of St. Peter. It was also a great advantage to Henry's affairs in France, that, by means of the late transactions, the earl of Champagne had loft his credit with Louis. And probably Henry might have gained a greater afcendant than ever, over

over the counfels of that king, if he had never quarrelled with the church and Becket. But it will appear by the fequel of this hiftory, that no fenfe of obligation, nor ties of friendthip, could reftrain or mitigate the fury of religious zeal in a bigot fo warm as Louis, who was transported, by the hatred ariting from thence, even to acts of hoftility the most repugnant to morality and natural justice.

Chron.Norm ut lupra.

About this time, Henry received an extraordinary embaffy from the Mahometan king of Valencia and Murcia, with a most splendid prefent, of gold, filk, horfes, camels, and other valuable commodities, the produce of Africk or the East. I find in some of the Spanish historians, that Raymond, earl of Barcelona, and regent of Arragon, affifted this prince against the Miramolin, or chief of the Moors named Almohades, whole arms he had drawn upon himfelf by refuling to pay him the obedience, to which the other Mahometans in Spain had fubmitted. It was the interest of the Christians to support these leffer princes against that great potentate; and therefore Raymond acted wifely in making this league. As his dominions were contiguous to the dutchy of Aquitaine, the king of Valencia might hope to obtain fome advantage, by connecting himfelf also in friendship with Henry, whose alliance, together with that of the Arragonese and the Catalans, would add much to his ftrength in the very difficult war he had to fustain. This, I prefume, was the real motive of this expensive embally; to which the English monarch made a proper and becoming return, by fending him prefents of ftill a greater value, with affurances of a reciprocal regard and efteem : but we are not informed that he gave him either money or troops; nor, indeed, that the embaffadors applied to him directly for any fuch affiftance; the intention of their mafter being only to lay a foundation of amity, on which he might afterwards ground a request of that nature. It Book II.

It is not unlikely, that, in confequence of this intercourfe, a trade might be fettled, between the Moors of Valencia and Murcia, and Henry's French fubjects, efpecially those of Aquitaine: for the wifdom of that prince would naturally teach him, that a treaty of commerce, which might open to his people any new fource of wealth, was equivalent to a conquest. He gained at least this benefit, from the advances made to him by the king of Valencia, that it added to the veneration his fubjects had for him, to fee the prince of a remote and infidel nation thus follicit his friendship. Nothing more affects the minds of the people than a novelty of this kind! and whatever rifes the reputation of a king encreases his power.

During the course of these various affairs in Gerv Chron. France, Henry had loft a very affectionate friend fub anr. 1151 and fervant in England. Theobald, the old archbishop of Canterbury, died in April, eleven hundred and fixty one. We have a letter, which he fent the year before to that monarch, and wherein he v. Johan most pathetically exhorts and implores him to re-Sarith. epift. turn to his kingdom, which wanted and earneftly defired his prefence, "May it pleafe your majefty (fays the good prelate) "to return to your own peculiar people; by which expression he intended to infinuate to him, that the people of England, who had no other fovereign, were better entitled to his affection and care, than the Normans or any of his fubjects in France. And, after having laid before him other reasons of importance, which might induce him not to ftay any longer abroad, he mentions his own defire to fee him again before he died. The expressions he makes use of are very affecting. " My flefh (fays he) is confurned, and " my foul is on the point of departing from my " body; but it still lingers in hope and defire of your " coming. It refuses to hear the call of nature, " nor will it fuffer mine eyes to clofe, till they have 66 had

" had the fatisfaction of beholding your face." Henry had a heart most tenderly fensible to the kindnefs of his friends, and did not think it beneath the dignity of a king to love a faithful fervant. Nor was he ever unmindful of the duty he owed to his fubjects in England : but his new quarrel with Louis and the incidents that arofe with relation to the fchifm between Alexander and Victor. confined him in France against his will; fo that, unhappily, the good archbifhop died without having feen him.

The fee of Canterbury being thus vacant, it was a point of the utmost confequence for the king to confider, whom he fhould raife to that dignity : as he had now a purpole of reftraining the licentioulnefs of his clergy, and bringing them under the coercion of the civil authority, from which the weaknefs of government and the encroachments of the papacy, during the reign of his predeceffor, had fet them free. To render this arduous work lefs difficult to him, he wanted a primate, upon whofe principles and affection he might depend : who was no bigot; who perfectly underflood the rights of the ftate, and would dare to fupport him in afferting them against the immoderate pretenfions of Rome. He thought, that in Becket he faw all these qualities, and, perhaps, only in him: it being no eafy matter to find fuch a perfon among his clergy. Him therefore he refolved to advance to that dignity, at this critical time. Becket himfelf much defired it if we may believe Gilbert Foliot, bishop of London, who in a letter, which v. Epia s. he wrote to him afterwards, on another occasion, Thom.inCo- affirms, " that his eyes were watchfully fixed upon dice Cottoni- « the archbishoprick before Theobald died, and " that he did all he could to fecure it to himfelf Appendix to " on that event." As this prelate then possessed the confidence of the king, he might be affured of this fact from the mouth of that prince; and without

an. Claudius B. See the the next book

out fuch information, or other very ftrong evidence, it is not probable that he would have ventured to charge Becket with it, in fuch politive terms. Some friends of the latter, in their accounts of his life, Heribertus affert indeed, that, when Henry first acquainted him et. Joan. Sarwith his intention to make him archbishop, he gave ifer. in vita that monarch a fair warning, "that it would cer-Quadrilogo. " tainly produce a quarrel between them; becaufe " his confcience would not allow him to fuffer many " things, which he knew the king would require, " and even already prefumed to do, in ecclefiaftical " matters." They add, that, as he forefaw, that, by accepting this offer, he fhould lofe the favour, either of God, or of the king, he would fain have refused it, and was with great difficulty prevailed upon to accept it by the pope's legate. But that any part of this apology for him is true I greatly doubt ; as it stands contradicted by the affirmation of Foliot, which, in this particular is an evidence of far greater credit than the word of Becket himfelf; and as it ill agrees with the methods which were undeniably taken to procure his election; methods he must have known to be very inconfistent V. Johan.ia with the canons of the church and what was then call- Withelmus ed it's freedom. Nay, even these biographers them- in vita S. T. felves acknowledge, that one reason, which induced prack epa. Henry to promote him to Canterbury, was, becaufe be hoped, that, by his means, he fould manage ecclefiafical, as well as secular affairs, to his own satisfaction. Indeed no other rational motive can be found. For, why fhould not that prince, who always confidered propriety and decency in beftowing preferments, have chosen one of his bishops to be placed at the head of the English church, rather than a man not yet in prieft's orders, a courtier, and a foldier? Nothing could incline him to make fo extraordinary and fo exceptionable a choice, which he might be fure would give offence to the body of the clergy, and fcandalize many even of the laity in his kingdom.

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

dom, but a firm confidence, that he should be most usefully affifted by Becket, in the important reformation he meant to undertake. Nor is it credible that he fhould not have revealed his intentions, concerning that affair, to a favourite minister, whom he was accustomed to trust, without referve, in his most fecret counsels. But, if fuch a declaration had been made by that minifter, as the abovementioned hiftorians would have us believe, can we fuppofe that a king fo prudent as Henry would have forced him into a flation, in which he certainly would do him no fervice, but might have it in his power to be exceedingly troublefome, to him? It was, undoubtedly, by quite a different language, that the usual fagacity of this prince was deceived. Nor indeed could the most jealous and penetrating eve have discovered in Becket, before he was elected archbishop of Canterbury, any marks of an enthusiaftick or bigotted zeal : but feveral indications of a contrary temper, and different principles, had appeared in his conduct. I shall mention only two, which are very remarkable. In the third year of this reign a caufe had been tried before the king. Magone Bit concerning the exemption of Battle-abbey in Suffex tute abley in Stiller ; upon which occafion that prelate, to invalidate the charter of William the Conqueror, whereby the exemption in queftion had been granted, afferted that no layman, not even a king, had power to give any ecclefiaftical dignities or privileges to a church; and that none, conferred in fuch a manner, could ever be valid, without the allowance and confirmation of the pope. Henry reprimanded him, with a great deal of fpirit, for advancing this doctrine, faying, that "out of regard to the papal authori-" ty, which was derived from the mere conceffions of " men, he argued against the royal authority, which " was granted by God, in violation of his oath of al-" legiance: for which offence he (the king) expect-

V. Concil.

Book II.

" ed and required him to be ready to answer ac-" cording to law; and called on the whole affembly, " to do juffice against him, as one who endeavour-" ed to deprive his fovereign of the ancient digni-" ties and rights of his crown." This fpeech, which it well became an English monarch to make, but which contained propositions that Rome would have condemned as damnable herefies, Becket feconded and fupported : whereupon the bifhop of Chichefter was forced to recant and alk pardon of the king. In the conclusion, the royal prerogative, and the exemption grounded upon it were confirmed by the whole council, with Becket's concurrence.

Another ftrong inftance, how little of the churchman had appeared in the chancellour, is the offence that he gave to the whole clergy of England, in the bulinels of the fcutage for the war of Touloufe. We are informed, by the abovementioned letter of the bishop of London, that they complained much of that burthen, and imputed its having been laid to heavy upon them to Becket's advice. Not that, in reality, they had caufe to complain: but the doctrines of Rome had taught them to regard all fecular fervices as inconfiftent with their spiritual functions, and they wanted an exemption from all publick charges, efpecially those of a military nature, not only for themfelves, but for their tenants and vaffals. These pretentions had gained ground during the reign of King Stephen, and every zealot for ecclefiaftical liberty continued to maintain them. Even the best of them (for fuch we may reckon the bishop of London) spoke of this imposition as a v. Epiaol, wound to the vitals of the church. Yet Becket did præd.et. not foruple to give that wound, however careful he was afterwards of her fafety. It is very obferva- v. Joan. ble, that even his friend, the archbifhop of Canter- Sarifs. Epift. bury, in one of the letters he wrote to Henry a 49. little before he died, declares to that prince, "that, · being fenfible his end was now approaching, he " had vowed to God, among other things, to pro-" bibit, VOL. II.

" bibit, under pain of excommunication, the exaction

Book II.

Heribeitus in vità Becket.

V. Fpift. S. Thom. lis e Cod. Vatic. Epift. 126. 1. 1.

" of the second aid which his brother the archdeacon " bad imposed on the church." This second aid, I prefume, was only a fecond payment of the fcutage affeffed on the clergy for the war of Touloufe. The archdeacon who imposed it was no other than Becket ; and it would have been an extraordinary circumstance in the history of that prelate, if he had been excommunicated on this account, and afterwards fainted for having opposed the conftitutions of Clarendon. But the old archbishop died, and no regard was paid to his opinion of this matter, either by the king or the chancellour. Perhaps indeed the letter was never fent; for it has neither date, nor fuperscription, except the word Cantuarienfis : yet it evidently fhews the fenfe, which the English clergy had of this imposition, and also, that it was laid upon them by Becket's advice. After fuch testimonies of his zeal to maintain the royal prerogatives, against the exorbitant claims of Rome and the church, it is no wonder that Henry fhould believe him no bigot. And that opinion was unqueftionably, the principal caufe of this unhappy choice, which proved the fource of great difquiet to that monarch and his kingdom. He had lately given a new, and very high mark of his effeem to Becket, by entrusting him with the education of the young prince, his eldeft fon; and he intended that he should still retain this charge, and the great office of chancellour, together with the archbishoprick : fo that all power, civil and ecclefiaftical, preient and future, feemed to be put into the hands of This neceffarily drew upon him a heavy one man. load of envy, which, with the unfuitableness of his general character, and manner of living, to fuch an eminent ecclefiaftical dignity, threw difficulties in his way, that nothing but the force of the royal Edit. Bruxel- authority could remove. It appears from an epifie fent to him afterwards by all the bifhops and clearly

ook II.

of England, that, as far as they durft, they fignified, at this time, their difapprobation of the king's defire to promote him to Canterbury; and that, in fpite of the popularity which he had fo much affected, the whole nation cried out against it. We are also affured by the fame evidence, which can hardly be rejected, that Matilda did her utmost to diffuade her fon from it: But, though, upon other occasions, Henry paid her the greatest respect, he determined to act in this matter by his own judgement; and having taken his part, as he believed, on good reasons, his passions were heated by the opposition he met with, and his affection for his favourite concurred with the pride of royal dignity, to make him adhere to his purpole. Nor was Becket himfelf lefs eager than his mafter in the affair, if we may believe the teftimony of the bifhop of London, who fays, in the letter I have quoted before, that, as foon as the death of archbishop Theobald was known to that minister, he bastened to England, in order to procure the vacant fee for himfelf. Yet he found fuch an unwillingness in the electors, that, notwithstanding all his power, and the addrefs he always shewed in the conduct of business, he was not elected till above a twelvemonth after his Gervale fub predeceffors deceafe. Henry at laft growing im- ann. 1161. Codex Cotpatient of fo long a delay fent over from Normandy torian. epift. his justiciary, Richard de Lucy, to bear his royal 162. mandate to all the monks of Canterbury and fuffragan bishops, that without further deliberation, they should immediately elect his chancellour Becket to be their archbishop. So great a minister, who brought fuch an order from a king, whom no perion in his realm had ever difobeyed, except the Lord Mortimer, whose rebellion had ended so difgracefully to himfelf, could hardly be refifted by ecclefafticks. Yet the bishop of London had the courage to refift him; and (if we may believe what he himfelf avers in his letter to Becket) did not give way, till banifbmen and profeription bad been denninced against him and

L 2

ut supra.

Book II.

and all bis relations, by the justiciary of the kingdom. The fame threats, he tells us, were used to the other electors. All were made to understand, that, if they refused to comply, they would be deemed the king's enemies, and treated, as fuch, with the utmost rigour. " The fword of the king (fays the " abovementioned prelate to Becket) was in your " hand, ready to turn it's edge against any upon " whom you fhould frown; that fword which you had " before plunged into the bowels of your boly mother, " the church." He explains thefe laft words to mean the wound which had been given to the privileges of the church, by the imposition which the chancellour had laid on the clergy for the war of Touloufe; and concludes the fevere remonstrances upon the irregularity of his election with the following words, That if (as he himfelf had afferted in a letter, to which this was an answer) the liberty of the church was the life of the church, he then had left her lifeles. It was indeed a more violent and arbitrary proceeding, than any that had hitherto been known in this reign. For though Henry, ever fince his acceffion to the crown, had maintained the indifputable prerogative of it, not to let any archbishop or bishop be chosen without his recommendation, which the chapters and others concerned had always obeyed : yet still fome appearance of a free election was kept : the electors were influenced rather than compelled ; or, at least, the compulsion, which they were really under, was decently hidden. But in this inftance all the terrors of power were employed without difguife, and even beyond the bounds of juffice. How very defirous Henry was to carry this point appears most strongly from his words to Richard de Lucy, before he fent him to England. He faid to him, "Richard, if I were now lying dead, would you not endeavour to raife my eldeft fon. to the throne ?" And upon his answering that he would, to the utmost of his power, the king replied : Endeavour

Quadrilogo.

#### OF KING HENRY II. Book II.

vour equally to raife my chancellour Becket to the fee of Canterbury. Every objection to his promotion being thus overcome, the prior and monks of Canterbury, with the fuffragan bilhops, in prefence of the young prince, Henry, of Richard de Lucy, Ger. & Dice-to fub ann. and of many of the nobles affembled at Weftmin- 1162. fter, on the third of June, in the year eleven hundred and fixty two, the forty-fourth of Becket's age, elected that minister into the fee of Canterbury: nor did any man dare to oppose it, or express any diflike of what had been done, except Gilbert Foliot, then bishop of Hertford, and prefently afterwards translated to London, who ventured to fay, when the ceremony was over, that the king bad work- Fitz-Stephen ed a miracle, in having, that day, turned a layman and in vitaBecka foldier into an archbishop. After the election, the prince, by a commission from his father, gave the royal affent to it; and then Becket removed from London to Canterbury, where he was confecrated by the bishop of Winchester; the fee of London, to which properly that office belonged, being vacant. Not only the prelates and clergy of the province, but most of the nobility, and the young prince himfelf, attended the ceremony, paying these honours to the favourite as much as to the primate. It is remarkable, that he had taken priest's orders only one day before his confecration.

Prince Henry had been fent to England by his father, that the barons of the realm might do homage to him, as heir apparent. They performed Chro. Norm. that ceremony before the election of Becket, who p. 999. was the first that fwore fealty to him, faving the Diceto Imag. bift fub ann. faith which he owed to the king his father.

About the end of January, in the year eleven hundred and fixty three, that monarch, difengaged from his affairs on the continent, returned into England. The peace of South-Wales had been greatly difturbed in his absence, by the diffatisfaction L 3 and

Heribert, in vita Becket.

1162.p.533.

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book II.

See Dr. Po and courage of Rees ap Gryffyth. After that wer's Welch Chron from prince had fubmitted and laid down his arms, in p. 208, to the year eleven hundred and Cf. the year eleven hundred and fifty feven, he was much difpleafed that the territorics, which had been affigned to him by Henry, did not lie all together. as he had been promifed that they fhould, in the country round about Dynevowr and Carmarthen; but were in different districts, and intermingled with the lands of other lords. The giving them in that manner would have been doubtlefs, good policy, if it could be fo in a king to break his word. But Henry, having thus violated the treaty he had made for the pacification of Wales, did in effect rekindle that flame of war, which he had defired to extinguish by prudent concessions. Rees ap Gryffyth had never been a friend to the English : but this rendered him more their enemy, than if they had continued an open war against him. Yet he suppressed his refentment till he received a further provocation. Walter de Clifford, who had the government of a caftle in Cardiganshire under Roger de Clare earl of Pembroke, having, on fome pretence, made incursions into hislands in that county, he fent a complaint to the king, who returned him only fair words, without redrefs ; at which lofing all patience he boldly took up arms, and, with the affiftance of his nephew Eneon, a young man of great valour, demolished all the caffles of the English in Cardiganshire, which had lately been rebuilt by Roger de Clare, and fubdued the whole province, before any fufficient force could be brought to oppose him. This was an act most offenfive to the king, who had confirmed to the earl of Pembroke the inheritance of this country, which the father of that lord had obtained from Henry the First, and which, having been lost in the reign of Stephen, was, by the late peace, reftored to the family : vet, as he then was engaged abroad in affairs of great moment, and could not be infenfible

321.

fenfible that Rhees had caufe to complain of ill ulage, he permitted him to enjoy the county of Cardigan, as a compensation for what he had an equitable right to in the province of Carmarthen. But either that prince was apprehenfive, that this indulgence was no more than a temporary favour, which he should be deprived of, when the king had leifure to chaftife him; or his ambition was not fatisfied with fo fmall a part of the kingdom that had belonged to his anceftors. For, while Henry was taken up in the war of Touloufe, he led his forces into Pembrokeshire; deftroyed all the caftles lately fortified there by the English, and then laid fiege to the royal town of Carmarthen. But Reginald earl of Cornwall, who in the Welch chronicle is called earl of Briftol, Roger earl of Pembroke, and other English lords, affisted by the fons of Owen Gwyneth, and by his brother Cadwallader, came against him with a great army of Englifh and Welch; at whofe approach he was obliged to raife the fiege, and retire to the mountains of Brecknock. They did not purfue him thither, but contented themfelves with building a caftle on the borders, to ftop his incursions, repairing most of those which he had demolished in Pembrokeshire, and reftoring to the earl of Pembroke the province of Cardigan.

About the beginning of the following year, eleven hundred and fixty, died Madoc ap Meredyth, prince of Powis-land. The Welch chronicle fays of him : " that he had been ever a friend to the king of " England, and was one that feared God and re-" lieved the poor." Henry indeed had great caufe to lament his death : for, by his faithful and loyal fervices, he not only had fecured the marches of England, but had been very inftrumental in bringing the other Welch princes to fubmit to that power, which he, who was defcended from the ancient monarchs of Wales, was not ashamed to obey.

L 4

### HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book II.

fub ann. 1159.

V.Chr. Gerv. bey. Gervale of Canterbury, a contemporary author, fays that Henry, in the war against the earl of Touloufe, was ferved by one of the kings of Wales. If any of them did attend him there, it certainly was this prince, to whom that hiftorian might ftill continue the title which his anceftors had enjoyed. After his death Powis-land, which he had held almost entire, was split into feveral portions by the Welch gavelkind, and never again was united under one prince. His immediate heirs were two fons, the iffue of his marriage with a daughter of Gryffyth ap Conan, and three illegitimate, who fhared equally with the former in the division of . the whole paternal inheritance. But his nephew Owen, the fon of Gryffyth ap Meredyth, ftyled in the Welch chronicle Owen Cyveliock, had a difrict called by that name, which contained near one half of Powis-land, and had been held, during his infancy, by Madoc, as his guardian. The fovereignty of England was acknowledged by all thefe princes; and therefore, when the king returned from France, he did not think it neceffary to vifit those parts; but gave all his attention to the affairs of South-Wales, and the war made againft him ther by Rhees ap Gryffyth, who, remaining unfubdued in the mountains of Brecknock. continually infefted the neighbouring countries. That prince had been much encouraged, or had artfully contrived to encourage his people, by prophecies published in Wales and England, pretending to foretel, that Henry would never return to his kingdom. His arrival indeed put an end to that delution, but not to the obstinacy of their re-Welch Chro. volt, till he raifed a great army, and advanced with it himfelf to Pencadyr near Brecknock, where Rhees, being unsupported by the other Welch princes, and finding himfelf unable to refift fo formidable a power, came to him and made his fubmillions, upon which he was pardoned, and, renewing

V. Diceto Imag. hid. fub van. II63.

fes ann. 2153.

newing his homage, received the whole Cantreff Mawr, a large part of Carmarthenshire, in which was Dynevowr, the royal feat of his anceftors. kings of South-Wales, agreeably to the articles of the peace he had made in the year eleven hundred and fifty feven. But all Cardiganshire was left in the hands of the earl of Pembroke. Henry, having thus reftored the tranquillity of South-Wales. without any blood-fhed, returned from thence into England, and held his court in great pomp at Woodftock, his favourite palace; where Malcolm king of Scotland, Owen Gwyneth, and Rhees ap Diceto Imag. Gryffyth, with all the other inferior princes and ann. 1163. chief lords of Wales, attended his fummons, and paid their homage, both to him and his eldeft fon. as heir to his kingdom.

Some monarchs, great in war, or while they are Aruggling with the ftorms of adverfity, fink, in tranquillity, into an effeminate and negligent indolence, which feems to unnerve all the vigour of their minds. But Henry Plantagenet was not one of these, Peace did not lay his virtues asleep: it only gave them a different exercise. His courage and magnanimity were then exerted in correcting the abuies of government, and bringing the ftate of the whole kingdom as near to perfection as the times would permit. How far he had gone, before, in this arduous work, the reader has feen. But a wife prince will never think of endeavouring to reform all evils at once; much lefs fuch as are covered under respectable names. Where he has not only faction but prejudice to contend with, he will proceed with great caution, wait for proper feasons, and be fure. by other trials, that his authority is too ftrong to be easily baffled. Nay, he will be patient till he has brought the voice of the publick to declare itfelf loudly in favour of the reformation he meditates. Henry did thus, with regard to the independency

HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

pendency on the civil power, which, in Stephen's reign, the English clergy had arrogated to themfelves, and still continued to claim. But before I enter upon this fubject, I think it will be proper to give fome account of him in those parts of his character, which make us acquainted with the man as well as the king. I shall also delineate a short fketch of the cuftoms and manners of the nation. and endeavour to fupply whatfoever is wanting for the information of the reader, in the civil and political state of the kingdom.

The perfon of Henry was malculine and robuft, excelling rather in ftrength of limbs and dignity of operaejus, et aspect, than in delicate or exact proportions of beauty. Yet his features were good; and, when his mind was ferene, there was in his eyes a great fweetnefs; but, when he was angry, they feemed to sparkle with fire, and dart out flashes of lightning, fays Peter of Blois, in a defcription he gives of him to the archbishop of Palermo. This passionate temper, which shewed itself in his countenance by fuch visible marks, was his greatest imperfection: for, upon any fudden provocation, he could not command the first motions of his rage, though at other times he poffessed an extraordinary degree of prudence and judgment. Nevertheless this infirmity never betrayed him into furious or cruel actions; but only broke out in words or geftures; nor did his anger long continue; and, when he was cool, his disposition and behaviour were gentle and humane. He was tenderly compassionate to all perfons in diffrefs; and his good acconomy feemed to be chiefly employed in providing an ample fund for his charity and bounty. Befides what he laid out in acts of munificence occasionally done, fome of which were the greatest we read of in our history, he affigned the tenth part of the provisions of his houshold, to be constantly given in daily alms to the poor. His treafures were ever open to all men of

V.Petri Eletenfis epift. 66. inter in Appendice.

of merit; but he was particularly liberal in his prefents to ftrangers, who came to vifit his court : as many did from all the nations in Europe, drawn by his fame, which was every where high and illustrious. Giraldus Cambrenfis, a writer of confide- V.G. Camb. rable note in those days, speaks of him with some Hibero. exdegree of cenfure on this account; as if his having been fo lavish to foreigners was a detriment to his fervants and domeftick attendants, who were better entitled to his gifts. But very little regard is due to that author in what he fays against Henry, towards whom he was fowered, not only by his prejudices as an ecclefiaftick, but by having been difappointed in his hopes of promotion to the fee of St. David's, which I shall have occasion to fay more of hereafter. His malignity appears very ftrong in this inftance : for furely that prince deferved no blame, but rather much commendation, for this part of his conduct. A generous hospitality is not the least of royal virtues. It does honour to a nation, and is attended with many political benefits: for guefts, who have been obliged by favours conferred upon them in a foreign court, return home the partizans and friends of that court, and often ferve it more usefully than its own ministers. Nor can there be a more fhameful weakness in a king, than the allowing his courtiers to confider his wealth as a part of their property. Henry was too wife to encourage fuch a notion. He did not fuffer those about him to confine either his purfe or his ear to themfelves. As his own judgment directed the course of his bounty, fo his affability extended itself even to the meaneft of his fubjects : infomuch that his ministers must have found it a very difficult matter to conceal from him any truth, which it was useful for him to know. But, though his ears were always open to information or complaint, his heart was thut againft calumny : nor did any good fervant, through the whole course of his long

Book II.

long reign, fuffer any lofs of favour or credit, by the fecret whilpers of malice, or the vain and groundlets clamour of popular rumours. He was to conftant in his friendships and chose his minifters with fuch differention, that not one of those whom he principally trufted was ever difgraced : except only Becket, who rather quitted, than loft. the place he had gained in his heart. The perfons who are most steady in their attachments are generally most apt, to retain their averfions ; and I find it observed in the character of this prince, that whom he once hated he could hardly be perfuaded to admit any more to a share of his favour ; but it does not appear that he ever hated without a fufficient caufe. With what a generous clemency he pardoned rebellions, and other offences committed against himseif, some remarkable instances have already been given, and more will occur in the latter parts of this hiftory: but there is one which it is proper to take notice of here, as it will not fall in with the feries of events related in the following books.

V.G. Camb. part ii. p. 427. in Anglià facrà.

Some gentleman of his court being accufed, in his prefence, of having, at the fuggeftion of the bifhop of Worcefter, talked of him indecently and to his difhonour, they did not deny the words which were laid to their charge, but alledged that they were fpoken when their minds were heated and difordered with wine. On this apology, he difmiffed them all without any punifhment, and retained no unkindnefs towards them or the bifhop : an admirable proof of true magnanimity, and fuch as is found in few princes! for even the beft are fometimes more angry at any liberty taken with their perfons, than at an act of high treafon against their crown. But Henry's good nature got the better of his pride; and he was fo wife as to know, that his character would gain more by this moderation, than it could fuffer by any injurious afperfions

V. Petri Blef.

epift. ut

fupra.

fions. Nor would he encourage the baseness and malignity of informers, who endeavour to recommend themselves to the favour of a prince, by bringing to his ear the unweighed expressions of men in their hours of freedom : a practice as pernicious to the quiet of the fovereign as to the fecurity of the fubject. Henry's behaviour on this cccafion effectually delivered his court from that peft, and rendered the air of it pure and healthful to liberty.

Of the piety of this prince we have a remarkable teftimony from William Fitz-Stephen, a contemporary writer of Becket's life. He tells us, that the king would fometimes watch with the monks of Merton-abbey three nights before Eafter : and that, after the evening fervice on Good Friday, he was accustomed to spend the remainder of the night, till the hour of nine, when the fervice of Eafter eve begins, in walking on foot, and muffled up in a cowl, with only one companion, to vifit all the poor churches in the neighbourhood, and perform his devotions in them. The ferious fense of religion, which these practices feem to indicate, however tinctured with a degree of innocent fuperstition, deferves great praise; and more efpecially in a monarch, who with fo much fpirit opposed the encroachments of the church on the temporal rights of the ftate.

No gentleman of that age excelled him in polite- V.G. Camb. nefs, or had a more becoming and agreeable man-Petri Blef. ner of converfing with all who approached him. epift. ut His wit was very lively, but neither petulant, nor ill-natured : fo that it made him no enemies, nor ever let down the dignity of his character. He had alfo the advantage of a wonderful memory, and a great flow of natural eloquence; which happy endowments he improved by a continual application to learning. For he was not content (as princes ufually are) with the rudiments acquired in his childhood ;

fupra.

childhood; but conftantly employed a great part of his leifure in fecret fludy, or in affemblies of clergymen, with whom he delighted to reason and hear their opinions, on points of literature and V.P. B'efen. fcience. His daily fchool (fays Peter of Blois) was the conversation of the most learned men, and a kind of academical difcussion of questions.

With his intimate friends he lived in the moft V. Fitz Ste- gracious and eafy familiarity, particularly with Becket, to whole house and table he would frequently come uninvited and unexpected. After they had finished their serious affairs, they played together (fays a writer of Becket's like) like two boys of the fame age. The king's good humour feems indeed to have been fometimes too playful, in the eve of the publick. But the notions of decorum were not in those times fo high and rigid as now : nor could the military life, then led by our monarchs, be rendered confistent with all that pride of royal state, which the forms of a fettled court are thought to require. Indeed any king may fafely and amiably diveft himfelf of his majefty, in hours of recreation, if he knows how to keep it up, on proper occasions; and if those companions, whom he chuses to unbend himself with, are neither fo mean, nor fo vicious, as by their intimacy to difhonour and leffen his character. Henry fported with his chancellour, and with the nobility of his court : but it does not appear that he ever contaminated himfelf with the low fociety of buffoons, or any of those who find access to the leifure hours of princes, by ministring to their vices, or foothing their follies.

> His favourite diversion was hunting; in which he followed the cuftoms of his anceftors, and more especially of the Normans, who took a pride in this exercife, as indicating a manly temper of mind, and forming the body to the toils and hardships of war. We are told by his fecretary, Peter of Blois, that

ut Supra.

S.T. Canthar.

158

V. Epifol. et lupra. -

that when he was not reading, or at council, he had always in his hands a fword, or a hunting fpear, or a bow and arrows. The hunting spear was used against wild boars, which were then in our forests, and adding greatly to the danger added alfo to the honour of this recreation. Henry role by break of day, purfued the chace till evening with unabated ardour, and when he came home, though all his fervants were tired with following him, he would not fit down; but was always on his feet, except at his meals, which he usually made very fhort. Even while he was confulting on business with his minifters, he ftood, or walked. Thus he kept down a disposition to corpulency which would have otherwife incommoded him, and preferved the alacrity of youth to old age. From the continual habit of exercise he was so indefatigable, that he would perform in one day (if occafion required it) a journey of three or four to an ordinary traveller; by which expedition he often came unexpectedly upon his enemies, difconcerted the meafures that were taking against him, and crushed the first motions to rebellion or fedition, even in the most distant parts of all the feveral states that were under his government. The frequent progreffes he made about England have already been mentioned. They were very beneficial to his people; the execution of the laws, the good order of cities, the improvement of agriculture, manufactures, and trade, being thus under his own immediate infpection. He was the foul of his kingdom, pervading every part of it, and animating the whole with his active vivacity. Nor were his cares for the publick interrupted by luxury, or the powers of his mind difordered and enfeebled by excess. He was conftantly fober and often abstemious both in eating and drinking. His table was frugal, his diet plain, and in his drefs he affected the utmost fimplicity, difliking all ornaments, which might encumber

cumber him and hinder his exercife, or fhew an effeminate regard to his perfon. Yet this did not proceed from inattention to women. He was but too fenfible of the power of their attractions, and too defirous to pleafe them, even to the end of his life.

His first mistrels was Rolamond, daughter of Walter de Clifford a baron of Herefordshire, and the most celebrated beauty in England. Their intrigue must have begun in the year eleven hundred and forty nine, during the flort flay he made in the western parts of that kingdom before he went to join the Scotch at Carlifle, and when he was very little more than fixteen years old : for after that time he never was in England till the beginning of the year eleven hundred and fifty three; and it apde vità Gat pears from good evidence, that his younger fon by fidiachiep this lady was almost twenty years old, when he was fierd, t. ii. elected bishop of Lincoln, in the year eleven hun-Dicetaling, dred and feventy three. The eldeft must therefore have been born in the year eleven hundred and fifty; unlefs we fuppofe that his mother followed her lover to France, of which there is not the least intimation in any ancient author. At Henry's return into England, in January eleven hundred and fifty three, he renewed his amour with her, and the muft have brought him her fecond fon during the course of that year. As he was then married, he might, probably, be afraid of Eleanor's jealoufy, and follicitous to hide his intrigue from her knowledge: which he might think ftill more necessary, when the was with him in England, after he came to the crown. And this may have given rife to the romantick tradition, mentioned by Brompton, of his having made a kind of labyrinth, in his palace of Woodftock, to conceal his miftrefs from the fight and vengeance of his queen. But the tale of her having been poifoned in that palace by Eleanor has no foundation. Before her death the retired to the nunnery

VG. Camb. hiftor. fub ann. 1173.

nunnery of Godftow near Oxford; and there fhe died, in what year I cannot find, but it appears See Dug-that it was during the life of her father. Henry nage under beftowed large revenues on the convent; in return Clifford. for which he required, that lamps fhould be kept Hoveden, perpetually burning about the remains of this lady, pars potter. which were placed near the high altar, in a tomb f. 405. feet. covered with filk : but, under the reign of his fuc-20. ceffor, Hugh bishop of Lincoln commanded them to be taken away from thence, as being unworthy of fo holy a place : upon which they were removed to the chapter house of the nunnery, and there interred. It may be queftioned, whether mere piety and zeal against vice excited the bishop to this act. or a defire of making his court to Éleanor, who then governed the kingdom. That Rofamond, after her retreat from the world and her lover, lived the life of a penitent, and died in the communion of the church, I fee no room to doubt : but, if the tender refpect, which Henry paid to her memory, was carried too far, it was (to fay the worft of it) the amiable extravagance of a good heart. One should suppose, that, so long as their connexion continued, he had no other miftrefs. Yet we are told by a writer of Becket's life, that, before the promotion of that prelate to Canterbury, there was at v. wilhelm. Stafford a very handfome girl, with whom Henry in Quadrilog. was faid to cohabit. Pollibly Rolamond might be dead before this intrigue began. Fitz-Stephen, in his account of the beginning of the quarrel between Henry and Becket, mentions a fifter of the earl of Clare and Pembroke, as the greatest beauty in England, and one for whom the king had entertained a pation : but that flie did not yield to his defires may be inferred from the expression made use of by that author. There was one Morgan, prowoft of Beverley, who was faid to be his fon by the wife of Sir Ralph Blewit, or (as others write it) Blower; and was fo proud of his birth, that, ra-VOL. II. M ther

Book II.

Inter bresia regis apud Turrim Lon. de ann. 19. Edw. II.

ther than deny it in the prefence of the pope, he renounced his election to the bishoprick of Durham : but I do not find that he was ever acknowledged by his supposed father : and some authors fay, that his mother was not the wife, but the daughter of Sir Ralph; which is the more probable account, as he was called, not Blewit, but Morgan. It appears from records, that Henry had a natural daughter, named Matilda, whom he made abbefs of Berking, after the death of Becket's fifter. and whole mother's name was Joanna ; but when the was born, or of what family her mother was, is uncertain. I shall have occasion hereafter to mention other instances of his incontinence, and some that produced the most unhappy effects : but in his love for Rofamond, or any other of the abovementioned ladies, there was no other weakness than what is infeparable from the passion itself, irregularly indulged. He never facrificed to them one hour of bulinefs, or fuffered them to meddle in the government of his kingdom. Nor was he lavish in beflowing either honours or riches on their relations or dependants. No worthlefs man ever rofe to power by their favour; no worthy man ever incurred a difgrace at court by their malice. Henry was indeed too frequently a lover ; but he was always a king.

Some curfory obfervations have already been made on the manners of the nation, as they were in those days, but not so particularly as the subject requires. There is a remarkable passage in William of Malmibury upon the different characters of the English and Normans. He fays, that, before the latter had obtained possession of England, learning and religion were brought to so low a state in that kingdom, that most of the clergy could hardly read divine fervice; and, if, happily, any one of them understood grammar, he was admired and wondered

V. Malmíb. f. 57. l. iii. de W. I.

wondered at by the reft as a prodigy. The English nobility were very deficient in the external duties of piety; it being cuftomary among them, even for those who were married, to hear matins and mass faid to them in their bed-chambers, before they were up, and as fast as the priests could possibly hurry them over; inftead of attending divine fervice, with proper folemnity, in churches or chapels. Many of them were guilty of the unnatural inhumanity of felling their female flaves, whom they had kept as their concubines, when they were big with child by them, either to publick proftitution, or to perpetual flavery in foreign lands. They were also universally addicted to drunkenness, and continued over their cups whole days and nights, keeping open house, and spending all the income of their eftates in riotous feafts, where they eat and drank to excefs, without any elegant or magnificent luxury. Their houfes were generally fmall and mean, their garments plain, and fuccinct : they cut their hair fhort, and shaved their faces, except the Idem, f. 56. upper lip; wearing no ornament, but heavy brace- 1.iii. feet.20. lets of gold on their arms, and painted figures, that were burnt into the skin, on some parts of their bodies. The Normans on the contrary (as the fame author informs us) affected great finery and pomp in their cloaths; and were delieate in their food, but without any excess. They spent little in house-keeping, but were very expensive and magnificent in their buildings, making that their chief pride, and introducing a new and better mode of architecture into this island. Nor did they only display this magnificence in their own private houses; but embellished all the kingdom with churches and convents more fplendid and elegant than those of the English. They are also commended, by the abovementioned hiftorian, for establishing here a more decent and more regular form of Mi reli-•• •

Book II.

V. Ufher Antiquitat. ecclef. Brit.

ut supra.

religion : but yet it is certain, that, by admitting new doctrines of popery, to which the Anglo-Saxon church had never affented, they further corrupted the purity of the Christian faith in this island. He v. Malmib. adds, that they were faithful to their liege lords, if they were not ill used; but that, on occasion of the lighteft offence given to them, they broke their allegiance : that being accuftomed to a military life. and hardly knowing how to live without war, they made it with ardour; but, if they could not fucceed by open force, they underflood equally well how to employ both fraud and bribery : whereas the English had only a rash and impetuous valour. He likewife tells us, that the Normans were apt to fell justice; that they were full of emulation, ambition, and envy; that they frequently themfelves oppressed their vallals, but bravely defended them against all others; willingly intermixed with the people they had conquered, and of all nations in the world were the kindeft to foreigners, putting them upon an equal foot with themselves, if they came to fettle among them.

V. Malmíb. Prologum 1. iii. de gestis

Such is the picture drawn by William of Malmfbury of the English and Normans compared and contrasted together: and no writer of those times was better qualified than he to form a true reg. Anglor. judgement of their good and ill qualities, or more impartial between them; for he had very good fenfe, with much knowledge of the world, and was equally related in blood to both nations. Nevertheless the diversity, which he has observed in their manners, did not remain till the times in which he wrote. He tells us himfelf, that the English soon accommodated themfelves to those of the Normans. after they had been forced to fubmit to their government, except in one article, namely, their temperance in eating and drinking; but, inftead of learning that, they communicated to them their own own habits of drunkenness and immoderate feasting, which continued for many ages the national vices of their common posterity.

In weighing the merits of each people, as here defcribed, it will be found that the Normans were greatly fuperiour to the English in politeness and knowledge; and it may therefore be thought, that, by a mixture with them, the latter received fuch improvements, as were a fufficient compensation for the many evils brought upon them in other refpects. It must also be confessed, that, so long as the Anglo-Saxons were mafters of England, that kingdom was of no account in the fyftem of Europe; but grew to have weight and authority on the continent under the government of the Normans, both from the dominions which the princes of that race poffeffed in France, and from their active ambition, which, feconded by the enterprifing and warlike disposition of all their nobility, rendered the Englifh name respected and illustrious abroad. But whether this honour was not purchased too dear, by the loss of that peace, which the fituation of England, especially if united with Scotland and Wales, might have fecured to it under the government and island-policy of the Saxons, may well be difputed. Befides the constant expence of blood and treasure, one great mischief, occasioned by it, was the taking off the attention of many of our kings from the important objects of agriculture, manufactures, and commerce. Yet, on the other hand, it is certain that foreign wars, by exercifing the valour, encrease the ftrength of a nation, which, remaining long unemployed, is very apt to decay, and fink into an infirm and effeminate foftnefs; particularly where the people are much addicted to commerce; the mercantile fpirit prevailing over the military more than is confiftent with the fafety or virtue of a state. To keep up the energy of both these spirits in a proper degree, and without preju M 3

dice

dice to each other, is a very important and very difficult part of political wildom, which has been performed in few governments, either ancient or modern.

The military art, during the times of which I write, was in many particulars the fame with that of the ancient Romans. We are informed by a contemporary German historian, that, in the methods of encamping, and of belieging towns or caltles, the Emperour Frederick Barbaroffa followed their rules. And the hiftories of the holy war, written within the fame age, defcribe the fieges made in Afia, by the English and French, agreeably to those carried on under the discipline of that We have one composed by an Englishman, nation. Geoffry de Vinefauf, that gives a particular relation of the fiege of Acre, or Ptolemais, to which he accompanied King Richard the First. It appears from thence, that the beliegers, among other machines which had been used by the Romans, had moveable towers, built of wood, and of fuch a heighth, that the tops of them overlooked the battlements of the city. They were covered with raw hides, to prevent their being burnt; and had also a network of ropes, which hung before them, and was intended to deaden the violence of the stones, that were thrown against them from the engines of the be-Those engines are called by this author pe-V. P. Daniel fieged. milice Fran- traria, but were the balifta of the ancients : and. according to his account of them, their force was prodigious: they threw ftones of a vaft weight. and were employed by the befiegers to batter the walls, as by the befieged to defend them. He likewife mentions the crofs-bow among the weapons made use of in that siege. It had been introduced Pictav. Guft. into England by William the Conqueror, who greatly availed himfelf of it, at the battle of Haftings : but the fecond Lateran council having forbidden it in wars between Chriftian nations, it was laid afide in

V. Radevic. 1. ii. c. 2. c. 58.

> V. Galf. Vinefauf. Angl. Ricar. reg. iter Hieroful. c. 36.

> > çoife, t. i. p. 62. V. Galf. Vinefauf. ut fupra.

V. Gul. G. Duc. Nor. D. 201.

in this country, during the reigns of King Stephen P. Daniel and of Henry the Second. Nevertheless Richard milice Franthe First, at his return out of Palestine, brought coile, l. vi. it again into France, very fatally for himfelf, as he 3 la Breton was killed foon afterwards by an arrow fhot out of Philippiad. that engine.

The manner of fortifying towns and caffles, as well as the methods both of attack and defence, were still much the fame as had been used by the Romans : but the armies differed much frem those of that people; for their principal ftrength was in the cavalry; whereas, among the Romans, it was in the legions, which were chiefly composed of infantry. And this variation produced others, in the manner of fighting, and of ranging the troops. Yet, upon many occasions, the horsemen difmounted to fight on foot; and this feems to have been done by the English more frequently than by most other nations. The infantry, for the most part, were archers and flingers; nor were there any in the world more excellent at that time than those belonging to this island, the Normans having communicated their skill to the Saxons, and the Welch being famous for ftrength and dexterity in drawing the bow. The offenfive arms of the cavalry were lances and fwords : but they also used battle-axes, and maces of different forts ; and fome fought with ponderous mallets or clubs of iron. I cannot better describe their defensive armour, than by translating the words of a contemporary hiftorian, who has given an account of the manner in which the order of knighthood was conferred on the father of King Henry the Second. " They put him on (fays v. Morach. " that author) an incomparable habergeon, com-hift Gaufid. " pofed of double plates or fcollops of fteel, which Duc. " no arrow or lance could penetrate. They gave den's Titles " him cuifhes, or boots of iron, made equally of Honour. " ftrong. They put gilt fpurs on his feet, and Dan, hift. " hung on his neck a fhield, or buckler, on which de la milice M 4 " lions 1, ei. p. 385.

" lions of gold were painted. On his head they " placed a helmet, which glittered all over with " precious ftones, and was to well forged that no " fword could cleave or pierce it."

This armour, it may be prefumed, was richer than that of ordinary knights, and of more excellent workmanship in the temper of the fteel; but in other respects much the fame. The habergeons, V. Gul. Bi- or coats of mail, were different from the cuiraffes ton, p. 263, used in later times, being formed of double plates of iron, and covering the arms and fhoulders of the knights, as well as their bodies. Under thefe milice Franthey wore other coats, of leather, or of taffety, quilted with wool. The feveral parts of the outward armour were fo artfully joined, that the whole man was defended by it from head to foot, and rendered almost invulnerable, except by contusions, or by the point of a lance or fword running into his eye, through the holes that were left for fight in the vizor of the helmet: but if it happened that the horfe was killed or thrown down, or that the rider was difmounted, he could make but little refiftance. and was either taken prisoner, or flain on the ground with fhort daggers, which were usually worn by the horfemen for that purpofe. It being cuftomary for all who were taken in war to ranfom themfelves with fums of money, which were generally paid to those who took them in proportion to the rank of the captives, good quarter was given.

V. Ord. Vital I. xii. p. 854. See vol. i. p. 137.

There is a remarkable paffage relating to this fubject, in Ordericus Vitalis, a writer contemporary with King Henry the Firft. He tells us, that, in a battle between Louis le Gros and that prince, of which an account has been given in a former part of this work, nine hundred knights were engaged, and only two of them killed : " becaufe " (fays the hiftorian) they were cloathed all over " with iron, and from their fear of God, and the " acquaintance they had contracted by living toge-" ther,

as cited by P. Daniel

hift. de la

çoile, l. vi. P. 384.

" ther, they fpared one another, and rather defired ". to take than kill those who fled." Some battles V. Histoire in Italy, which Machiavel has defcribed, as fought I. vii.p.281, by the mercenary bands of that country, in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, were of the fame kind. But it must be observed, that one of the reafons here given by Ordericus Vitalis, why fo few of the knights, or men at arms, were flain in this action, viz. that they spared one another, out of regard to the acquaintance they had contracted by living together, did not hold in engagements between different nations, that were not fo connected as the French and Normans; nor in civil wars, where the animofity is encreafed, not diminished, by the knowledge which the adverse parties have of each other : and therefore in these we do not find that the battles were fo harmlefs : yet the greateft flaughter was generally made of the foot, who were neither fo well armed for defence as the knights, nor able to pay fo high a price for their ranfoms.

Roger de Hoveden speaks of horses covered with v. Hoveden, armour in the reign of Richard the Frft : but I find feet. 50. no mention thereof in the times of which I write; and that they were not ufually fo armed in the reign of Henry the First, may be proved from an action before related, between Odo de Borleng, and the bar- See vol. i. ons of Normandy, who had revolted against that p 142. prince, in which all the horfes of the rebels were killed by the arrows of the English, though not one of the riders was wounded.

In the above-recited paffage, concerning the arms that were given to Geoffry Plantagenet, when he received the order of knighthood, it is faid, " they brought him a lance of ash armed with " the steel of Poitou, and a fword from the royal " treasure, where it had been laid up from old " times, being the workmanship of Galan, the " most excellent of all fword-smiths, who had exert-" ed in forging it his utmoft art and labour." A **fkilful** 

skilful fwordsmith, was then fo necessary to a warrior, that it is no wonder the name of one who excelled in his profession should be thus recorded in history, and a fword of his making deposited in the treasury of a king. It must be observed, that, in those days, a fuperio: degree of bodily ftrength gave a double advantage : for the ftrongeft knight could wear the heaviest armour; whereby he was better fecured than others against the weapons of an enemy; and at the fame time he could wield the most ponderous weapons, which the armour of others was unable to refift. This advantage was still encreased if his fword was finely tempered, and his defensive arms were rendered more impenetrable by the skill of the armourer in preparing the steel. Thus some extraordinary acts of perfonal valour, which are related in our ancient histories and feem to us quite incredible, may indeed be true. A fingle man, in a narrow pass, may have defended it against a great number of affailants; and the fuccess of a battle may have fometimes been decided by the particular prowels of a few knights, or men at arms. Geoffry de Vinefauf, in his account of the crufade against Saladin, makes the officers of the Turkish forces fay to that prince, in excuse of their having been beaten in an engagement with the English, that they could not burt the enemy, who were not armed as they were, but with impenetrable armour, which yielded to no weapons; so that in affaulting them they seemed to strike against flints. The fame author defcribes the Turks in another part of his book, as being armed very flightly, but bearing a quiver full of arrows, a club fet thick with fharp fpikes, a fword, a light javelin, and a fhort dagger or knife. Yet it appears, from his own relations of feveral battles, that with these weapons they often killed a great number of the Chriftians ; and therefore we must understand the passage before-cited with fome allowance for a degree of exaggeration. We alfo find

C. 22.

# Book IL OF KING HENRY II.

find that the armour of the knights in those days was not always proof against arrows from Welch or English bows. And fuch violent strokes were given with maces and clubs of iron, as no helmets could refift. Befides the heavy cavalry, there was a fort of light-horfe, that only wore an habergeon and fcull-cap of that metal. Some of the infantry had alfo skull-caps and jaquettes of mail, with targets of wood, or light breaft-plates. In was cuftomary for knights to bear their coats of arms Breton. Phil. painted, either upon the rims, or in the middle of p. 263. their fhields; and their helmets were adorned with hift de la. different crefts, which, together with the arms, re- milice Franmained to their families. Some good authors have goife, p. 392. afcribed the origin of this cuftom, from whence the modern fcience of heraldry was derived, to the institution of tilts and tournaments, in the tenth century : but others date it from the crufade under Godfrey of Bouillon, when the confusion arising from fo great a number of noblemen of different nations ferving together made them invent these diffincti-A late ingenious French writer has very justly v. Effai for ons. observed, that wearing fuch enfigns on their shields, la ville de and appropriating them to diffinguish particular Paris. families, could not have been the general practice in Europe, till after the death of William the Conqueror : for, if it had, his fon Robert must have known him by his armour, and could not have ignorantly thrown him to the ground, as hath been related in the book prefixed to this hiftory.

Tilts and tournaments, we are told, were first v. Selden de introduced into Germany by the emperour Henry, Duello. furnamed the Fowler, who died in the year nine hundred and thirty fix; and who, among other ordinances relating to those sports, forbad the admitting of any perion to jouft, who could not prove a nobility of four descents. Soon afterwards they were brought into England by King Edgar; and, in the following century, were eftablished all over France.

V. Gul. le

France. Geoffry de Preuilly, a baron of Anjou, is mentioned, in fome of the hiftories or chronicles of that age, as the first who introduced them into that kingdom: but Father Daniel rather thinks, that milice Fran- he only drew up a code of laws, by which they were regulated; and that those regulations had been fettled by the king and the nobility in their affemblies.

These entertainments are justly called, by some of our ancient historians, military exercises and preludes of war. For they were of very great use to et Hoveden, inftruct the nobility in all the methods of fighting which prevailed at that time, but, especially in the dextrous management of their horfes and lances. They also kept up a martial difposition, and an eager emulation for military glory, in time of peace. But, as they were frequently attended with accidents fatal to the lives of the combatants, Pope Innocent the Second and Eugenius the Third made canons against them, by which all who should die in them were denied Chriftian burial. Yet, notwithstanding the feverity of this prohibition, they continued in France; and a few of them were held under King Stephen in England; but Henry the Second, from the humanity of his nature ; or, perhaps, to shew his respect for the authority of the church, where the intereft of the flate did not abfolutely oppose it, most strictly forbad them. His fons revived the practice of them, efpecially his fucceffor, Richard; whole ardour for them was violent; becaufe no perfon excelled in them more than he himfelf: nor did they entirely ceafe in England till the latter end of the fixteenth century : for, in the year fifteen hundred and feventy two, among other pomps for the entertainment of the duke of Anjou, Queen Elizabeth held a tournament in the Works of Sir tilt-yard at London, where Sir Philip Sidney won and Preface. the prize: and caroufals, another mode of them, but not fo dangerous, continued in ufe under James and

Hift de la goife, l. vi.

V . Neubrig.

See the

P. Sidney

and Charles the First. It must be likewise remarked, that, although tournaments were prohibited by King Henry the Second, the exercises practifed there, and the emulation excited by them, were not intermitted during the course of his reign. A con- See Fitztemporary writer informs us, in giving an account stophen's acof the city of London, that, on every Sunday in London pro-faced to his Lent, the fons of the citizens fallied forth in troops Life of Each from the gates, mounted on war-horfes, and armed et. with fhields and lances, or, inftead of lances, with javelins, the iron of which was taken off, in order to exercife themfelves in a reprefentation and image of war, by mock-fights, and other acts of military contention. He adds too, that many courtiers, from the neighbouring palace, and young gentlemen of noble families, who had not yet been knighted, came to combate with them, on these occasions. It cannot be doubted, that those noblemen, who had been honoured with knighthood, had proper places of exercife, for keeping up their skill in horsemanship, and the dexterity they had acquired in the management of their arms. The abovementioned author fays further, that on every holiday, throughout the whole fummer, it was usual for the young. citizens to go out into the fields, and practife archery, wreftling, throwing of ftones and miffile weapons, with other fuch martial fports. And, during the feftival of Easter, they represented a kind of naval fight on the river Thames.

The most particular and authentick account I have met with of the navies in those days, and alfo of the manner of fighting at fea, is in the beforecited hiftory of Geoffry de Vinefauf. From his difcription it appears, that the fhips of war were all gallies; but he fays, that in his time they had generally no more than two rows of oars : and he adds. that the veffel, which the Romans called Liburna, was then named a galley; being long, narrow, and low-built. To the prow was affixed a piece of wood.

wood, commonly then called a fpur, but by the ancients, a roftrum; which was defigned to ftrike and pierce the fhips of the enemy : but there were alfo leffer gallies, with only one tier of oars : which being fhorter, and therefore moved with greater facility, were fitter for throwing wild-fire, and made use of to that purpose. The same writer has related all the circumstances of a fea-fight, which the Chriftians, who were going to the fiege of Ptolemais, had with the Turks on that coaft. He tells us, that when the fleets were advancing to engage, that of the Christians was drawn up, not in a strait line of battle, but in a crescent or halfinoon; to the intent, that, if the enemy fhould attempt to break in, they might be inclosed in that curve, and confequently overpowered. In the front of the half-moon (that is, at the two ends of the curve) the Chriftians placed their ftrongeft galleys, that they might attack with more alacrity, and better repell the attacks of the enemy. On the upper deck of each galley the foldiers belonging to it was drawn up in a circle, with their bucklers clofely joined; and on the lower deck the rowers fat all together, fo that those who were to fight, and were placed above for that purpole, might have the more room. The action began, on both fides, with a difcharge of their millile weapons : then the Chriftians rowed forwards, as fwiftly as they could, and fhocked the enemy's galleys with the fpurs or beaks of theirs: after which they came to close fighting; the opposite oars were mixed and entangled together; they fixed the galleys to each other by grappling irons thrown out on both fides; and fired the planks with a kind of burning oil, commonly called Greek wild-fire. The account which the fame historian gives of that wild-fire is worth transcribing. His words are thefe: "With a per-" nicious stench and livid stames it confumes even flint " and iron : nor can it be extinguifbed by water : but . . . . . by

174

. 20 1

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

" by sprinkling sand upon it the violence of it may be " abated; and vinegar poured upon it will put it out."

We know of none fuch at prefent. The compolition was first discovered by Callinicus, an architect, who came from Syria to Constantinople; and the Greek emperours, for fome time, kept the fecret to themfelves. Conftantine Porphyrogenitus, v. Monterin his treatife on the administration of the empire, quiev, Cauwhich he dedicated to his fon, advises that prince les de la to answer the barbarians, who should defire him to decad de give them any of the Greek fire, that he was not l'empire Rom. allowed to part with it, because an angel, who gave it to Constantine the Great, commanded bim to refuse it to all other nations. While this advice was adhered to, the wild-fire proved of great use to the defence of the empire; feveral fleets, which came to invade Constantinople, having been burnt and destroyed by it: but it appears by the passage above-quoted, that in the twelfth century the fecret was known to many other nations, and even to the Mahometans. I find alfo that it was used in the attack and defence of towns and caftles.

The Saxon chronicle tells, that King Alfred, to Chron. Saxoppose the invasions of the Danes, ordered a on. fub and. 897. number of thips, or rather galleys, to be built upon a new model, different from those which were uled by that nation, or by the Frifons; being higher than any of theirs, and almost twice as long; better failors, more steady, and more proper for war. Of these some had fixty oars, and others more. Experience shewed that they were superiour to any of those thips, with which the northern corfairs had infected the coafts of England, till this admirable prince, whole genius and application to whatever might conduce to the benefit of the publick inftructed his fubjects in all kinds of uleful knowledge, made this improvement in the naval architecture of the Anglo-Saxons. His fon, and grandfons, after the wife example he had fet them, kept up

V. Flor. Wig. Jub #nn. 938. Hoveden, fub ann. 937.

up very ftrong fleets, which not only protected, but enlarged their dominions. And (if we may believe the accounts of fome ancient hiftorians) his great grandfon Edgar raifed the maritime force of England to fuch a degree, as cannot be paralleled in the hiftory of any other nation. They tell us, that this monarch had three feveral fleets, each of twelve hundred fail, and all ftout fhips, which were stationed to guard the different coafts of his kingdom; and that every year he cruifed in each of these squadrons, so as to make, within that time, the whole tour of the island. If these ships had been built upon the fame model as Alfred's, the number of rowers aboard of them, allowing but one to each oar, would have exceeded two hundred thousand, befides the mariners that were neceffary to manage the fails, and foldiers for battle. But supposing that three in four of them were of a much smaller fize, and carried no more than four and twenty men each, which was the loweft complement of any that we read of in those days, the number is still greater than England, not united either with Scotland or Wales, could poffibly furnish, to be kept, as it is faid these were, in conftant employment. I am therefore furprised that Mr. Selden, in one of his most important and elaclauf. c. 10. borate works, should feem to have given credit to this account, which certainly is exaggerated very far beyond truth: though it is probable that King Edgar had a much ftronger fleet, and more confantly maintained on all the coafts of his kingdom, than most of his predecessions; because we find that he enjoyed a fettled peace, through the whole course of his reign, unmolefted by any of the people of the North, or other foreign ftates. Yet he had not been dead above fix or feven-years, when the naval power of the English was to strangely reduced, or fo ill managed, that a Danish fquadron of feven thips was able to infult fome parts of their

V. Mare

Chron. Six. F.or. Wig. et Malmib. their coaft, and to plunder the town of Southamp- See alfo Sir G. Crooke's ton. Nor did the lofs and difhonour which the na- Argumentia tion had fuftained by this defcent, excite them to the cafe of the fhip-moreftore, or better regulate, their maritime forces. ney, State For, ten years afterwards, Ethelred, or rather those Trials, vol. i. who had the direction of publick bufinefs, during the tender years of that prince, could find no means of delivering the kingdom from these invaders, but by giving them money; for the raifing of which a new tax, called danegeld, was imposed on the people.

The natural effect of this timid measure was to draw on other invalions. They accordingly happened; and more compositions of the fame nature were exacted, each new payment being higher than the foregoing : fo that from ten thousand they came to eight and forty thousand pounds; a great fum in those days! One vigorous effort was indeed made by Ethelred, in the year one thousand and chron. Saz. eight, to free himself and his people from this in- sab ann. famous tribute, by a general tax on all the land of 1008. the kingdom, for the fitting out of a fleet, which might effectually guard it against the Danes. Every three hundred and ten hides of land was charged to furnish a galley of three rows of oars, and every eight hides to provide a coat of mail and a helmet; which armour was for the foldiers, defigned to be employed as marines, aboard of the fleet. This was done with the advice and confent of the parliament, or witena gemote : and the Saxon chro- Chron. Sax. nicle tells us, that the number of fhips built and 1009. equipt the next year, by means of this impolition, was greater than any, that the English nation had ever furnished under any former king. Mr. Sel- v. Mare den observes, that, according to a computation made clauf. c. 11, in Camden's Britannia from rolls of that age, the number of hides of land in England did not exceed two hundred and forty three thousand, fix hundred : which makes the number of fhips obtained by this hidage feven hundred and eighty five. This ap-VOL. II. N parently

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

parently was a fleet fufficient to have maintained the fovereignty of our feas against any other na-

Chron Sax fub ann. 1012.

fub ann. 1018.

ann. 1028.

fub ann. 1040.

. ,

tion. Yet, by violent tempefts and wicked treachery, it was foon deftroyed; and the wretched expedient of compounding with the Danes was again taken up; which at last proceeded fo far, that, in the year one thousand and twelve, the Englifh nobility, after paying the tribute (though too late to prevent the enemy from over-running and fubduing a great part of the kingdom) hired a fquadron of Danish ships to guard their coasts against the attacks of other corfairs. All England being Chron, Sax, foon afterwards fubjected to Canute, that prince. in the year one thousand and eighteen, difmiffed all his Danish fleet, except forty ships, which he retained to fecure his new-acquired dominions ; but, Ibidem, fub in the year one thousand twenty-eight, he carried with him to Norway fifty-five fhips of war, which his English Thanes provided for him, and by which Ibidem, fub he was enabled to conquer that kingdom. His fon ann, 1039, and fucceffor, Harold Harefoot, who reigned only four years, laid a tax upon the English, to maintain conftantly in his fervice fixteen fhips of war, allowing eight marks to each rower, according to the eftablishment fettled by Canute. His brother, Hardicanute encreafed that number to fixty-two, with the fame allowance to each rower; for the defraying of which there was paid, in the fecond year of that king, twenty one thousand and ninety Chron. Sax, nine pounds : but prefently afterwards he reduced the number of fhips to thirty two, and the charge to eleven thousand and forty eight pounds. In truth, it was not neceffary that these Danish princes should keep any great naval forces for the defence of this ifland; as they themfelves had the dominion of those northern countries, from whence the former invalions and defcents had been made : and as no other power, then exifting, could pretend to dispute with them the empire of the ocean, Hiftorians

Book II.

Hiftorians relate that Earl Godwin, to appeafe V. Malmfb: the anger of his fovereign, Hardicanute, for the Angl. i. ii. fhare he had in the death of Alfred, that prince's c. 12. et brother, prefented him with a fhip, the beak of which was of gold, and which carried eighty foldiers, of whom every one had on each arm a golden bracelet, that weighed fixteen ounces; on his head an iron helmet, gilt with gold, as were also the other parts of his armour; on his left shoulder a Danish battleaxe, and in his hand a javelin : which circumstances I here mention, not fo much on account of the richness of the gift, as to shew the number of foldiers that, in those days, ferved aboard of thips of war, and how they were armed. For it may reafonably be fuppofed, that this galley was equipt in much the fame manner as others were at that time, except the peculiar magnificence of the gold in the beak and in the ornaments of the foldiers.

It appears from records, that danegeld was levi- See Domef-day Bock & ed in the reign of Edward the Confession, not to be Herming. paid to the Danes, but to oppose their invasions, i. and it feems to have been continued during the first eight years of that king, as a constant fund for his navy. We are told that he took it off, in p. 65. fub the year of our Lord one thousand and fifty one, ann. 1051. edit, Gale, because he saw the devil dance on a heap of money collected by that tax: but Ingulphus, who mentions this ridiculous tale, only as a popular rumour, gives us a very good reafon why the lands of the kingdom were then difcharged of this burthen, namely, there being a great famine that year, which moved the king to remit it, out of charity to the poor. Yet it must be observed, that this temporary evil was no proper caufe for abolishing a tax, which at other times might be neceffary, to all perpetuity : and therefore I much doubt the hiftorian's exactness in faying it was to abolished. Edward's successor, Harold, N 2 drew

### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

V. Pictav. fect. 2. p. 201.

Chron, Sax. fub ann. 1083. Hoveden, fub ann. 1084.

L. i. c. 11.

Chron. Sax. p. 156. fub ann. 1040. drew together a fleet of feven hundred fhips of war; and yet we do not find that any danegeld, or other fimilar impofition, was levied by that prince. This expence therefore muft have greatly exhaufted his treafury, and, together with the charge of his mercenary troops, will account for his having been fo tenacious of the fpoils he took from the Norwegians.

In the year one thousand and eighty three (or, as others fay, eighty four) William the Conquerour, apprehending a great invalion of England from Denmark and Flanders, revived danegeld, and advanced it to fix shillings a hide: but as it appears that many lands, which, under the Anglo-Saxon kings, had been charged with this tax, were exempted from it by him (on the fubject of which exemption I shall fay more hereafter) it is probable, that notwithstanding the augmentation of the charge, the produce was little more than had been obtained from former danegelds. We are told by the author of the dialogue de Scaccario, which was written in the reign of Henry the Second, " that Wil-" liam the Conquerour would not revive this tax " (which, at first, had been exacted, upon urgent " necessity, in time of war) as an annual supply; " nor yet would he entirely give it up; but referved " it to answer extraordinary and unforeseen occa-" fions: for which reason it was rarely taken by " him or his fucceffors, and only when actual " wars with foreign nations, or the fear thereof, " came upon them." It is not certain that danegeld, or, as the Saxon chronicle terms it, militare tributum, was ever exacted by William Rufus. He imposed indeed a hidage of four shillings a hide on all the land of the kingdom : but it was not gagathered, like the former danegelds, for the augmentation or fupport of the royal navy, or for the defence of the coafts, on any alarm of invalion, but to enable him to acquire the dutchy of Normandy

mandy in mortgage from his brother. I therefore confider this exaction as of quite a different nature, though, being levied from the lands of England, as danegeld had been, it was, inaccurately, fo called. The aid to Henry the First for the marriage of his daughter, which he claimed as a feudal right, is faid by fome writers to have been raifed by a land tax, after the rate of three shillings on every hide of land. But nothing can be See Hift of more improper than to call this a danegeld, though the Excheq. Mr. Madox has cited an old manufcript chronicle, V.Huntingt. in which it is fo denominated. Henry of Hunt- 1. vii. f. 217. ington mentions it, but without that appellation.

Nevertheles it appears by the great roll, common-ly called the fifth of King Stephen, but which Epiffol. de Mr. Madox has demonstrated to belong to the reign Magno Rot. Scaccarii at of Henry the First, that it was collected fix years the end of together by that king, and accounted for in the Madox's Hif. fame words that were wont to be used in account- quer. ing for the fettled yearly revenue. Of Stephen's See Hift. of the Excheq. reign we have no rolls; but notice is taken, in e. 17. fome hiftories, of his levying of danegeld, which he had a good pretence to do, as he was in per-petual fear of invalions from Normandy, or other parts of France, in favour of Matilda or her fon. We find by the rolls that it was paid in the first, fecond, twentieth, and twenty-first years of Henry the Second. The low ftate in which he found the fleet of England might make it neceffary for that prince to continue this imposition till the third year of his reign; and the danger of an invalion from France or Flanders might naturally induce him to revive it in the twentieth.

What was the ordinary ftrength of the royal navy from the times of William the Conquerour to those of Henry the Second inclusively, or to what number of fhips it was encreafed upon extraordinary exigences, we are not well informed. But it appears from a paffage in the Red book of the Exche-N 3 quer,

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

brum Scaccarii. See alfo the argument of in the cafe of thip-money. See Mr. St. John's fecond day's argumet for Mr. Hampden. See Spelman's Gloff. DANEGELD

See vol. i. p. 59. See alfo Sax. Chro. p. 195. fub ann. 1089. & H. Hunt. | vii. f. 213. fect. 10.

V. H. Hunt. fect. 50.

V. Libr. Ru- quer, that the Cinque Ports, during those times, were obliged by their tenures, to provide fifty two fhips, and twenty four men in each fhip, for fifteen days, Sir F.Wefton at their own charges, to defend the coafts, when required. And not only thefe, but other maritime, and even fome inland towns, held by the fame kind of fervice. This feems to have been the conftant fupport of the navy: but upon extraordinary occafions danegeld was levied : and, although at the end of that century the name was loft, a like provision was often made, in every age, by our parliaments, for the defence of the British seas and security of the kingdom.

It has been mentioned in a former part of this work, that the English fleet in the channel did William Rufus good fervice against his brother; a great number of Normans, who were coming over to fupport the pretenfions of the latter, having been deftroyed in their passage, by the ships that guarded the coaft of Suffex; which to intimidated Robert, that he durft not attempt another embarkation. A fufficient fleet was likewife fent by Henry I. vii. f. a16. the First, at the beginning of his reign, to oppose that prince in his paffage between Normandy and England : but a part of it joined him; which enabled him to land without difficulty; and a peace being foon concluded between the two brothers, this ifland remained exempt from the invalions of foreigners, or any alarm of that nature, till the war excited against Henry by the fon of Duke Robert obliged him again to provide for the defence of his realm, by a proper exertion and encrease of it's maritime power.

> During the reign of Stephen the English navy declined much in its ftrength, and we cannot wonder that it did : for the long inteftine war, which defolated the kingdom, ruined its commerce : without which it is impossible for any prince to maintain a naval power. This was reftored, and, probably,

bably, augmented, by Henry the Second : yet it feems, that, till the latter part of his reign, he made no efforts to fit out any powerful fleets; becaufe, being mafter of almost all the French coast, and in close alliance with the earls of Flanders and Boulogne, he feared no invalion. For the kings of Denmark had given up all intentions of renewing their claim to England; nor did their fubects or any other of the northern nations continue those piratical expeditions, which had been fo troublefome to the English in former times. It feemed therefore unneceffary for Henry the Second to guard his coafts by great fleets; and, being bufied upon the continent, he chiefly turned his thoughts to the encreasing and strengthening of his land-forces, which he might better make use of, either to defend or enlarge his territories in France. Geoffry de Vinefauf tells us, that after King Richard the First had made himself master of Cyprus, when all his galleys were arrived in one of the ports of that island, the number of them, including five which he had taken from the Cypriots and added to his own, amounted to a hundred; whereof fixty were fuperiour to the common armed galleys. And in another place he fays, that a fleet fo fine, and fo c. 12. well provided, had never been feen before. Befides V. Hoveden, the galleys, Richard had with him, when he failed pars II. f. from the harbour of Meffana in Sicily, a hundred 393. and fifty great thips, which he used as transports. Thefe, we are told, he had felected from all the shipping in the ports of England, Normandy, Poitou, and his other maritime territories. That most of the galleys were built before the death of his father I think very probable; for they could not otherwife have been ready to put to fea in fo fhort a time after. A manuscript chronicle, of the age v. Spelman's of Henry the Third, cited by Spelman in his Glof- Gloff. Bussa. fary, fays that fifty of these were triremes, viz. galleys of three rows of oars; and that, among N4 the

C. 35.

Book II.

the other fhips, thirteen, diffinguished there by the name of buffes, carried, each of them, three mafts. Upon the whole I prefume, that the more numerous fleets, mentioned before in the English history, confifted of veffels much fmaller than this of Richard. .

V. Appendix from Wilktatis Load. p. 71.

See vol. i.

Abbat. de

fub ann.

1182.

There is a very remarkable law of King Athelin's Sax. Leg. ftan, which fays, that any merchant, who has made Judicia Civi- three voyges, upon his own account, beyond the British channel, or narrow feas, shall be entitled to See alfoSpel- the privilege of a Thane. This was a great en-& Remains. couragement given to commerce, and fuch, indeed, as it is very furprifing to meet with in the hiftory of fo rude an age. Warlike nations, though infinitely more refined than the English were in those days, are apt to confider all trade, as rather difhonouring, than ennobling, those who carry it on : it is therefore no fmall indication of the good fenfe of King Athelftan and his witena gemote, or parliament, that they broke through this prejudice, and made nobility the reward of mercantile merit. It does not appear that the Norman kings adopted the fame policy : but that under fome of those princes, whofe reigns are treated of in this work, England continued to enjoy a flourishing commerce, may be well inferred from the great quantity of money and plate contained in the treasury of William the Conquerour, of Henry the First, and of Henry the Second, at the time of their death. It has already p.56. & 165. been faid, that, exclusive of the plate, the treasure left by William the Conquerour, in his palace at Winchefter, amounted to fixty thousand pounds weight of filver in coined money; and that left by his fon Henry to a hundred thousand. A contemporary V. Benedict, writer likewife informs us, that, foon after the deceafe of King Henry the Second, Richard the Firft vitâ Ric. I. ordered an exact account to be taken, both in num-Lii. p. 553 ber and weight, of all his father's treafures, and edit. Hearces found them amount to above ninety thousand pounds

in

in filver and gold. Another fays, that he caufed all the treasures of his father, in filver and gold, V Hoveden, to be weighed, and found that it greatly exceeded Annal pars the value of a hundred thousand marks. It has II. f. 374. been shewn before, that, in those days, one pound of filver contained about as much of that metal as three pounds do now, and that a mark was two thirds of the value of that pound. The wealth of Notes to the the prelates and chief nobles of the realm was pro-Hiftory of portionably great, and then he he is a set of the portionably great. portionably great; and they had also much plate tions of Engand other rich ornaments, in their houses and ward-land at the robes : nor did the piety of the times omit to de-fift volume corate, in a most fumptuous manner, the cathedral of money. churches, and those belonging to feveral convents, see Dugwith crucifixes, fhrines, and veffels, of gold and fil-dale's Mona-fileon, & ver. These precious metals being rare at that time Baronage. in Europe, fo much of them could not possibly have come into a country, where the earth produced none, and which drew no fupplies of them from the fpoils or the tribute of any other nation, without a confiderable balance of trade in its favour. Whether we had any exportation of woollen manufactures, during the times that I write of, I cannot abfolutely affirm. But it appears undeniably, by the annual payments which they made Madox's to the crown, that there were many gilds of weavers that of the in different parts of the kingdom. For example, Exchequer, c. 10. in the fifth year of Henry the Second, the weavers p. 231. of London stood charged in the Exchequer rolls with four marks of gold, on the farm of their gild for two years. In other years of the fame king they paid twelve pence per annum. And there are records of like payments from the weavers of Oxford, York, Nottingham, Huntington, Lincoln, and Winchefter, in that and the following reign. On which I find this obfervation, in a treatife written by the learned Sir Matthew Hale, "that, in the See Hale's " time of Henry the Second and Richard the First, this Original of " kingdom greatly flourished in the art of manufacturing Mankind, p. " wollen " wollen " woolen cloth : but by the troublesome wars in the time " of King John and Henry the Third, and also of Ed-" ward the First and Edward the Second, this manu-" facture was wholly loft, and all our trade ran out in

Hift. of the Exchequer, c. 13. p.354.

" wool, woolfels, and leather carried out in specie." It is also observed by Mr. Madox, in his history of the Exchequer, that the cities of Worcefter, Glocefter, Nottingham, Norwich, Bedford, and many other towns, paid fines to King John, that they might buy and fell dyed cloth, as they were accustomed to do in the time of King Henry the Second. This flews that both the cloathing and dying trades had then flourished, and had been free from fome oppressions with which they were afterwards loaded. It is reafonable to fuppofe, that the Flemish colony, of which much has been faid in this book, when they were difperfed over England, at their first coming from Flanders, in the reign of William the Conquerour, not only exercifed the art of weaving, which before their emigration they excelled in, but inftructed the English, and improved their manufacture. One may also prefume, that when they were afterwards fettled in South-Wales, upon the fea-coaft, they addicted themfelves to foreign traffick, and carried it on with the woollen cloths which they continued to make. Indeed this may be naturally inferred VideItioera- from the words of Giraldus Cambrenfis, who, defcribing them as they were in the time of Henry the c.11.p. 848. Second, calls them a people most versed in woollen de Haverford manufactures and merchandife, who, with any labour or danger, would feek for gain by fea or land. Perhaps it was to encourage these manufactures, that the exportation of wool unwronges was loaded with a duty of half a mark on each lack.

V. Radevicum Frifingenfem de rebus geftis

rium Cam-

briæ, l. i.

et Rois.

There is preferved to us, in a contemporary German historian, a letter from Henry the Second to the Emperour Frederick Enbaroffa, which he fent, Fred impe-tatoris, Lic.7 in the year eleven hundred and fifty feven, with magnificent prefents, in return to an embaffy and prefents prefents of at least an equal value, which he had received from that prince, who defired to make with him a league of friendship and alliance. The king expresses therein his grateful acceptance of those overtures from the emperour, and, among other benefits, which would arife from that league, particularly mentions the fafety and freedom of commerce betwixt their respective dominions.

A northern trade feems to have been a favourite object of the royal attention and care of Alfred the Great: there being inferted into the preface of a translation of Orofius, made by that monarch, an account delivered to him by two navigators, a Norwegian, and an Englishman, employed by his orders; wherein they defcribe, very fenfibly, the coafts, the inhabitants, and the fifneries of the north, as far as to the utmost bounds of Norway and Finland. It is one of the most curious and valuable remains of our Saxon antiquities. Doubtlefs Alfred made advantage of the difcoveries he had taken fuch pains to procure, by carrying on a very profitable trade with those countries. But one may reafonably prefume that the English commerce to the North was further encreased in the reign of Canute the Great, to whom Denmark and Norway were fubject. In the twenty feventh year of King See Madox's Henry the Second a licence was given to export Hift of the corn, from Norfolk and Suffolk, to Norway. And c. 13. p. 343, in the reign of King John a Danish merchant was 324. allowed to have free traffick throughout the realm, on the eafy condition of giving a hawk to that prince, as often as he came into England.

After the Normans had eftablished themselves in this island, it's trade to France became naturally more extensive than before; especially when Henry the Second, who held fo great and fo commercial a part of that kingdom, had gained a quiet poffeffion of the throne of England. A principal branch Ibid. c. 18. of the imports, in the times of which I write, was P. 527. foreign

foreign wines, which were chiefly brought from France. It appears by the rolls, that in the fourteenth year of King John duties were paid to that prince for wines of Anjou, Auxerre, and Gafcony, belides others there called by the general name of French. I alio find, in that account, mention made of the wines of Saxony, which probably came into fashion among the English in the reign of Henry the Second, after the duke of Saxony had married his daughter. But it must be observed, that the limits of that dutchy were then extended to the Rhine; and therefore these wines might be Rhenifh. William of Malmbury tells us, " that the " city of London, in his time, was illustrious and 66 eminent for the wealth of its citizens; crouded " with merchants and factors from every land, but " chiefly from Germany; and a store-house for the "whole nation, in cafe of a dearth of corn or " other provisions." The fame author fays, "that " the port of Briftol was full of thips, from Ire-" land, Norway, and every part of Europe ; " which brought thither a great commerce, and much foreign wealth." He likewife speaks of Exeter as a place of great traffick, to which reforted a great concourse of merchants and foreigners, at the time when he wrote.

Sir H. Spelman, in his code of the ancient ftatute laws of the kingdom of England, cites a paffage from the chronicle of Battle-abbey, which fays, that, by the ancient law or cuftom of the Englifh, when a fhip was wrecked on the coaft, if thofe who efcaped from it did not repair to it within a limited time, the fhip, and all belonging to it, that was driven afhore, became the right and property of the lord of the manor. But that King Henry the Firft, abhorring this cuftom, made a law, to be obferved throughout all his dominions, that, if but one man had elcaped alive out of the wreck, the fhip and its whole cargo fhould be given to him. Yet

De gestis pontif. l. ii. f. 133. sect. 30.

Ib'dem, l. iv. f. 161. fect. 50. Yet the chronicle adds, that this statute remained in force only during the life of the king who enacted it; for, under his fucceffor, the nobles of the kingdom, paying no regard to it, reftored the ancient cuftom, to their own benefit; of which the writer gives an inftance in a shipwreck that happened upon one of the eftates of the abbey. It feems that Henry the Second revived the law of his grandfather, and enforced it with fevere penalties against offenders. For William of Newbury fays, that, out of his excellent goodnefs, at the very beginning of his reign, he corrected a barbarous cuftom, which before had prevailed in his kingdom, with regard to wrecks on the coaft; and, commanding the proper offices of humanity to be paid to all ship-wrecked perfons, ordained grievous punishments against those who should dare to do them any injury, or take from them any of their merchandife or effects. I am very forry to obferve, that, notwithstanding this law, made fo many ages ago, and other statutes enacted fince, with a view to restrain this most inhuman barbarity, it still remains a foul reproach and difgrace to our nation.

By the ftatute of the 27th of Henry the Second, which is called *the affife of arms*, and of which I fhall fpeak more particularly hereafter, the itinerant judges were commanded to publifh, in their feveral circuits, an injunction forbidding, under the higheft penalties to the buyer and feller, the felling to foreigners any Englifh fhip, or drawing away any feaman into foreign fervice; from which it is evident, that the king, when that ftatute was made, attended very carefully to the naval ftrength of his kingdom.

Having thus shewn, as far as we have any authentick information, the state of the English marine, from the days of Alfred, to those of Henry the Second, inclusively, I shall proceed to give likewife an account of the nature of the *land-forces* in England, during that course of time. It

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

V. Concil. fub ann. 3009. Spelman's Remains, Feuds, and Tenures, c. 8.

190

fuo son. 1008.

Vid. Leges Athelitani, Wilkins, c. 16.

It was a fundamental law of the Anglo-Saxons, Eath. c. 22, that all the lands of the kingdom, even those which Concil. Brit. were held by ecclefiafticks and women, were fubject to three publick duties; the building or repairing of forts and caftles; the building or repairing of bridges; and military fervice for the defence of the realm, called, in the Latin translation of the Saxon laws, expeditio.

We are told by Sir H. Spelman, " that the whole " land was divided, either by Alfred the Great, or " fome other precedent king, into two hundred " forty three thousand fix hundred hides, or plough-" lands : and, according to this division, were the " military or other charges of the kingdom imv Hunting." posed." A hide of land is defined, by H. of Huntington and the annals of Waverley, to be as Annal Wa- much as a fingle plough could till in a year : but, verleien. fub according to others, it was as much as would be fufficient to support a gentleman's family for that time, and therefore could not confift of any determined number of acres, but must have varied in proportion to the nature of the foil. One of the laws of King Athelftan orders every plough, that is, every hide of land, to furnish two horsemen: an immenfe army according to the computation abovegiven of the number of hides in England! But if fo many were at any time actually raifed, (which I am apt to doubt of) it is certain that the constant militia of the Saxons did not amount to that number; and, except in the cafe of beneficiary tenants, the fervice they owed appears to have been reftrained to the defence of the realm.

After the Normans came in, a different kind of military policy was established. The lands of Engv. Ord. Vit. land (as Ordericus Vitalis informs us) were fo diftri-1. iv. p. 123. buted by William the First, that the kingdom had always fixty thousand knights, ready to serve, at the command of the king, as occasion (bould require. It must

be

be obferved, that, in this paffage, and feveral others in the books and records of those times, the word knights must be understood to fignify perfons who held knight's-fees, not perfons who had obtained the order of knighthood, concerning whom I fhall have occafion to treat hereafter. Other ancient v. Selden's evidences make the knights-fees, during the times Titles of ho-nour, part II. that I write of, fixty thousand two bundred and fifteen; c. 17. p. 720. of which number twenty eight thousand one hundred speiman's and fifteen were posselt by the church. A knight's-fee Gloff. Feufeems to have been ufually composed in those days See Spelof two hides of land, or of two hides and a half. man's trea-Sir H. Spelman fays, that a mefne tenant, who had and Tenures, more than a fingle knight's fee, was called a vava-c. 27. for, which he thinks was a degree above knights : H.ft. of the vet we generally find that name applied to any vaf- Exchequer, fal, who held a military fief of a tenant in chief of 400, 401. the crown. Those who held of a vavafor were cal- See Spel-man's Reled valvafini, and each of these might, in like man-mains, Difner, enfeoff another, to hold of him by knight's- courfe upon Parliamente, fervice ; though, I believe, that the inftances of for 58, 59. many gradations in this fpecies of tenure were not common in the days of King Henry the Second. But it was still more unufual for a fief to be held of the crown without any fubinfeudation. In the Red book of the Exchequer there is a remarkable answer to a writ, which was fent by Henry the Second to one of his tenants in chief, requiring him to certify, how many held under him by military tenures. The words are thefe : " Know, that I hold of you See Brady's " a very poor fee of one knight; nor have I enfeoffed Animadver-" any other therein, because it is hardly sufficient for Jan. Anglor. " me alone; and my father held it in the same man-p. 187. " ner." Two other knights of the fame county, who held in chief of the king, appear, by this record, to have had none who held of them by fubinfeudation : but these instances were extraordinary ; the far greater number of the military tenants in chief having many fubvaffals. 66 Barony

### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

" Barony, fays Mr. Madox, was knight-fervice em-" baronied, that is, knight-fervice enlarged and " erected into a barony, or made a barony at it's " first creation." Every nobleman was, therefore, by tenure a foldier : nor was his military duty limited only to fervice within the kingdom; but he was obliged to ferve abroad, at the command of the king, and not fingly in his own perfon, but with · fuch a number of knights, as he was able to maintain, by the feveral fees, of which his barony was composed. The spiritual barons indeed, out of a proper regard to their facred character, were exempted from personal service; but they were required to fend knights, that is, military tenants, in proportion to the number of the fees they poffeffed, and even to foreign wars, when fummoned by the king : whereas, by the Saxon conftitution, their lands had been charged with no military fervice, except that which was laid on all for the defence of the kingdom, and which we find to have been, generally, but ill performed on their part.

See the Appendix to the first volume. & Leg. Gul. 1 58. Wilkins & Lambard.

Wilkins, Se 1.amuard Leg. Gul. \$2.

By a law of William the Conquerour, all earls, barons, knights, squires, and all the freemen of the kingdom were ordered to keep themselves well and properly furnished with arms and horses, for the performance of the duties their tenures required. The freemen here-mentioned I understand to have been all who held their lands by any kind of military fervice; but not to include the tenants by free focage, or other free tenures which were not of a military nature: as that appellation certainly does in fome See Appen- other ancient laws. There is one of the fame king, dix, vol i. & by which it was injoined, " that all freemen should " engage, by a folemn confederacy, or affociation, " that both within and without the realm of England, " which in ancient times was called the kingdom of Bri-" tain, they would be faithful to King William, " their lord, and affift him every where, with all fi-" delity, to keep bis territories and dignitics, and de-66 fendi

# Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

" fend them against enemies and foreigners." This oath, which feems calculated to take in the defence of William's foreign dominions, was an extension of the ancient law or cuftom of the nation, by which all the landholders were bound to the defence of the kingdom, and laid upon them a burthen, which no rules of good policy or legal fubjection could juftify, if we understand the term, freemen, to fignify here any others than the military tenants. But, in the cafe of invalions, the common law of the land continued undoubtedly to oblige, not only those tenants, but all the other freeholders, to affift in repelling and driving out the invaders. During the govern- V. Leges ment of the Saxons (if we may believe what is faid Conief. Witby the compiler of fome laws afcribed to Edward kins, c. 35. the Confession) the militia of every county was com- de Herotomanded by an annual officer, called beretoch, who was chosen into that office, by all the freeholders, in the folkmote or county court. Sir H. Spelman fup- v. Gloffar. poses, that, after the Normans came in, this com- Comes, p. mand devolved to the earl. And there is great reafon to think, that the military power of every county was principally in the earl, during the times of which I write; though it was occasionally exercised by the fheriff or viscount. But, whether even the Saxon beretoch was not fubordinate to the earl, in his military functions, appears to me very doubtful. The great antiquary above-cited is himfelf of v. Gloffar. opinion, that the rank of this officer was inferior, HEREor, at most, equal to that of the sheriff or viscount, 288, 289. And our ancient hiftory fhews, that, where the king p. 294. was not in perfon at the head of his army, the commander in chief, or general, was almost always an earl, as well during the Saxon government, as for more than a century after that period. But the Saxon earldoms were not bereditary fiefs, as they were made by the inftitutions of William the First. According to the fystem then established, it seems that, under the earl, or the vifcount, the barons, and VOL. II. the

See Spelman's Gloff. fub ann. 1173. Ibidem, in Ric. I. p. 749. c. 44.

194

V. Madox Baron, l. i. c. 5. p. 94.

V. Brady's tit, p. 123.

the inferior military tenants of the king, commanded respectively their several vallals; and these being also bereditary chiefs, the ariftocratical power in the military policy of this kingdom was much encreafed. As, by the Norman eftablishment, every knight'sfee was required to furnish a horseman, the cavalry produced by these tenures, supposing it complete, was above fixty thousand; and all these were armed from head to foot, in the manner before defcribed. But it must be observed that there was then a species of foldiery called in the charters and histories of those times servientes. Some of these performed their duty on horfeback, and others on foot. It likewife appears by the rolls, that in the reign of King Edward the First knight-fervice was done by the knights themfelves who were fummoned, or by two fervientes in the place of a knight. Another record informs us, that, in the fame reign, the bishop of Hereford did his fervice for five knights-fees, in the king's army of Wales, by two knights, and fix equires (armigeros) for the other three fees. From whence it may be inferred, that servientes and efquires were fynonymous terms. Yet in fome other records we find them diftinguished ; as Mr. Selden has fhewn in his very learned treatife on Titles of honour. Mr. Petit and Dr. Brady cite answer to Pe- a record of the fixth year of King John, wherein it is ordered, that nine knights through all England fhould find a tenth, well provided with horfe and arms for the defence of the kingdom, and allow bim two (billings a day for bis wages. Whereupon the Dr. obferves, " that two shillings a day was then equiva-" lent to at least thirty shillings a day now, and " therefore he that had this allowance went forth in " a good equipage, and maintained without doubt " feveral foldiers, Servientes, or esquires, with it, " &c." I likewife find in Fitz-Stephen, a contemporary historian, that in the war of Toulouse the knights of Becket's household, who were no fewer than

#### OF KING HENRY II. Book II.

than feven hundred, received three shillings a day of the money of that country, to provide for their horfes and efquires, (ad equos et armigeros.) Never-see Sir G. thelefs, it is certain, that, in the ordinary courfe, Crooke's the military tenants were to ferve forty days, at in the cafe of their own charges; and if the fervice continued hip-money, longer, it was to be, afterwards, at the charge of p. 621. Ibid. the king. By the charter of Henry the First the de- p. 639. meine lands of all vaffals who held by knight's fer- Iohan. vice were freed from all gelds and taxes; and the reason given for it is, that, being eased of this burthen, they might be able and ready to ferve the king, and defend bis kingdom. Sir H. Spelman takes notice, " that, according to the old Norman Contumier, v. Chart. " whoever poffest a fief de baubert, which was a H. I. in Ap-" knight's fee of the highest dignity, was bound to v. Spelman's " ferve in the ban or arriere ban, with complete ar- Gloff. FEU-"mour; that is (fays he) with a horfe, a coat of BERTCIUM, " mail, a shield, a spear, a sword, and a helmet, p. 219. " for the fpace of forty days, within the limits of " the kingdom; which, by fucceeding kings was " extended to three months within, and forty days " out of the kingdom." In the fecond year of King Richard the Second the commons faid, that they ought not to bear foreign charges. The king's anfwer was, " that Gascony concerned the kingdom of see State

England; for that it was as a bulwark to the kingdom Trials, vol. of England." I do not find that the parliament dif-sir Edw. puted this point in the reign of Henry the Second ; Lyttelton's but to fay the truth it was a question more fre- in the cafe of quantly determined by the humour of the times, thip-money. than by any fixed rule of law or policy.

Knights-fees were often divided; fo that many of the military tenants in chief had but a fourth part of fuch fees; nay, it appears by a record, Lib. Rub. which I have cited before, that forme fuch tenants, Seaccarii. in the reign of Henry the First, had only an eighth dy's Anipart, and one, who was enfeoffed after the death madversions of that king, had only a twentieth. These small Anglor Fac. 0 2 tenan= 136.

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book IF.

tenancies, I prefume, arole from the defire of holding in chief of the crown, though by ever fo poor a fief, on account of the honour and superiour protection annexed to that tenure. A vaffal who held by the moiety of a knight's fee was bound to ferve but twenty days; and fo in proportion. Several tenants were enfeoffed with one or more knights-fees, and part of another; which may have happened from the convenience of fuch part being fituated near to the lands, of which the entire fee or fees confifted.

Archers were drawn from the yeomanry, and feem to have ferved on foot, as attendants on the vallals who held by knight-fervice, and at their charge; or, fometimes, under the pay and at the charge of the king. But though they were accounted the lowest order of military men, they made, for many ages, a very confiderable part of the ftrength of the kingdom; most of the victories SeeFroiffard, won against the French or the Scotch having been principally owing to their valour and skill.

> One species of knight-fervice was castle-guard, differing from it in nothing, but that whoever held by that tenure performed his fervice within the realm. and without limitation to any certain term. Mr. St. John fays, in his argument on the cafe of Thip-money, that the tenants by caftle guard were eleven thousand. He likewise shews from some records, that the caftle of Dover, as being the key of the kingdom, had near two hundred tenures by caftleguard, befides feveral more for the keeping it in repair; and that, in time of war, the king used to maintain in that fortrefs one thousand foot, and one hundred horfe. Thefe foldiers, I prefume, were over and above the two hundred who were bound by their tenures to defend it, and who, probably, performed their fervice by a large number of fubvaffals. The counties of Cumberland, Westmorland, and Northumberland, on account of their neighbourhood to the Scotch, the perpetual enemies to the

See Lyttelton's Te nures, sect. II2. C. 4.

See Lyttelton's Tenures, 1. ii. fect. 13. Coke's Inftitutes, note to fect. 3. See State Trials, vol. i. p. 498.

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

the English, were full of tenures by castle-guard, and likewife by cornage, which tenure obliged the tenant to give notice of the enemy's coming into the country, by blowing a horn. Such was the general provision made by the feudal lystem for the defence of fortified places. About the end of the V. P Daniel Hift de eleventh, or the beginning of the twelfth century, France fous a new kind of militia was established in France, for Louis VII, the fecurity of the principal cities. By the char-Hainault ters granted to them communities were erected, Abregé which had a power to levy forces; and a determin-que, t. i. p. ed number of citizens was required to be enrolled 139. t. ii. p. in every parifh, and to march under the banner of P. D.n. the church they belonged to, in cafe of any attack Hift. de la milice Franon the territory of the city, and for the reprefling goife, 1. iii. of feditions, and outrages of all kinds, within the c. 3. limits thereof. These were to be called out, at the command of the bishop, or of the chief citizens; but, in extraordinary exigences, when the flate was concerned, the king had a power to order all the inhabitants, who were capable of bearing arms, to march in his fervice : on which account many privileges and franchifes were accorded to fuch corporations by the crown. It likewife appears, that fome gentlemen, in the neighbourhood of thefe cities, incorporated themfelves with them, and made a part of their force. Father Daniel observes, that this eftablishment past from the demesse of the king of France into those of his greatest valials, the dukes of Burgundy, the dukes of Normandy, and feveral others. I alfo find, that, before the reign of Stephen, it had been introduced from Normandy into England. For in a paffage, of which I took v. Malmin. fome notice in the hiftory of that reign, mention is Hift. nevor. made by William of Malmfbury of the community of 10. f. 106. London, and of fome barons who had been admitted into it a good while before, that is, I prefume, in the reign of Henry the First. Belides reasons of police, and a defire of maintaining the publick tranquil-03 lity,

lity, this inftitution had a view to make the townforces a check on those of the barons. It was a popular militia oppofed to the ariftocratical. But it does not appear that, in England, the bishops or lower clergy, had any power to order, or call it out.

Some account has already been given in this book of a pecuniary commutation for perfonal fervice in foreign wars, called scutage, or escuage, which appears to have been first introduced into England by King Henry the Second. At the beginning it was only allowed to the fpiritual barons, and their military tenants, in a war with the Welch. But, on the occasion of Henry's expedition against Touloufe, it was further extended to all the inferiour tenants in chief, and to almost all the fubvaffals who held by knights-fervice; becaufe the inconvenience of going fo far from their country would have been to these very grievous. It was afterwards taken in like manner, not only for wars beyond the fea, but againft Wales or Scotland : neither was it denied to the greater valials of the crown (as it had been at first) unless by their fummons they were exprefly commanded to follow the king in perfon, or held fome office by grand fergeanty, which required their attendance. What this tenure was will hereafter be more fully explained. But, with regard to the Brev. 9 Edw. liberty of commuting for the duty of perforal fervice, by fcutage, or efcuage, it cannot be denied, Edw.II Rot. that it was a great variation from the first intention 70. Manox s and policy of military fiefs, and opened the way to p. 45 4. c. 16. greater in process of time. It appears from the rolls, that, in the ninth year of Edward the Second, fome who held by knight-fervice, not originally of the crown, but of an honour or manor efcheated to the king, claimed a right to be difcharged from ferving in perion, being only bound to pay scutage : see Cotton's which plea was allowed. And Sir Robert Cotton, after relating a fummons fent by Richard the Second

V. Trin. II. Rot. 58. 2 Brev. 12

reafons for foreign wars. 2. 46, 47.

### Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

cond in the third year of his reign, which commanded that all those who held by knight-fervice should properly fit themselves out with horses and arms, in order to attend him in a war, concludes with these words : " But these the courses of elder " times were about this time much altered, and " the king, for the most part, was supplied in his " wars by contract with the nobility and gentry, to " ferve him, with so many men, and so long, and at " fuch a rate, as he and they by indenture accorded." This alteration, which appears by the evidence of many records, produced another kind of militia in England, unknown to the times of which I write. Indeed the practice varied much in different ages ; though it is plain, from our law-books, that the see Bracton, principle of knight-fervice, due, by the nature of Fleta, & Lytteiton's the feudal policy, from all the poffeffors of military Tenutes. tenures, remained much the fame, from the reign of William the First to that of Henry the Seventh; nay, even till Charles the Second abolished those tenures.

In the latter part of this hiftory'I shall have occafion to mention a new regulation, made by Henry the Second, for the better arming of the whole people, except only the flaves, who were accounted no part of the body politick, or civil community.

It cannot be denied that the tenures introduced by the Normans gave much ftrength to the kingdom. Without the inconvenience, expence, and danger to liberty, attending a standing army, forces fufficient to guard every part of the country, and, when occasion required, to ferve the crown in foreign wars, were always kept up, on a legal footing, and neceffarily connected with the civil conftitution. All the gentry were foldiers paid and maintained by the lands they held; as they likewife paid and maintained those freeholders of an inferior rank, who held knights-fees under them. Nor could this ftrength

Q A

ftrength ever fail, as that of a mercenary or ftipendiary army must at some times, by the wealth of the state being confumed and exhausted; but continued as fixed as the lands disposed of in this manner, and ever ready to oppose either foreign invafions or inteffine rebellions. I may add too, that it was equally fitted to refift any tyranny in a king, being wholly composed of those men, who, by their property in the realm and their rank in the flate, were most interested to guard the liberty of the fubiect against the crown. But then the great power, which the military tenures gave to the barons, often enabled fome ambitious and turbulent fpirits unneceffarily to difturb the peace of their country, to throw off all fubjection and loyalty to the king, and even to become more infupportable tyrants themfelves, within the bounds of their small dominions, than the most absolute princes in monarchical governments; with this difference only, that it was no eafy matter for any of them to exercise their tyranny long without being checked, and brought to juffice, by the power of the crown, fupported by that of other barons, their peers : whereas, in abfolute monarchies, the conftitution affords no remedy against the despotism of the prince. On the other hand the force of union, in which confifts all the energy of monarchical flates, was wanting in this, or, at leaft, was never found in it, but under the government of very able princes. Indeed the whole policy of the military tenures was much better adapted to the purpose for which they originally were formed, viz. to maintain conquests made in countries not wholly fubdued, or exposed, by their fituation, to continual wars, than to procure that tranquillity, which is the principal benefit derived to mankind from order and government, and without which no improvement of civil fociety can be advanced or fupported,

200

If

Book II.

If we compare the conftitution eftablished here by the Normans with that of the Anglo-Saxons, the greatest difference between them will be found to v Speim on arife, from many eftates, which were *alodial*, being Feudrand made *feudal*, and from others, which approached 5. the nearest to fiefs, and were indeed of a feudal nature, but not lands of inheritance being rendered bereditary, and, in confequence of that change, fubjected to burthens, to which they had not been liable in their former condition. Spelman has proved Ibidem, c.g. undeniably from feveral charters, that the hereditary eftates of the Saxon nobility and gentry, called by him thaneland, which he fpeaks of as fynony- Ibidem, c.e. mous to bocland, or charterland, were alodial, and not p. 12. c. 10. fubject to any feudal fervice. But then he owns, Ibidem, c.12. that both the greater and leffer Thanes might have, and, in fact, frequently had other lands of a feudal nature, and holden by military fervice, yet not like the Norman feuds, being granted only at will, or for a certain number of years, or, at most, for life or lives; in which they refembled the lands of the vulgar, called folkland. And fuch grants were call- Ibidem, c 3. ed benefices, a term expressive of their nature, which p. 7 & 9. by later usage has been confined to clergymen's livings. These benefices were made bereditary fiefs under William the Conquerour, and most of the bocland was converted into the fame kind of tenure. If we confider this change with regard to the poffeffors of bocland alone, it feems very clear, that, abstractedly from the privileges annexed to the poffeffion of feudal lands, they were great lofers by it : Ibidem, c. s. for, whereas, they had before an abfolute property & 23. in their eftates, which they had even a power to dif Sommer 81. pofeof by will, they now held them of the king, or of poff, lib, ii. tome mesne lord under him, inalienable, and limited . 5. to their eldest fons after them; besides the obligation imposed on them and their heirs, to submit to certain methods of acknowledging their dependance on the lords of their fiefs, which were very uneafy to

to them, as will be fhewn more particularly hereafter. But, with regard to the possessor of beneficiary eftates, the change was advantageous : for, however difagreeable those burthens incidental to their new tenure might be, the perpetuity of their fiefs, thus acquired and confirmed to them and their families. made them ample amends. When, therefore, the fame perfons had eftates of both kinds, the alteration made in the bocland was compenfated by the inheritance obtained in the benefice: and there is reafon to believe that this was the cafe with many of the English. As for the Normans, or other foreigners who came over with them, they certainly thought it no grievance, to hold the lands, that were fo liberally given to them in England, on the fame terms as their effates were held by them in Normandy, or other parts of the continent, where the strift feudal policy had before taken place. From See the capi- the reign of Charles the Bald to that of Hugh Capet, the alodial lands, in all the provinces of the French monarchy, had been gradually changed into fiefs, and the benefice, or temporary fiefs, made perpetual. The last of these princes compleated this important alteration, by an universal and legal eftablishment of it, about the year nine hundred and eighty eight. Even the fubvaffals, or vavafors. called in French arriere-vallaux, obtained the fame perpetuity in their feudal eftates, as those who held of the crown. Nor was this concession the mere effect of a weak and timid complaifance in the crown to it's vaffals, or in those vaffals to their's, as fome writers have fuppoled; but arole at first from a defire of encouraging those, who held by military fervice, to fight with more alacrity, and hazard their perions more freely, than they would have v. Crag Jur. done, if the confequence of their dying in battle had been the lofs of their lands to their families. This gave a beginning to hereditary fiefs; and it was natural, that, when once fuch grants had been

made,

sularies of Charles the Bald. See allo I'Ovíceau & Pasquier.

Feud. I. i. tit. 4. feft, 6.

Book II.

made, they should prevail more and more; other perfons, who thought that they had equal pretenfions, and of whom the fame fervices were required by their lords, demanding from them the fame encouragement, especially on the breaking out of any great war; and the fame reafons of intereft inducing the lords to comply with fuch demands. Hugh Capet, who owed his crown to the favour of the nation, could not, with prudence, refume any fiefs belonging to it, which the noble families had retained beyond the original term of their grants (as many had done in the times of his predeceffors) nor refufe to put other beneficiaries of the crown on an equal footing with thefe; nor deny to his vaffals the liberty of giving or confirming to those, who held of them, as lafting a tenure in their lands, as they themfelves had thought it reafonable to demand from the crown, in the eftates they held in chief. The mode of the times (as often happens) made the policy of the times; and what at first was confidered as a favour, grew into a claim.

From the perpetuity of fiefs, thus established in France, and in many other nations, where the fame motives operated both on the kings and the nobles, were naturally derived those feudal rights, which produced in Europe a new fystem of property and of laws. It appeared very juft, that fome compenfation should be given to the lord, for lofing the power which he before had enjoyed, to difpose of his lands, on the determination of the grant: and from hence arofe the payments made, on the death of the vaffal, by the heir, which in the lawterm are called reliefs. The treatife afcribed to Glanville, and which, I doubt not, was compofed by the immediate directions of that great lawyer, v. Granville, who was chief jufficiary of England under Henry <sup>1. ix. p. 71.</sup> the Second, tells us, that the relief of a knight's fee was then fixed at a hundred shillings, and of lands held in focage at a year's value, by the cuftom of

of the kingdom; but that, with regard to baronies and to ferjeanties, there was no determinate rule of law; those who held by fuch tenures fatisfying the king, for the relief due to him from them, at his difcretion.

The perpetuity given to fiefs produced alfo the right of wardship. For it was thought proper, that, if the heir to a barony or knight's fee was a minor,

Book II.

See Spelman's Gloff. the lord fhould have the cuftody of the lands of his WARDE Feud. I. ii. tit.20.feet.3 Ang. c. 44. Selden's noteson Fortescue.

See Lyttelton's Tenures, 1. ii. C. 4.

See Charter Appendix.

Crag de Jure fief, with the profits thereof, during the time of the nonage, left they should be endamaged; and Fortescue de alfo that he might take the necessary care, that the Laudib.Leg. military fervice, in confideration of which the fief was originally beftowed, fhould be duly fupplied. In the last of these points the interest of the state was equally concerned with that of the lord. And, together with the cuftody of the lands, that of the perfon of the minor was afligned to the lord, in order that he might carefully train him up in the knowledge and use of arms; which likewife was a matter of great publick concern. The feudal age of majority for a man who held by knights-fervice was twenty one years; becaufe till then he was thought incapable of performing his duty. If the heir to fuch lands was a female, her lord had the cuftody of her perfon and lands, till fhe was fourteen years old; at which time, it was fuppofed, fhe might have a hufband, able to perform the fervices due for the fief the inherited.

But there find a rights, however agreeable to the of H.Lin the principles of that policy, were given up in the charter of King Henry the First, by which, if a vasfal died, and left a wife and children, the cuftody both of the lands and children was affigned to the widow, or to the nearest relation, This concertion. I prefume, was made by that monarch, chiefly to gratify his English subjects, who, not having been used to these customs of the strict feudal policy, were more difpleafed with them than the Normans, who brought them into this kingdom. Neverthelefs

lefs we are told by Glanville (for I will venture to call him the author of the abovementioned treatife) v.Glapville. that, in his time, the lord had the cuftody both of 1. ii. c. 9. the heir and the fief, but under an obligation not to alienate or wafte any part of the lands, and to give an honourable maintenance to the heir, in proportion to the greatness of his inheritance; and alfo to pay the debts of the deceased, in fuch meafure, as the value of the eftate and the time of the cuftody would admit. Nor did the barons, in their v. Articul. demands delivered to King John, defire a reftorati- Magnæ Car-on of the grant of Henry the First in this instance; nam Cartam but admitted the right of the lord to the cuftody of Blacketton's Edition. the minor's perfon and lands; which is alfo confirmed by Magna Carta, with only fuch regulations. as were necellary to prevent an abuse of the trust, being nearly the fame with those that are mentioned by Glanville. In all probability, fome statute, now loft, had been enacted in the reign of King Henry the Second; to give this right to the lord, agreeably to the cuftom and practice in Normandy, and, indeed, to the clear principles of the feudal policy itself.

According to Glanville, a female heir, though of v. Slanville, full age, was to remain in the cuftody of her lord, 1. vii. c. 12. till her marriage, to which his concurrence and advice were requisite; because (fays that author) by the law and cuftom of the realm, no woman who inherits land can be married, without being disposed of by her lord, or having his confent. By land in this passage he means land that was held by military fervice : for Ibidem, c. 18. he had faid before, that the heirs of tenants in focage ought to be in the cuftody of their nearest relations. What focage tenure was will be hereafter explained.

It is faid in the charter of King Henry the First, See the that, if any baron, or tenant in chief of the crown, Charter in the Append. was inclined to give his daughter, or fifter, or niece, or kinfwoman, in marriage, he was to fpeak with the king

king,

king about it, who promifed not to take any thing for bis confent, and not to refuse it, unless the match proposed was with one of his enemies.

It must be understood, that the fifter, niece or kin/woman, here mentioned, was the next heir to the fief: for otherwife it does not appear that, in virtue of any feudal right, the king could be entitled to in-V Craig, Lii. terfere in her marriage; but in fuch a cafe it was tit.2 1.fect.4. thought reafonable that his confent should be asked, not only in a minority, but even in the life-time of the father, or other near kinfman. And the fame power that the king had over his tenants, they had legally over theirs. Glanville affirms, "that if any V.Glanville, " man, having only a daughter, or daughters, to l. vii. c. 12. " inherit his fief, marries her, or them, in his life-" time, without the confent of his lord, he there-" by forfeits his fief for ever, according to law and " the cuftom of the kingdom; fo that he can recover " no part of it, unless by the clemency of his lord." " for which he gives this reafon; because, as the " husband of any female heir is bound to do hom-" age to the lord of the fief for his holding, the " good will of the lord, and his confent to that act, " ought first to be asked, left he should be com-" pelled to receive homage for his fief from his " enemy, or from any other improper or unquali-" fied perfon." This appears to extend equally to all kinds of fiefs for which homage was done, as to those that were held by knight-fervice. But it was more peculiarly neceffary in the latter; left (as a great writer on feudal law has expressed it) the V.Craig,l. ii. tit.21.1est.4. fief, which was given for the defence and service of the lord, should be used to annoy him. And the fame rea-

marry again; but oblige her to give fecurity to the

See the Charters of King John and Henry III, in Blakefton's edition.

fon was applicable to widows, if they married again. Indeed King Henry the First declares in his charter, that he will give no widow in marriage against her own inclination : and the charters of King John and Henry the Third forbid the forcing of any widow to

king, if the holds of him; or to her lord, if the holds of a fubject, that the will not marry a fecond hufband without his confent. Yet it was the fenfe of the law, (as we learn from Glanville) that an V.Glanville, heirefs, who had once been lawfully married, if the 1. vii. c. 12. became a widow, was not to return into the cuftody of her lord; though, if fhe made a fecond marriage, the was under the fame obligation as before, to alk his confent. In the charter of King Henry the First it is faid, that if, upon the death of one of his barons or other tenant in chief, a daughter is left to inherit the eftate, in disposing of her he will take the advice of his barons. But it does not appear from Glanville, that fuch advice was thought neceffary in the time of Henry the Second : nor is any mention made of it in the articles delivered to See the Articles in King John by the barons, or in the great charter of Blackettone. that prince, or in those of his fon. It was indeed an obligation which could not be adhered to without extreme inconvenience; and I doubt not that it had been abrogated by fome flatute, now loft, before Glanville's book was written. It is remarkable, that, neither in that treatife, nor in the charter of King Henry the First, is it faid, (as it is in the demands the barons made to King John) that in the marriage of heirs the advice of their relations ought to be taken : nor (as it ftands in his charter) that, before the marriage shall be contracted, notice is to be given of it to the kindred of the beir. But, on the other hand, we do not find in the charter of Henry the First, nor is it mentioned by Glanville, that either the king or the barons claimed a right to interfere in the marriage of heirs male, even while under wardship. Indeed the reasons, which are given by Glanville, for that feudal power, in the case of female heirs, do not hold in the case of males. Yet it was afterwards thought that, in re- v. Craig de gard to the connection between them and their Jure Feud. lords, which by writers on the feudal law is con-feet 3. & fidered tit. 21. feet. 2,

V. Madox's H.ft. of the Exchequer, P. 322, 323. pittolar. de Migno Rot. Saccarii, in fine Hift.

fidered as fuperior even to the nearest relations of blood, the advice and the confent of their lords, in an affair fo important as their marriage, ought to be asked; and the rather, as from the influence of a wife over the mind of her hufband, it might naturally be prefumed that the intereft of the lords was not a little concerned in the matches made by their vaffals. It alfo appears from the great rolls, that even in the reign of Henry the First fines were paid to the king by his male tenants in chief, for leave & Differt.E- to marry; and by widows, to be at liberty not to marry for a certain time, or not to marry at all, against their liking. This was contrary to his charter ; and the fame evidences atteft, that fuch fines were paid by widows to Henry the Second, though he had confirmed that charter. Under what colour this was done I am not able to difcover : but the right of widows not to be forced to marry again was reafferted by all the charters of King John and Henry the Third.

See Lyttelton's Tenures, l.ii.fect. 106, and notes, and feft. 100. See alfo Craig de Jure 21.c.8,9,10. V.Glanville, 1, yli, C. 12,

The law was careful to forbid any disparagement in the marriage either of male or female heirs, by which was underftood, not only the marrying of them to perfons of much inferior birth and condion, or any way infamous; but also to any who were lame, or greatly deformed, or incapable of having children; or who had any bad infirmity of body or Feud Lii.tit. mind. Glanville likewife delivers it as a rule of law in his time, that if a vallal alked his lord's permission to marry his daughter, being an heirefs, to any perfon, the lord was bound, either to give it, or to fhew a just cause for which he ought to refule it : otherwife fhe was at liberty to marry herfelf, even against his will by the advice of her father, and according to her own inclination. He tells us alfo, that it was a duty incumbent on the lord, to offer a proper match to a female ward in his cuftody, as foon as fhe was of an age to marry, and also to pay her a reasonable portion. These regulations, regulations, and the profit given, by a feudal cuftom in these times, to the king and inferior lords, on the marriage of their valials, conduced to promote propagation and the encrease of the people; for it is probable that few remained long unmarried: but great abuses attended this part of the feudal fyftem, which indeed, in itself, was grievous; and one of the happiest changes made in our constitution, by the wisdom of later times, has been the delivering of ourselvs from so heavy a yoke, and the recovering of that independance, with regard to the disposal of our perfons in marriage, which our Saxon ancestors had enjoyed; and which, if exercised with a due respect to parental authority, is one of the most valuable branches of matural liberty.

Glanville takes notice of only three kinds of aids, v Glanville, which the feudal lord had a right to demand from 1. ix. c 8. his vaffals. One was, to affift him in paying the relief he owed to the king, or any other lord of whom he held his eftate; but this was to be done with moderation, according to the greatness of the fiefs and means of the vaffals : another was, to contribute towards his expence in making his eldeft fon a knight; which ceremony was performed with great pomp in those days; and a third was to help him in the charges of marrying his eldeft daughter : but this was not to be paid a fecond time. The first of these feudal dues is abolished by king John's Magna, Garta, as well as all other aids not granted see K. John's by parliament, except the two last, and one not Charter in Blackefton's mentioned by Glanville, viz. an aid from the vaf- Edition. fals to pay the ranfom of their lord, if he was made captive. This naturally arofe from the principles of the feudal connexion : but it appears from Bract- v.Bract. ii, on, that, in Henry the Third's time, the others, al- c. 16. fea. 8. lowed by the charter of King John, were fuppofed to be paid, by the vaffals, rather as marks of goodwill and affection to their lords, than as proper concomitants of the fervice they owed. Glanville, on v Glanville, Vol. II. the 1. ix. c. 8. P

HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book H.

the contrary, confidered them as due by their tenures. But, both by that author and in the charter, it is faid, that they ought to be taken in reasonable proportions.

L. ix. c. 8.

Glanville makes it a queftion, whether the feudal lord could demand an aid of his tenants for the fupport of his war? And refolves it by faying, that he could not distrain for fuch aid : but they might give it, as a benevolence, and out of affection to their lord : whereas he confiders the aid of relief, as a due, for which the lord, in virtue of his fief, had a legal right to distrain.

It must be here remarked, that reliefs were only paid by those heirs, who were of full age, when they fucceeded to their fiefs; not by those who v Glanville, had been under the cuftody of their lords. And V. Cart. John the reason of this was, that the profits of the cuf-& Hen. III. tody were deemed a fufficient recompense to the lords of those fiefs for renewing them to the heirs of their tenants. Upon the death of a vaf-

fal, who held military fees under feveral lords, re-V. Glanville, liefs were due to them all from the heir ; but the 1. vil. c. 10. cuftody of his perfon belonged to that lord, whole grant was prior to the others. Yet, if it happened that one fief was held of the king, and others of other lords, the cuftody belonged to the king. And the fame rule was observed with regard to the obligation of confulting the lord on the marriage of the ward, not only (fays a very able writer on feuds) because the king could have no equal; (which is the reason affigned for it by Glanville) but because he is the most ancient lord of all fiefs, the original grant and investiture of every fief having been given by him. Notice is taken by Glanville, that, in his time, it was usual for the king to commit to others the cuflody both of the perfons and lands of his wards, either under an account to him for their wardships, or without account, in the nature of a beneficiary grant. Ard. undoubt-1. .

in Blackefton's Edit. Art. 3.

V. Craig, 1. ii. tit. 21. feft. 5.

V. Glanville, 1. vii. c. 10. undoubtedly, inferior lords did the fame. It like-See Madox, wife appears by the great rolls, that the ward-Hift of the fhips of the crown were fold by King Henry the Exchequer. Second : and mention is made of that practice, without any blame, in the charters of King John and Henry the Third.

Upon the decease of a vassal the heir was oblig-ed to do homage as soon as he conveniently could; on Feuds and it being neceffary, in order to preferve the memo- Tenures, c. ry of the tenure, that every new tenant fhould, at his entry, recognize the interest of the lord in the lands for fear that, the feud being hereditary, and new heirs continually fucceeding to it, they might, by degrees, forget their duty, fubstract their fervices, and, in process of time, deny the tenure itself. The lord, on his part, was bound to receive the ho- V.Glanville, mage owing to him, before he could be legally 1. iv. c. 4.6. entitled, either to a relief, if the heir was of full age, or to the cuftody of his perfon and land, if he was a minor; unless fuch minor was of too tender an age to perform it, or the lord had a good reafon to justify his refusal or delay to accept it.

Homage was done by the vaffal on his knees, unarmed and bare headed, and holding both his hands between those of his lord, who was fitting: which ceremonies denoted (according to Bracton) on the 1. ii. c. 35. part of the lord, protection, defence, and warranty; on the part of the tenant, reverence, and fubjection. In a flatute of the 17th of Edward the Second there is fet forth the form of words to be used by the valial, when homage was done to a fubject. He was to fay, " I become your man, from " this day forward, of life, limb, and earthly ho-" nour; I will be true and faithful to you, and " bear to you faith for the lands I hold of you, " faving my faith to our lord the king and his " heirs:" which agrees with the account given by v.Glanville, Glanville of the form that was used in his time. Lix. c. r. After the vallal had faid this, he was to receive a P. 2 kils

212

Goff. Ho-MAGIUM. LITAS. Statut. 17 Edw. II.

V. Radevic. l. vii. c. 2.

SeeSpelman. LITAS. of the Pleas Coke upon Lytt. p. 65.

SeeSpelman. Gloff. Ho-MAGIUM.

nuif, prior.

v. Speimap's kils from his lord, and then rifing up was to take the oath of fealty in the following words : " Hear Ibid. FIDE- " this, my lord, that I will be faithful and loyal " to you, and will bear to you faith for the tenements which I hold of you, and loyally will 66 " perform to you the cuftoms and fervices which " I owe to you, at the terms affigned, fo help me "God and his faints." It was a maxim of law. that bomage draws with it fealty, which likewife was incident to all kinds of tenure, except frankalmoigne. In the year eleven hundred and fifty two, the Emperour Frederick Barbaroffa made a fta-

tute, that in every oath of fealty taken to any of his fubjects, there fhould be a referve of the faith Gloff FIDE- due to him and his fucceffors; which immediate-Hale's Hift. ly was adopted by feveral other nations, where the feudal law was in use, with regard to their foof the crown, vereigns; and the omiffion of that referve was punished in England by a judicial determination under Edward the First.

Homage done to the king was called lige bomage, and was accompanied with the oath of allegiance See alfoFleta expressed in these words : "I become your lige man, 1 iii. c 16 " of life, and limb, and of earthly worthip; and Hale's Hift. " faith and troth I shall bear unto you, to live and of the Pleas " die against all manner of folk : so help me c. 10. p. 70. " God." The ceremony was the fame as in doing ordinary homage to a melne lord. It has been noted in a former part of this work, that Anfelm, archbishop of Canterbury, refused to pay homage to King Henry the First, because fome of the popes, and certain councils held under their influence, had forbidden ecclefiasticks to make such an acknowv. Anselm. ledgement of their dependance on princes. In Bpia.ad Er- one of the epiftles of that prelate we find this expression, I will not become the man of any mortal, nor fwear fealty to any: which refolution he was fupported in by all the ftrength of the papacy: but, after a long and hard contest, Pope Paschal the Second

cond allowed the bishops elect to do homage, and take the oath of fealty, before they were confecrated. This was confirmed by the conftitutions of Clarendon, of which a particular account will be given hereafter ; and from the words of Glanville it appears, V. Glanville, that about the end of Henry the Second's reign Lix.c. 1. homage was accordingly done by bifhops elect : but he tells us, that, after they were confecrated, they took the oath of fealty. This was a material difference from what had been fettled by the conftitutions of Clarendon; and it is furprifing that we have no account of it in the hiftory of the times. Nor is any notice taken in Glanville's treatife, that, by those constitutions, in the oath of the bishop eleft, a clause faving his order was allowed to be inferted: which furely was a referve of a very dangerous nature. It feems to have crept in, during the reign of King Stephen, when many other fuch concessions were made to the church ; for no trace of it appears under King Henry the First. One cannot but wonder that a legal fanction fhould have been given to it at Clarendon by Henry the Second. In the course of the dispute between that monarch and Becket we find the latter making use of it to justify his own conduct; and indeed there was no obligation, contracted by the oath, which might not be eluded and cancelled, according to the doctrines of Rome, by means of that claufe. Whether it remained in the oath of fealty taken after consecration Glanville does not inform us. Sir Thomas Lyttelton fays, in his book of Tenures, " that if an abbot, or a prior, or other man of " religion, shall do homage to his lord, he shall " not fay, I become your man, &c. for that he has " profeffed himfelf to be only the man of God; but " he shall fay thus, I do bomage unto you, and to you I " shall be true and faithful, and faith to you bear for the tenements, which I hold of you, faving the faith " which I owe unto our lord the king." This regards P 2 only

only homage to inferior lords, who were fubjects : but the reason given by Lyttelton, which is the fame with that on which Anfelm grounded his opposition, extends to lige homage. Yet I find no mention in Glanville of this alteration.

Book II

We are affured by contemporary writers of the & Sim. Du. greateft authority, that, in the reign of William the Conquerour, lige homage was done, and fealty was Chron Sax, fworn to that king, not only by his own immediate tenants, but all the confiderable fub-vaffals : which H. Huntind, is a remarkable thing; because in France and & Hoveden. fome other countries, it was understood that the feudal law forbid the fubvaifals to do homage or V. DuCange fwear fealty, on account of their fiefs, to any but 14. & Nouv. those of whom they immediately held them. The Ab exéchro practice of England in this respect was more ade France, greeable to good policy; and very proper to keep up in the minds of the inferior orders of freemen a fenfe of the duty they owed to their fovereign: for in those days it often happened, that, as in their religion, fo in their government, the supreme power was forgotten, and the vulgar worship was paid to the middle powers alone. It also appears from the words of William of Malmfbury, that, homage was done and fealty sworn to the beir apparent of the crown. in the reign of King Henry the First, by all the freemen of England and Normandy, of whatever order or rank they were, and to whatever lord they were vallals. Yet it is not easy to conceive how this could be performed, unless we understand these words with fome reftrictions, as meaning only the most confiderable perfons in all the orders of freemen.

Glanville tells us, that women could take the V.Glanville, oath of fealty, but could not do homage; and that, if they were married, their husbands were to do homage for them. These points of ceremony being important in the law of those times, though they may appear uninteresting at prefent, I have thought it necesseary to give this short account of them, from the most authentick writers. It

V. Malmfb de Hen. 1 1. v. f. 93.

1. 1%. C. I.

It may justly be faid, to the honour of the whole feudal fystem, that all the duties of it were built on the nebleft foundations, viz. bounty and gratitude ; bounty in the lord who beftowed the fief, and gratitude in the vaffal who held it by his grant. From these two principles arose all the connexion between them; and they are the beft principles in human nature. When eftates in land were beftowed as gifts, during pleasure, or as benefices, during life, in the original and infant flate of this fyftem, nothing could be more fimple than the obligations refulting from fuch grants : but when they were made bereditary fiefs, the laws and cuftoms relating to them became more complex, though founded upon the fame reasons. As the property still remained in those who granted these fiefs, and in their beirs after them, there could not be in the vallals any power to alienate, mortgage, or fell them, or to alter the course of hereditary succession, without leave of their lords. And the fame reftraints were reciprocally laid on the lords, because the use and profits of the feudal effates belonged to the vallak. Yet v. Glanville, Glanville fays, that, in his time, every freeman, pof- 1. vii. c. z. feffed of land, might give a part of it with his daughter, or any other woman, as a marriage-portion; or to any perfon, as a reward for fervices done him; or to a religious house or church, if the gift was made in his life time, and with the proper forms of livery and seizin, and in a reasonable proportion. But if any fuch donation was made on a death-bed, it was not valid without the confent of the heir. Such confent was also required to enable a man, who had feveral married fons, to give away, even in his lifetime, any part of the heritage to his youngeft fon : for which Glanville affigns this reafon, that fathers L. vii. c. 1. commonly bear a greater affection to their youngeft fon than their eldeft, which might caufe them to difinherit the eldeft, if that partiality were not restrained. A man, who had no estate of inheri-P 4 tance,

tance, but only a purchafe, might difpofe of the whole of that purchafe to whom he pleafed, by a gift made in his life-time, if he had no child; but if he had one, he could only difpofe of a part; nor could he bequeath it by will, though he had no

L. vii c. 1. child : because (fays Glanville) God only can make an beir. If a man had both land of inheritance and a purchase, he might, in his life-time, give away either a part or the whole of his purchase, without reftraint, and a reasonable part of the inheritance also, over and above the other donation.

L. vii. c 17. Fiefs of all kinds reverted to the lords, if the tenants deceased without heirs; which determination or extinction of the original grant was called an escheat. In case there was any doubt whether the heir was of age, the lord had the cuftody both L. vii. c. 9. of his perfon and fief, till that doubt was decided. And, by a parity of reason, if it was questioned. who had a right to inherit any fief, the lord retained it in his hands while the fuit was depending, as a temporary elcheat, according to Glanville. But if V.Glanville. L vii. c. 17. nobody appeared, to lay claim to it, as the next heir, then it remained a perpetual escheat to the lord, and he had an absolute liberty to dispose of it, as of bis own. There was also another kind of escheat. which was not accidental, but penal. The fief returned to the lord, if the vaffal refused to perform any of the duties required of him by law in virtue of his tenure, or would not acknowledge that tenure, or difmembered the effate, or greatly impaired it, or committed any act of grievous injury or offence against his lord. The same forfeiture was incurred by a military tenant, who forfook his lord in a fight; and if, befides his fief, he had any allodial land, it was forfeited to the king V. Leg. Inæ, by the common law of England. In the cafe of high C. 52. Leg. Canut. treafon, the land, to whatever lord it belonged, was speiman on forfeited to the crown, both by the Saxon and Nor-

spelman on forfeited to the crown, both by the Saxon and Nor-Feuds and man laws. Glanville likewife informs us, that a z<sub>3</sub>. tenant

Book II.

tenant in chief of the crown, convicted of felony, V. Glanville. forfeited thereby to the king, not only his land, but all his goods and chattels, in whatever hands they were found; nor could they be ever recovered by any heir. The fame author fays, that, if an outlaw, or convicted felon, held of any other lord than of the king, all his moveables were the king's : and the land remained for one year in the king's hands, but then reverted to the lord ; yet, not without the fubverfion of the houfes upon it, and rooting up of the trees. The reason of this was a suppolition, that the lord, of whom the felon held, was in fome degree culpable, for want of a proper care in the choice of his tenant; and whatever difturbed the publick peace was an injury to the king. Nevertheless Glanville tells us, that if an outlaw L. vii. c. 17. or convicted felon, who held by mefne tenure, received the king's pardon, neither he nor his heirs could, in virtue of that pardon, recover the land, unlefs by the mercy and favour of his lord, to whom it escheated; because the king's mercy ought not to prejudice the right of another. He adds too, that, in general, if any fubvaffal did or faid any thing, for which he loft his inheritance by judgement of law, it returned as an efcheat to the lord of the fief. One caule of forfeiture, which v. Glapville, he mentions, deserves a particular notice. If al. vii. c. 12. female heir, being a ward in cuftody of her lord, 17. was guilty of incontinence, her eftate became, by that offence, an elcheat to her lord. And, when a fief was divided between feveral fifters, if it was proved that any of them had violated their chaftity, while they were under the cuftody of their lord, the perfons to offending incurred by it a forfeiture of their part of the inheritance to the innocent fifter or fifters; but, if all had fo offended. the whole escheated to the lord.

This was a fevere punifhment for the frailty of a fingle woman, and without example in other laws: but

but it undoubtedly arole, not fo much from a rigorous fenfe of the heinousness of the fault, as from the notion of an advantage due to the lord from the marriage of his ward, which he probably might he desrived of by her being dishonoured. For Glan ille declares, that this forfeiture did not extead to incontinent widows, if they had once been lawfally married; nor difinherit the child of a married woman who broke her conjugal faith, becaufe a fon born in wed ock is always prelumed a lawful heir. But there may have been another reafon for the exempting of widows and wives from this penalty, viz. that, they not being under the cuftody of their lords, their incontinence was no breach of the duty and reverence due from a vaffal, any offence against which was, in the fense of the feudal law, a most grievous crime; gratitude in the vaffal for the obligation conferred on his anceftor, and transmitted to him together with the fief, by the original grant thereof, being (as I have before obferved) one main foundation on which that whoe v. Crag de fystem was erected. Yet we are told by a feudal lawyer of the greatest authority, that the fief was not fea. 12, 14 forfeited, in any cafe whatfoever, by the vaffal's offence against his lord, if the lord had given occa-

fion for it, by a prior offence, or if each had offended against the other at the fame time; because (fays he) it would have been very unjust, that the lord's condition should be mended in confequence of a fault, which he himfelf had either caufed, or fhared in. And it was a general maxim of the feudal law, that a forfeiture of the property of the lord in the fief, and of all his dominion over his vaffal, was as neceffary an effect of any great breach or neglect of the duty which he owed to his vallal, as the forfeiture of the fief was of a fimilar crime or neglect in the vaffal. Indeed this principle, which is fo confonant to natural equity and natural liberty, was the corner ftone of the whole policy fettled in England bv

Jure Feud.

1. iii. tit. 6.

by the Normans. So that our kings, confidered as feudal lords of this kingdom, were bound no lefs to protect their vaffals in all their just rights and privileges, than their vaffals were to ferve them ; and a failure, on either fide, in these reciprocal duties, deftroyed the connexion, and diffolved the obligations of the party offended. The inferior vaffals. in all degrees of fubinfeudation, were likewife, by virtue of the abovementioned maxim, entirely freed from the bond of their homage and fealty to their respective lords, if these did not acquit themselves of what they owe to them, agreeably to the nature and conditions of their original compact. It is therefore very apparent, that the fpirit of this fystem was most abhorrent from tyranny, and that the plan of it, in all it's feveral parts, was defigned as much to refift any oppreflive exertion of power within, as any attacks from foreign enemies.

Another great benefit, ariling from this plan, was the uniting of power to property, which is the fureft bafis upon which all liberty ftands! And as property in England, by degrees, diffused itself wider, from the alterations that were made in those parts of the feudal law which had confined it too much. the power united to it extended itself further, and produced that comprehensive system of freedom. which the whole nation enjoys under our prefent conftitution.

Originally all proper feuds, that is, all of a mili- V. Conflitutary nature, descended, in equal proportions, to all tiones Feudothe fons of a vaffal, but never to daughters. This 1. & 8.1. ii. exclusion of females had been taken off in moft tit. 11. countries, before the Normans came hither : but whether the equal division of all military fiefs continued after that time, and when it abfolutely ceased, is not very clear. The impartibility of them is afcribed, by many writers, to a conflitution made by the emperour Frederick Barbaroffa in the year eleven hundred and fifty two. But earldoms

doms and baronies, which that ordinance chiefly relates to, had before been indivisible, both in England and in France, except in the cafe of a baron leaving feveral daughters, and no fon, at his death. I should therefore suppose that the custom of preferving knights-fees undivided in the courfe of descent, which seemed necessary to enable the military tenant to perform his honourable fervice with the requifite dignity, began to prevail among the English some time before it was settled by law in the empire. And together with that was introduced the right of primogeniture in feudal fucceffions. For when, in order to preferve the tenure entire, only one fon could take it, the eldeft was preferred, as fooneft able to perform the duties of the fee, and most naturally coming into the place of his father. V. Glanville, Certain it is, that, when Glanville's treatife was written, it was the eftablished law of England, that, in a military fief, the eldeft fon fhould fucceed to the whole inheritance. Yet it appears from records, that men frequently held by parts of a knight's-fee; but fuch divisions either arole from marriages with the daughters of a military tenant, who had no fon and feveral daughters; or were made by enfeoffments, and not in virtue of the rule and course of fuccession. Lands held in free focage were equally divided among all the fons, unlefs they were fuch as had been impartible by ancient cuftom; of which fome went to the eldest fon, and others to the Second.

C. 3. ut fuprà.

> 24 .

1. vii. c. 3.

Glanville fays, that, in all eftates, of what nature foever, if an only daughter was left, fhe inherited the whole land; but, if there were more, it was equally parted among them, even in military fiefs: with this diffinction alone, that the capital melluage always went to the eldeft; which was likewife obferved when a division was made of focage lands among feveral fons. He remarks, that if any one of the brothers or fifters, who had been fharers in

in an inheritance, died without iffue, the portion of the deceased was again divided among the furvivors. Upon the division of a fief among feveral daughters, the hufband of the eldeft was to do homage for the whole, and the younger were to perform the fervices due to their lord, by his or her hands. But the heirs of these, even as far as the third generation inclusively, were urder an obligation of doing homage, and paying reliefs for the lands they held, to the heir of the eldeft fifter. If a man had feveral wives, and daughters by all, and by the last an only fon, that fon would in erit the whole eftate of his father : becaufe (fays Glanville) it is a general rule of law, that no woman can ever fhare with a man in any inheritance, unlefs, perhaps, by a special custom, in particular towns, confirmed by long ufage. In the courfe of fucceffion the lineal defcendants were preferred to collaterals. But Glanville speaks of it as a point very doubtful v. Glanville, in his time, whether, upon the death of a man 1. vii. c. 3. leaving iffue a younger fon, and a grandfon by his elder fon, the inheritance ought to go to the fon or to the grandfon. And it is justly observed by a fine writer, in a learned treatife lately published on British Antiquities, that there is no question in law See Estays which has afforded a greater field, not only for law ubjects confuits, but for bloody and cruel wars. Glanville de- uff Antig. cides it thus, " That the grandion by the elder fon ... 138. should be preferred to the younger son, if the el-V. Glanville, der had not been forisfamiliated by the grandfather: which term of law he explains to mean an aff gnment made by the grandfather, during his life-time, of part of his land to his eldeft for, and teifin thereof given to him, at his own request and defire; it being underftood that fuch affignment would bar any claim, in the heirs of the perfon who took it, to the rest of the inheritance. But if a vallal's eldest fon had done homage to his lord, of whom the effate was immediately held, for his paternal inheritance. i itte

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book IT

V.Glanville, it was clear, according to Glanville, that, in cafe he l. vii. c. 3. died before his father, a fon left by him would fuc-

10. merlet. See alfo Enmanner of creating

ceed to the eftate in preference to an uncle. Ne-V Mag. Rot. verthelefs, there is among the records in the Ex-Joan. Reg. chequer a remarkable inftance of a preference given Rot. 11. 6. Dorfet & So- to a fon by a fecond wife before a fon by a first wife, in the fucceffion to a barony, by King Henry the Seguiry into the cond; because he thought the younger brother a better foldier than the elder. This feems to contradict what Peers, p. 5. is affirmed by Glanville, concerning the right of the

eldeft fon to fucceed to the whole in military fiefs, V. Lib. Feu- and to have been a remainder of the ancient feudal dor. I. tit. 1. law, which, on the death of a vallal leaving feveral fons, gave a power to the lord of the fief to confer it on any one of those fons, according to his own pleasure. But it may be presumed that such inftances rarely occurred, and that the right of primogeniture in military fiefs foon became univerfal. as we find that by degrees it grew to prevail even V. Glanville, in lands held by focage tenures. Glanville fays, L vii. c. 13, that no baftard could lawfully inherit : but the ba-14, 15. stardy was to be proved in the spiritual court. He alfo informs us, that a queftion arole in his time. whether a fon, begotten, or born, before marriage, could, by the fublequent marriage of his parents, acquire a right to inherit; and he declares, that, although by the canons of the church and Roman laws, fuch a fon would be efteemed a legitimate heir, be could in no wife be maintained in the inheritance by the low and custom of England : but in case of a dispute concerning the fact, it was to be fent, by the king's writ, to the fpiritual court, and tried before the ecclefiaftical judge, who was to acquaint the king or his justiciary with the judgement thereupon, according to which the inheritance was to be either adjudged or denied to the fon, by the judgement of the king's court.

This decision of Glanville is very remarkable; as it shews the entire independance of the law of England

England on the canon and civil laws in his time. The fame author fays, that a widow was entitled to V Ganville, a third part of the land for her dower, in every & fequent. freehold possessed by her husband at the time of their marriage, unlefs he had affigned to her a leffer portion of it, at the door of the church, when they were going to be married. But if he had given a greater, it was to be reduced to that, by the sheriff of the county, upon the king's writ, which the heir was entitled to demand. In dividing the land the capital meffuage was always excepted, and kept entire to the heir; as was likewife the head manor, in cafe the freehold contained more manors than one. It will not be neceffary to enumerate here all the other cafes and points of law relating to dower, which are mentioned by Glanville : but there is one which feems to merit a particular notice. He tells us, " that in confequence of a divorce on account of too near a relation between the parties, though the wife loft her claim of dower, yet, by the law of the realm, her children could inherit, and fucceed to their father by hereditary right." As fuch a feparation fuppofed a nullity in the marriage, the children must, in strictness, have been bastardifed by it : but as the canonical prohibitions extended fo far, that divorces on this account very frequently happened, after a cohabitation of many years in a ftate of wedlock fuppofed lawful, there was much humanity and equity in this law.

The rules of fuccefilon in earldoms and baronies were the fame, during thefe times, as in other eftates held by military fervice. The lands annexed to those dignities could not be divided, except when it happened that an earl, or baron, at his death, left no iffue male, and more than one daughter : but by fuch partitions it came to pafs, in pro-see Madox's cefs of time, that fome baronies were fplit into very Baron. c. 3. fmall parts. Thus we find by a record, that, in the eighteenth year of King Richard the Second, Walter Walter de Ramesey, knight, acknowledged before the barons of the Exchequer, that he held certain lands of the king in chief by the fervice of the bundredth part of a barony, viz. the barony of Byfet. which, in the reign of Edward the First, had been divided among three daughters, and then fubdivided into other smaller portions. But in the times from the accellion of William the First, to the death of Henry the Second, I find none divided into more than three parts.

Book II.

V. Madox, ibidem.

V Madox, ibidem.

In all these partitions the relief of the tenant was proportioned to the quantity held. It appears, that in the reign of Henry the Second fome lands were taken out of the barony, or bonour, of Wallingford, and granted to Geoffry, one of the king's natural fons, by writ of Ranulf de Glanville, jufticiary of the realm. And in the fame reign, Earl John granted a manor belonging to the honour of Glocefter to John la Warre, which he and his heirs were to hold of that prince and his heirs, by the fervice of balf a knight.

These were the principal alterations, introduced by the Normans, into the laws of property in this kingdom, till after the death of Henry the Second.

It feems a wonderful thing, that any freeholders poffeffed of alodial eftates should ever have been willing to convert them into fiefs, fubject to the fervices, burthens, and entails above-mentioned ! Yet it is certain that, in fact, fuch alterations were desired. The reasons given for it are these. The poffeffors of fiefs had feveral privileges, which other Loix, I. xxxi. freemen had not: a higher value was fet on their perfons; the compositions for injuries done to them were greater ; which was an important diffinction. when most offences were punished by pecuniary fines, according to rates afcertained and fixed by law : and, what feems to have weighed more than any other reasons, they who held by knight-fervice were exempted from tallage and many other impofitions.

V. Montelquien de l'Esprit des C. 7.

litions, which fell heavy on the possessor of alodial eftates. I may add, that the near connexion contracted with the king by feudal tenures in chief, a connexion exceeding that of common allegiance, must naturally have been deemed a great advantage; and particularly, as the being invefted with a military fief implied an honourable opinion in the fovereign of the valour of the feudatory. Nor was the fervice required, in return for fuch a fief, then accounted fo burthenfome, as at prefent it may feem; the martial fpirit, which prevailed among all ranks of men, but more especially among the gentry, recommending to them an engagement, which gave them occasions of encreasing their reputations and fortunes. The fame reafons, in a lower degree, induced the inferior freeholders to connect themfelves with those of a higher dignity and condition, by the mutual bond of a feudal tenure. Laftly, the fashion of the times did, in this instance, as in others, incline the minds of men rather to look at the benefits, than to confider the inconveniences attending that ftate, which refulted from fuch contracts. But it must be observed that, in England, the ancient cuftoms of the nation made more refiftance to this fyftem, than appears to have been opposed to it in other parts of Europe, or even in Scotland; and the continued attachment to those cuftoms had the effect of correcting and mitigating the rigour of the feudal laws in this kingdom, fo as always to temper, and at last to abolish, whatever in them was oppreflive, or contrary to good government and general freedom:

Baronies were originally created by feoffment. v. Baronis, Mr. Madox fays truly, "that no man, or number 1. i.c. 1. " of men, without the king, could ever make an P 23, 24. " earl, or baron. Every honour originally passed p. 241. " from the king, and upon every change, by death, " or otherwife, returned to the king again, and re-" mained in his hand, until he commanded feizin. Vola II. deltada IQLa another of " of

" of it to be delivered to his homager, according " to the cuftom of noble fiefs." Yet it must be underftood, that the honour, or barony, fo created by the crown, or fo delivered back again out of the hands of the king, was annexed to certain lands, which were composed of knights-fees, and held of the crown by knights-fervice. For, till long after thefe times, all baronies were territorial, and poffessed by tenure alone; not by writ, or by patent.

Befides the military fervice, which every baron was obliged to, in virtue of his fief, he was alfo bound to attend the king in his parliament and fupreme court of juffice, to affift in his judgments, and give him faithful counfel, in all matters concerning the dignity of his crown and the good of his realm. But, although this was one of the feudal duties annexed to baronial lands in this kingdom, by the introduction of those tenures which were derived to us from Normandy, yet the attendance of the nobility in parliamentary meetings had an origin much more ancient than the Norman government here, being as old as the English monarchy, and the birth-right of the chief men of the Anglo-Saxon nation, even from the first fettlements they made in Great Britain; as it had been in the countries from whence they came.

It appears that baronies differed greatly in the number of knights-fees, whereof they confifted. One of the imalleft of which I find any record, is that of Hwayton in Northumberland, which Richard de Cramavil held of King John by the fervice of three knights. The fame man held another, which had belonging to it no lefs than fixty knights-fees, viz. the honour of Tickill. As, therefore, it was not the poffeffion of many knights-fees which conftituted a barsee Madox's on, fo neither was it the holding in chief of the king. Hift of the For Mr. Madox, in his hiftory of the Exchequer, Exchequer, c.14. p.370. gives us the plea of Thomas de Furnival; who being amerced as a baron, faid be was no baron, though he acknowledged that he held the manor

See the Conflitutions of Clarendon.

See Dugdale's Baron. f. 107.

Exchequer,

226

of

of Sheffield in chief of the king. It likewife ap-Ibidem, c. 10, pears that in the thirteenth year of Henry the Third P. 218. John de Baliol was charged with a hundred and fifty pounds, as the relief for thirty knights-fees held by his father of the king, viz. five pounds for each fee; whereas, if he had held those fees as a barony, he would have paid for the whole, collectively, but one hundred pounds. Neverthelefs he see the had a barony, viz. that of Biwel in Northumber-Charters of Henry III. land, which he held by the fervice of five knights-Madox's fees, and of finding thirty foldiers for the guard Hift, of the Exchequer, of Newcastle, He also held the lordship of Hiche ut supra. as an augmentation of his barony, by the gift of King Henry the Second to his grandfather, and by the fervice of two knights-fees. In the reign of King John feveral manors were held of the crown, by the fervice of one knight's-fee for each. Sir William Dugdale mentions three fo held by one man. But See Dug-most baronies, if not all, confisted, in the times of age, p. 107. Henry the Second and his four predeceffors, of more Clavering. than one manor.

Every earl had a barony annexed to his earldom, V.Spelman's and, as the relief of an earl appears to have been NOR. the fame with that of a baron, viz. one hundred pounds, it may be supposed that he paid it on account of his barony, which was a land-eftate, and not of his earldom, which was an office. This was a high fine for the fmaller baronics, being equivalent to at least fifteen hundred pounds in these days. It feems furprifing that as baronies differed fo much in the number of knights-fees whereof they confifted, the charters of King John and Henry the Third should establish no difference in the reliefs they were charged with : but from hence it feems probable, that even the leaft were of fuch value, as to be able to bear that charge, without any grievous hardship on the posseffors. And, perhaps, the confideration of this inequality may have been one of the reasons, which induced the legislature, un-Q. 2 der

der Henry the Second, to leave these payments difcretionary and under no certain rule; to the intent that the crown might make the proper difference, in eafe of poorer barons: which did well, while the difcretion was favourably used : but it was afterwards found more prudent to limit the fum to one hundred pounds.

See Hift. of the Exchequer, c. 10.

If two or more baronies happened to be vefted in the fame man, they did not confolidate in his p. 217. 220. perfon, but he held them diftinct, and was chargeable with a separate relief for each barony; as appears by the rolls in the cafe of the earl of Glocefter, who in the fecond year of King Henry the Third paid a hundred pounds relief for the honour of Glocefter, a hundred pounds for the honour of Clare, a hundred pounds for the honour of St. Hilary, and fifty pounds for the moiety of Earl Giffard's honour.

The grants made by William the Conquerour to fome of his barons, but more especially to his earls, v. Ordericus were excellively great. For inftance, to Geoffry Vital. p.523. bishop of Constance he gave two hundred and eigh-

ty manors in England; to Ranulf de Baynard eighty five, and to Roger de Bufli a hundred and forty See Domef nine. Odo bishop of Bayeux, whom he made earl of Kent, had in that county, and in feveral others, Counties, & four hundred and thirty nine lordships. Robert earl Brady's Hift. W. I. p. 198, of Mortagne, on whom he bestowed the earldom of Cornwall, had, in that and other counties, feven hundred and thirty three manors. The honour of Richmond in Yorkshire had a hundred and fixty fix lordships; besides which the earl possesfied, by the gift of the king, his father-in-law, two hundred and feventy fix, in other parts of the kingdom. The honour of the earl of Clare comprised a hundred and thirty one fees of the old feoffment, that is, of which the earl's anceftors had been enfeoffed before the death of King Henry the First : and

day Book in the feveral 200, 200.

V. Madox's Baron. c. 5. Hift. of the Exchequer, p. 398.

and to these were added nine fees, and the fourth part of a fee, of the new feoffment. Ordericus Vitalis fays, in his history, that Wil- V.Ord.Vital.

liam of Warren complained to Robert duke of 1.xi. p. 804. Normandy, the eldeft fon of the Conquerour, that he had fuffered a great lofs for his fake, by lofing the earldom of Surrey, which produced to him annually a thousand pounds of filver. The greatest part of this income must have been drawn from the barony annexed to the earldom; though the third part of the profits arising to the crown from the pleas of the county court were given to the earl, see Madox's For it appears by the rolls, that in the fixth year of Baronia, i. i. the reign of King Henry the Second the *third penny* Magn. Rot. of Effex was but forty pounds ten fhillings and ten <sup>6</sup> H. II. pence; of Hertford thirty three pounds one fhilling and eight pence, and in the fixteenth year of that Ibidem, Mag reign the earl of Norfolk received, on account of Rot. 16 H.II. this perquifite, but fixteen pounds thirteen shillings and four pence, for a half yearly payment. It varied indeed a little in different years, unless where the revenue of the county was fermed at a certain fum by the fheriff. But there feems fomething extraordinary in the value that was fet by William of Warren upon his earldom of Surrey: for by the accounts in the Exchequer we find, that much lefs was taken by King Henry the Second for the ferms see Madox's of other earldoms escheated to the crown. It must Baronia, I. i. indeed be supposed, that the fermers had good bar-Magn. Rot. gains, and did not usually pay fo much to the king H. II. Rot. in their rent, as the earl received from the earldom; because some profit was allowed them in return for their trouble. But if we value the Englifh earldoms, one with another, at only half of what we are told the earldom of Surrey produced, that moiety, being equivalent, on the loweft computation, to an income of feven thousand five hundred pounds in these days, was in itself no mean provision for fupporting even the highest degree of Qaren nobility :

nobility: and we then had no higher. Yet this was not all the wealth of the English earls in that age. Most, if not all of them, had, exclusive of their earldoms, and of the baronies annexed to them, many more baronies, manors, and lordships, in other parts of the kingdom. Among the Saxons it was usual for many earldoms to be conferred on the fame perfon.

V. Flor. Wig. fub ann. 1051. Sreiman's Gloff. Dux, p. 190.

In the reign of Edward the Confessor, we find that Godwin, was earl of Kent, of Suffex, and of all the Weft Saxon counties. His eldeft fon, Swain, was, at the fame time, earl of Oxfordshire; Gloceftershire, Herefordshire, Somersetshire, and Berkfhire; and his fecond fon, Harold, of Effex, Hun-tingtonfhire, Cambridgefhire, Norfolk, and Suffolk. All this power in one family must necessarily break the balance of the ftate, and eftablish in it a kind of oligarchy, as it appears that it did, during the greatest part of that reign. But the Saxon earldoms were not hereditary : for, although they were fometimes permitted to defcend from father to fon, it was not by any right, or claim of inheritance, but only by the indulgence and favour of the king. In the reign of William the Conquerour, all the earldoms of England, as well as the baronies, being rendered hereditary, and defcending even to minors, the earls became more independent of the crown; and a more complete ariftocracy was thereby eftablished; but, happily, by their number, they were a check on each other; for it rarely chanced that either by marriage, or the courfe of defcent, more that two earldoms were united in the fame perfon; and the power of the greater barons was little inferior to that of the earls. Mr. Selden, in his learned treatife on Titles of Honour, has laboured much to prove, that earls were not, in the Norman policy, as they appear to have been during the Saxon, governors of the counties under the king. But, though feveral parts of the business of the crown, and more particularly, all that concerned the

Pa. ii c. 5. sect. 12.

the revenue, were administered by the sheriffs, yet it feems clear enough, that the earls were the chief officers under the crown in the two higheft trufts, viz. the judicature of the county and the command of the military force thereof, after the Normans came in, as well as before. Indeed, there is reafon to think, that in process of time, and, perhaps, as early as the reign of King Henry the Second, they grew neglectful of their duty in their feveral county courts; fo that generally the fheriffs prefided there in their stead, though not deputies under them, but officers of the crown. Yet they continued long afterwards to receive the third penny out of the pleas in those courts, or a certain fum in lieu thereof. which Sir H. Spelman confiders as the falary of their V. Gloff Cooffice. And of their right to command the military MES, P 141. force, belonging to their counties, no light proof may be drawn from the appellations of dux and conful given to them, in the Latin hiftories of those times. The form of girding them with a fword, See Titles when they were invefted with their earldoms, was of Honour, likewife ftrongly expressive of a military commission pat, ii. c. 5. fect. 13. appertaining to the office and dignity of an earl. But it must be remarked, that the command of the provincial militia was different from that, which the Norman earls were entitled to, over their own immediate vaffals; the latter being feudal and territorial; whereas the former was derived from the ancient right of their offices, and feems to have been of the nature of a lieutenancy in the counties under the crown.

The number of earls was determined by the number of counties over which they prefided : fo that the king could not regularly create any more : but there might be fewer from the fame perfon having two or more earldoms. During the confusion v. Mdmfb. of the civil war in the reign of King Stephen, that Hift. nov. prince created fome bonorary or titular earls, who 1138. et had no counties, and whole dignity he maintain- Chro. Norm.

ed, 1154.

ed, in an extraordinary manner, by grants of crownlands. This he did to oblige fome of the barons of his party, whofe ambition he could find no other means to gratify: but, though, for fome ages paft, the cuftom of the kingdom has admitted fuch a prerogative to be unqueftionably in the crown, it was then thought irregular; and therefore Henry the Second, in the first year of his reign, deprived those earls of their titles, and refumed the grants of crown-lands given to fupport their new honours.

It fometimes happened that alliances contracted by matches between the families of great earls did fo extend and augment their power in the kingdom, as to render it dangerous to the ftate. But, on the other hand, the animolities and family quarrels, which often inflamed thefe petty princes againft each other, divided and weakened their power: and were, perhaps, as advantageous to the liberty of the nation as hurtful to its peace. There never yet was any government fo perfectly good, as not to have fome inherent, conftitutional evils; nor any fo bad, but that the evils arifing from it would in fome measure correct and restrain one another. This appeared in the plan of policy fettled here by the Normans. As the vigour and spirit infused into it did often, by the irregularity of it's working, and the continual ferment which it raifed, produce a feverish heat, fo we find that some diffempers, which would have been otherwife fatal to it, were thrown off by this heat. And fome exceffes of the royal prerogative, which have fince beenwifely controuled, operated as remedies in that fyftem against the immoderate authority of the nobles; while both these powers were checked by the arms entrusted to great numbers of the inferior freeholders, in confequence of the tenures, by which they held their estates. But the regular force of a government more equally tempered, and orderly applications to parliament for the redrefs of any grievances, which might otherwife be too ftrong for the ordinary courts of justice,

## OF KING HENRY II.

juffice, are much better fecurities, under our prefent conftitution, to the liberty of the fubject, the dignity of the nobles, and the majefty of the crown, than the frequent collifion and ftruggle of those jarring powers, which, though they prevented the eftablishment of any *fixed tyranny*, disturbed the quiet, and discomposed the harmony of the state.

Book II.

Among the English earls fome were invefted, by see Titles of the crown with higher powers than the reft, pof-Honour, P.i. fefling in their earldoms a regal jurifdiction fo that c. 5. feet. 8. the king's writ of ordinary justice did not run there. The Saxons in England had fuch (as Mr. Selden has fhewn) though they did not give the title of Counts Palatine to them; a title which feems to have been first used in the times of King Henry v Joh. Sathe Second. The earldom of Chefter was granted in de Negis by William the Conquerour to one Gherbod, a Cur. 1. vi. c. Flemith baron, and afterwards to Hugh d'Avran-Order, vital. ches, otherwife called Hugh Lupus, to be held un- iub ann. der the crown, by him and his heirs, with fuch a jurifdiction, that they had their courts both of Selden's Ticriminal and civil justice, and their barons, as their nour, as agreat council; every one of whom had alfo a bove. De Nugis court under him, in the fame manner as those Curiel, ut fubarons who held of the King. John of Salif-pra. bury gives the title of Palatine to all the Englifh earls upon the marches of Wales, becaufe they likewife enjoyed a regal jurifdiction within the extent of those marches : and Hugh de Belesme, See Titles of who was earl of Shrewsbury in the reign of Wil-c. 5. feat. 8. liam Rufus, is therefore called a Palatine in fome v. Baroniam, records of the time of Edward the First. Mr. Ma-1. ii. c. 1. dox observes, "that several of the lords marchers p. 154-" had a fort of regality, which made their feig-" neuries look like palatinates, They had the first " cognifance of all caufes and plaints within their " lordships; they had their chancery, their justi-" ciers, and other great officers, with an extensive " jurifdiction belonging to the chief court of their " honour."

Our

Our kings were induced to make these grants, that the borders of their kingdom might be defended by the arms, and at the charge of these noblemen, refiding there, against the continual inroads of the Welch; and that the conquests made in Wales might be maintained in the fame manner. We find too that the fame motive produced fimilar grants upon the borders of Scotland. The entire profits of the county were given to every earl Palatine, for the better support of his dignity. or rather as a fruit of the regality he enjoyed; whereas other earls had only a third part. In truth, these lords were entrusted with a much greater authority, than any fubject, in a well-conftituted monarchial state, should ever posses : but yet neither they, nor any other English peers, could pretend to a legal right, as the great vallals of the crown did in France, to confederate with foreign powers, unauthorifed or unlicenfed by their own fovereign: a right fo incompatible with order and government, that one is furprifed it could ever be admitted in that, or any other kingdom. Confederacies indeed for their mutual defence among the vallals of the crown appear to have been accounted not illegal in England : and in Stephen's reign there are examples of fome English earls making treaties of that nature the one with the other, during the rage of civil war: but even those treaties had a referve of their fidelity to their fovereign particularly expressed.

It is a remarkable thing, that all the charters now extant for the creation of earls (the moft ancient of which were granted by Matilda) make no mention of any determined number of knights which the earls were bound to provide. The reafon of this I imagine to have been, that the knightsfees which they poffeffed belonged to the barony annexed to the earldom, not to the office or dignity of an earl: and as other baronies differed in the number number of knights-fees by which they were held, to likewife did thefe. The most that I find in see Madox's any barony of an earl were in the honour of Glo-Baron. p. 93. cefter, which, during the reign of King John, had three hundred and twenty feven knights, belides a tenth and a twentieth part of a knight, that is, lands charged with knight fervice in those proportions. From many inftances it appears, that it was not the rank or dignity of the tenant, but only the extent and goodness of the lands a barony was compofed of, by which the number of knights-fees belonging to it was determined, and that the proportions in which these lands were granted, whether to earls or to barons, were often very unequal.

The great hereditary offices under the crown are Baron. p. called by Mr. Madox officiary bonours; and he fays, 157 1. ii. that when a lord had a land bonour and one of thefe. he had two diffinct bonours vested in him. The fame His of the author obferves, that the greater vaffals or tenants, Ester 5. of earls, barons, and prelates, were fometimes called barons; for which, in another place, he gives this reason : " The earls and great lords did then, Baron I i. " in many particulars, imitate the form and fa- c 6. p. 133, " fhion of the king's court. As the king had, fo 154-" had they, their dapifers or fencichals, chamber-" lains, and other officers in their households, and " likewife abroad their barons, or chivalerian te-" nants." But these (he says) were styled improperly barons, and only by way of refemblance. It feems to me that all who held of the great lords by knight-fervice were not usually called their barens, but only those who were fo confiderable, as to have under them other knights, or military fubvalials. We find in fome charters, that the magistrates or chief citizens of London, York, Warwick, and o- V. Spelman's Gooff Baro. ther principal cities, were honoured with that title. It was even extended to all the judges in a county Ibidem. BAcourt. But in these instances the word is used very MITATUS. loofely.

The

The name of viscount in those days was not a title of honour, but fignified only a sheriff. The principal functions of this office are thus defined by Mr. Madox, in his very accurate hiftory of the Exchequer: " It was the fheriff's duty to do the " justice of his county, to keep the publick peace, " to ftock and improve the king's lands, and to C. xxiii. p. " collect the king's revenue." It appears that in time of war he also performed fome military functions; and the above-cited author has observed, " that he usually was the prafect or governor of the c. I. p. 145. " king's caftle in his county." It is faid that among V. Spelman's the Anglo-Saxons this officer was elected in the coun-Gloff Vice- ty court by the people : and in the reign of King Henry the First the citizens of London paid a fine to that Prince of a hundred marks of filver, that they Rot. 5 Steph might have the privilege of chusing their sheriffs of the Exche themfelves. But no inftance occurs of fuch a li-See also Dif- berty in the counties after the entrance of the Normans, till the statute made by Edward the First Magno Rot. in the 28th year of his reign, by which he granted to his people, that they shall have election of their sheriff in every shire where the shrivalty is not of fee, if they lift. Nor did that act of parliament continue long unrepealed. In the times of which I write, the sheriffs had the counties committed to See Madox's them respectively, by the king, at his pleasure, ei-Hift. of the ther in cuftody, or at ferm-certain. The Empress Matilda made a convention with Geoffry earl of Manuíc. W. Effex, by which, among other things, fhe grant-Dugdale in MufeoAfhm. ed to him the fhrivalty of London and Middlefex, at three hundred pounds yearly ferm, and that of Hertfordshire at forty pounds, as his grandfather held them. Three hundred pounds were then equivalent to at leaft four thousand five hundred now, and forty to fix hundred. It appears by the rolls, that, under Henry the First Richard Basset and Aubrey de Vere were joint-sheriffs of eleven counties. This was extraordinary : but there are feveral inftances

Baron, I. ii. COMES.

V. Magn. sertatio de

Excheq. c. 23. p. 634. Oxon. Madox, ut fuprà.

ftances under different kings of two or three being committed to the fame perfon. Urfo d'Abitot, in the reign of William the Conquerour, was made sheriff of Worcestershire, and the office was granted in fee to him and his heirs. Neverthelefs it appears that his fon was turned out of it by Henry the First, for having ordered one of the fervants of that king to be flain. But it went to his fifter, and, s-eDugdales in her right, to her hufband, Walter de Beauchamp, Bason. from whom it descended, by inheritance, to Wil- of Elmley. liam, their fon, who in the reign of Henry the Second was also sheriff of three other counties, viz. those of Hereford, Glocester, and Warwick. Archbishops and bishops were fometimes appointed the- V. Hoveden, riffs. In the reign of King Richard the Firft, Wil- Mark Hif. liam, bishop of Ely, who was chancellour at that of the Exch. time, offered to give the king for the fhrivalty of P. 635. the feveral counties of York, Lincoln, and Northampton, fifteen hundred marks in hand, and a hundred marks increment (that is, above the usual ferm) every year for each county. But the archbishop of York outbid him for Yorkshire, and was made theriff thereof, on the payment of three thoufand marks for that county alone, and the yearly increment of three hundred. Three thousand marks were then equivalent to thirty thousand pounds in these days. This auction of a ministerial and judicial office, of the highest trust and importance, was a fcandalous thing, and what does not appear to have been ever practifed by Henry the Second.

It feems a strange policy in William the Conquerour, and fome of his fucceffors, to have grant-see Selden's ed, as they did, the office of theriff to certain earls Titles of Hoin their own counties. For by this means they loft nour, par. ii. that neceffary check on the provincial authority of those mighty peers, which the crown usually had in the power of the theriff, and much encreased their see Madox's influence over the people. In the great roll of the Baron. 1. ii. 15th of Henry the Second mention is made of P. 144, 145.

the

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

the viscountess of Beaumont, and in other years of that reign one or two others are mentioned, who, I prefume, had inherited the office of fheriff, and bore the name jointly with their husbands, who executed the duties thereof. For fo early as in the eleventh century it appears from ancient records. that there was in France an hereditary vifcountefs of Maine, whole hulband was viscount or theriff of that county in right of his wife. Certainly, the permitting an office of this kind to defcend by inheritance, and even to females, may be reckoned among the faults of our old conftitution. When it happened to fall to an infant, or unmarried woman, it must have been executed by a deputy : and I find an inftance in the reign of King Henry the of the Exche. Third of a deputation given to Hugh de Babingc. 23 p.644. ton, by Walter archbishop of York, to keep unby, which that monarch had committed to him as theriff. But this could not be done without the

Madox's Hif.

der him the two counties of Nottingham and Derleave of the king, and a writ to the barons of the Exchequer, fignifying his acceptance of fuch deputation.

Many offices of the palace were rendered hereditary by William the Conquerour and our first Norman kings; which must have added very much to the power of the nobles, particularly the great offices of conitable, mareichal, chamberlain, and fenefchal. What authority and jurifdiction belonged to the conftable we may partly learn from a ftatute of the 13th of Richard the Third, wherein it is faid, " that he ought to have cognifance of contracts touching feats of arms and of war out of the realm, and also of fuch things relating to arms or war within the realm, as could not be determined or difcuft by the common law, with other ufages and cuftoms appertaining to the fame matters, which other conftables before that time had duly Hift. of the and reafonably used." Madox fays, "he was a Exchequer, high c. 11. p. 27.

high officer both in war and peace;" and obferves that the word fignified a captain or commander. Yet I do not find that in the reign of Henry the Second those who were constables to that king, namely Henry de Effex and Humphrey de Bohun, ever had the chief command in his armies. On the contrary, fome other noblemen are mentioned as generals and commanders in chief where the king himself was not present. Henry de Essex was beredi-see Dugdale. tary standard bearer of England : but whether that Baron Effex, honour belonged to him as conftable, or was a dif-Hut of the tinct office, held by him together with the other, Excheq. p. does not clearly appear. That he was conftable under Henry the Second is evident by two charters given in that reign. This dignity was for- Madox, ut feited by him, as well as his barony, in confequence of his duel with Robert de Montfort, and was afterwards poffeffed by Humphrey de Bohun, in the fame reign, by virtue of his marriage with Margaret, eldeft daughter to Milo earl of Hereford, who, by the death of her brothers, became heirefs to all her father's honours, of which this was one. How it had come from that family to Henry de Effex we are not told. But from the time of the abovementioned marriage it continued in the Bo-Baron.Here-huns for ten generations. It appears by a record, ford, Camd. and Madox's that, in the reign of Edward the Third, Humphrey Hift. of the de Bohun, the last of that name, held several manors Excheq. P. of the king by the fervice of being constable of Eng-V. Spelman. land. And in the reign of Henry the Eighth it Glosf. Con-TESTABUwas decreed by all the judges, " that this office LARIUS. might be annexed to lands and defcend even to fe-males, who, while they remained unmarried, might vill. appoint a deputy, to do the fervice for them; but after marriage it was to be done by the husband of the eldeft alone." They also declared, " that the fervice was not extinct, though part of the lands for which it was done, fell into the hands of the king, to whom it was due; but remained entire in the eldeft

Book H.

eldeft daughter : yet that the king might refuse the fervice, not to be forced to use the ministry of an unworthy perfon." Which expedient the king took, rather than admit the claim of the duke of Buckingham, who derived his title to it from the eldeft daughter of the last Humphrey de Bohun. And after the death of that duke the office was never revived. The author of the dialogue de Scaccario. written under King Henry the Second, in defcribing the bufinefs done by the conftable at the Exchequer, where he had a feat by virtue of his office. fays, that when the mercenary foldiers of the king came to receive their pay there, it was his duty to examine their demands, and accounts, with the help of his clerk, and fee that the fums due to them were paid at the proper terms. From hence it appears, that, belides the feudal militia, fome mercenary foldiers were kept in pay by King Henry the fecond. Thefe I fuppofe to be men whom he hired to ferve him inftead of the military tenants, who paid elcuage to him by way of commutation for personal service.

Hift. of the Exchequer, c. 2. p. 33.

See Rymer, vol. ii. p. 783.

Mr. Madox, defcribing the office of the king's mareschal, or mareschal of England, fays it was executed partiy in the king's army, in time of war, and partly in his court, in time of peace. Of the Ibidem, p. 11, military functions of this officer he tells us nothing more, than that he and the conflable were to give certificates to the barons of their having duly performed the fervice required of them in the king's armies; which feems to thew that these officers had a legal fuperintendancy over those armies. But, from other accounts, it appears, that in Edward the First's reign the mareschal's post was in the vanguard, and that it was his duty and the conftable's to muster the forces. His civil duties were (as Madox has collected them from ancient records) to provide for the fecurity of the king's perfon in his palace, to diffribute the lodgings there, to preferve peace

240

L. i. p. 10.

peace and order in the king's houfehold, and to aflift in determining controverfies arifing among them. He also performed certain acts, by himself or his fubftitutes, at the king's coronation, at the marriages and interments of the royal family, at the creating of barons and knights, and at other great and ceremonious affemblies in the king's court. It is faid in the dialogue de Scaccario above- P. 10. mentioned, that no bufinefs of importance ought Excheq. c. 2. to be done without his being confulted. Under p. 31. Henry the Second this office was held by a family, Marechal who feem to have taken their name from thence, tarl of Pem-broke, p. 600, and were only of the rank of barons: but under 601. Richard the Firft William Mareichal having obtain- Spolm. Gloff. ed the earldom of Pembroke was ityled Earl Mare- CHALLUS. fchal; and as, from that time, the office remained in the poffession of earls, though of different houses, that title alfo continued; and the power of it feems to have encreafed from the dignity of the noblemen who held it. In it's first fense it fignified mafter of the horfe to the king.

The office of high chamberlain, or the king's cham- V. Dugd. berlain, (as this officer was ufually called in that age) Vere. was of eminent dignity and great power in the court. It was given by Henry the First, on the forfeiture of Robert Malet, to Alberic de Vere and his heirs : which grant was afterwards confirmed to the fon of Alberic by Matilda: but I doubt whether this lord continued to enjoy it under Henry the Second : for other perfons are named as chamberlains in the rolls of that reign.

The office of fenefchal under the fame king was Dugd.Baron. poffeffed by Hugh Grentefmeinil baron of Hinkley, meinil, and who leaving no iffue male, it defended to Petronilla, Leicefter. his eldest daughter, and in her right to her hufband, Robert de Bellomont, earl of Leicester, furnamed Blanchemains, and fon to the Grand-jufficiary, of whom mention has been frequently made in this book. It was at all times a great office; but the VOL. II. R iurifdiction

jurifdiction of it encreafed much, when the Grand-justiciary's was diminished; which did not happen till after the decease of King Henry the Second. Indeed these offices could not possibly have fubfifted together, in the height of their power : the functions and dignity appertaining to each of them having been nearly the fame. But, in the times I write of, that of fenefchal was much inferior to the other; and the authority of it feems to have been not very different from that of the Lord fteward of the household at prefent.

The Grand-jufficiary (as Sir H.Spelman observes) fingly executed, in those days, the feveral functions and powers of the four principal judges in modern times, viz. the Chief-justice of the King's-Bench, the Chief-justice of the Common-Pleas, the Chiefbaron of the Exchequer, and the Mafter of the Wards. He was too great for a fubject : but happily for the crown, during the times that I write of, the office was not hereditary, nor even for life: and it was ufually tempered by a joint-administration of it in feveral perfons. Thus we find, that, in the reign of King Henry the First, Roger bishop of Salifbury and Hugh Baffet were jointly poffeffed V. Ord. Vital. thereof; and under Henry the Second, Richard de ann. 1136.& Lucy was joined in commission with Robert earl of Leicester. Yet it feems that the latter, after the Madox'sHift death of the former in the year eleven hundred of the Exch. c. 2. p. 23. and fixty eight, was fole Chief-juffice of England, during feveral years; for neither in the rolls, nor in hiftory, is any mention made of another, till the year eleven hundred and feventy nine; when, upon his refignation, the bishops of Winchester, Ely,

V. Diceto, sub ann. 1179. Dugdale

See Dugdale's Ori-

gines Juri-

p. 905. ad

p. 919. ad

ann 1139.

diciales.

Origines Turidiciales.

is (fays Sir W. Dugdale) had the administration of that high place: but they did not hold it long: for v. Hoveden, the next year it was given to Ranulph de Glanville, who enjoyed it alone till after the decease of Henry fub ann. 180.1. 342. the Second.

and Norwich, were conftituted Chief-juffices, that

242

In

. In the rign of Henry the Third, Hubert de Burg, Hift. of the Excheq. c. 2. earl of Kent, and Grand-jufficiary of England, had p. 24 an affignment of three hundred pounds to be re- Spelm. Gloff. p. 338. ceived by him annually at the Exchequer, in fupport of the dignity of his office. If we compute V. Madox's the value of money as I have reckoned it in the Hift of the Exch. p. 26. times which are treated of here, this would be equivalent to no more than a falary of four thousand five hundred pounds in these days : but I think the computation ought to be higher, as there is reafon to believe that gold and filver were more fcarce in that reign, than they had been in any, from William the Conquerour's, to the end of Henry the Second's. But it is probable that this affigrment was not the whole profit belonging to the office.

Mr. Madox obferves, in his hiftory of the Exchequer, C. ii. p. 54. " that for some time after the conquest the Chief-" jufficiary used to do many acts, which, after-" wards, appertained to the treafurer's office." Yet there was a treasurer then among the great officers in the king's court, of whofe, functions the fame author gives this account. " It feems to have Ibidem, p. 54. " been the part or duty of the treasurer in ancient 55. " time to act with the other barons of the Exche-" quer in the government of the king's revenue, " to examine and controul accomptants, to direct " the entries made in the great roll, to atteft the " writs iffued for levying the kirg's revenue, to " fupervife the iffuing and receiving of the king's " treasure at the receipt of the Exchequer, and in " a word to provide for and take care of the " king's profit."

It appears that, from the eleventh to the thirty first year of King Henry the Second, this office was held by Richard, the fon of Nigel bifhop of Ely; and a contemporary writer informs us, that his V. Rin. Eli. father purchafed it for him of the king at the price Sacia, par. i. of four hundred pounds. He was a clergyman, P. (27. and afterwards bishop of London. The venality of

R 2

great

great offices, and even of fome which were judicial, may be reckoned among the faults of policy in those times.

We find by the Exchequer rolls, that in Henry See Madox's Hift of the Erift's reign, Geoffry, his chancellour, flood Exch. p. 43. the Firft's reign, Geoffry, his chancellour, flood & Differt.on debtor to him, for the cuftody of his great feal, the great roll. formewhat above three thousand pounds : a price as See the note high in those days, as forty five thousand pounds on the value of money in would be in these, at the lowest computation. And the first vol. the bishop of London, in the letter to Becket, of

which mention has been made in the account before given of that prelate's promotion to the fee of Canterbury, fays, it was a matter of publick notoriety, that he had bought the office of chancellour for many thousand marks. But this does not appear from the rolls.

Of the functions and power of this officer fome account has been given in the former part of this It may be proper to add here, that, in the book. dialogue de Scaccario before-cited it is faid, he was great in the Exchequer as well as in the court, so that no-

thing of moment was, or could be done there, without bis confent or advice. And the fame treatife informs us, that in the court of Exchequer the Grand-juf-

L. i. p. 9.

L. i. p. 8.

Hift. of the

F. 21. c. 2.

ticiary prefided under the king; next to him fat the chancellour; then the conftable, then the chamberlains, and laftly the marefchal. Mr. Madox ob-Exch. p. 43. ferves, that as the power of the jufficiary declined. that of the chancellour grew; and he conjectures that the latter office received a confiderable acceffion of power and dignity from the greatness of some of the perfons who had borne it. He likewife fays, that the splendour of the king's court appeared very much in the greatness of his officers and ministers. But

fome of them were fo great and fplendid, as, inftead of augmenting, to diminish the splendour of their mafter, and draw the eyesof his other fubjects. from him to themfelves.

Many

. Many of the nobles and gentry held lands of the crown by the fervice of grand-fergeanty, which is called by Sir H. Spelman the highest and most illustrious feudal Service. Sir Thomas Lyttelton fays, V.Gloff.SER-" that tenure by grand-ferjeanty is when a man JEANTIA. " holds his lands or tenements of the king by fuch " fervices as he ought to do in his proper perfon to the V. Lyttel-"king, as to carry the banner of the king, or his nures, & " lance, or to lead his army, or to be his marefchal, Craig de " or to carry his fword before him at his coronati- l. i. tit. 11. " on, or to be his fewer at his coronation, or hisfed. 5. " carver, or his butler, or to be one of his cham-" berlains of the receipt of his Exchequer, or to " do other like fervices," &c. Which definition the learned Craig has espoused in his admirable treatife on feudal law. Yet Mr. Madox has shewn, v. Mad. Baby the evidence of records, that fome who held by roniz, 1. iii. grand-ferjeanty were not bound to do their service in c. 5. their own persons. But the inftances of this kind are, I believe, fo few, as not much to impeach what Sir Thomas Lyttelton has afferted. The latter fays, that all who hold of the king by grand-ferjeanty hold by knight-fervice : but this is well explained by his commentator, Lord Coke, to mean only, that this tenure had the effects of knight-fervice, wardship, marriage, and relief. Lyttelton himself, in the paffage cited above, mentions fome ferjeanties which were not of a military nature : he likewife observes, that the relief paid for this kind of tenure was not the fame as for lands that were held by knight's-fervice; being one year's value of the lands and tenements over and above all charges or reprizes; whereas the relief of a knight's-fee was but a hundred shillings. Nor did fuch tenants pay fcutage, like other military vaffals, even when the fervice, to which they were bound, was of a military nature; the reason of which seems to be, that, although the king might be willing to commute with an ordinary knight for his fervice, he would

not

not to eafily admit a commutation, where the fervice was to be done to him in his own perfon, or in hat concerned his royal dignity in a more par-

0. 5.

ticular marner; nor would a tenant, who was honoured by fuch a diffinction, defire that hsi office thould be performed by another. Among feveral infrances of this tenure not relative to Baronia, I iii. war or knight-fervice, Mr. Madox mentions one of a fungular kind. In the reign of Henry the Sith John Baker held certain land in Kent of un bing by the fervice of bolding the king's head in the flip which carried him in his pillage berween Dover and Whitfand. This was adjudged to be grand-fergeanty; and it evidently appears, that the idea of royalty in our ancient conftitution must have been very high, when fuch a fervice done to the perfon of the king was deemed by the law the most bonourable tenure. But it must be always remembered, that the idea of a king in that conflitution was a supreme head and ruler of a free nation, to whom allegiance was due in return for protellion; and to that idea too much reverence could not be annexed.

There was also tenure by petit ferjeanty, of which Sir Thomas Lytteiton gives this account, "that it " was where a man held his land of the king, to " vield to hin. yearly a bow, or a fword, or a dag-" ger, or a cutlass, or a lance, or a pair of gloves " of mail, or a pair of gilt fpurs, or an arrow, or " diverse arms, and other fuch fmall things be-" longing to war :" which defcription Sir H. Spelv.G'off. SER-man likewife adopts, and agrees with Lyttelton that this fervice was but focage in effect; for which

JEANTIA MINOR.

the latter gives this reason, "because such tenant " by his tenure is not bound to go to war, nor do " any thing in his proper perfon relating to it, but to " render and pay yearly certain things to the king, " as a next is bound to pay a rent." He fays too, that none can hold by grand or petit ferjeanty, but v. Bracton, of the king. Yet Bracton mentions ferjeanties held

1. ii. c. 35. of of private perfons; as for inftance, if a man is Spelm. Gteff. bound to ride with his lord from manor to manor : TIA MAbut then he diftinguishes these from those ferican- JOR. ties, that regarded the king or the defence of the realm, with respect to the claim of wardship and marriage.

It also appears, that the great nobles affected fo much to form their households on the model of the king's, that they had bereditary officers, to whom they also granted fiefs. Mr. Madox recites a grant See Hift of from William earl of Warwick to Alan his cook, the Excheby which he confirmed to him the office, his father p. 39. Richard had held, namely, the chief ministry of his kitchen, (capitale ministerium coquinæ meæ) which was vulgarly called the place of mafter cook, (quod dicitur magister coquus) to be held by him and his heirs, of the faid earl and his heirs, as fully and entirely as his father had enjoyed it, with all fees of the faid kitchen, (cum omnibus feudis dicta coquina) and all appertinances belonging to the mafter cook. By the fame charter we find, that the faid Alan and his father had an eftate in land granted to them by the bounty of their lord, with a power to hold courts over their tenants or vafials, without contradiction from the faid earl or his heirs ; fo high a regard did fome of our ancient nobility pay to their cooks; and fo munificently did they reward them for the good services done in their kitchens!

Befides earldoms, baronies, and officiary honours, there was in those days an honorary dignity, which was thought to add a new luftre to the higheft degrees of nobility, nay, even to princes and kings themfelves; I mean the order of knightbood. It was accompanied with a folemn religious engagement, the nature of which, as well as the purposes of this fingular institution, I cannot better fet forth, than in the words, of Alphonfo the Fifth, king of Portugal, as they are delivered by a good and authentick hif-R 4 torian

v. Marmel torian. That prince, after having taken the city Atrice, t. ii. contained in that printee, after having taken the erty diately, in great folemnity, to the chief molque, and when he had prayed, fome time, before a crucifix, which was placed upon the dead corpfe of the Count de Mariaiva, who had been killed in the action, he commanded his fon, the Infant of Portugal, to kneel down by his fide; which being done, he draw his fword, and faid to the young prince, " Ny fon, we have received this day a great favour from Almighty God, who has made us mafters of to important a place, and given " me fo fair an opportunity of conferring on you " the order of knighthood, and arming you with 66 all own hand. But, first to instruct you what the " nature of that order is, know, my fon, that it 66 confifts in a clofe confederacy or union of power 66 and virtue, to establish peace among men, when-66 ever ambition, avarice, or tyranny, trouble states, " or injure particulars. For knights are bound to employ their fwords on these occasions, in order 66 to dethrone tyrants and put good men in their 66 66 place. But they are likewife obliged to keep fidelity to their fovereign, as well as to obey their 66 chiefs in war, and to give them falutary counfels. 66 " It is also the duty of a knight to be frank and " liberal, and to think nothing his own, but " his horfe and arms, which he ought to keep for " the fake of acquiring honour with them, by " using them in the defence of his religion and " country, and of those who are unable to defend " themfelves. For, as the priefthood was inftitut-" ed for divine fervice, fo was chivalry for the 46 maintenance of religion and juffice. A knight " ought to be the hufband of widows, the father " of orphans, the protector of the poor, and the " prop of those who have no other support : and " they who do not act thus are unworthy to bear " that name. Thefe, my ion are the obligations " which

" which the order of knighthood will lay upon you : " confider whether you are defirous of it upon " thefe terms." The prince answering, that he was, the king went on to alk him, if he would promife to perform all thefe feveral duties, and make them to be observed, with other rights and cuftoms of the order of knighthood? To which he having confented, " On these conditions," faid the king, " I make and arm you a knight, in the " name of God, the Father, the Son, and the " Holy Ghoft;" and at each of these facred names ftriking him with his fword on the helmet, he added, "May God make you as good a knight as this " whole body you fee before you, pierced in feve-" ral places for the fervice of God and of his fove-" reign." Then kifling him on the forehead he raifed him up with his hand.

Such was the idea of chivalry in its principles, and according to the original purity of it : nor can one eafily imagine a nobler incitement to brave and virtuous actions: but it was an idea too perfect for human nature; and the general practice of those who took this engagement was far from being conformable to it's intentions and rules. One may also object to it, that not being confined to kings or princes, but extended to great numbers of private men, it feemed to take the fword out of the hand of the magistrate, to whom only belongs the maintenance of religion and justice in a wellgoverned state. But still the institution had fomething exalted and heroical in it; and I will venture to fay, that, from the ninth to the fixteenth century, the brighteft virtues which dignified, either the hiftory of this nation, or that of any other people in the whole Chriftian world, were chiefly derived from this fource. Had it not been for the fpirit of chivalry, the corruption of religion, the want of all good learning, the fuperflition, the ferocity, the barbarism of the times, would have extinguished 211

all virtue and fenfe of humanity, as well as all generous fentiments of honour, in the hearts of the nobility and gentry of Europe : nor could they have been able to relift the military enthulialm of the Saracens and the Turks, without the aid of another kind of fanaticifin, which was excited and nou rished in them by means of that spirit.

Some very eminent writers have thought that the origin of this inftitution was a voluntary affociation of private men, to defend the publick and particulars, but more efpecially women, from the many grievous diforders that infefted all Europe upon the decline of the family of Charlemagne. But Mr. Selden takes notice, that fome traces of it occur in pars ii. c. 5. that emperour's reign; and both he, and our other 16ct. 34. Ibdem, c. 1. great antiquary, Spelman, incline to derive it from a cuttom of much earlier date, namely that obferved by Tacitus among the ancient Germans, of See allo Pere giving arms to their young men in the publick affemblies, and the adoption per arma practifed by Charlemagnethe Goths and fome other barbarous nations. But milice Franc, whether it first came from Germany, or from the Lombards in Italy, among whom the most evident marks of it are found, the commencement of it was certainly prior to the epocha abovementioned. Neverthelefs it is probable, that the confusion and violence of those times made the practice of it more general as being more neceffary; and might alfo occafion the confecrating of it with folemn vows and religious rites. The first mention made of those ceremonies in England is by Ingulphus, who wrote under the reign of William the Conquerour. He fays, it was the cuftom of the Saxons in England that the perfon who was to be knighted should prepare for it by confession and absolution of his fins the evening before, and, afterwards, by watching all night in the church : that in the morning he should offer his fword on the altar, and reseive it bleft from the prieft, who, with a benediction

Titlesoffion. fect. 18. Spelm, Gloif. MILES. Daniel Hift. de France, & Hilt de la t. i. 1. in. c. 4. V. Paulum Diaconum. Spelman, ut faprà. Selden, ut fupra.

diction to him, should put it about his neck, after his having heard mass and taken the facrament. But, in the account which is given by William of v. Maimfo. Malmfbury of Athelftan's being knighted by King de geftisReg. Alfred his grandfather, nothing is faid of there c. 6. rites, though the hiftorian particularly mentions the giving him a fword and a rich belt, with a crimion or fcarlet robe, as the enfigns of knighthood. And Ingulphus adds, that the Normans abominating this manner of confectating knights defpifed those who were fo made, and altered the cuftom. Nevertheless it is certain, that some of these facred forms were used in England, as well as See Selden's in France for feveral ages; particularly the receiv-TitesofHon. ing of the fword from the altar. Other ceremo- lect 35. nies also were practifed, that are not named by In-Johan Sario. gulphus, and of which the most effential appear to curislum, have been, the bathing the candidate, and after his l. vi. 20. being fo purified, the girding him with his fword, epit. 94. the putting on his feet a pair of gilt spurs, and See P. Dar. Hist. de la friking him gently with a fword on the neck, head, milice Fran. or fhoulders. When these things were done in the p. 99. royal palace, and fome of them by the hands of Upton de the king (as they frequently were) the folemnity do, l. i. c. was graced by the fongs and mulick of minftrels, 3who attended on the knight, and by many other marks of rejoicing and honour. Robes of different colours were also given to him at the expence of the crown. In the hiftory of the Exchequer I find an account of thirty three pounds, for three robes See Mados's Hill, of the of scarlet, two robes of green, and other necessa- Exchequer. ries for making a knight, allowed by King John. Rot. 6. J. But in fome accounts of the reign of Henry the Rot 16. a. Second the expence of this ceremony is not near Ibid. Mag. fo great; which may have been owing to his bet-Rot. 2H. II. ter æconomy. A difference was made in the drefs  $M_{4g}$ , Rot. 12. 6. of knights and efquires, it not being permitted to 22 H. II. the latter to wear any gold, though they were of Rot. 1. 6. the highest quality; and from hence, I suppose,

251

as well as from the gilt fpurs given to knights at receiving the order, they were diffinguished by the name of Equites Aurati.

Book II.

In time of war and actual fervice the abovemen-See Upton de Re Mil- tioned forms were much abridged. The perfon tari, l.i. c. 3. Selden's Tit. who was to be knighted prefented a fword to the king or commander in chief, if the king was not of Hon. c. 5. fect. 34. with the army, and defired to receive the order of knighthood, which was given him with no other ceremony than a ftroke on the neck with that fword. Before an affault, or a battle, or any perilous action, it was cuftomary to make a number of knights in this manner, as an encouragement to those who were thus chosen out from all the efquires there prefent, to act not unworthily of the dignity they received. The fame thing was done at the conclusion of a battle or fiege, or other military exploit, as a reward to those who had diftinguished themselves by their valour. And this was justly effeemed the most honourable knighthood. In France the order was given with the following v. Division words : " I make thee a knight in the name of God da Monde. Selden's Tit. " and My Lord St. George, to maintain the faith of Hon. par. " and juffice loyally, and defend the church, woii. c. 3. feet. " men, widows, and orphans." In the empire the oath, anciently taken by the knights at receiving See Selden, ib. c. 1. fea. the order, was to the fame effect. But John of Salifbury, in his book De Nugis Curialium, which ap-60. c. 5. fect. 35. L. pears to have been written under the reign of 1. 10. King Stephen, fays, that in England, for the most part, it was then become the failion not to adminifter any oath to the knights. Yet he labours to fhew, that, by the indifpenfable duty of their office, they were tacitly bound to the defence of the church ; and avails himfelf (as does likewife Peter V. Epf. 9 de Blois, who wrote not long afterwards) of the Detr. Blefen. ceremony of their taking their fwords from the altar, as indicating a profession of their having received it to the defence and honour of the priefthood, the affiftance

252

: 24.

# Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

assistance of the poor, the punishment of evil deeds, and the freeing of their country from tyranny or oppressions. Indeed both these writers add, that many of the knights did in no wife act agreeably to fuch a profession, but as if they had vowed the very contrary; especially, with regard to the church. Yet the general opinion of their being engaged to ferve and defend it must have contributed greatly, in the age that I write of, to promote the crufades : as the entering into that warfare appeared only a confequence of the original obligations, which every knight had contracted in receiving his knighthood. And in the next age it induced them to draw their fwords with equal zeal against the Vaudois and Albigenfes, whom the clergy reprefented to them as enemies to the church and catholick faith.

Every knight had a power, inherent in himfelf, to make other knights, not only in his own country, but wherever he went : and (what feems more extraordinary) knighthood was fometimes conferred in England by those who themselves had it not, and were indeed incapable of it, viz. bifhops and ab-William Rufus was knighted, in his father's bots. life-time, by Lanfranc archbithop of Canterbury. The foundation of this muft, unquestionably, have been a notion, that the order, being conferred with facred rites and forms of prayer, was a kind of religious inftitution. During the reign of King Stephen we find that the earl of Glocefter knighted his brother, who was afterwards earl of Cornwall; and other examples occur of the fame power being exercifed, for feveral ages, by private perfons in England, without the authority of a royal commiffion. Nay, our kings themfelves have been knighted, by the hands of their fubjects; as Henry the Sixth by the duke of Bedford's, and Edward the Sixth by the duke of Somerfet's. Knighthood was therefore diftinguished from all other honours and dignities

Book IL

dignities in the ftate by this remarkable difference, that those were supposed to be derived from the king, as their fountain and head; but this might be given to the king himfelf by his fubject. It might also be given by any fovereign prince in the territory of another, and the rank affigned to it was the fame in all Chriftian countries.

The poet Gunther, who was contemporary with Henry the Second, fays in a Latin poem, that the Emperour Frederick Barbaroffa, the better to repel the enemy from his borders, and defend his country by the fuperior force of his arms, granted knighthood to many perfons of low and vulgar birth, which in France would have been thought a ftain to that dignity. And from a paffage in Glanville (of which I shall fay more hereafter) it may be inferred that in England, under Henry the Second, even enfranchised villeins, born in servitude, were fometimes knighted. Yet this, I prefume, was only done, when they had performed very extraordinary actions in war, after having obtained their freedom.

See Madox's

In the reign of Henry the Third the honour and Baronia, I. i. c. 6. p. 130. lands of Roger de Somery, baron of Dudley, were feized by the crown, because be did not come to the king to be girt with the belt of knighthood. And in the nineteenth year of the fame king all the fheriffs of England were commanded to make proclamation in their refpective counties, that all who held of the king in chief one knight's-fee, or more, and were not yet knighted, should take arms and get themfelves knighted, before the next Christmas, as they loved the tenements or fees which they held of the king. Whether, in the times that I write of, any compulsion was used to oblige men to be knighted, I cannot politively affirm : but as Mr. Madox, in his hiftory of the Exchequer, has given no records of any fines having been levied on that account, or proclamations islued to enjoin it, till the reign of Henry the

Book II.

the Third, and many in and after that reign, the prefumption is ftrong, that it had not been the practice before the death of King John.

Indeed it feems a deviation from the original principle of this inflitution. For one cannot but think it a very great inconfistency, that a dignity, which was deemed an accession of honour to kings themfelves, should be forced upon any; and still more, that fuch numbers of a lower rank of gentry fhould be obliged to receive it, as a duty annexed to their fiefs. Guillaume le Breton, who wrote under Philip Augustus, fays of a young nobleman, who had diftinguished himself in the army of France at the battle of Bouvines, that he was worthy to be made a knight, both by his family and by his actions. There is also in a French treatife of no little V La Salade, authority upon this fubject the following paffage : ful. 54. " An efquire, when he has travelled much and been in " many exploits of arms, out of which he has come with " bonour, and who has an estate sufficient to maintain the " rank of knighthood (for otherwife it would be no " honour to him, and it is better to be a good. " efquire than a poor knight) ought to defire any " lord, or valiant knight, to knight him, in the " name of God, &c." Here not only the being poffeffed of a competent fortune, but the having given many proofs of perional valour, is made a neceffary qualification for the attainment of knighthood; and it is fpoken of, as an honour which the esquire was to gain, not as a burthen imposed upon him by law or tenure. A learned member of the French academy, who has lately enriched the republick of letters with fome excellent obfervations on ancient chivalry, has fhewn that, in France, the v. Memoires education given to those who aspired to knighthood fur Pancienne. was excellently calculated to make them good fol- tillia diers, and inftruct them in all the dutics of that Notes. noble profession. Undoubtedly the same methods were used in England; for our first kings of the Norman

Book IL

Norman race introduced into their courts the fashions and manners of France with little alteration and most of our nobility, during the times which I write of, being of Norman or French extraction, and keeping up a perpetual intercourfe with their countrymen, the plan of education in France muft naturally have been thought the best they could follow. Among the French a young gentleman, defined to arms by his parents, was ufually taken, when he was feven years old, out of the hands of the women, who till then had the care of his breeding, and remained a page till fourteen, in the family of fome knight: after which he ferved feven years in the quality of equire, and was then knighted : but this term of pupillage and of fervice was frequently abridged, and knighthood was given to fome perfons at fixteen or fifteen years of age, if they had an extraordinary forwardness and maturity of ftrength, or were of very high rank, as princes, or the fons of princes. Sir H. Spelman fays, that, with the English, fifteen is accounted the lawful age of knighthood : but he observes that two of our kings were knighted when they were much younger, namely, Edward the Sixth in his tenth year, and Henry the Sixth in his fifth.

V. Memoires 95, 96.

See Spel-

p. 175.

man's Remains, De

milite differt.

Every knight had his lady, to whom he vowed fur l'ancien- faithful fervice, whole favours he wore in tournane Cheva-lerie, t. i. p. ments and in battles, and for whole honour he was always prepared to combat, with no lefs zeal and enthufiafm, than for the defence of the catholick religion itself. This was inculcated to them in the first rudiments of their education : for an old chronicle tells us, that, together with their catechifm, the young gentry were taught the art of love. The great purpole of these instructions was unquestionably to make the paffion of love an incitement to valour, and likewife to humanife and fubdue the ferocity of their manners. Both thefe ends were accomplifhed ; the first in a high degree, and the latter as far as the general

#### OF KING HENRY II. Book II.

general barbarism of the times, in other respects, would permit. By fome paffages in ancient writers, who treat of chivalry, it appears, that in the leffons of love which were given to the candidates for the order of knighthood, a kind of Platonic refinement and purity was infpired : but we learn from the hiftory of those ages, that these fentiments were very feldom of much more use to fecure the chaftity of the ladies, than the enchanted armour, which fome knights imagined they wore, was to guard their bodies from fwords or lances.

The very amufements of chivalry were a perpetual difcipline and fchool of prowefs. Enough has been faid before of tilts and tournaments, and other methods of exercifing the courage of the knights, in times of peace. But when their own country did not furnish them with fufficient opportunities of difplaying their valour, fo impatient were they of eafe, and fo defirous of glory, that they often went into foreign lands, to feek adventures. If any enterprife of great peril was undertaken by a knight, he often affociated with him a brother of arms : which fraternity was effeemed to clofe a bond, that their obligation to aid each other was only fubordinate to the loyalty due to their fovereign; nor is it probable (if we confider the temper of the times) that, when fuch an engagement had been cemented by common dangers and benefits, it could be always kept fubject even to that limitation. We are affured by a v.Du Cange learned antiquary, that the compact was fometimes Differt. à la ratified, by the parties opening their veins, and ville. mingling their blood, to fignify that each of them was ready to fhed his, in defence of the other. A near relation was also contracted between the person who received the order of knighthood, and him who conferred it; the imparting of that honour being deemed a kind of adoption, not, indeed, with re-VOL. II. gard

gard to the right of inheritance, but to a communication of paternal and filial affection,

All this feems quite romantick : and indeed the old romances are no contemptible hiftories of the manners of those times. The knight-errantry they defcribe had then a real exiftence. The gallantry of the knights to the ladies, which had an air of devotion; their prefenting them with the prizes they had won in their tournaments, and even with the prifoners they had taken in war; their delivering captives, especially of the fair fex, from caftles, where they were violently detained and injurioufly treated; their purfuing affaffins, or robbers, to punish and deftroy them without form of law; and their obliging lords of caftles to abolifh evil cuftoms, which they had caufed to be obferved in their diffricts or manors; all these things. which are feigned of knights, in the French and Spanish romances, were often done in real life. and arole out of the principles of knighthood itfelf, the diforders of the feudal government, and the fpirit of the times. Even the most incredible fictions in these books, the forceries and inchantments, had a foundation in the established faith of those ages, and in the many superstitions which the Chriftian religion, as well as the Jewifh, the Mahometan, and the Pagan, was then over-run with : fo that what in these days appears to us the delirium of a wild imagination, was in those the univerfal creed of mankind. The extraordinary honours paid to knights, in caftles, in cities, and in the courts of great princes, are likewife truly represented by the description given of them in old romances: but befides thefe, which they enjoyed in every country, from the courtefy of the times, they had in England fome legal diffinctions and privileges, granted to the whole order, and which

which shew the high estimation of it in the eye of the law.

Mr. Selden takes notice of it, as " a fpecial Titles of "honour to knighthood, that though it be regular- ii. c. 5. feet. " ly supposed in law that no heir of a tenant by 37. " knight-fervice is able to do the fervice himfelf, " until he be of the age of one and twenty years " (which is the reafon and ground of all our ward-" fhips of male-heirs) yet if any fuch be knighted " either in the tenant's life-time, or after his " death, of what age foever he be, he is adjudged, " for that purpole only, as of full age, and the " wardship of his body in the one case is prevented " by it, and in the other ends with it. For, in " regard that, by the laws of honour, he is ad-" judged to be a knight, therefore, by the common " law, he is likewile adjudged to able to do the " fervice, as that his body needs no further tuition " of a guardian over it." But the fame author observes, that, by the grand charters both of King John and Henry the Third, though the wardship of the body be ended by the tenant's receiving the order of knighthood, yet the land was to continue in the cuftody of the lord, till the heir was of the age of twenty one years. " From this reason, (fays " he) it was, that under Henry the Second, fome " are fined for procuring others than the king to " knight any of the king's wards; whereby he " loft his wardship of the body." Other privileges of knighthood in judicial proceedings are mentioned by Mr. Selden, as "that the grand " affize in a writ of right (which is as a jury, and " the higheft trial by oath that is in the law) is to " be chofen by knights, and out of knights, if " they can be found." It appears by Glanville that this was law under Henry the Second. And in the Pipe rolls of that reign one is fined at a

hun-

hundred pounds for ftriking a knight; and another at forty marks, becaufe he was prefent when the knight was compelled to fwear, that he would not complain of the injury done to him. These inftances shew a great regard in the law to the honour of knighthood : for a hundred pounds was in those days a very high fine. I take no notice here of any diffinctions given to knights in later times. which I am not fure were enjoyed by them during those that I write of. But it appears by the dialogue de Scaccario, that, under King Henry the Second, the horfes and arms of a knight who had a good reputation were not to be fold, even for the payment of his debts to the king, upon a process out of the Exchequer; but were to be privileged, that, whenever there fhould be occafion, he might be called out, well furnished with these necessaries, to ferve the king and kingdom. The ranfoms paid to knights for the prifoners they took, and the fhare affigned to them, by cuftom, of all the booty and fpoils that were gained from an enemy, furnished them with ample means of advancing their fortunes : but they had moreover rich prefents made to them by the princes, or nobles, they ferved, upon the performance of any eminent feats of valour. And as every knight was permitted, by the law or usage of the times, to offer his fword occafionally to different potentates, when they were not in an actual flate of hoftility against each other, it often happened that the fame perfon was enriched by the munificence of feveral courts. It was indeed the interest of a king, or any great feudal lord, to let his knights feek employment wherever reputation was to be gained, if he himfelf had no immediate want of their fervice, in order to keep up the fame of his chivalry; and that they might return to him more experienced and Book II.

and improved in the art of war. By this means that militia, in which the principal and peculiar ftrength of the feudal governments lay, was kept in constant exercise, and frequent actual service ; without which no militia can ever be equal to a veteran flanding army. And it is very remarkable, that, although the nobility and gentry of England were bound to fight for their king and country by the lands which they held, yet the policy of our fore-fathers thought it necessary to add all these further rewards of honorary diffinctions and other emoluments, that they might perform their duty with more alacrity, and make themfelves equal to fo high and important a truft as the fafety and glory of the nation. It may be truly faid, that the grant of the military fiefs gave a body to chivalry; and these institutions a foul. Nor is it probable that without fome encouragements of this nature, which raifed and kept up in the military tenants a ftrong martial spirit and ardour for the fervice, they would ever have been fuch good foldiers as we find they were, or would not, after fome time, have degenerated, as other militias have done, into a force merely nominal, and of no more real use to the fecurity of the kingdom, than the rufty armour and lances, hung up in old Gothic halls, rather as images of ancient prowefs, than inftruments of present defence. But, from the methods here defcribed (wherein I think we may difcover a deeper meaning, and better fense, than is generally fuppofed) the feudal militia acquired a vigour and an energy, which no laws could give to it, and which can only be furpaffed by the most exact discipline of regular armies, inured to war. Indeed it never quite funk, till the Spirit of chivalry began to grow out of fashion, and was even rendered the object of ridicule; a misfortune into which every species of heroism is apt to fall, from the near af-S 3 finity

finity that there is in morals, as well as in writings, between *the fublime* and *the extravagant*; and from the pronene's of human nature to undervalue that, which it finds to have been overvalued.

Book II.

When the order of knighthood was accounted the higheft honour, to be degraded from it was thought the moft ignominous punifhment that a gentleman could endure. This was done by the ceremony of taking from the delinquent the proper enfigns of knighthood, which had been given to him at his creation, namely, the fword and gilt fpurs. But I do not find any inftance of fuch a degradation in the times that I write of, except, perhaps, in the cafe of Henry de Effex, who, it may be prefumed from the words of a contemporary hiftorian, was deprived of his knighthood, with the marks of infamy abovementioned, before he took the habit of a monk, in confequence of his having been vanquifhed in the duel with Robert de Montfort.

It is of the highest benefit to fociety, and what a wife government will endeavour, with all it's fkill, to procure, that men fhould not hope to be greatly honoured, or respected, from the accidental advantages of birth or wealth, without perfonal merit. And this good did our anceftors derive from the inftitutions of which I am treating. They were taught, that not the higheft hereditary digoities, nor the largest possessions annexed to those dignities, could entitle them to refpect, without the order of knighthood and the practice of those duties, which the rules of that order exacted from it's members; duties quite incompatible with indolence, with effeminacy, with any thing fordid, or pufillanimous. Thefe inftructions, when they met with good difpolitions, would naturally produce great effects : and whoever reads the ancient chronicles of England and France will find, that not only a general passion for military glory, and a moft

V. Dicet. Imag Hitt. iub 2nn. 1163.

a most active courage, but some as fair and noble fruits of heroick virtue were raifed, by this northern method of culture, as ever grew in the rich foils of ancient Greece and Rome. The Black Prince, who was entirely formed on the leffons of chivalry, is alone a fufficient proof of this affertion. I will add that the two laft, who appear to have fashioned themselves upon the fame model. and to have poffeffed in perfection all the virtues of their order, were, in France, the Chevalier Bayard, and, in England, Sir Philip Sidney. Indeed the idea of bonour, in the fense we understand it, as fomething diffinct from mere probity, and which fuppofes in gentlemen a ftronger abhorrence of perfidy, falsehood, or cowardice, and a more elevated and delicate fense of the dignity of virtue, than are ufually found in vulgar minds, feems to have arisen from the notions of chivalry. But here lies the great difference between the inftitutions of the Greeks and the Romans, and those of which I am treating, in forming men to the fervice of the publick: the education given to youth by the wildom of those ftates, the course of life it brought them into, and the feveral objects it held out to excite their ambition, tended no lefs to make them able flatefmen, than virtuous citizens and brave foldiers : but the precepts of chivalry, and the whole progrefs of knightly accomplifiments, had little regard to the improvement of the intellectual faculties. Good learning and the arts of policy were fo far from being fludied with a proper application, that they were generally left to clergymen, as derogatory from the noble profeffion of arms: and even the armies of those times had in them much lefs of a ftrict and regular difcipline, than of diforderly valour and impatience for action, which, together with the diversity and

S 4

uncer-

uncertainty of the commands to which they, were fubiect, under different feudal lords, and the frequent quarrels of those lords, produced great confulion; and often occasioned their defeat, and the miscarriage of their enterprises. It is also evident, that the multitude admitted to knighthood diminifhed it's dignity, and made it impossible that the moral rules of the order should be generally observed.

Whether in the times of which I write we had any knights bannerets is not very clear. The name does not occur in our hiftories or records before the reign of Edward the First. But Duchefne has published a lift of French bannerets in the time of Philip Auguste, where those of Normandy, Anjou, and the other dominions of the house of Plantagenet are fet down. It is more than probable that they enjoyed the fame dignity in the reign of King Henry the Second, the latter part of which coincides with the first years of the monarch abovementioned. In reality, this was not a new order of knighthood, but only a higher rank, conferred by the fovereign, or by the general of a royal army, on fome of that order, who were richer than others, and were followed into the field by a greater number of vaffals. The nature of it, and the manner in which it was given, will beft appear by the following inftances from hiftory and records. When Sir John Chandos was in Spain SeeFroiffard with the Black Prince, just before the battle of Noselden's Ti- varret, fought to reftore Don Pedro to the throne tles of Ho- of Castile, he came to the prince, and delivered c. 5. Sect. into his hands his own banner folded up, with thefe words : " My Lord, here is my banner, which " I prefent to you thus; that it may pleafe you " to unfold it, and give me leave to fet it up in " the battle to day. For (God be thanked) I have 66 very

Chron, and 39.

". very sufficient means in land and inheritance to sup-" port the state and expence it requires." The prince and the king of Caftile, who flood by him in the field, unfolded the banner and returned it open to Chandos, faying to him thefe words : "Sir John, here " is your banner ! May God aflift you to gain honour " with it by your valiant actions." He then went back with great joy to his people, and faid to them " My fellow-foldiers, behold! here is my banner, " and your's if you will guard it, as you ought." They received it very gladly, faying, that, by the help of God and St. George, they would guard it bravely, and do their duty. After which it was left in the hands of William Alery, an English efquire, who bore it in the fight with great valour. I cannot conclude this fubject without taking notice of a ftrange inconfiftency, that, in an age which hallowed and confecrated knighthood, a fynod affembled in England, under William the Conqueror, should injoin every knight, or military tenant, who had been with that monarch at the battle of Haftings, to do penance, during one year, for every man whom he knew he had flain there, and during forty days, for every man whom he knew he had ftruck, and if he was ignorant of the number whom he had flain or ftruck, to do penance, at the difcretion of the bifhop of the diocefe, one day in every week as long as he lived; or (if he were able) redeem it with perpetual alms, by building or endowing a church. This alternative was, I prefume, the real motive, that induced them to be guilty of fuch a glaring abfurdity, as to inflict these penances upon foldiers, for killing or ftriking their enemies, in the profecution of a war, which they themfelves admitted to be lawful; without even excepting those, who, they fay in the preamble to these very canons, did of right 070C

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

Cee Spolm. Counc.l., vol. ii. p. 12. owe military fervice to William duke of Normandy. But there is one of theie canons, which, for the benefit of mankind, I with were received by all nations. It is the fixth; which fays, "Let those who "fought only for hopes of a reward (that is, without being authorifed by their duty to their fovereign or their country, and having no regard to the cause they fought for) know, that they ought to do penance as for murder."

į,

Some mention has before been incidentally made of tenures in frank almoign and of tenures in focage : which it will be neceffary to explain more particularly here. Lord Coke fays, " no lay perfon can bold in frank almoign :" and according to Lyttelton, upon whom he comments, " a tenant in frank " almoign is where an abbot, or prior, or other man " of religion, or of holy church, holdeth of his lord " in free alms." With regard to the fervice required by this species of tenure the fame author tells us, "that they which hold in frank almoign are " bound before God to make orifons, prayers, " maffes, and other divine fervices for the fouls of " their grantor or feoffer, and for the fouls of their " heirs which are dead, and for the profperity, and " good life, and good health of their heirs which " are alive. And therefore they shall do no fealty " to their lord, becaufe that this divine fervice is " better for them before God than any doing of " fealty ; and also because the words frank almoign " exclude any earthly or temporal fervice." Thispaffage itfelf is a proof, how necessary it was to reftrain the zeal of our anceftors from too many grants of this nature, by the flatute of mortmain.

Under the government of the Saxons all the bifhops of England, and fuch abbots and priors as held their lands of the crown, held by this tenure; and in the first part of this work it has been obferved, that the changing those estates into baronies

See Coke's Initit. vol. i. c. 6. l. ii. fect. 133, 134.

Book II.

nies fubject to homage and fealty, and held of the king by knight-fervice, was an important alteration, made by William the First and his parliament, in the English constitution. But it has likewife been remarked, that it was not underftood in the fenfe of the law, that thefe fpiritual barons, because their lands were thus charged with a military fervice, were bound to perform that fervice perfonally, like the temporal barons. They were either to find other men to do the duty for them, or to pay fines to the king; as appears by this record, which is cited by Madox, in his hiftory of the Exchequer: "King Edward the Se-" cond had fummoned his army to march against " Scotland, and had ordered proclamation to be " made, that all perfons, of whatever ftate or con-" dition, who owed him fervice in his army, fhould " be ready to attend him in perfon. Nevertheless " by this writ he commanded the treasurer and ba-" rons of the Exchequer to accept of fines at the " rate of forty pounds for a knight's-fee, to be " paid to the king's use, by archbishops, bishops, " religious persons, (id eft, abbots and priors) widows, " and other women who owed fervice in that ar-" my, and were defirous to pay fines inftead of " performing it, or fending others to do it for them." Sir Thomas Lyttelton also fays, in his book upon Tenures, often quoted before, that an abbot or any other man of religion or a woman fole that holdeth by fuch services, ought not to go in proper person. It would certainly have been indecent for any ecclefiafficks to be obliged to bear arms : and the putting them, in that refpect, upon the fame footing as women poffeffed of knights-fees was agreeable to the wildom and decorum of the law: but there was no impropriety in their being required to find the king of whom they held their baronies, either foldiers or money in lieu of their perfonal fervice : nor

nor in their vaffals being bound to ferve him in perfon. Yet they perpetually endeavoured to confound this diffinction; as if the functions of all who belonged to them had been as facred as theirs; and as if their very lands had partaken of the holinefs of their fpiritual character. On the other hand, they did fometimes perfonal fervice, notwithflanding the canons which the church had made against it, and though they might have acquitted themfelves of their duty to the flate, by the means abovementioned. As feveral bifhops were younger brothers of the most noble families, the martial fire in their blood, the example of their relations, and the fpirit of the times, prevailed over the decencies of their profession, and the bifhop was loft in the baron.

With respect to tenure in focage, Sir T. Lytthat every tenure, which is not te-Lii.p. 86. and " nure in chivalry, is a tenure in focage." But he gives this definition, becaufe he reckons grandferjeanty a tenure in chivalry, which (as hath before been observed) must be understood with some reftrictions. The fame author likewife tells us, " that tenure in focage is where the tenant holdeth " of his lord the tenancy by certain fervice, for all " manner of Services," excluding only knight-fervice. Which defcription is too extensive for the derivation he afterwards gives of the word focage, from foca, a plough, though that is founded upon an authority as old as the reign of Henry the Third. v. Gloffary, Sir H. Spelman observes, from the antient book of St. Albans, that formen (or tenants in focage) fignified freemen in the genuine fense of the word. All the king's tenants in antient demessive held of him by focage tenure : but that all these did not hold by the fervice of the plough the unquestionable evidence of Domefday-book will evince. In Glanville's

See Coke's Inftit. vol. i. lib. ii. c. 8. fect. 158.

SOCMAN.

ville's treatife frequent mention is made of free formen, and from what that author fays relating to them (of which I have given fome account) it is plain that their property and rights of inheritance were taken no lefs care of by the law in his times. than those of tenants by knight-fervice; though the latter was the higher and more honourable fervice. Nay, in fome points it appears that they had more liberty than the military tenants, that is, the feudal bonds were lefs ftrict upon them and their families. Yet in Domefday-book they are diftinguished from other free tenants, called there liberi homines, by not having the power, which thefe enjoyed, of giving away, or felling their estates, without leave of their lords. It feems that these liberi bomines were a remainder of the alodial tenants of the Saxon folkland, that is, land of the vulgar, opposed to bocland, or thaneland. A certain number of them was necessary to conflitute a manor; and therefore, when that number was incomplete, fome who held in villenage were enfranchifed, to make it up; as appears by the teftimony of the record abovementioned. We alfo find there, that fome who were in poffestion of this alodial freedom thought it more eligible to feek a defence and protection, by recommending themfelves to the patronage of fome feudal lord. or even of two lords, if the fituation of their lands made it neceffary for them to have two protectors. It is probable that this practice, be-see Former. coming more general, in process of time put an day book. end to this species of tenure. The services which were performed by them to the lord of the manor. in their alodial state, were predial and rustick. A certain number of free formen (as well as of these) appears to have been necessary to every lord of

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE 'Book II.

See Spelman's Gloff. Soc. Fleta, l. i c. 47. Somner on Gavelkind, f. 133. See Coke's Inftitut. vol. i. c. 5. l. 2.

270

Spelman's Counc.vol. ii. p. 47.

L. ii. c. 10. fect. 162. 165,166,167 168, 169. See alfo Craig, Jus Fendal. p. 121.

of a manor, for holding the pleas of the manor court, which the Saxons called foke or foc, a word fignifying a franchife, or jurifdiction to which a franchife was annexed. And it is from this that fome derive the terms focmen and focage, with great appearance of truth. Some of the lands held in focage were held by bafe fervices, and at the will of the lord: but the definition given of it by Lyttelton, and by others of the greatest authority, excludes from it all tenures where the fervice was uncertain. Among the legantine canons made at London, by the bishop of Winchefter, in the reign of King Stephen, I find one which fays, That the plough and bufbandman in the fields (hould enjoy the same peace as if they were in the church-yard. This fanctuary given to the tillers of land in their own grounds would have been of great benefit to the publick, if duly regarded. But the civil war paid little respect either to spiritual or temporal laws. According to Lyttelton burgage tenure was one kind of focage, but with various customs, which it will not be neceffary to enlarge upon here, no more than to explain the local customs attending the Kentish Gavelkind, or any other peculiarities which did not affect the general policy of the kingdom. I shall conclude this account of the two great divisions of property, during the times that I write of, into knights-fees and focage tenures, with remarking how materially our conftitution was changed by the ftatute of the 12th of Charles the Second, which declared that all tenures by knight-fervice of the king, or of any other perfon, and by knight-fervice in capite, and by focage in capite of the king, and the fruits and consequences thereof, shall be taken away or discharged; and that all tenures of any bonours, manors, lands, tenements, bereditaments

bereditaments. &c. are turned into free and common focage: thus extending that tenure, which, for feveral ages, was reckoned comparatively mean and ignoble, to all the eftates of our nobility and gentry, who would have antiently thought it the greateft injury and dishonour, to have had their poffeffions fo levelled with those of the vulgar. Yet to this change, which a gradual alteration of manners and juster notions of government had prepared us to receive, is owing much of the happinels of our prefent condition. But at the fame time it has obliged us to feek for other methods of giving a military ftrength to the kingdom, confistent with our monarchy, and not dangerous to our freedom: a matter of no little difficulty; but which, if brought to perfection, would fecure and perpetuate the advantages, which we have over our anceftors, in the civil policy of the kingdom.

After this general view of the flate of the nobility, gentry, and freeholders, under the kings of whofe government this hiftory treats, it will be proper to give likewife fome account of those perfons, to whom the national liberty did not extend, though in respect to their numbers they were no inconfiderable part of the people.

In Domefday-book, that great record of the ancient state of this kingdom, a distinction is made between villeins, who were affixed to a manor, and others of still a lower and more fervile condition, distinguished by the names of *bordarii cotarii*, and *fervi*; the two first of which feem to V.Spelman's have rented small portions of land, and the last to Glad. Boxparit, Cohave been hinds, or menial fervants abiding in the TARIUS, families of their lords. According to Spelman Stavy Nothese were again subdivided into *nativi* (flaves by bimb)

r

2

birth)

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

See his treaand Tenures, c. 5. 7.

birth) and bondi (freemen who had voluntarily, and by bonds which they had given, put themseenis trea-tife on Feuds felves into fervitude, for the fake of a maintenance.) Yet in other places he gives the appellation of bondmen to all below the degree of ceorls or free formen. And it must be observed, that in Glanville the nativi are comprehended under the term villenagium, which is used by that author fynonymoufly with fervitude, and in opposition to freedom: as a state, not a tenure. His whole fifth book relates to this fubject, and contains the methods and forms of law which then were in practice, for the decision of disputes between different lords concerning their rights to a villein, or where a perfon who was in villenage (in veilenagio positus) or was claimed as a villein, afferted himfelf to be free. The trial was required to be in the king's court, and the proof by producing in court the nearest relations to the person so claimed, or so demanding his freedom, and proving their condition. If it appeared that they were free, he was freed ; but if a difpute or doubt arole concerning their liberty, or whether those produced. on either fide, as the nearest relations, were in fact fo, or not, recourfe was had to a jury of the neighbourhood, to try the fact, that it might be determined by their verdict, according to which the V Glanville, judgment was to be given. If a free-woman was married to a villein by birth, the loft her freedom during the life of her hufbaud, and their children were born to the fame state of fervitude, which was continued to all the fucceeding generations, unless their lord enfranchifed them by his own act. Nay, we are told by Glanville, that in his time, if a freeman married a woman born in villenage, and who actually lived in that flate, he loft there-

1 v. c. 6.

reidem.

# Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

thereby the benefit of the law (that is, all the legal rights of a freeman) and was confidered as a villein by birth, during the life-time of his wife, on account of her villenage. He fays alfo, that if a man born in villenage had children by a woman born in the fame ftate, under a different lord, the children ought to be equally divided between the two lords. This was abfolutely putting children upon the fame foot as cattle, or other flock on a ferm, without the regard that is due to the inherent freedom and dignity of human nature.

According to Glanville a villein might be infran- L. v. c. s. chiled leveral ways. As, for inftance, if his lord, being willing to give him his liberty, had proclaimed him free from all right that he or his heirs might have to him, or had given or fold him to another, in order to bis being infranchifed. But he fays, " that no villein could acquire his freedom with his own money : for, notwithstanding his purchase, he might, according to the law and cuftoms of the kingdom, be brought back into villenage : becaufe all the goods of a villein born belonged to his lord, and therefore from him he could not redeem himfelf with his own money; but with that of another man he might be redeemed, and maintain his freedom for ever against his lord." The same author fays, " if a villein born had remained quietly (that Ibidems is, unclaimed by his lord) "a year and a day, in See die " any privileged town; to that he had been receiv- Conq. 66. " ed into their community or gyld, as a citizen, he Wilkins, p. " was thereby freed from his villenage." By 229. privileged town is meant a town that had franchifes by prefcription or charter; and this communication of liberty from thence to a villein, refiding among them to flort a time, fhews a high regard in the law to fuch corporations, and likewife a defire to favour infranchifements, as much as the fettled rules of property would admit. According to T Vol. II. Bracton.

Bracton, a quiet refidence, of a year and a day,

See Wilkins Leges Gul. Conquett. 65. p. 229. & 218.

L. i. c. 6.

L i. c. c.

P. 5.

upon the king's demelne lands, would also enfranchife a villein who had fled from his lord. In one of the laws of William the Conqueror it is faid, " If any one is willing to free his flave, let him de-" liver him by his right hand to the fheriff, in the " full county court, and proclaim him difcharged, " by manumillion, from the yoke of his fervitude; " and let him thew him the doors open and his " way free, and put into his hands the arms of a " freeman, namely, a lance and a fword : which " being done, he is made a freeman." This ceremony is remarkable; as it fhews that, in England, during the times I write of, the bearing of fuch arms was a privilege fo confined to freemen, that the imparting it to a flave was a mark of in-.v.c. 5. franchilement. It is observed by Glanville, " that, although any perfon might make his flave (or villein born) a freeman, with respect to himself and bis beirs, with respect to others be could not. For, if any fuch villein, fo freed, was brought into court, to hold any plea against a stranger, or to wage law, (that is, to purge himfelf or others by oath) he might be justly removed from thence, if his birth and villenage were objected to him and proved in court, even though he had been made a knight after having been fo infranchifed." One may learn from this paffage, how great a jealoufy there was in the law of those times, with regard to judicial proceedings, when it went to far, as to exclude from them any man born in fervitude, though he had not only obtained his freedom, but even the high dignity and honour of knighthood. According to Bracton, a flave infranchiled might be deprived of his liberty, and brought back to his former servitude, for ingratitude to his master. But from the fame author we learn, that the lives and limbs of flaves were under the protection of the king; fo that if a lord killed his flave, he would

would not be lefs punished, than if he killed any other perfon. The chaftity of female flaves was likewife protected from all violence, by the law of those times; and the goods of perfons in villenage were fecured against all others, except their lords. These were some mitigations of a state that would otherwife have been infupportable; but, upon the whole, the condition of the villeins in this kingdom was worfe than that of the flaves among the ancient Germans: for those (as Tacitus tells us) had V. Tacitum houses of their own, given to them by their mas- Germanoters, which they governed at their own pleafure, rum. only paying to their mafters a rent of corn, or cattle, or cloaths, without yielding to them any further obedience or fervice. Nor, in Germany, was the domeftick or menial fervice in families performed by flaves (as among the Romans) but by the Tacitus, ut wives and children. Indeed the German and Gothick nations, in this and many other inftances, shewed more humanity and regard to natural jultice, than the Romans, who called them Barbarians. But how it happened that in England the Saxons departed fo much from the ancient lenity of their country, in the treatment of their flaves, I cannot tell. Certain it is, that the Normans did not introduce this kind of fervitude into England. There is a remarkable law of Alfred the Great, y Leges which enacted, " That whoever bought a Christian Æredi, " flave fhould give him his freedom gratis, at the and Wil-" end of fix years. And he was to depart with u. " the cloaths he had brought with him, and with " his wife, if he was married when he came to " his lord. But, if his lord had given him a wife, " fhe and the children he had by her are declared " to belong to his lord. If he refuted to go away " because he was unwilling to part with them or " his beritage under his lord, then his lord was to " lead him to the door of the church, and bore " his ears, as a mark, that from thence-forward T 2 16 110

275

fupra.

HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

276

" he thould always remain his flave." It also ap-V. Leg. 12. pears by another ftatute of the fame king, " that a freeman might fell his daughter to another, as a flave; but fhe was not to be in all respects upon the foot of other flaves : nor could her father fell her to any body out of the kingdom. If her mafter was not pleafed with her after he had bought her, he was to infranchile her and let her go to fome foreign country. Eut, if he permitted his fon to have her for a concubine, he was to make her a prefent, and fee that the was well cloathed, and, as a compensation for the loss of her chastity, pay her a marriage portion : which, if he did not perform, the was made free." I need not obferve that the fuffering a parent to fell bis daughter into flavery, under any regulations, was a bad and barbarous cuftom. It was probably allowed for the fake of ealing poor families of too great a burthen of children, which in many countries has occafioned much cruelty and injuffice. What in thefe flatutes was prohibitory, and favourable to flaves, did not extend to reffrain or lighten the fervitude of captives taken in war, of whom, and of whole posterity, the greater part of the domeftick, or predial fervants, among the Saxons, undoubtedly was compoled. In the collection of laws enacted by King Canute, there is one which frees a flave, whose master had obliged him to work on a boliday, befides punishing the offence by a fine or mulct to the king. But it may be questioned whether this was the effect of humanity, or merely of superstition. The laws and policy of the Normans were favourable to infranchifements; fo that in, and after the times, of which I write, the number of flaves must have continually decreated in England; but yet, as in Lyttelton's Tenures, which were written during the reign of King Edward the Fourth, there is a whole chapter concerning the state of perfons in fervitude, it is evident

V. Leg. Canuti 69. Wilkins.

#### Book H. OF KING HENRY H.

dent that many fuch were still remaining in those days. The practice of infranchilements growing afterwards more and more frequent, those who before had held in villenage became copyholders, and the domeflick or predial flaves were made free fervants and labourers : fome even obtained freeholds; and at length all remains of the ancient fervitude were abolished. Nor is this a light difference in the comparative excellence of our prefent conflitution above the ancient, and even above the admired governments of Greece and Rome. For, furely, whatfoever difhonours human nature, difhonours the policy of a government which permits it : and a free state, which does not communicate the natural right of liberty to all its fubjects, who have not deferved by their crimes to lofe it, hardly feems to be worthy of that honourable name.

In the times of which I write every county was divided into hundreds and tythings, which laft were composed of ten freeholders with their families, who were all pledges to the king for the good behaviour of each of them, and obliged, if any perfon, comprehended in the tything, had committed a crime, to bring him to justice, or purge themfelves, by the oath of the chief man of the tything, both of the guilt of the fact, and of being parties to the delinquent's efcape. Every mafter of a family was also made a pledge for the good behaviour of his houthold, in which defcription it appears that all his villeins were contained. The first author of this remarkable plan of police, which has been mentioned with lavish praife by fome hiftorians and lawyers, was King Alfred the Great. Notice is taken of it in the laws of other Saxon kings, particularly in fome afcribed to Edward the Confessor, which are quoted by Bracton, 1. iii. c. 10. and upon his authority I incline to think, that fo much of that compilation, as concerns this matter, is genuine; though other parts T 3 of I. Wilkins, 1 Ixix. Se Ibidem. p. 2:8. V APil. H. H. factæ apud Clarend. & renovatæ apud Northantune. Leg. 4.

of it are not. The law of frank pl. dge was con-V. Leg. Gul. firmed by particular flatutes of William the Conqueror; and we have one of Henry the Second, which favs, " That it shall not be lawful for any perfon in a borough or town to lodge in his houfe any ftranger, whom he would not put under pledge, above one night, unlefs fuch ftranger had a reasonable cause to alledge for his ftay, which his hoft was required to declare to the neighbours ; and the guest, when he departed, was not to go off, but in their prefence, and by day." This exceeded the rigour of the ancient Saxon laws, which allowed two nights to a gueft, without being put under pledge. I will fay no more on this fubject, but that thefe and other regulations relative to it, which need not be mentioned here, were much too ftrict a reftraint on the intercourse of commerce and focial life in quiet times, though they were an admirable fecurity against crimes and diforders; and might be neceffary in those ages when they were established or enforced.

Of the jurifdiction of the county and hundred courts, and of the king's court, in which prefided the great jufficiary of England; as likewife of the methods of trial then in use, and of the criminal law of this kingdom from the earlieft times to those of Henry the Second inclusively, I shall treat in another place, when I confider the inflitution of annual circuits to be made by itinerant juffices, and the flatutes enacted by that prince at Clarendon and Northampton.

It is remarkable, that during the life-time of King Henry the Second, the Pandects of Juftinian were difcovered at Amalphi; and in emulation thereof compilations were made of the canon and feudal laws, at Bologna and Milan; and the first treatife upon the English laws was written in England : fo that this age, however barbarous in other respects,

respects, made great advances in jurisprudence, the chief light and perfection of civil fociety.

The Code, the Novellæ, and the Inftitutes of See Gianno-Juftinian had indeed been read and explained in Napol. the school of Irnerius at Bologna, before the Pan. 1. xi. c. 2. dects were found by the Pifans at Amalphi, when that city was taken by them, in the year eleven hundred and thirty feven : and in France there were fome copies of the Pandects themfelves, as appears by citations from them in Ivo de Chartres antecedent to that time : yet the publication of this most ancient and authentick copy of them in Italy, where no other remained, gave a new fpirit to the fludy of the Roman civil laws, in that country first, and, very foon afterwards, in all parts of Europe. About fourteen years from the taking of Amalphi, viz. in the year eleven hundred and fifty one, under the pontificate of Eugenius the Third, Gratian, a Benedictine monk at Bologna, published his Decretum, which was composed on the model of the Pandects, being a compilation, or digeft, of the whole canon law, as those were of the civil law. And, as those contained a collection of v. craig the answers and opinions of all the greatest Roman tit, 3. p. 23. lawyers, fo did this of the opinions, decrees, and judgments, of fathers, doctors, popes, and councils. Thus far it was easy to carry imitation : but the Pandects are admired, by the most judicious critick, for their accuracy, clearnefs, and elegance : whereas the Decretum is a confuled, immethodical compilation, full of errors and forgeries. Yet as it was calculated to promote the power of the Craig, ut fuchurch, and particularly of the papacy, the ap- <sup>prà.</sup> Giann. I. plaule it met with from the clergy and the fee of xiv. c. 3. Rome was fo great, that it foon obtained an autho- <sup>Father Paul</sup> De rebus berity superior to all the former collections, and be- nenciariis. came the great code of eccleliaftical law, on which the popifh hierarchy fupported their enormous pretenfions. Such an union was also formed between T 4 the

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

V. Arthur Duck de Aucto itate iuris civilis Rom. c. 7. p 98.

the civil and canon laws, though in many points very different, that (to use the words of a learned writer) they coa'efced into one system and confonance, and were to tied together, and in to near a degree of reation, that the one could not subfift without the other : for which he gives this reafon, " that the " canon law was originally derived from the impe-" rial conflictutions; and that whatever is most ex-" cellent in it cannot be denied to have flowed from " the civil law." Certain it is, that thefe laws, in the age I write of, and long afterwards, afforded a mutual fupport to each other; the profeffors of both were the fame ; and it was neceffary for any clergyman, who defired to rife in the church, to be a civilian and a canonift.

V. Seld. Differt. in Flet. p. last edit. of his works, wol. iv.

There is a remarkable paffage in one of the epiftles of Peter of Blois, which Mr. Selden has 1094, 1095, taken notice of, in his differtation upon Fleta. The words are thefe : " In the house of my master, the archbilhop of Canterbury, there are a fett of " " very learned men, expert in all the rules of juf-66 tice, as well as other parts of prudence and 66 knowledge. It is their conftant cuftom, after 66 prayers and before they dine, to exercise them-" felves in reading, in difputations, and in the de-" cifion of legal cases. To us all the knotty questions " of the kingdom are referred ; which being brought " forth into the auditory, where all the company 66 affembles, every one, according to his rank, " whets his underitanding to fpeak well, without 66 wrangling or obloquy, and with all the acute-" nefs and iubtilty, that is in him, declares, what " he thinks the most prudent and found advice. 16 And if it pleates God to reveal the best opinion to one of the loweft among us, the whole affemçç bly agrees to it without envy or detraction." The perfons who held these affemblies in the archbifhop's palace, and to whom the most knotty questions of

# Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

of the realm were referred, were probably clergymen and civilians. But it must be observed, that, in this age, clergymen were alfo common lawyers; many prelates were employed by the king as his juffices, and William of Malmfbury fays, that in the times when he wrote, there was hardly an eccle- V. Malmb. fiastick who was not an advocate. The questions re- 1. iv. de W. ferred to them might be also of a political kind, 10. concerning the general laws of nations, the rights of embasiadors, the obligations and constructions of treaties, and all the rules of peace and war. Neverthelefs I do not doubt, that, under the government of Henry the Second, the civil law interwove itself, to a certain degree, into the fystem of English jurisprudence. The real excellence of many of its rules and decifions, in cafes of private property, must have greatly recommended it to fo inquifitive and judicious a prince, and to those who held the chief offices of judicature in his kingdom. But I shall have occasion to observe, during the course of this hiftory, that, in the punishment of offences against the state, there is reason to think their regard to this law was carried too far, and made them deviate in fome inftances from the genius and principles of the English constitution, to the great prejudice of natural juffice. Yet that, in other points, the law of England received great improvements, by the ingraftments made from the civil law, as well in this reign, as under many fucceeding kings, can, I think, no more be difputed, than that it was a wife jealoufy and caution in the parliament, under some of those kings, to prevent it from acquiring too great an authority, and encroaching too much on the common law of England; effectially in matters relating to government and the liberty of the fubject.

About the year eleven hundred and feventy, a V. Craig compilation of the feudal laws, as practifed in Lom- tit. 6. p. 46, bardy, 47.

bardy, was published at Milan in two books, by two fenators and confuls of that city, Gerardus Niger, and Obertus de Odo. In imitation of the PandeEs, they contain the opinions of lawyers, on queftions concerning the feudal cuftoms, with fome imperial conflitutions relating to feuds. They were long afterwards divided into five books by Cujacius, their best commentator; before whole time they had obtained fo great an authority in many countries of Europe, that they were received in courts of juffice, as parts of the civil law. The learned Craig afcribes this authority to imperial conftitutions contained in them, or by which they were confirmed : but Du Moulin, Giannone, and others fay, that, like the books of Juffinian, they acquired by degrees the force of laws, from ulage, from the approbation of the people, and from the tacit confent of princes, who permitted them to be publickly taught in univerfities, enriched with commentaries, and cited in tribunals, for the decifion of caufes. It does not appear that in England any fuch regard was paid to them; though in many points our laws were fimilar, as being derived from the fame principles, and directed to the fame ends. Yet it is not improbable, that even in the latter times of King Henry the Second, and ftill more in the next century, fome parts of the Englifh laws, concerning feudal eftates, may have been regulated according to their decifions, by the ftatutes then made, and, in the determination of doubtful cafes, by the opinions of the judges.

It is a notion of many eminent writers, that the whole fystem of feuds was derived from the Lom-Feudor, I. i. bards. Sir Thomas Craig, one of the beft who has ever treated that fubject, feems to incline to this opinion, and fays, that the Lombards, after they were fubdued by Charlemagne, not only retained their ancient cuftoms, but, at the return of that

P. 49, 50.

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

that emperor into France, transmitted them with him into the furthest parts of that kingdom : he might have added, into Germany, and other parts of the empire, where they also prevailed at that time. But others ascribe the origin of the feudal See Madox cultoms to the Franks, and fome to the Goths. I Baronia, p-would obferve, that if they were really confined to Sir W. Lombardy till that country was subjected to Charle- Effays. magne, as the Anglo-Saxons had fettled themfelves in Britain fome ages before that event, the cuftoms they brought with them, and effablished in this island, could not have been feudal. But, in truth, all the German nations, the Saxons, the Franks, see Spelman the Lombards, the eaftern and weftern Goths, had on Feuds fome general notions of the feudal policy, which nures, c. 2. were gradually fystematifed, and brought into that P. 5. ftate, which we find eftablished in the empire under Conrade the Salick, and in France under Hugh Capet.

Sir Thomas Craig has diftinguished four flates Feuder. Li. of the feudal law, its infancy, its childhood, its a- tit. 4. dolescence, and its maturity. To the first he af- c. iv. figns the times between the first overflowings of the northern nations, and the year fix hundred and fifty: to the fecond the times, in which fiefs, that before were annual, or at most for life, were extended to the fons of the vallal, and no further, viz. from the year fix hundred and fifty to the year eight hundred, when Charlemagne was crowned emperor. The third flate, on the authority of the c. vi. books of feuds above-mentioned, he reckons to have continued from the times of Charlemagne to those of Conrade the Salick, during which he fays that the greater and leffer vaffals had begun to ufe the ferms, or lands, granted to them, as their own ; and though they were not the true lords of them. yet they acted as if they were, being almost fecure of the will of their lords, provided they performed the

Temple

283

the fervices agreed on between them. He alfo takes notice, that Charlemagne was the first, who, by particular grants, changed fome benefices into feuds, that were permitted to defeend to the eldeft fons of the vallals; but fays, that neither in his reign, nor for some years afterwards, did such inheritances become a general law; but were rather particular privileges, the number of which was much encreafed under his grandfon Lotharius, yet ftill without the authority of any law : but Conrade the Salick made one; about the year one thouland and twenty eight, which not only confirmed the inheritance of fiefs to the fons and grandfons of the valfals, but permitted one brother to fucceed to another in his paternal effate. With this conftitution therefore Sir Thomas Craig concludes the third flate of feuds, having before obferved that in France a law had been made by Hugh Capet, which perpetuated the fucceffion to fiefs in the first degree; and that both the vaffals of the king, and those who held of them. possessed their fiels, not precariously, nor at the will of another, but by a right established in themfelves. The fourth state, or maturity of the feudal law, he extends from the above-mentioned epoch, viz. the conttitution of Conrade the Salick, made in the year one thousand and twenty two, beyond the times of which I write; when, by a gradual extension of the feudal rules of inheritance, feuds were permitted to defcend to collaterals, as far as the feventh degree. It must be observed, that, before the publication of the Books of Feuds at Milan, fome parts of the feudal law had been committed to writing, by the orders of the Emperor Frederick, furnamed Barbarolla, who was the first that had reduced them to any form or rule : but I do not find that the Books of Feuds received any fanction from the authority of that prince; where-

C. vii.

Vid. Radevicum, l. i. 6. 7.

284

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

whereas we are affured that he greatly favoured the V. Radev. fludy of the Pandects and other books of the im- Frederic, I. perial law; and that the professions of that law were ii.c. 5. confulted by him, in his most important deliberations. Unhappily for him, one of these doctors, See Gianno-named Martin, maintained a thesis, at Ronca- 1. glia, against another, named Bulgarius, in which he afferted, that the Roman emperor was, by right, the abfolute mafter of the whole world. and of all the goods of particulars, fo that he might difpole of them at his pleafure. This most abominable doctrine he drew from fome parts of the V. Arthur imperial laws, and particularly from fome words of Auctoritate Ulpian ill-underftood : but though his adverfary, juris civilie, who was professor of the civil law at Pifa, endea- left. 11. voured to vindicate that law from the imputation of fo destructive a principle, the flattering doctor prevailed : his opinion was confirmed by a majority of professors; and Bartolus, one of the most celebrated commentators on the books of Juftinian, declares it to be a berefy to contradict or deny it. In confequence of this judgment Frederick fet up fuch claims of universal and defpotick authority, that, though in all other respects an excellent prince, he juftly raifed in the Lombards and other people of the empire fuch an alarm for their liberties, and in other kings fuch a jealcufy, as proved very troublefome and dangerous to him, but of great advantage to Rome, which headed the party of malecontents against him. Indeed, the extravagance of the papal pretenfions, in that age, would probably have occafioned the downfall of the popes, notwithstanding all the aid they drew from the fuperstition and ignorance of the times, if the almost equal extravagance of the imperial pretenfions had not given them a party, which joined with, and fupported them, on political motives. But it must be observed, that whatever countenance the Roman laws, or the professions of them, might

might afford to thefe claims of the emperor, the genius and fpirit of the feudal laws were fo abfolutely contrary to them, that, without deftroying thole laws, which then were eftablished over the greatest part of Europe, and to the support of which he himself had given a new sanction in the assembly at Roncaglia, it was impossible for him to make them good.

The Decretum of Gratian and the Books of Feuds having been published in emulation of the Pandests, a treatife was also written, about the latter end of Henry the Second's reign, on the laws and customs of England, not professing to be a complete collection of all of them (which the author fays, in his preface, would be impossible, from the confused multitude of them, and from the ignorance of writers) but to reduce to writing fuch of them, as were in general and frequent use in the king's court.

The title prefixed to this book, in the printed edition of the year fixteen hundred and four, and which I find agreeable to an ancient manufcript in the Harleian library, fays, it was compofed in the time of King Henry the Second, the illustrious Ranupb de Glanville, who of all in those days was the most skilled in the law of the realm and the ancient customs thereof, then holding the belm of justice.

From thefe words I infer, that this treatife was not written by Ranulph de Glanville himfelf, but by fome clergyman, under his direction and care; I fay by fome clergyman, becaufe it is written in Latin, which could hardly be done by a layman in that age. The writer apologizes for the ftyle of his work, from the neceflity of using the terms of law, with a view to make it more inftructive. But, though for this reason, the Latin is frequently impure, the ftyle, in general, is clear, concife, and

See Glanv. Proleg.

Num. 746.

V. Proleg. Glanville.

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

and proper for the fubject; and in method it far exceeds either the Decretum of Gratian, or the Lombard Books of Feuds. It is called by Lord Chief-juffice Hale that excellent collection of C. vi. p. 131. Glanville : and certainly, if the matter of it was dictated by Glanville, and the writing supervised. the honour of it may with more reason be given to him, that to the perfon who penned it under his directions. The title fays further, that the treatife only contains those laws and cuftoms, according to which pleas were held in the king's court, at the Exchequer, and before the king's juffices, ubicunque fuerint. In the manuscripts from which this edition was printed, the whole treatife is divided into fourteen books: but I have feen one, which feems to be of the age of King John or Henry the Third, wherein the divisions are different, and Sir Thomas Craig is of opinion that it was originally in four books; as the Scotch treatife entitled Regiam Majestatem, which is almost a transcript of it, has no more. I cannot affent to this opinion, because I am convinced that the Regiam Majestatem was not published before the reign of David the Second; and we have copies of Glanville which are undoubtedly prior to that time, and are not in four books. The fuppolition that the Scotch treatife was the original, and that Glanville transcribed from thence the work which goes by his name, will hardly be admitted by any perfon, who confiders the ftate of England and Scotland in the reign of Henry the Second. The carrying back the introduction of the feudal laws contained therein to the times of Malcolm the Second, inftead of Malcolm the Third, and understanding the David, by whofe command the author fays he compiled it, to be David the First, instead of David the Second, are also notions fo difcordant to the

tit 8. fect. 7.

See Effays fubiects relating to quities. Effay J.

the clearest historical facts, and fo discredited by the internal evidence of the book itfelf in many points, that one is amazed how they could ever have obtained any credit among fome perfons of eminent parts and leaning. Not to mention the arguments of Sir Matthew Hale and other Englishmen, of the greatest autho-Feudor, 1, i rity, in opposition to them, Sir Thomas Craig. the most judicious of all the writers on feudal law. and whofe work does honour to Scotland, fpeaks of the Regiam Majestatem as stolen from Glanville's work, and treats the opinion of his countrymen, who supposed it to be an original account of their laws, as a miserable blindness and d-lusion. A late ingenious and learned author, who fills one of the upon feveral feats of juffice in that part of the united kingdom with an eminent reputation, has likewife brought Britilh Anti- the most convincing and irrefragable arguments to fhew that it could not have been published in Scotland in the reign of David the First; particularly this; that the author of it appears to be well acquainted with the civil law, the knowledge of which had hardly begun to penetrate into England before the death of that monarch, and muft, in all probability, have been much longer in making its way into Scotland, which in those days received its learning of every kind from England. I will only add, that the high encomiums on the then reigning king, in the prefaces to both these books, on account of victories gained by him, and fucceffes in war, the fame of which had filled all lands, are very ill applicable to David the Firft.

The treatife afcribed to Glanville is the moft ancient of our law-books now extant ; but, many ages before, collections had been made of the Anglo-Saxon laws, by fome of the kings of of that nation. Alfred the Great declares, V. Wilkins in the preface to his laws, that he had col-field, p. 34. lected and configned to writing many of those cuftoms, which had been anciently observed in England, and which he approved; rejecting or altering these he disapproved, with the advice of bis wife council, (that is, of the Saxon parliament, or witena-gemote.) He particularly mentions the laws of Ina. his anceftor, of Offa king of the Mercians, and of Ethelbert, the first Christian king of the Anglo-Saxons; out of which he had felected those which he thought the beft, and omitted the others. His fon, King Edward the V. Leges elder, begins his laws with a command to all his wilkins, p. judges or magistrates, that they should give just 48. judgments, according to the laws, as contained in their Dombic. 'This Spelman and Wilkins call, V. Spel-in their Latin translation, liber judicialis; and pro-Dombac. bably it was the collection spoken of by King Alfred in the words above-cited ; as no mention is made of it before the times of that prince. It retained its authority till after the reign of King Edgar, in one of whofe laws there is a reference to it, concerning a penalty, or mulct. But that king, v. Wilkins in another statute, declares and ordains, that Leges Ead-every man, whether poor or rich, shall enjoy the Leges polibenefit of the common law : which all our ableft tice. lawyers, who have treated thereof, as well as the best of our antiquaries, unanimoully affirm, to have then confisted, for the most part, of un-. written customs. The fame prince, in another Ibidem. p. law, grants a liberty to the Danes, who were fub-80. ject to him in England, of chuling for themfelves what form of law they liked beft, but commands the English to observe what he and his wife-men had added to the most ancient laws of his realm, from the prefent exigences of the nation. Hove- V. Hoveden den fays, that, after the death of Edgar, the law Poft. p. 347. VOL. II TT of

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

of England lay alleep for fixty feven years, but was awakened and confirmed by. Edward the Confessor, and therefore was called bis law, not as being first enacted, or made, by him, but becaufe it had been neglected and forgotten from the decease of his grandfather, King Edgar, who was faid to have been the first founder of it, down to his times. Yet we find fome parts of that law renewed and confirmed by those of Canute the Dane; and it is apparent from the words of Edgar himfelf, that, although he enacted fome new laws, he only confirmed the common law, of which the origin (to use the expression of L<sup>d</sup> Chief-Juffice Hale) is as undifcoverable as the head of Nile. But there is good reafon to believe that Edward the Confessor, not only revived and confirmed that law. (as Edgar had done before him) but made a new compilation, drawn out of all the laws, Mercian, Danish, and West-Saxon, which had prevailed in all the different parts of the kingdom, uniting them into one, by the advice of his witenagemote, or parliament. The collection published under the name of this prince's laws by Lambard and Wilkins, and faid, in the title of them, to have been confirmed by William the Baftard, is juftly rejected, as fpurious, by the most learned criticks. But those which he did compile, and in which, it is probable, there was a confirmation of all the unwritten customs, not condemned or altered thereby, were received and reftored to the See the Ap. nation by William the Conqueror, with certain pendix to the alterations and additions, which he had enacted (as the fift vol. one of his statutes declares) for the benefit of the numb. II. English. Some of these laws to confirmed, and published by him in the French or Norman language, the reader will find a transcript of, as tranflated into Latin by Whelock and Wilkins, in the Appendix to the first volume of this history, together with all the other statutes, made by this king,

Hiftory of the Common Law, C. 3. p. 55.

See Hickes Differt. p. 95. et alios.

first book of

king, which we have reafon to believe are genuine, and which are not penal, or relating to criminal matters; all of that nature being referved to be published in the Appendix to my third volume, together with those enacted by King Henry the Second. Of the laws of Henry the First I have given only his charter; the reft published by Wilkins, though they got into the Red Book of the Exchequer, being certainly spurious. The charter fays, I restore to you the law of King Edward with dix to the those emendations, that my father made therein by the first vol. advice of his barons. Whatever therefore was not numb. IV. altered in that law by his father flands confirmed by this claufe; and that law was the whole body of Saxon laws and cuftoms, which had been eftablished under the government of Edward the Confessor. The charter of King Stephen exprelly confirms all good laws and good cuftoms which the nation had Ibidem. enjoyed in the time of Edward the Confessor. Customs here feem to be mentioned in addition to laws, for fake of including the unwritten with the written or statute laws. Henry the Second, by his charter, confirmed that of his grandfather: fo that from the reign of King Edgar to the first of the Plantagenets, inclutively, the common law has received repeated fanctions, and been delivered down as the great birthright and inheritance of the nation. But that feveral ftatutes, or acts of parliament, made both under the Saxon and Norman kings, before and during the times of which I write, either to explain or alter that law in many points, have been loft, though the practice grounded upon them continued, I have not the leaft doubt. It also appears that fome feudal cuftoms and prerogatives of the crown arifing out of that policy, the use and exercife of which had not been complained of, under the gentle administration of Henry the Second, were afterwards limited, or taken away, by express laws: and on the other hand it is well U 2 observed

291

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

Coke's in-Ait. vol. ii. c. 15. note, p. 29.

observed by L.ª Coke, that, " to his never-dying " konour, many alls made in the seign of Henry the " Third do refer to bis reign, that matters should be " put in uie, as they were of right accustomed in " bis time." I cannot better conclude this fubject, than with the encomium made upon him, in the preface of the treatile afcribed to Glanville. with relation to his civil government, and more particularly to his conduct in the administration of juffice. The words are thefe: " How juffly, " how difcreetly, and how mercifully, in time of " peace, he, the author and lover of peace, has " behaved himfelf towards his fubjects, is very " well known. When fuch is the equity of his " Highnefs's court, that not one of the judges " there has so hardened a front, or so rash a pre-" fumption, as to dare in the leaft to decline from " the path of juffice, or give any opinion contrary " to truth. For there the poor is not oppreffed " by the power of his adverfary, nor does the fa-" your or credit of friends drive any perfon from " the feat of judgment. All the proceedings are " grounded upon the laws of the kingdom, or " reaf mable customs established by a long usage: and " (what is still more laudable) our king does not " difdain to be directed by the advice of fuch of " his fubjects, as he knows to excel others in gra-" vity of manners, in knowledge of the law and " cultoms of the realm, and whom he has found " by experience to be most prompt and expediti-" ous, as far as reason and justice will permit, in " determining causes and ending fuits, by atting " fametimes with rigour and fometimes with lenity, " as they fee to be mail proper."

On thefe laft words I would obferve, that, as in those days there was no diffinct court of equity, the judges of the king's court had probably a power of mitigating in some cafes the rigour of the law. But however this may have been, the testimony

292

teftimony given to the wildom and goodnefs of Henry, in that first of royal duties, the adminiftration of justice, if not by the grand-justiciary himfelf, yet certainly by one who wrote according to his fentiments, is of no little weight : and it will be thewn, from ftill more unquestionable evidences, from the acts of that prince, and from the reverence paid by foreign powers to the fame of his justice, that the praise he received from his tubjects, in this and other contemporary writings, was not adulation.

Of what orders of men the English parliament was composed, in the times of which I write, is a queftion much diffuted, and which can never, I believe, be fo abfolutely decided, as to put an end to any difference of opinion about it; especially if the controveriv fould be supported and sharpened, (as it has formerly been) by the fpirit of party; or by what is no lefs unfriendly to the difcovery of truth, attachment to a lystem. But happily the enquiry is rather matter of curiofity than real importance; because the right of the commons to a fhare in the legiflature and national councils, even according to the hypothefis of those who are most unfavourable to them, has antiquity enough to give it all the establishment which can be derived from long cuftom, and all the reverence and authority, which time and experience can add, in opinions of men, to the speculative reason and fitness of wife institutions. I therefore treat of this question, rather as it is a necessary part of my fubject, than as worthy in itfelf of any very anxious inveftigation : nor do I pretend to do more than draw together fome rays of light, fcattered in a few important records, and in fome paffages of the most authentick contemporary hiftorians, fubmitting the refult of them to the judgment of the reader, with very great diffidence of my own.

U 3

If

HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

Vid. Matt. Weftmon. fub. ann. 1215. 17 Johan.

40, 68.

If we look to the beft accounts of the original cuftoms of the ancient German nations, we fhall find, that, in their communities, all the freeholders enjoyed an equal right with the nobles, to affift in deliberations on affairs of great moment. When they made their first fettlements in any foreign country, and while their numbers were moderate, this right might be exercised, without any great inconvenience, by the whole body of freeholders affembling together in open plains. That it was exercised in this manner by the Anglo-Saxon people, after they came into Britain, we are affured by an hiftorian of no mean authority, Matthew of Weftminster, who fays, " that the meadow near Staines, in which the great charter was granted by King John, had the name of runemeed, which, in the Saxon language, fignified the meadow of counfel, because, from ancient times. it had been usual to confult there, upon bufiness which. concerned the peace of the kingdom." But this cuftom had been difused under the government of the Normans, and (fo far as I can difcover) for fome time before; perhaps from the time that the Saxon heptarchy was united into one kingdom. Nor do I find a fingle inftance of its being ever revived. till that extraordinary meeting in the reign of King John; all the parliaments, or great councils, whereof we have any account before, having been held in churches, abbies, or royal castles. It should feem therefore, that, if the right of the freeholders continued, the greater part of them must have exercised it, not perfonally, as they did in more ancient times, but by reprefentatives. see Brady's We are affured, by a record which Dr. Brady has Anfwer to cited, that, fo late as in the fifteenth year of King Introduction John, not only the greater barons, but all the infeto the Hift. rior tenants in chief of the crown, had a right to be fummoned to parliament by particular writs. We

We may therefore conclude, that, till that time, See also the Notes to this no reprefentatives had been fent by any of these book at the to ferve for them in parliament; but they were end of the volume. accultomed to attend the great councils of the nation in their own perfons. Nor were they yet become fo numerous, as that they might not be contained in the body of a church, or the great hall of an abbey or a caftle. But thefe were far from being all the freeholders in the kingdom. Under that description were comprehended all who held of the barons, either by knight-fervice or free focage, and all the poffeffors of alodial effates, with all the free inhabitants of cities and boroughs not holding of the crown. The number of these was too great to be contained in any building, how fpacious foever. We are therefore to enquire, whether, during the times of which I treat in this hiftory, all thefe men were either wholly excluded from parliament, or were prefent there by any kind of reprefentation. Some learned writers have fuppofed, that every fuperior lord, who held of the king immediately and in chief, being the head of his tenants in all the degrees of fubinfeudation, whatever he agreed to in matters of government bound all bis vaffals. For which reafon Sir H. Spelman gives it as his opinion, " that in making laws of the kingdom the common people were not confulted with, but only the barons, and those which held in capite, who were then called concilium regni. And the common people being, by way of tenure, under one or other of them, did then by him that was their chief lord (as by their tribune or procurator, and as now by the knights of the (bire) consent or differ in law making, and are not therefore named in the title of any ancient law." But, though it may appear that this notion has indeed fome foundation in the genius and contexture of the ftrict feudal system then eftablished in England, it must be observed, that U 4 the

the poffeffors of alodial eftates, in the number of which were all the parochial clergy, having no fuperior lord to act for them in parliament, could not be thus reprefented, or virtually bound by the acts of the king's barons, to whom they were not attached by any feudal connection, and of whom they held nothing. I would likewife remark, that the knights, citizens, and burgeffes, who are now the reprefentatives of the commons of England, are elected by those for whom they ferve; all their power is derived to them from their electors; and, upon a diffolution of the parliament, and the calling of a new one, those electors are again at liberty to make a new choice: whereas the reprefentatives, which Sir H. Spelman has supposed in his hypothesis, were neither elested, nor liable to be *changed* at any period of time, by those they represented, their right to fit in parliament not ariling from any truft conferred by the people, but wholly from their tenures. Indeed it feems improper, and a force on the words, to call them representatives or procurators. But further, it is certain, that the feudal fuperiority was the fame under the government of Henry the Third as of William the First, and continued fo for fome ages. If therefore the barons, and fuperior lords of great fiefs, holden immediately of the crown, had, by virtue of the inflitutions of William the First, been supposed to represent their vafials in parliament, and the notion was then, that every feudatory, holding by a melne tenure, was bound by the parliamentary acts of his lord, how came that notion to be difcarded in the forty-ninth year of Henry the Third, or under the reign of his fon, or at any time afterwards, while the feudal conftitution remained in this kingdom ? A baron, who held of the crown, was to all intents and purposes the head of his vaffals, in the reigns of Edward the First and Edward the

the Third, as much as in any of the preceding reigns. How happened it then, that the confent of those vaffals to the making of laws, or any other act of moment to the publick, was not ftill included in the vote of their lord? why was it given, against the course of former proceeding, not by him, as their representative, but by knights of the fhires, or by citizens, or by burgeffes, chofen by the vaffals? Some learned men have afferted, that this change was brought about by the power of the earl of Leicefter, in the fortyninth year of Henry the Third. But we have a record which demonstrates that date to be falle. A writ of fummons directed to the theriffs of Bedfordshire and Buckinghamshire, and requiring two knights to be fent for each of those counties, is extant in the close roll of the thirty-eighth year of Henry the Third. And there is a clause in see the the great charter of the ninth of the fame king, Charter in whereby it is declared, that, together with the flone's editifpiritual and temporal lords, other inferior free- on. holders, et omnes de regno, by which words I understand the whole commonally of the realm, granted to the king the fifteenth part of all their moveable goods, in return for the liberties accorded to them in that charter. Nor can I difcover, in the hiftory of those times, any reason sufficient to render it probable, that fo great an alteration should then have been made in the conflictution of England. But, if it had been made, it must naturally have produced fome difputes, which would have been taken notice of by fome of the many hiftorians, who lived in that age, and who have left very large and particular accounts of lefs important transactions. The Roman history is full of diffentions and struggles between the patricians and plebeians. The same contests likewise appear in several other mixt governments, both ancient and modern; and every advantage, which the ariftocratical

cratical or the popular powers obtained in those contefts, is diffinctly marked by historians. But the English history is quite filent as to any difputes, between the nobility and the people, on this account, from the earlieft times of the Saxon government, down to the reign of Charles the First. Soon after the times of which I write, we find the king and the barons engaged in civil wars, on account of difputes between the royal prerogative and the liberties of the nation, in which the barons were supported by the arms of the commons: but there was not the least trace, in that part of our history, of any diffension between the barons and commons concerning this queftion. From whence, I think, we may prefume, that the right of the commons must have been incontestably eftablished by custom, and interwoven into the original frame of our government. For, that the admittion of all the lower orders of freemen, or indeed of any large number, to the great council of the kingdom, and to a participation of the legiflative power, which they had no right to before, should be fo eafily brought about, as to pafs unobferved by any writer who lived in that age, is hardly conceivable. Even if we suppose (as fome have done) that the fitting in parliament, which is now thought fo valuable a privilege, was then regarded only as a trouble and burthen, the laying that onerous Obligation on orders of men, who had been before exempt from it, must naturally have met with refistance, and opposition, on their part. But that it was generally feen in a very different light may be inferred from the act of the fourth of Edward the Third, which is thus worded, " It is accorded, that a parliament " fhall be holden every year once, and more often, " if need be." The prefumption is ftrong, that they to whom the king accorded this statute confidered the fervice in parliament as a privilege, of which

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

which they earneffly defired the frequent enjoyment: otherwife, they would not have petitioned the crown to call them to it fo often, and bound the king, by an express law, not to omit or neglect it. And it is highly probable that this law did only confirm an ancient ulage. For nothing appears in the wording of it, or in the hiftory of the times, to induce one to believe, that it made any change in the English constitution. We know indeed that fome boroughs, which, from their poverty, were unable to bear the expence of fending members to parliament, declined the use of that privilege: but no argument can be drawn, from these particular inftances, to the general lense of the commons, in counties, cities, or other more wealthy boroughs. As for the nobility, whole power was never higher than in the reign of Henry the Third, it feems incredible, that if the whole legiflative authority had, before that time, been always placed in them and the king, they fhould not have oppoled the extension of it to fo many perfons of a lower rank in the flate. And with regard to the earl of Leicefter, it was not his interest, while he was acting at the head of the nobles and people, in a very dangerous contest against the crown, to make any innovations offenfive or diffasteful to either of those bodies. Nor is it probable that any new inftitutions, begun by the earl, fhould have been confirmed and perpetuated by Edward the Firft.

Among the close rolls of the twenty-fourth year v. Rot. of that king, there is a writ of fummons to parli- Clauf. 24. Edw. I. in ment, in which it is afferted, not as an innovation dorlo. introduced by the earl of Leicester, but a maxim grounded on a most equitable law, established by the forefight and wildom of facred princes, that what concerned all fould be done with the approbation of all; and that dangers to the whole community should be obviated by remedies provided by the whole community. Some

Some very eminent writers have supposed, that none but the king's inferior tenants in chief were at first represented by the knights of the shires: but there is no fufficient evidence to fupport that opinion. On the contrary, it appears, from fome of the most ancient writs now remaining, that the knights were fent to represent the whole community of the county: and how this expression should fignify the inferior tenants in chief, exclusively of all the other freeholders, I do not well comprehend.

There is not in any of those writs, nor in the oldeft we have for fending up reprefentatives from cities and boroughs, the least intimation, that fuch elections were a novelty then introduced. See Tyrrel's But fome writs are taken notice of by Mr. Tyrrel. a diligent fearcher into records on this fubject, which fet forth a claim of certain tenanis in ancient demesne, before the fifteenth year of Edward the Second, that they ought not to be charged with wages to knights of the fhire; for almuch as they and their ancestors, tenan's of the same manor, had, from time beyond memory, been always exempted, by custom, from the expences of knights, fent by the community of their county to the parliaments of the king, and of his royal progenitors. If no wages had been ever paid to knights of the fhire till the reign of Henry the Third, it would have been prepofterous for these men to tell the grandson of that king, that they had enjoyed a cuftomary privilege of not paying fuch wages from time beyond memory, which is defined by our law-books, to be a time antecedent to the beginning of the reign of King Richard the First; and must be supposed, when this exemption was claimed, to go much further back.

> With regard to cities and boroughs, there are likewife extant two claims, made in the reigns of Edward the Second and Edward the Third, the proceedings upon which feem decifive of the fense

Append. part II. vol. iii. p. 60, 61.

## Book II, OF KING HENRY II.

fense of that age, concerning the antiquity of the cultom of citizens and burgeffes coming to parliament, and from towns that were held under fubjects, not immediately of the crown; I mean the claims of the towns of St. Albans and Barnftable : See the notes to which I will add the teffimony of the whole le- to this book, giflature within the fame age. By a flatute of Hint. of the the fifth year of Richard the Second it is enacted, Excheq. c. " that all and fingular perfons and commonalties, " which from henceforth thall have the fummons " of the parliament, shall come from henceforth " to the parliaments in the manner as they are See Keble's " bound to do, and have been accustomed, within Satutes 5 " the realm of England, of old times. And if any 2. et ann. " perfon of the fame realm which from henceforth dom. 1382. " fhall have the fame fummons, (be he arch-" bifhop, bifhop, abbot, prior, duke, earl, ba-" ron, baneret, knight of the shire, citizen of city, " burgefs of borough, or other fingular perion or " commonalty) do absent himself, and come not " at the faid fummons (except he may reafonably " and honeftly excuse him to our lord the king) " he shall be amerced and otherwise punished, ac-" cording as of old times bath been used to be done

" within the faid realm in the faid cafe." No diffinction is made in this flatute between the

antiquity of fummons to parliament fent to the greater nobility, and those to citizens, burgeffes, and knights of the fhires. All are fpoken of as having been accustomed of old times to come thitber, and the ancient penalties for non-attendance are referred to as the rule for punishing those who should absent themselves for the future. It seems very difficult, if not impossible, to reconcile these expreffions to the opinion of those, who date the admission of the commons into the parliaments of this realm, fo near to the times when this ftatute was enacted, as the reign of Edward the First, or of Henry the Third. But befides these authorities, drawn

drawn from flatutes and records, very evident indications of the prefence of the people. in the national councils, and of their being conftituent parts thereof, though, indeed, in a confused, diforderly manner, are to be found in fome ancient hiftories, and contemporary accounts of transactions in parliament, during the times which I write of : viz. from the death of Edward the Confessor to that of Henry the Second.

Neverthelefs it is certain, that in those times, and long afterwards, ordinary bufinefs, and even fome arduous affairs of the kingdom, were frequently treated of, and determined, by the nobles alone, who met, according to ancient cuftom, three times in a year, namely, on the great feftivals of Chriftmas, Easter, and Whitfuntide. It would have been too inconvenient to fummon fo often, and from the most distant parts of England, all the citizens, burgeffes, and knights of the fhires: nor could their conftituents have supported the expence of their wages and travelling charges. But the affembly of the nobles was convened with more eafe, and appears to have acted, not only as a council of flate, and fupreme court of judicature, but, as being authorifed, by permiffion and common confent, to exercise fome degree of parliamentary power, the limits of which were not accurately defined. The king was always prefent in it, and fometimes wore his crown, as he does now in full parliament; all the nobility likewife being dreffed in their robes. We Huntindon. are told by fome ancient writers, that William the First kept his court, and held these assemblies, at Chriftmas in Glocefter, at Easter in Winchefter, and at Whitfuntide in Westminiter : but it also appears, that he convened them occafionally in fome of his other cities. For intending to celebrate his Chriftmas at York, in the year one thousand and fixty nine, he ordered his crown and other regalia to be carried thither from Winchefter. This change of place was for

V. Ord. Vital. l. iv. fub ann. 1069.

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

for the eafier difpatch of bufines in the several counties, and that all parts of the kingdom might be favoured, in their turns, with the benefit of these meetings, wherein our monarchs difplayed their utmost state and magnificence. William of Malmf- L. iii. f. 63. bury fays, that, on fuch occafions, the above-mentioned prince made very fplendid feafts, for the entertainment of those who came to attend his council: and fummoned thither all his fpiritual and temporal nobles, that the embaffadors of foreign nations might admire the pomp of fo numerous an affembly, as well as the fumptuoufnefs of the banquets provided for them. The fame magnificence in feafting was continued by his fucceffor, but dropped by Henry the First. Stephen revived it, with great luftre, at the beginning of his reign, and would, doubtlefs, have perfevered in it till the end of his life, if the troubles of his kingdom, and the wretched poverty, which they foon brought upon him, had not prevented him from indulging the liberality of his nature. It has been mentioned in the former part of this book, that Henry the Second wore his crown, in meetings of this nature, at Lincoln and Worcefter; in the laft of which cities he made a folemn vow, that he would wear it no more. But the omifion of this ceremony did not alter the cuftom of fummoning the nobility, at the ufual feafons of the year, when the king was in England. It frequently happened, that the occafions for calling the commons to parliament fell in with those feftivals; and in that case, I prefume, the fummons being fent to the counties, cities, and boroughs, converted fuch councils into full and compleat parliaments. Of this we have an inftance in the first year of King Stephen, which is particularly confidered in one of the notes to this book, among other proofs, drawn from hiftory, of the prefence of the commons in the parliaments of this kingdom, during the period from the death of Edward

Edward the Confessor to that of Henry the Second. There is also reason to believe, that the above-mentioned council, held at Worcefter, was a full parliament. But neither the number of reprefentatives, nor the modes of reprefentation, were fo abfolutely fixed, as not to be liable to occafional variations at the will of the crown. Perhaps the principal magistrates of cities and boroughs may, at fome times, have been deputed, by virtue of their offices, to reprefent those communities. And it feems, that in conformity to the ancient German cuftom, fo far as could be practifed when the affemblies of the nation were no longer convened in open plains, none of the inferior orders of freemen, reliding in or near the place where the parliament met, were excluded from attending it in their own perfons; the number of them being only limited by the capacity of the building in which they affembled. Much confusion must have arisen from a liberty of this nature, and it certainly was a great improvement of the English constitution, when the lords were separated from the commons, and none of the latter admitted into the national councils, but by a regular and fixed method of representation. Among the freeholders, of whole prefence in pariiamentary meetings a diffinct notice is taken by the hiftorians of the times treated of in this work, we find many of the inferior, fecular clergy, an order of men who were, certainly, of too great effimation and account in the ftate, not to have had a fhare in the legiflature, either perfonally, or by reprefentatives. There are not, indeed, any writs of fummons now remaining, which require proctors to be fent for them to the parliaments of this kingdom before the twenty third year of Edward the First : but from the annals of Burton it appears, that the whole body of the clergy were fo reprefented in the thirty ninth ann. 1255. See also one of Henry the Third. Nor is it remarked as a noof the Notes to the book, velty by any of the hiftorians who wrote in that age,

304

T. Annal.

Eurton, p. 355. fub

age, though, being all ecclefiafticks, they probably would have thought it more worthy of obfervation, than any event wherein the laity alone were concerned. It may be therefore prefumed, that, not only the attendance of the inferior clergy in parliament, which is evidently proved by many paffages in more ancient hiftorians, but this kind of repre- V.Rot. Parl. fentation of them, had been cuftomary long before. In later times, from a defire of independence on the ftate, to which they were incited more and more by the pope, they gradually withdrew themfelves from any attendance in parliament, cither perfonally, or by representation; fo that, after the reign of Henry the Sixth, they are hardly ever mentioned as prefent there; although, in the twenty first year of Richard the Second, the commons had fnewn, in a petition to the king, how that before those times many judgments and ordinances, made in the times of the progenitors of our lord the king in parliament, had been repealed and difannulled, because the state of the clergy were not present in parliament at the making of the faid judgments and ordinances. Upon the reformation of religion, in the reign of Edward the Sixth, an attempt was made in the convocation to have the lower house united to the house of commons, according to ancient custom, sicut ab antiquo fieri consuevit. It was also proposed to Queen Eliza- see Hody beth, but rejected. The clergy continued to tax Hift. of themfelves in a feparate body, till the reftoration of tions, p. Charles the Second; foon after which they were 429, 430. taxed in the fame manner and conjointly with the to Burnet's reft of the commons; and have ever fince been re-Hift. of the Reformaprefented in parliament by the fame perfons; which tion, numb. has more *embodied* them with the laity, and prevents <sup>18</sup>. the fetting up of a church interest distinct from that of the people. It is remarkable, that this very important alteration in the ftate of this kingdom was made without any law, by agreement with the clergy. And thus feveral others may have happened VOL. II. X before.

before, in the methods by which those, to whom our ancient conftitution had given a fhare in the legiflative power, exercifed that great privilege, during the course of fo many centuries, as have paffed fince the Saxons, or even fince the Normans first came into this island. Some orders of men, who had before attended perfonally in our great councils, or parliaments, may, from the encrease of their numbers, or from other motives of convenience, have come by representatives; and the mode of representation may have occasionally varied : but all this, I prefume, was done, and the whole fyftem of those affemblies was finally fettled, without any change in the principles of the ancient conftitution, and on the foundation of undisputed, original rights. The prefence of the people in the Saxon councils, and their having had a fhare in the higheft acts of legiflature and government, even till the entrance of the Normans, feems to be proved very ftrongly, from the preambles of laws and other proceedings of those councils, and from the words of the best hiftorians who lived near to those times. On this long ufage, I conceive, their right was eftablished : and it appears to have been continued under William the Conqueror, with other cuftoms and rights confirmed by him to the nation; and under his fucceffors, by like fanctions of ancient liberties granted in repeated royal charters. Accordingly we find, that fo long ago as the fecond year of King Henry the Fifth, the houfe of commons affert, in their petition to the king, that it ever bath been their liberty and freedom, that there fould no statute or law be made without their affent; and that they are, and ever have been, a member of the parliament : which claim was not difallowed, either by the lords or the king.

Upon the whole, it feems that the parliaments, during the times which I write of, contained in them the first elements of those we have now; but were only

306

# Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

only a rough draught, in which regularity and deccrum were abfolutely wanting. Nor was that ballance of power, which makes the perfection of our prefent conftitution, yet fixed in those affemblies. For, the property of the commons was fo unequal to that of the nobles, and the feudal obligations of the inferior landholders, to the lords they held under, created fuch a dependence of the former on the latter, that although, in the idea and fcheme of the government, a popular power was mixed with the regal and ariftocratical, yet, in reality, the fcale of the people was not weighty enough, to make a proper counterpoile to either of the other. The changes made in the peerage, the relaxation of the feudal laws, and the diffusion of wealth among the lower orders of freemen, produced afterwards a great difference in the ftate of the legislature : but the hiftory of these events belongs not to my fubject.

All feudal governments were monarchical, and could no more fubfift without a king, than an army without a general, the royal power being confidered as the fource of all dignity and command in that fyftem. But neither could monarchy in fuch a government be fuftained without a nobility, nor that nobility without inferior orders of freebolders : the feudal notions requiring all these ranks in the community, and connecting them together by reciprocal duties. The degrees of power appropriated to each of these orders were different in different countries, and even in the fame countries at different periods. During the age I write of, the regal power in this kingdom, though limited by a mixture of Ariftocracy, and Democracy, was very great. The execution of all laws was entrusted to the king, and none could be made, repealed, or altered, without his affent. To him belonged the right of affembling the parliament or Great Council. It was by him that the whole flate exerted its energy, either in peace or in war. He treated with foreign powers; he made alliances X 2

alliances and confederacies, offenfive or defenfive; by him peace was concluded, by him war was declared. He was the general of the armies formed by knight-fervice, or by commutations for that fervice; nor could any other species of military force exift in the realm, without being fubject to his orders as commander in chief. Appeals were carried to him from all the inferior courts of juffice; and in his own court he exercifed a fovereign judicature, without appeal. He had many offices to beftow, which created him a great number of dependants and friends: but his chief power arofe from the multitude of fiefs, which, by efcheat, or by forfeiture, were continually falling into his hands. The influence, our prefent government may be supposed to derive from the emoluments it confers, is by no means equal to that, which the crown must have obtained, while the feudal law was in vigour, from a prudent conduct in the difpofal of these vacant fiefs. A place, or penfion, held during the pleafure of the king, or even for life, is a much lefs valuable gift, than lands of inberitance, fome of which had great dignities and privileges annexed to them, befides their rents and profits. A court, which had fuch immenfe and lafting benefits to confer on those it favoured, must have had many fuitors, among all ranks of men, perpetually follicitous to gain its good will, and, by confequence, ready to obey its orders. Nor, when baronies, or other fiefs, had been granted by the king, did the dependence upon his favour, with regard to those posicilions, entirely ccafe. For the right of wardship over the heirs, in cafe of minorities, made all the great families afraid of offending the fovereign, who might happen foon to have the cuftody and education of their children committed to him by law, as well as the care of their citates, during the time of fuch cuftody. And certainly there could not be a more irrefiftible bribe to avarice, ambition, or love, than the hand of a rich.

rich, a noble, or a beautiful heirefs, which the king, as feudal lord, was often able to grant. This power alone, as it affected the interefts and paffions of men in the higheft degree, was greater than any the crown poffeffes now, and very dangerous to the public.

Another feudal prerogative was the altering of the fervice by which lands were held; of which SeeBaronia, Mr. Madox gives an inftance under King John, who 1. i. p. 32. ordered an eftate, which under his brother, King Richard, had been held by knight-fervice, to be held by the fervice of the falconrie, a fpecies of ferjeanty.

The wealth of the crown, in the times of which I write, was a great fupport of its power. The ancient demesse, or land estate of the crown, as recorded in Domefday-book by William the First, see Bradw confifted of fourteen hundred and twenty two Hift. W. I. manors, in different counties, befides fome feattered Davenant lands and farms, not comprehended therein, and on Returnptions, p.105. quit rents paid out of feveral other manors. Much of this ancient patrimony of the kings of England was alienated in the reign of King Stephen; but the refumption made by Henry the Second (of which an account has been given in the former part of this book) recovered all those alienations, except only the lands which had been granted to the church, and which, probably, did not exceed what muft be added to the number in Domefday-book, viz. the eftates of the crown in the four northern counties, and in fome parts of Wales, which were fubdued after the death of the Conqueror, who caufed that furvey to be made. It is therefore evident that a vaft fhare of the lands of England was poffetfed by Henry the Second, which was a conftant fupport to the royal dignity, independent of all taxes or impofitions on his fubjects, and which was confidered as a facred and inalienable patrimony, transmitted to him from from his anceftors, the ancient kings of X 3 England :

209

England; for it appears by Domefday-book, that all the demeine lands, affigned therein to the crown, belonged to it in the reign of Edward the Confeffor. But it will be neceffary, in treating of the royal revenue, to give a particular account of that famous record, which is called by Sir H. Spelman, if not the most ancient, yet without controver/y the most venerable monument of Great Britain. It confifts of two volumes, which, together, contain a defcription of all the lands in England, except the four northern counties, made by order of William the First, with the advice of his parliament, in the year one thousand and eighty fix. But it feems not to have been finished till the following year, which was the V.L.Elicufis laft of that king. For the execution of this great MSS. Cott. Libr. Tibe- furvey fome of his barons were fent commiffioners rius, A. vi. into every fhire, and juries fummoned in each hundred, out of all orders of freemen, from barons down to the loweft farmers, who were fworn to inform the commissioners, what was the name of each manor, who had held it in the time of Edward the Confeffor, and who held it then; how many hides, how much wood, how much pasture, how much meadow land it contained; how many ploughs were in the demefne part of it, and how many in the tenanted part : how many mills, how many fifhponds, or fisheries, belonged to it; what had been added to it or taken away from it; what was the value of the whole together in the time of King Edward, what when granted by William, what at the time of this furvey; and whether it might be improved, or advanced in its value. They were likewife to mention all the tenants of every degree, and how much each of them had held, or did hold at that time; and what was the number of the flaves. Nay, they were even to return a particular account of the live flock on each manor. These inquifitions, or verdicts, were first methodifed in the county, and afterwards fent up to the king's Exchequer.

310

Exchequer. The leffer Domefday-book contains the originals fo returned from the three counties of Effex, Norfolk, and Suffolk. In these the live stock is noted. The greater book was compiled, by the officers of the Exchequer, from the other returns, with more brevity, and a total omiflion of this article, which (as appears by the expressions of contemporary historians) gave much offence to the people; probably, becaufe they apprehended, that the defign of the king, in requiring fuch an account, was to make it a foundation for fome new imposition. And this apprehension appears to have extended itfelf to the whole furvey at that time. But, whatever jealoufy it may have raifed, it certainly was a work of great benefit to the publick; the knowledge it gave to the government of the ftate of the kingdom being a most necessary ground work for many improvements, with relation to agriculture, trade, and the encrease of the people, in different parts of the country; as well as a rule to proceed by, in the levying of taxes. It was also of no fmall utility for the afcertaining of property, and for the fpeedy decifion or prevention of law fuits. In this light it is confidered by the author of the L. i. c. 16. dialogue de Scaccario, who fpeaks of it as the completion of good policy and royal care for the advantage of his realm in William the Conqueror; and fays, it was done to the intent, that every man fhould be fatisfied with his own right, and not usurp with impunity what belonged to another. He likewife adds, that it was called Domefdaybook by the English, because a sentence, arising from the evidence there contained, could no more be appealed from, or eluded, than the final doom at the day of judgment. From this authority given to it, one fhould suppose that the verdicts, on which the register had been grounded, were found, in general, to be faithful; notwithstanding the confession made by Ingulphus, abbot of Croyland, X 4 that

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

312

- 7

V. Hilt. In- that, with refpect to his abbey, the return was gulph. edit. Gale, p. 79, partial and falle. For it does not appear that the defign imputed to Ralph Flambard, as minister to William Rufus, of making another and more rigo rous inquifition, was ever put in execution, or that any amendments were made in either of the books. I muft observe, that many lands are declared in those records to be of much greater value when this furvey was made, than in the time of Edward the Confesior, and capable of being still very confiderably improved by more cultivation. But from other evidence it appears, that the four northern counties, were then, for the most part, in a wafte and defolate condition : which, I prefume, was one reason of their not being surveyed together with the others. It is furprifing that this defect was not afterwards supplied by a fimilar inquisition. The above-mentioned author of the dialogue de L. i. c. 7. Scaccario tells us, that, from the Norman conquest till the reign of Henry the First, the rents due to the king were accuftomed to be paid in provisions and necefiaries for his houfhold; but that prince, about the middle, or towards the end of his reign, being moved by the complaints, which were frequently brought to him, from those who tilled his demenne lands, of the great oppressions they fuffered, by being obliged to bring victuals, and other provisions for the use of his household, to different parts of the kingdom, from their own dwellings, did, with the advice of his parliament, fend commillioners over England, to take an eftimate of the value of what they thus paid in kind; and thefe, reducing it into money, appointed the fheriff of each county to put together all the furns arising from the faid lands contained therein, and account with the Exchequer for the whole collection. Yet it is certain, notwithstanding the authority of this treatife, which is kept among our records, that, before

before the reign of Henry the First, the rents of the crown, from those who occupied its lands in ancient demesne, were often paid in money. But the converting all fuch rents, in the manner above-described, into pecuniary payments, is a memorable act of that reign. If a moderate composition was taken (as there is reafon to believe) this alteration was a great relief to the tenants. But though the revenue of the crown was leffened thereby in real value, the money brought into the treafury, which might be applied to any fervices, of war or other exigences, was in many refpects more commodious and more defirable for the king. And the frequent occasion Henry had for supplies of this kind, by reafon of the quarrels he was engaged in for the defence of his territories or allies on the continent, must naturally have inclined him to prefer this mode of payment to a greater profit from the methods before in ufe.

From the account before given of the number of manors belonging to the crown, as its ancient inheritance, it appears that the king, in the times of which I write, was beyond comparison the greatest landholder in England: but, befides his demefnes, he had frequently in his poffestion, by escheats, feizures, or forfeitures, the lands of many of his vaffals. How confiderable a revenue arofe from hence to the crown may be judged from these instances. In the feventeenth year of King Henry the See Madox's Second there were in his hands feven baronies, of Hift of the Excheq. c. which four belonged to earldoms; and in the thirty 10. p. 203, first of the fame king eight baronies, belonging 204, 205. likewife to earldoms, the lands annexed to the office of conftable of England, with twelve other baronies, or knights-fees of great value. Many leffer offices and fiefs of different kinds often fell to the crown by devolution or forfeiture, all which produced together a very ample income. The greater efcheats were let at farm, or committed to the cuftody of perfons appointed

appointed by the king, to whom they accounted for the profits. Mr. Madox fays (though with fome doubt) that, about the latter end of King Henry the Second's reign, the officers of the Exchequer began to form an escheatry. It appears, that in this reign, the vacant bishopricks and other prelacies, which were of royal foundation, efcheated to the crown; and till a new election was made of a bifhop or abbot, the king enjoyed the revenues and profits of those sees, as he did of other escheats. Peter of Blois, in his continuation of Ingulphus, affirms, that William Rufus, feduced by the councils of Ralph Flambard, his principal minister, was the first king of England who began the evil practice of retaining to his own benefit thefe facred revenues. which his father, and all his Anglo-Saxon predeceffors, had religiously and strictly refunded to the next fucceeding prelates. This testimony is confir-P. 678,679 med by Ordericus Vitalis, who fays that, before the entrance of the Normans, the cuftom of England was, that the bifhop of the diocefe took care of the revenues of vacant abbies therein, and the archbishop, in like manner, of vacant bishopricks in his province. Both these writers exclaim against the alteration made by William Rufus, as a facrilegious invalion of the goods of the church. Yet it was certainly juftifiable by the feudal principles then eftablished by law in England. For churchmen who held their temporalities of the crown, as baronial See Giann. eftates, having no heirs who could claim by defcent from them, their fiefs, at their decease, reverted to the crown, as all other baronies did upon failure of heirs, and for the fame feudal reafons. Nor was the king lefs intitled, as immediate lord of fuch fiefs, to the revenues and profits of these lands, than of the others fo efcheated. But the keeping bifhopricks and abbies void, beyond a refonable time, for the fake of retaining fuch profits was undoubtedly blameable. Peter of Blois fays, that William Rufus, under

F. 111.2d ana. 1100.

ad ann. Bogg.

pol. l. x. 8.12.

under the colour of feeking a fit paftor with long V. Petrum deliberation, kept all dignities in the church a great fupra, p. 11. while vacant, and fold them at last to the best bid- ad ann. 1100. der, except in the fingle promotion of Anfelm to Canterbury, which he made in a fit of ficknefs. He alfo tells us, that this monarch had in his hands at his death the archbishoprick of Canterbury, four bishopricks, and eleven abbies, which he had let out to farmers. Henry the First in his charter promited that he would neither fell nor let out to farm the holy See the charter in church of God; nor, upon the death of an archbifhop, the Appenbishop, or abbot, would be receive any thing from the dix to the domaine of the church, or from the tenants thereof, till IV. the successor should enter upon it. Yet there is great reason to believe, that before the end of his reign the feudal notions prevailed to the abolition of this law, except with regard to the funony, by fome ftatute now loft. It appears by the great roll which is called the fifth of King Stephen, but which evidently belongs to the latter years of his predecesior (as Mr. Madox has proved) that the revenues of v Differt. thefe dignities were let out to farm, during the time Epifiel. De of a vacancy, by Henry the first, as they had been Scaccarii. by William Rufus. Stephen indeed, by his fecond charter, promifed to put all vacant fees, with the See this charter in poffeilions belonging to them, into the hands of the the Appenclergy, or perfons belonging to the church, till the dix to the vacancy was fupplied; but he paid no regard to this numb. VII. promife; and though Henry the Second confirmed p. 630. his grand-father's charter, he did not act in this inftance conformably to it, but afferted his right both to the cuftody and profits of the fees, which were held of his crown, by one of the conftitutions of Clarendon : I fay afferted his right, becaufe those ftatutes were only made in affirmance of the law and cuftoms of the kingdom, as they had been eftablished in the time of his grandfather, King Henry the first. Nor do we find by any letters, or other evidence of those days, that the repugnancy of this claim to

to the charter of that prince was ever objected by Becket, or any of his adherents, who would hardly have failed to remark it, and avail themfelves of it, against the proceedings at Clarendon, if they had not known that a fufficient and undeniable anfwer could be made to the charge. It must be likewife observed, that the crown was left in possession of thefe efcheats, by the great charter of King John, and by those of his fon. It may therefore well be prefumed, that this part of the charter of King Henry the first had been abrogated by some statute enacted in his reign, which Henry the Second, notwithftanding the general confirmation he had given to that charter, renewed and enforced, with the confent of his parliament, by the conftitutions of Clarendon, which will be particularly treated of in the following book. Of what value the efcheats of fpiritual baronies were to the crown, in those days, may be judged from the number which it appears by the rolls were in the hands of this king, in the fixteenth, nineteenth, and thirty first years of his SecMadox's reign; namely, in the fixteenth one archbishoprick, Hift. of the five bifhopricks, and three abbies; in the nineteenth one archbishoprick, five bishopricks, and fix abbies; and in the thirty first, one archbishoprick, fix bishopricks, and feven abbies. It appears that the bishoprick of Lincoln was kept vacant for eighteen years together; the reason of which I shall have occafion to mention hereafter. But I would obferve here, that as it was fcandalous, and detrimental to religion, to let the fpiritual baronies remain long unfupplied, fo it was likewife against the policy of the flate, not to enfeoff other barons in the temporal baronies, escheated or forfeited to the crown. For, though the tenants of fuch baronies continued to pay the fame fervice to the king as they had done to the baron, yet the baronial fervice itfelf was loft, till a new feoffment was made; and in the performance of that fervice the whole ftate had an intereft, as

Excheq. c.

10. p. 209 to 212.

as well as the king. The fame may be faid, in an inferior degree, of forfeited or escheated knights-fees. And therefore when writers fay, that the lands of the crown were inalienable, it must be understood only of those in ancient demesne, not of those incidental or cafual poffeifions. Mr. Madox takes notice, that Hift. of the when prelacies were vacant, and in the hands of the Excheq. c. king, he used to have, as immediate lord, the reliefs, wardships, &c. of the military tenants holding of fuch prelacies, together with other profits arifing from the eftates. And he was likewife intitled, du- Ibidem, p. ring vacancies to the cuftody of prelacies founded by private lords, in cafe he had the heirs of those lords in wardship. The famous statute of provisors, made in the twenty fifth year of King Edward the Third, declares, that not only the king, but earls, barons, and other nobles, comme seigneurs et advowes, as lords and patrons, ought to have the cultody of the prelacies founded by themfelves or their anceftors, as well as the prefentation and collation. The crown had therefore, in the times of Henry the Second, a double title to fuch cuftody, namely the feudal right arifing from the vacant fee being regarded as the escheat of a barony, and the right of patronage. which arole from the epifcopal fees, and many of the principal abbies, having been originally parts of the demeline of the crown, and of royal foundation. This laft was by many ages anterior to the other : nor was it ever queftioned in this country, till the fee of Rome had encroached on all the rights of our monarchy in ecclefiaftical matters. I may add, that, from the intereft, the whole community had in maintaining the prelacy of the kingdom, it feems to have been an inherent prerogative of the king, to take care of the temporalities of epifcopal fees, upon the decease of the bishops, till proper fuccesfors were appointed. But the enjoyment of the profits of them was no part of ancient prerogative; the claim to this being entirely derived from feudal

dal notions, and by many of our princes much abufed.

Hift of the Great profit, as well as power, arole to the crown Exchequer, c. 10. p 221, from the wardship and marriage of its vallals. Some 222, 223. inftances of this are cited, by Mr. Madox, from the rolls. In the twenty fecond year of King Henry the Second, Thomas de Colvill gave that prince one hundred marks, to have the cuftody of the children of Roger Torpel and their land, until they came to their full age. In the twenty eighth of that reign. Odo de Dammartin gave five hundred marks for the cuftody of the fon and land of Hugh the king's butler : and in the twenty ninth Celeftia, late wife to Richard Fits-Colbern, gave forty shillings, that fhe might have her children in wardship, with their land; and that fhe might not be married except to her own good liking. It is probable fhe gave fo fmall a fum, becaufe the eftate was not a great one. But the highest payments of this nature which I meet with in the rolls, till after the thirty first year of Henry the Third, were made to that king, by John earl of Lincoln, and by Simon de Montfort; the former of these having given three thousand marks, to have the marriage of Richard de Clare, for the benefit of Matilda, his eldeft daughter, and the latter ten thousand to have the custody of the lands and heir of Gilbert de Unfranville until the heir's full age, with the heir's marriage, and with advowfons of churches, knights-fees, and other per-See the note tinencies and efcheats. Ten thoufand marks conon the value of money in taining then as much filver in weight as twenty thouthe Notes to fand pounds now, and the value of filver in those

the first vol. days being unquestionably more than five times the present value, this sum was equivalent to a payment of above a hundred thousand pounds made to the Exchequer at this time. The length of the custody may perhaps have added to the price; but the estate must have been a vast one to answer such an advance; and I mention it as a proof of the great opulence of our our nobles in the age I write of, as well as to fhew how large a revenue might arife to the crown from cafualties of this fort.

In treating of the fheriffs or viscounts, it has already been mentioned, that it was usual for our kings, at this time, to commit the feveral counties of England to the cuftody of those officers, or let them out in farm to them or other perfons. The committee or farmer accounted to the Exchequer for the profits; which made a great branch of the annual revenue. For inftance, in the reign of Henry the Second, Wimar, one of his chaplains, who had farmed of him the two counties of Norfolk Madox's and Suffolk, paid, on that account, into the trea- Hift of the Excheq. c. fury, or by charges allowed to him, above five 10. p. 225. hundred and forty pounds, equivalent to a payment of eight thousand one hundred pounds in thefe days. The cities, towns, burghs, and vil- Ibidem, p. lages, which were in the hands of the king, either, 226, et feg. as parts of his demennemor by elcheats and forfeitures, were also commonly let to farm, and answered for to the crown, in the times of which I write, either by the fheriff, as included in the body of the county wherein they lay, or fuperadded to it; or by the inhabitants thereof, either in their own names, or in that of their prapofitus, or reeve. What this revenue might amount to annually may be judged from the payment made in Henry the Second's time by Robert Fits-Sawin for the farm of the borough of Northampton, viz. one hundred pounds. The fame farm in the next reign was raifed to one hundred and twenty Ibid. p. 227. pounds, being then committed to two perfons, et feq. who are ftyled in the Exchequer roll prapofiti of that town. Some profits arole from the farms, or Ibid. p. 231, yearly payments, made to the crown, by gilds of et feq. tradefmen, in feveral towns of England. For example, in the eleventh year of King Henry the Second.

Second, the bakers of London paid fix pounds for the farm of their gild, and the fame fum in the fifteenth and twenty-fourth. Of the like payments made by weavers, in many cities and towns, notice has been taken in what was faid of the woollen manufacture during the reign of this king.

Of cultoms, or duties on merchandifes, imported, or exported, I find in the rolls but little evidence during the times which I write of. But, in See Madox's the nineteenth year of Henry the Second, it appears that Ofbert de Brai, farmer of Windfor, accounted for four pounds fix fhillings and fix pence, ariling by the cuftomss of thips, or barges, pailing along the Thames. And in the eighth year of Richard the First, the chamberlain of London accounted for four hundred twenty-nine pounds, arifing in two years from the fines and difmes paid by merchants, for tin and other merchandifes, in the port of London, and ninety-fix pounds and half a mark paid in fines by other merchants, for leave to import woad and fell it in England. Another chamberlain accounted, in the tenth year of that king, for feveral fines paid by merchants, see Hift. of for leave to export wool and hides. Whether thefe, or another imposition called pri/age, which appears to have been paid to him, had been alfo paid to his father, I find no certain proof. Prifage was a liberty of taking from every thip, that held twenty tuns of wine, two tuns, one before and one behind the mast, at the rate of twenty fhillings each; fo that the king had a pre-emption in a tenth, at his own price.

> Mention has been made of the aids, which, in virtue of the feudal law, were due to the king from his vaffals, and from inferior lords to theirs, during the times of which I write. As they made incidentally a large addition to the royal revenue, it

Hift of the Excheq. c. 18. p. 531, 532. Mag. Rot. 8 R. I. B.

the Excheq. c. 18. p. 525, 526.

it will be neceffary to fay fomething more of them here. The aid to King Henry the Second, for mar- SeeMadox's rying his eldeft daughter to the duke of Saxony and Hift, of the Bavaria, was collected by an imposition of one mark 15. p. 400, on each fee holden immediately of the crown, or et feq. that was in the hands of the king by efcheat or wardship. It was also paid by the towns and lands which he held in demefne. According to Henry of Huntington and Roger Hoveden, (whom I quote on this point, becaufe no record of it is V.Hunt,Lvi. extant in the Exchequer) King Henry the Firft, when his daughter was married to the emperor, levied this aid by a charge of three shillings a hide on all the lands of England. But these must be understood to be lands that were holden of the crown.

Mr. Madox fays, in his Hiftory of the Exchequer, that, for the levying the aid to marry the See Hift, of eldest daughter of King Henry the Second, the the Excheq. barons and tenants in chief were commanded to 400, 401, certify to that prince, what fees they had, how 402, 403. many of the old feoffment, and how many of the new, and of whom they were holden : whereupon many of the barons, and tenants in chief who had large feigneuries, made certificates of their fees, which were called Cartæ Baronum, and were ordered to be laid up and preferved in the Exchequer. The originals of these, except one from the bishop of Chichefter, are now loft. But they are entered, together with the names of fome who fent no certificates, in the Red Book of the Exchequer, compiled by Alexander de Swereford in the reign of Henry the Third. It is observed by Mr. Madox, that the bishop of Durham was charged to this aid with feventy knights-fees, whereof he acknowledged but ten; and it likewife Baronia, appears by the rolls, that the archbishop of York Book I. p. difallowed twenty-three and a half, out of forty- the Excheq. Y VOL. II.

three C.15. p. 404.

### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book II.

three and a half, wherewith he was charged. The number of knights fees that belonged to the honour of Richmond could not be discovered. The above-mentioned author accounts for these uncer-Baron, book tainties in this manner. He fays, " that when I.c.6. p.115. the fummons ad kabendum fervitium had been iffued, feveral of the barons and knights would appear before the conftable and marefchal of the king's hoft, and would proffer one half, a third, or may be a smaller part of their due service. The conftable and mareschal, for want of better information, oftentimes admitted these unfair proffers, being, probably, in hafte to complete their army, and march against the enemy" But I would observe, that the certificates, called Carte Baronum, muft, in the reign of this king, have remedied an abuse fo prejudicial to the crown; as, doubtles, enquiry was made, by the barons of the Exchequer, into the reasons affigned for the difference in the numbers of the fees allowed or See Carte's difallowed by the parties concerned. And Mr. Hift. vol. i. Carte has clearly proved, that this inquifition was Diceto Col. begun before the marriage of the daughter of <sup>536.</sup> <sup>M.Paris, fub</sup> Henry the Second to the duke of Saxony and Ba-<sup>ann. 1163.</sup> varia. Indeed this monarch was too careful, both of the revenues of his crown, and of the military ftrength of his kingdom, to permit fuch a fraud to continue; and the subsequent encrease of it was owing to the negligence and ill government of the three fucceeding kings, who, by departing from

18 Edw. I. Rot. 14. Hift. of the Excheq. c. 15. p. 416.

and almost subverted the whole state of the realm. See Hilt. of The aid to Henry the Third, for marrying his the Excheq. eldeft daughter, was twenty shillings per fee, inc. 15. p.412. ftead of a mark, which it has been shewn was the affeffment under Henry the Second. And it appears by a record, that forty shillings were granted out of every knight's fee to Edward the First, on

his principles and methods of policy, weakened

on a like occasion, by common affent of the barons and other nobles of England; yet with a provifo, that this grant fhould not turn to their prejudice, but fo that, for the future, an aid to be granted in the like cafe might be encreafed or leffened, as they, at the time, fhould think meet. I find no account of what was taken by Henry the Second for another feudal due, viz. on the making his eldeft fon a knight. But Mr. Madox has see Hift, of fhewn, from the records of the Exchequer, that the Excheq. forty shillings were granted to King Henry the 414, 415. Third from every knight's-fee on that occasion. It must be remarked, that neither of these aids were demandable from lands holden in frank almoigne or focage. There were other aids paid to the crown of a different kind from thefe. For in- Ibid. c. 17. ftance, in the fourth year of King Henry the Se- p. 481, 482. cond, a donum was paid for counties, cities, towns, or burghs, and likewife by the barons and knights for their respective fees, and perhaps for other lands. This produced a great fum ; for the city of London alone paid to it one thousand and forty three pounds. The county of Lincoln paid two hundred, the county of Somerfet one hundred, the county of Effex two hundred marks of filver, and the county of Kent fourfcore pounds. The bishop of Bath paid five hundred marks, the abbot of St. Albans one hundred. It would be tedious to mention all; but I obferve that there is a great inequality in the payments; which probably arofe from these dona being confidered as benevolences. and therefore not levied according to any fettled rate, but to the will of the giver. Several others Ibidem, c. were paid during the reign of this king. Mr. Ma- 15. P. 419, dox fays, in his Hiftory of the Exchequer, that, in Ibid, p. 480. the times I write of, the word donum was used with great latitude, fignifying in general, according as it was applied, either aid, fcutage, or tal-Y 2 lage.

c. 15. p.

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book IL.

lage. But I believe that it never fignified fcutage in the fenfe of a commutation for military fervice, but only as being paid by the military tenants and out of the knights-fees. Of that commutation a great deal has been faid before in this hiftory, and in the notes to this book. I shall only add here, that this part of the revenue could not be levied for any civil ule, but was appropriated to those fervices of a military nature, for which the feudatories who paid it were permitted to commute. But the dona arising from knightsfees do not appear to have been appropriated to military fervices, or to have been rights, or neceffary incidents, of feudal tenure. Henry the See Hift. of Second had no war in the fourth year of his reign, when the above-mentioned donum was paid to him by his barons and knights for their fees. And I can hardly doubt that, in his time, fuch aids were granted by parliament.

> As for tallage, it appears that it was payable to the king from his manors in demelne. Those that

> likewife talliated by him, and great fums were

Ibid. p. 492. were in his hands, as efcheats and ward hips, were

the Excheq. p. 482.

Ibid. p. 502.

Ibid. c. 17. p. 480 to 487.

raifed from them, as well as from the former. But it is observable, that the payments made by cities, towns, or burghs, when the demefne lands paid tallage, were frequently entered on the rolls, Whether this imported any diffinction in de dono. the nature of the payment I cannot determine. In other rolls the word affila, which fignifies an affeffment, is made use of, and in some tallagium. Ibid. p. 512. Lands holden in frank almoigne, or holden by knights-service, were exempt from tallage. On this privilege of the latter it will be neceffary to make fome obfervations. That it was acknowledged to belong to them in the reigns of King John and his fucceffor appears undeniably, from the records of those reigns, which Mr. Madox has cited

# Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

cited on this fubject. For example, it is declared Ibid. p. 513. in the roll of the first of King John, that the town of Wicomb was charged with thirty pounds and eight pence for tallage; but the grand-jufficiary and the barons of the Exchequer determined, that this manor ought not to be talliated, becaufe Alan Baffet did knight-fervice for it; as appeared by his charter. And there is other evidence as conclufive for a like admiffion of this privilege to those who held by the fame tenure under King Henry the Third. But I must observe, that by two laws of William the Conqueror, which have been mentioned before, and which are recited in the Ap-pendix to the first volume of this history, the fame Gul. I. 55. exemption is granted to all the freemen of the king- 58. in Apdom. And both these laws feem to refer to a pre-vol. i. num. ceding statute, now loft, by which the feudal po- II. p. 614, licy of the Normans had been established in Eng-615. land. Nevertheles, in the charter of King Henry See Appenthe First, the military tenants alone appear to be dix, ut suexempted from fuch impositions, and the exemp- IV. p. 626. tion is granted only to the lands they hold in deme/ne. Whether this difference arole from any other ftatute, made by William the First after the two above-mentioned, or from a narrow and unfavourable conftruction thereof, by a fublequent ulage, I cannot fay. But in the rolls of the 40th year of See Hift. of Henry the Third I find two inftances of a right of the Excheq. exemption from tallage allowed to perfons, who Notes d e. Robert de do not appear to have held by any military tenure ; Holt, Rithe records faying only, that one of them held in chard de capite, and that the other was enfeoffed of a freehold (libere feoffatus). Mr. Madox indeed tranflates these words enfeoffed in chivalry, but by what authority I do not fee; fince it is certain that there were vaffals, who were libere feoffati, and yet did not hold in chivalry. On the other hand, a record Ibid. p. 491. is cited by the fame author, which fhews that, af-

Wygeden.

Y 2

ter

ter great disputes, the mayor and citizens of London, in the thirty-first year of King Henry the Third, acknowledged that they were talliable, and

Book II.

gave the king three thousand marks, which he had demanded of them, in confequence of a decree of his council at Merton, that he fhould talliate his demefnes, to answer the great expences he had been at in foreign parts. It does not appear that this council was a full parliament. But the record fays, that they offered in it to give two thousand marks by way of aid, and declared pofitively, they could and would give no more. But afterwards, in a council holden at Westminster, the only difpute was, whether the fum demanded by the king fhould be given as an aid, or as tallage; which was decided by the rolls of the Exchequer and the Chancery, from whence it was proved, that they had before been talliated; but the evidence went no higher than the fixteenth of King John. I am apt to believe, that till that time they had been exempt from tallage; but had paid aids and free gifts, auxilia and dona. However this may have been, it is declared, most explicitly, by King Edward the First, in his confirmations of the charters, that the aids, free gifts, and other impolitions irregularly taken or levied by him or his ministers, before that time, for his wars or other neceffities, should not be drawn into precedent because they might be found recorded in the rolls : and he therein grants to the nobility and commonalty of the realm, that, for the future, he would not, for any neceffity whatfoever, take any fuch aids, or impositions, without the common affent of the whole kingdom, and to the common benefit thereof; with a referve of the ancient aids and impositions due by custom, What these were I have shewn before, namely the aids allowed by the great charter, for redeeming the perfon of the king from captivity, for

#### Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

for marrying his eldeft daughter, and for knighting his eldett fon. As for danegeld, on what occalions, and in what manner, it was levied, has been already fet forth. It will be fufficient to add Ibidem, p. here, that in all or most of the accounts thereof, 479. delivered to the Exchequer in the fecond year of King Henry the Second, a large deduction is made under the terms in wasto, which Madox rightly afcribes to the defolation of the country by the civil war in the reign of Stephen.

Another very confiderable fource of wealth to the crown arole from fines, or oblita (that is voluntary proffers of money made to the king) and amercements for offences. Manifold fines were See Hift. of paid for grants and confirmations of liberties and Excheq. c. franchifes. For example, in the reign of King 274. Henry the Second, the burgeffes of Bedford fined in forty marks, to have the same liberties as the burgeffes of Oxford. The burgeffes of Shrewfbury fined in two marks of gold to have their town at farm. The men of Pretton gave a hundred marks of filver to have the fame liberties as the men of Newcaftle: the burgeffes of Cambridge three hundred and one of gold, to have their town at farm, and be exempt from the fheriff of the county's intermeddling. Robert, the fon of Buftard, fined in ten marks of filver, for a confirmation of his privileges, and that he might not be impleaded, except before the king or his jufficiary. Thefe few instances are sufficient to shew the nature of fuch payments, and to what the value of them might amount upon a great number collectively. Mention has been made in another place of fines paid to the king, by those who held of him in chief, for licence to marry, or that they might not be compelled to marry against their inclination. Some notice has been also taken of fines relating to trade or merchandife; particularly of those that were

Y 4

were paid by gilds of weavers, in many parts of England. These were an incumbrance upon traffick; but not a very grievous one in the times of which I write : for it does not appear that any of the payments were excellive, till after the decease of Henry the Second. A great number of perfons fined in confiderable fums to obtain the favour of the king, or to induce him to remit his anger and Hift. of the displeasure. For example, in the reign of Henry Exchequer, the Second, Gilbert, the fon of Fergus, is charged in one of the rolls, as debtor to that prince of nine hundred and nineteeen pounds, nine fhillings, for obtaining his good will; and William de Chataignes in another, as owing one thousand marks, on account of Henry's remitting his anger against him, and confirming his charters. But the most enormous of these payments, recited by Mr Ma-P. 329. dox, in his Hiftory of the Exchequer, is in Henry the Third's reign, when the citizens of London fined in twenty thousand pounds, more than equivalent in those days to three hundred thousand in thefe, for obtaining the good will of that monarch. It would be tedious to enumerate every other fpecies of fines, which continually brought money into the Exchequer, for the aid, the protection, or mediation of the crown, in various cafes. The worft of all, and which are a fcandalous difgrace to the government in the times of which I treat, were those that interfered with law-proceedings See the Hift. and the juffice of the kingdom. Even in the reign cheq. c. 12, of Henry the Second, we have inftances of fines being paid to the king from feveral of his fubjects, for stopping or delaying of pleas, tryals, and judgments; or for expediting and fpeeding them; or to have feifin or reflitution of their lands or chattels; or that they might not be diffeifed; or to be replevied or bailed; or to be quit of certain crimes, or certain methods of tryal; (as, for inftance, by hot iron,) or to have

the

P. 327.

of the Ex-13.

### Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

the affiftance of the king in recovering their debts. Mr. Madox is of opinion, that the claufe in Magna Ibidem, c. Charta, " Nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus, aut dif- 12. p. 314. feremus restum vel justiciam," had a reference to these fines. And he observes from the records of fubfequent reigns, that it feems to have had its effect. I understand those words to have had a higher and more extensive fense, though this practice may have fallen within the purport of them: but however that may have been, the iniquity of it was certainly carried much further, by the fons and grandfons of Henry the Second, before the charters were established, than during his reign. And he had the example of his grandfather, Henry the First, a just and wife prince, as well as of other feudal governments over all parts of Europe, to plead in defence of these profits so dishonourable to the crown. There were likewife concurrent P. 315, 335. fines, and counter fines; the first, when both parties, concerned in any matter, fined to obtain the fame thing; the laft, when their requefts or applications to the crown were directly oppofite. But, upon confidering the records, it appears to me, that although money was paid by each fuitor, it was always returned to the party that was unfuccefsful in the fuit. Many fines were paid for permission to hold or quit certain offices. Others are mentioned by Mr. Madox, under the title of P. 325. miscellaneous, as not being reducible to any class or general head. Of thefe I do not find any, in the times contained in this hiftory, worth particularifing here : but he recites one, under the reign of King John, which is of a fingular nature. The P. 326. a. wife of Hugh de Neville fined to that monarch in two bundred bens, that the might lie one night with her hufband; but the diftreffed lady not being able to provide them immediately, her hufband was pledge for the payment of one hundred of them,

them, and Thomas de Sandford for the other hundred, within a limited time. It is probable that either Hugh de Neville, or his confort, was a ward of the crown, and had married without the king's confent. Cther inftances might be produced from the rolls of the Exchequer in the fame reign, that no profits were thought below the acceptance of the king, and that he exercifed a kind of ludicrous tyranny in this traffick with his fubjects : but thefe muft rather be imputed to the character of the man, than to the law or cuftom of the times.

L. ii. c. 26.

We learn from the dialogue *de Scaccario*, written in Henry the Second's reign, that when a fine of a hundred marks was offered to the king, a mark of gold was at the fame time to be paid to the queen, and fo in proportion for all above that fum: but whether any thing was due to her, upon the proffer of a fine below that fum, was then matter of doubt. The origin of this demand was, I prefume, a fuppofition, that, as fines were given for fome favour requefted of the king, or in mitigation of fome penalty or burthen laid on the fubject, the queen's good offices with him ought to be purchafed by the fuitor.

Amercements for offences produced vaft fums. The fubject is too extensive to allow me to enter into particulars; and I shall have occasion, in a subfequent part of this work, to take notice of some records, relating to amercements in the History of the Exchequer, when I shall treat more diffinctly of the criminal law of England during the times of which I write. It will be sufficient here to fay, that only from trespasses in the forests an ample annual revenue accrued to the king, and much more from the great variety of other misdemeanors, defaults, and trespasses, for which, by the law of those times, amercements

Hift. of the Exchequer, P. 272.

## Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

amercements were due, or for which composition was made by fines. But before I conclude this account of the royal revenues, a particular view muft be given of the state of the Jews in England, from the reign of William the Conqueror to that of Henry the Second inclusively. The religious notions of the Inftitut. P. times, and laws founded thereupon, forbidding II. Stat. of Merton, c. ufury to all Chriftians, and not diftinguishing be. Merton, c. 5 p. 89. tween that and a reasonable interest for money up- Giany. Lvii. on loans, without which neither commerce could c. 16. well be carried on, nor the fudden exigencies of the government, or of particular perfons, be fupplied, the Jews were neceflary, as money-lenders; and a great number of them were fettled in the principal cities and towns of England, under the fpcial protection of the crown. That they had been here feveral centuries before the entrance of the Numans, (though probably not in fo great a number, feems to be proved by a canon published by Ecgbrin archbishop of York, in the year 740, which forbics any Chriftians to be prefent at the Jewish feasts. Yet we have little account of them during the Saxon+imes, or in the reign of William the Conqueror : but the contemporary historians are full of indignation against William Rufus, for favouring them too much . and indeed, if the tales they tell are true, there was great indecency and impiety in his proceedings. We may at least conclude from thence, that he fhewed more kindness to the Jews, than the temper of the age would well bear. It appears by a charter granted to them in see Hift. of the fecond year of King John, that they had also the Excheq. received charters from Henry the Second and <sup>c. 7. p. 174.</sup> Henry the First. The preamble of it runs thus: " Know that we have granted to all the Jews of " England and Normandy, to refide freely and " bonourably in our and, and to hold of us every " thing

" thing which they held of King Henry, the grand-" father of our father, and all which they now " rightfully or reafonably hold, in lands, in fiefs, and in their pledges, or purchases; and to en-66 " joy all their liberties and cultoms, as well, as " quietly, and as honourably, as they enjoyed them " in the time of the aforefaid king our grandfa-" ther." The following articles of the charter contain very confiderable privileges, which the reader may fee in the Appendix to this book. Four thousand marks were given by all the Jews of England for this confirmation of their charters, as it is ftyled in the record of that payment. But this did not prevent very grievous and tyrannical oppreffions of them, in that and the following reign-They feem to have been treated much more-avourably by the five first kings of the No-man race. To Henry the Second indeed they paid a fourth part of their chattels by way of talage, in the thirty third year of his reign ; which was a heavy imposition : but it was for the recovery of the Holy Land, to which all his forgects contributed in an extraordinary manner, and one cannot wonder that this people floud be taxed higher The former dethan the reft on fuch an occasion. mands upon them, in nis reign, appear not to have been great. Yet by fines and oblata, or by amercements for trepaffes, they were very profitable to the crown. In the twenty third year of this king, Jurnet the Jew fined in two thouland marks. another Jew ir three thousand, and another in five hundred pourds. We also find that, in the thirty first of the fame reign, the whole body of the Jews flood marged with five thousand five hundred and twenty five marks, and half a mark, for the amercement of the above-mentioned Jurnet; and they

Ibidem, p. 155. Oblata 2 John. M. 3.

Ibidem, p. 151. c. 7.

Ibidem, p. 153, 154.

#### Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

they were to have his effects and charters, to enable them to pay it. This man must have been immenfely rich : foon after the difcharge of this amercement, in the fifth year of King Richard the First, he gave to that monarch a fine of eighteen hundred marks, for leave to refide in England with his good-will. In the reign of Henry the Third P. 155. the exactions from the Jews were prodigious. One fingle tallage laid upon them, about the twenty feventh or twenty eighth year of that king, amounted to no lefs than fixty thousand marks. Putting Hift, of the the value of filver in those days at only five times Excheq. c. above the prefent (and it fhould, I believe, be put 152, higher) this fum will be equivalent to fix hundred thousand pounds in these times; as every pound contained the weight of three of ours. The ufury of the Jews must have been enormous, and their profits in traffick very great, to enable them to bear fuch impositions without absolute ruin. Indeed, (to use the words of Mr. Madox) " as they P. 150. G. 7. " fleeced the fubjects of the realm, fo the king " fleeced them." Probably, in the reign of Henry the Second, when they were much lefs harraffed by the government, they contented themfelves with a lower interest for the use of their money. There was a particular place appointed for the Hift. of the management of the revenue ariling from this Excheq. c. 7. p. 157people, called the Exchequer of the Jews, which was a part or member of the Great Exchequer. Certain perfons were affigned to be curators of this revenue. They were usually ftyled custodes and justiciarii Judæorum. Mr. Madox fays, " that in " the more ancient times there were commonly Chri-" flians and Jews appointed to act together in this " office. Afterwards they were, for the most " part, Christians only." By the most ancient times I understand he means those which are treated of

of in this work. Upon the whole it feems, that the revenue annually accruing to the crown from all these different branches, exclusive of its demesne, or ancient landed estate, was at least equal to that in value. But from what has been faid on the nature of them this observation will occur, that it is a point of good policy, and of great benefit to a kingdom, that whatever is necessary for the maintenance of the honour and dignity of the crown should be supplied by a fixed and stated income, inftead of arising from a variety of incidental profits, which can hardly ever be taken without fome diminution of the majefty of the fovereign, fome vexation to the people, fome inconvenience or detriment to trade and commerce, or fome offence to justice.

Of the manner of paying out, or iffuing the king's money, I shall give a few instances from Mr. Madox's Hiftory of the Exchequer, which at the fame time will exhibit fome curious particulars of the way of living in those times, of the magnificence and liberality of our princes, and of the produce and traffick of the country. In the reign of Henry the First an allowance was made to the Hift, of the feveral theriffs of Staffordshire, Northaniptonshire, and Leicestershire, for mead and beer provided by order of the king; for money delivered to his vine-dreffer at Rockingham, and for necessaries for the vineyard. In different years of King Henry the Second's reign allowances were made to the officer who farmed Windfor of that prince, for wine, perry, and cyder; to the farmer of the town of Hampton, for wines, and the carriage of them ; which wines were chosen by the king's butler, and fent to feveral of the king's houfes, namely at Fekenam, Nottingham, Gattinton, Woodftock, Marlborough, Titgrave, Luggershall, and Clarendon; and to the sheriff of Hampshire for corn, barley, and honey, to make ale with, for the

Excheq. c. 10. from p. 249 to 257. the use of the king's fon-in law, the Duke of Saxony. For the helmet and belt of this monarch, and for furbishing and gilding his fwords, and for work done upon the points and hilts of them, the theriffs of London difburfed, in the fifth and the eighteenth year of his reign, nineteen pounds, and odd money, equivalent in those days to near three hundred pounds in thefe. They likewife paid twenty pounds, and upwards, in the first of these years, for a robe for the use of the queen; and in the latter, fourscore and eight pounds, odd money, for the coronation robes of the young king and of his queen, and eight pounds eight shillings for a riding dress and three filken cloaths for that prince. Richard, archdeacon of Poictiers, cuftos of the bishoprick of Winchefter, difburfed two hundred pounds to Ofbert clerk of the chamber, and other chamberlains, for the king's use upon his journey back from Ireland, and for the young king's currody, or maintenance, for three days before his coronation, and on the coronation day. For the entertainment of the king of Scotland fixteen days, the theriff of Yorkfhire difburfed a hundred pounds, and odd money, in the third year of this reign. Among other articles for the use of King Henry the Second and his family, mention is made of linnen napkins, and linnen garments; of the fkins of mountain cats, of martins, and ermins; of red, fcarlet, and green cloths; of filken garments filken caps, dalmatiques, and tunicks. In one of the rolls there is a charge of ten pounds, fix shillings, paid to Iofeph the king's phylician for fpices and electuaries. I find no account of any painting in the palaces of this prince: but, in his grandfon's time, the fheriff of Nottinghamshire was ordered to cause the queen's chamber at Nottingham to be painted with the hiftory of Alexander. It feems that the rooms of Henry the Second's palaces were generally

rally hung with cloth. The feveral fheriffs, and others who farmed the king's revenues in different parts of the realm, were likewife ordered to difburfe confiderable fums for provisions and expences relating to war, arms, garrifons, knighthoods, and the like. But it will be unneceffary to enter into further particulars on this or other illues of the money of the crown. I will only take notice, that the forms and methods of accounting at the Exchequer, established in that age, were so excellently contrived for the preventing of frauds, and for good order and regularity in the publick accounts, that they have continued unaltered even to this day, during the course of above five hundred years. The inftitution of them is afcribed to William the Conqueror ; and the author of the dialogue de Scaccario fays, he took the plan of them from the Exchequer in Normandy, yet with many differences, and even in points of great importance. The great power and dignity of the court of Exchequer, in those times, is thus fet forth by that writer : " The authority of this court is very emi-" nent, as well in respect of the image of the king " imprest on his great feal, which is constantly " kept in the treasury, as of the perfons who fit " there, by whole wildom the whole flate of the " realm is preferved and maintained in fafety. " For there refides the king's chief-jufficiary, " who is next to the king in jurifdiction; and " all the greatest men of the kingdom, who are " of his privy council, have also places there; that " whatfoever is decreed or determined in the pre-" fence of fo august an affembly may remain in-" violable. But some fit there by virtue of their " offices, and others only by the command of the " king." He then tells us that the latter, who were generally perfons of the higheft rank and moft

L. i. c. 4.

most reputation for prudence, either of the court or the clergy, were occasionally called to affift in the decifion of nice and doubtful cafes. Mr. Madox Hilt. of the Excheq. c. observes, that before the end of King Henry the 20, p. 548. Third's reign the Exchequer fell in great measure from its ancient grandeur, and from thenceforward continued in a ftate of declenfion.

In defcribing the civil and political flate of England, from the coming in of the Normans to the reign of Henry the Second, inclusively, it will be neceffary to fay fomething more of the condition of cities and boroughs within that period : and first of London-The charters granted to that city by William the Conqueror and Henry the First have already been mentioned in a former part of this work. The reader may fee them translated into Latin in the Appendix to this book, together with another accorded to it by Henry the Second. This laft is a Vid. etiam Wilkins Leconfirmation of all the liberties and free cuftoms ges, p. 290. which they had in the time of his grandfather, King 235, 318. Henry the First, with fome additional benefits and immunities. It is without a date; but there is reafon to place it, as Spelman does, in the first year of Henry the Second. I shall only observe upon it here, that, confidering the attachment, which the citizens of London had shewn to Stephen, and the manner in which they had driven the empress Matilda from her palace at Westminster, it is one of the ftrongeft proofs, both of the clemency of her fon, and of his wife refolution to appeale the troubles of his realm by a total oblivion of all past offences, that, inftead of abridging their liberties, he fo gracioufly confirmed and enlarged them. Of the state of this city in his reign we have an account from v. Stephan, Fitz-Stephen, a contemporary writer, which has fome in Mui. particulars that deferve to be taken notice of here. Brit. According to him, it was then ftrongly fortified on all fides, except to the river, the tides of which had undermined and deftroyed the ancient wall, that Z had

had been erected along its banks or ftrand. On the eastern fide was the white tower, built by William the First, which he calls Arcem palatinam maximam et fortifimam : on the western were two other very ftrong caftles (viz. those of Baynard and Mountfitchet) befides the walls, which were high and thick, and, on the northern fide, at proper diffances, ftrengthened with turrets. On this defcription I would obferve, that, in Henry the Second's reign, it was not neceffary to repair the ruined wall of the city along the river, as there was no danger of an enemy's being able to fail up it, after the tower and bridge were built. The fame hiftorian fpeaks of feven double gates, which are fuppofed to have been Aldgate, Bishopgate, Cripplegate, Aldersgate, Newgate, Ludgate, and the Poftern near the Tower. He alfo defcribes the royal palace of Weftminfter, rifing high and ftretching wide over the banks of the river, at two miles diffance from London, with a continued fuburb all the way, and calls it an incomparable building, defended by an outward wall and turrets. When this palace was built is uncertain; but the hall was added by William Rufus. Along the whole extent of this fuburb were gardens of the citizens. To the north were open fields; and beyond these was a large forest, of which Enfield Chace is but a fmall remainder. Among the game contained therein Fitz-Stephen mentions wild boars. He alfo takes notice that it was full of yew trees, the growth of which was particularly encouraged in those days, and for many fucceeding ages, because the wood of them was effeemed the beft for making In reckoning up all the glories of the city, bows. he fays, that no other in the world fent out its wealth and merchandife to a greater diftance; and among the imports brought thither, by foreign merchants trading to it, he mentions gold, fpices, and frankincenfe, from Arabia; precious ftones from the Nile; purple vefts from the East-Indies; oil of palms

See Maitland's Hift. of London, P. 15.

V. Steplian. ut lupra.

palms from Bagdat, or Babylon; furs and ermines from Norway and Russia; arms from Scythia, or Tartary; and wines from France. He adds, that it was famous for the chaftity of its matrons, and that its citizens were diftinguished above all others in England, by the fuperior elegance of their manners, their drefs, and their tables. But in the account he gives of the number of fighting men, who marched out of the city, upon a mufter made by King Stephen, he exaggerates most enormously : for he makes them fixty thousand foot, and twenty thousand horsemen; whereas Peter of Blois, at that Vid P. Bles. time archdeacon of London, in a letter to the pope, ad lanocent; reckons all the inhabitants of that city at no more III. papam. than forty thousand. If there was any fuch multer, it must have contained the militias of Middlefex, Kent, and other adjacent counties, which may have been drawn together by that monarch, and united to the militia of London, on fome occasion, during the course of the civil war between him and the empress. But this hiftorian is supported by the archdeacon's authority, in affirming, that there were in the city and fuburbs a hundred and twenty fix churches, befides thirteen that belonged to convents. He fpeaks of three fchools, or rather colleges, appertaining to London, which, he fays, were of ancient dignity, and wherein, by particular privilege, was taught not only grammar, but poetry, rhetorick, and logick ; befides which many other fchools were occafionally opened by perfons of note in philofophy, who were encouraged to teach and read lectures. The defcription given by this author of the military fports of the citizens has been inferted into a former V. Stephar. part of this book. Among their diversions in time of peace he mentions cock-fighting and foot-ball; and fays, that in fummer the young girls danced by moon-light to the mufick of the harp. In winter, the young men entertained themfelves after dinner, upon all feftival days, with bear-baiting, bullbaiting, and combats of dogs with wild boars; or Z 2 with

ut supra.

with fliding and feating on the ice of a great pond, or lake, which was contiguous to the morthern wall of the city. But the chief amufement, wherein the greater part of the citizens employed their leifure, was hunting and hawking, which they had a right to do in the counties of Middlefex, Hertford, and Kent, as far as the river Cray, and in all the diftrict called the Chiltern. Fitz-Stephen tells us, that, inftead of theatrical entertainments, they had re-Vid Abrege prefentations of the miracles performed by faints, Chronol, de and of the fufferings of martyrs. It is observed by "Hift, de France, t. i. the author of a late excellent abridgment of the hiftory of France, that a monk, named Geoffry, who 1179, 1180. was afterwards abbot of St. Albans, being entrufted in these times with the education of youth, caused a kind of pious tragedies to be reprefented before them, and that the fubject of the first of these dramatick pieces was the miracles of St. Catherine. He likewife takes notice, that these spectacles, thus exhibited in this kingdom, were anterior, by more than a century, to the representations of the mysteries v. Stephan. in that of France. Fitz-Stephen fays, that exceflive drinking and frequent fires were the only pefts of London. The latter must have been partly occasioned by the former, and partly by the houses being mostly built of wood. Yet there were fome of ftone, and of a handfome architecture, according to the tafte of those days; for the fame author affirms, that almost all the nobles of England, and particularly the bifhops and abbots, had fine edifices in that city, or in the fuburbs belonging to it, where they made great expences, when they were fummoned to parliaments or to fynods. He calls London the capital of the kingdom of England; (regni Anglorum fedes :) which title perhaps might have been formerly difputed by Winchefter, the royal feat of the Weft-Saxons, and the place where the Norman kings had ufually kept their regalia and treafure. But the latter, having fuffered a great diminution of its

fub ann.

ut fupre.

See Camden's Britannia, HANT-SHIRE.

its fplendor, in the civil war between Stephen and the emprefs Matilda, could no longer ftand in competition with the former. The northern metropolis, York, was also much declined from its priftine greatness and opulence, by the devastations it had fuffered in the reign of William the Conqueror, and Ibidem, by a fire which had confumed a part of it in that of YORKE-Stephen.

As many of the cities, towns, or boroughs, as were not portions of the ancient demefne of the crown, belonged to the demefnes of fome fpiritual or temporal lord, and were under his patronage and protection. But this tenure was no more a fervitude, than any other focage tenure, either under the crown or the barons. Nor were the charters granted to many towns by the kings of the Norman race, whereby they were declared to be free boroughs, charters of enfranchifement from a flate of flavery, as fome have fuppofed, but grants or confirmations of certain privileges, exemptions and favours, fuch as freedom from tolls, and other impolitions, which the reader may fee enumerated in a charter of King John to the burgeffes of Dunwich, cited by Madox in the eleventh chapter of the Hiftory of the Exchequer, p. 276. from whence I have transcribed it in the Appendix to this book. That author fays, " that Hift. of the Excheq. c. when the king granted liberties to any of his de- 11, p. 201. melne manours or towns, he was moved to it by two reafons: One, the fine paid in hand; the other, the improvement, or (as they anciently called it) the amendment of the manor or town." But it appears from the above-mentioned charter of King John, and see Tyrrel's feveral other records, that fome of the towns, to Append to the Hift. of which liberties of this nature were granted were not Eng. vol. iii, only in a ftate of freedom, but had gilds for trading P. 152, 153. communities, before fuch grants were made to them. In fome of these charters an exemption from tallage was accorded, in others a right to talliate them was expressly referved. The tallages affested upon the Z 3 king's

king's ancient demesnes were more heavy than those upon other perfons in the counties; and therefore petitions were made against fuch impositions, when laid on those who did not hold by that species of See Hill of tenure. Thus, in the ninth year of Edward the the Excheq. Second, the men of the towns of Okham, Egilton, c. 17. p.449. 500. t. and Langham complained to the king, that, although their lands and tenements in those towns were not of the ancient demefne of the crown of England; and when the king's progenitors caufed their demeine lands to be talliated, they and their anceftors were not wont to be talliated, but, in all aids granted to the king and his progenitors by the community of the realm, were wont to contribute with the community of the county of Rutland; yet lately, when the king affeffed a tallage upon his demennes, in the fixth year of his reign, they were talliated as tenants in ancient demelne, and fuch tallage was demanded of them by fummons of the Exchequer. Whereupon the king commanded the barons of the Exchequer to infpect Domefday-book, and if they found thereby that the faid towns were not of the ancient demeine of the crown, and that the men thereof had not been talliated in any former times together with the demennelands, but had always contributed to aids granted to the king's progenitors, and to himfelf, with the com-See Rot. munity of the faid county, then to acquit them of Parl. 1 Ed. the faid demand, and release the diftrelles. It also Π. Γ. Ι. M. 7. Tyrrel's Huit. of appears by the rolls of parliament, in the first year of the fame king, that when the communities of the England, counties had granted a twentieth part of their movevol. iii. Aprend. p. 176. able goods, the citizens, burgeffes, and communities of cities and burghs, and also the tenants of the an-Hift. of the

Hift. of the cient demession of the crown, granted a fifteenth. Excheq. c. Mr. Madox fays, "that, as the king had tallage of 17, P.516 his demession for forme fubordinate or private lords had tallage of their's: but that many of the lands which were talliable to private lords were fuch as at one time or other moved from the king, and were wont to be talliated to him while they were vefted

vefted in the crown. As, when the king granted to a fubject a demessie manor or town, together with the homages, aids, tallages, and other profits thereof, to hold to the grantee and his heirs; in fuch cafe the grantees and his heirs had power to talliate the men of fuch manor or town to their own ufe, when the king talliated his demefnes and manors throughout England; but not otherwife, or at other times." Upon the whole, the condition of citizens and burgeffes holding of the crown in those days was never worfe, but often better, by diverfe privileges and favours granted to them, than that of all its other tenants in ancient demefne, who held by free focage : and the fame may be affirmed of those who belonged to private lords. Yet, all have been brought into a more perfect and a more regular flate of freedom, by the re-afferting of ancient rights, impaired by ill practices or the application of feudal notions to the courfe of law in this kingdom, beyond what was authorifed by the confent of the nation in parliament, cannot, I think, be denied. From the first entrance of the Normans, till long after the times contained in this hiftory, the power of reftraining and curbing the royal authority was chiefly in the barons, who often connived at an irregular exercife of it, that they themfelves might be permitted, and even aided by the crown, in like acts of fovereignty over their vaffals, particularly with regard to tallages, and other fuch impofitions,

Lord Hale obterves in his Hiftory of the com- P. 102, 103, mon law of England, " that William the Firft, " after his victory, did, as all wife princes would " have done, endeavour to make a ftricter union " between England and Normandy; and, in order " thereunto, he endeavoured to bring in the French, " inftead of the Saxon language, then used in " England: from whence arose the practice of " pleading in our courts of law in the Norman or " French tongue, which continued till the ftatute " of the thirty fixth of Edward the Third." But

it

Book II.

volume, r. 15. Inguiphus, p.62. Gale's

edition.

Gale's edi-

tion, p. 71.

See the first it has been mentioned before, upon the authority of Ingulphus, a contemporary hiftorian, that, even in the reign of Edward the Confetfor, French was spoken by most of the English nobility, and the Norman forms were used in legal proceedings. This made it much lefs difficult for William the First to establish the practice taken notice of by Lord Hale, which indeed was absolutely necessary to enable the Normans, whom he appointed his judges, or whom he enfeoffed with earldoms or baronies, or employed as fheriffs or viscounts, to exercife the judicature which belonged to their offices or fiefs. It must however be observed, that most of the laws and charters of that age, and our oldest law-books, Glanville and Bracton, were written in Latin. Ingulphus tells us, that, in the reign of William the Conqueror, children were taught their first rudiments, not in the English, but French language. Yet the defire, which he fays, was shewn by the Normans to abolish the use of the English was never effected : but on the contrary, from the intermixture of the two nations a language was formed, in which the Saxon was much more prevalent than the Norman or French, We have a charter of King Henry the Third in the English of that time, which, as it is curious to fee how near the language then written approached to that of the prefent century, I have given, with a translation of it into modern English, in the Appendix to this book, from Mr. Tyrrel's Appendix to the third volume of his hiftory of England. No finall part of the difference between the original and the translation appears to be in the comparative length of the words, which we have now abridged, by leaving out fome of the vowels then inferted, and omitting the fyllable en at the end of many verbs; as for example, writing land inftead of loande, and send instead of senden : an alteration which has not added to the harmony of the tongue. But

But there are in the Cotton library fome manufcript hiftorical poems, composed in Norman French, by a reading clerk, named Wace, to whom (as he tells us himfelf) King Henry the Second gave a prebend at Bayeux, and many other benefactions. They have nothing to diffinguish them from the dulleft chronicles of that age, but metre and rhymes. Yet, as they are a specimen of what was then imagined to be poetry. I have transcribed fome of them into the Appendix annexed to this book. The poets of Provence wrote fomething better; of which we need no other proof than the verfes composed in their ftyle and dialect by King Richard the First : but the best of the French romanciers were very inferior in genius, and the fpirit of poetry, to the ancient Gallick and British bards, or even to the Saxon and Danish poets before their conversion to Christianity, which feems to have taken from them that wild greatness of imagination and fentiment, difcoverable in fome of their ancient poems. There is no book written in French or English profe, during the period which I treat of, that has come down to these times. Indeed those who in that age were best qualified to be authors all wrote in Latin. The familiar letters that paffed between Becket and his friends, and all the difpatches of bufinefs, fent to or from him in his exile; nay, the very love-letters between Abailard and his disciple and wife Heloifa, after their unfortunate separation, were written in that language. It is justly observed by Mr. Inett, in his Ecclefiaftical Hiftory, " that the conclusion of the see Inett's " feventh, and the beginning of the eighth centu-Hiftory of the English " ry, have a tafte of learning that is no where elfe church, p. " to be met with in the English writers before the 161. c. 10. " Norman conquest : but the writings of Aldhelm " bishop of Sherburn, of Ceolfrid abbot of Jarrow, " and tutor to Bede, and those of Egbert bishop of "York, and Eddius, and Bede, who all lived " during

V. Affer. de Anglica, Normannica, &c.

" during that period, fo exhausted the genius of " the English nation, that except Alcuinus and " Clemens, who were bred under Egbert, not " long after Bede, and who, in the latter end of " the eighth and the beginning of the ninth cen-" tury, made fo great a figure in France, we find " nothing like it in the fucceeding ages, till the " Norman invafion brought the fpirit of this age to " life again." One principal caufe of that declenfion was the ravages of the Danes. The great Ælfredi re- Alfred expressed his grief, that whereas, in times busgeftis, p. paft, foreigners came to England in fearch of wifdom and learning, themselves, in his days, were forced to go abroad to feek for them; while fo grofs an ignorance overforead the nation, that very few priests, south of the Humber, could underftand the ordinary fervice of the church, and he knew none, fouth of the Thames, that could turn a piece of Latin into English. Through the indefatigable application of this admirable prince to the remedy of this evil, by bringing over learned foreigners, and by the example which he gave himfelf to his fubjects, fcience began to revive in England; but it declined again under his fucceffors, and continued in a low flate till the entrance of the Normans.

Abregé Chron. de l'Hittorie de France, p. 154. fub ann. 1087.

A late French writer takes notice, that William the Conqueror protected letters, and that they had great need of his patronage, in a time when books were fo rare, that Græcia, countefs of Anjou, bought a collection of homilies at the price of two hundred fheep, a bufhel of wheat, another of rye, a third of millet, and fome fkins of martins. But it is probable that the dearness of this particular book was rather owing to an extraordinary value fet upon it, by those who fold it, or who recommended it to the countefs, than to the general fcarcity of books at that time: for we know that few of the greater convents, in France or in England.

# Book II. OF KING HENRY II.

land, were unfurnished with libraries, and the difficulty was rather to find men who could read them. However this may have been, it is certain that the Normans brought with them into England a tafte for learning. The nobles, indeed, were, for the most part, illiterate ; but they valued knowledge in the clergy; and as King Henry the First had himfelf attained to a good proficiency in it. his example induced fome of the lords of his court to caufe their children to be inftructed in all the learning of those times. William of Malmsbury V. L. v. de tells us, that, in an interview between Henry and ied. 20. B. Pope Calixtus the Second, the young fons of the earl of Meulant were brought forth by the king to difpute in logick with the cardinals, which they did with fo much vivacity, and fubtility of reafoning, that it railed a great admiration in their antagonifts, and obliged them to acknowledge, that learning flourished more in these western parts of the world, than they, in Italy, had heard or imagined. In the eighteenth year of that reign died Florence of Worcefter, who compiled in Latin a Chronological Hiftory of the World, and brought it down, with a particular and no contemptible account of the affairs of this illand, to the year of our Lord eleven hundred and feventeen. A contemporary hiftory of the chief events relating to the church of England, in the reigns of William the First and his two successors, till the year eleven hundred and twenty two, was not inelegantly written in the fame language by Eadmer, a monk of Canterbury. But the civil commotions in Stephen's reign were unfavourable to letters, and ftopped the progrefs which probably they would have made under the patronage of King Henry's fon, the earl of Glocefter, if this nobleman, who inherited all his father's good qualities without his faults, had been more at leifure to cultivate the arts of peace. Neverthelefs, even that unhappy and turbulent time

time did not prevent him from encouraging the beft genius for hiftory that England had yet produced. by the favour he fnewed to William of Malmfbury, whole merit I have already had occasion to fpeak of, in the former parts of this work. Another ornament of the reign of Stephen was Athelred abbot of Rivaux, who is equal, if not fuperior. to William of Malmfbury in the elegance of his ftyle, but falls fhort of him in judgment and weight of fenie. Simeon of Durham and Henry of Huntington, no mean hiftorians, wrote alfo in those times. Roger de Hoveden, who was a chanlain to King Henry the Second, has left us two books of annals, carried on from the year feven hundred and thirty two to the year twelve hundred and one, the fourth of King John; in the first of which he has borrowed much from the two writers above-mentioned, and in the fecond from Benedict abbot of Peterborough, who wrote a hiftory of the reigns of Henry the Second and his fon Richard, beginning in the year eleven hundred and feventy. and ending in eleven hundred and ninety two. But, though much was stolen by this author, he added enough of his own, to give him a confiderable rank, in the opinion of Sir H. Saville and Mr. Selden, among the many hiftorians who flourifhed in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. Wilbrig. 1. ii. c. liam of Newbury, one of thefe, deferves to be. mentioned with particular praife, for having had the courage, though a monk, to express an approbation of King Henry the Second's defign of reforming his clergy, by bringing them under the coercion of the fecular power; and to cenfure Becket. after Rome had declared him a faint, for want of moderation and discretion in many parts of his conduct. Another inftance of the good judgment, and honeft regard to truth, which appears in this author, is the having treated the fables of Geoffry of Monmouth

348

V. New-

16. et 25.

mouth with the contempt they deferve, although they were then fo much in vogue, that to oppose the delution was little lefs dangerous, than to call in queftion any error of popular superfition eftablifhed or authorifed by the church. This fincerity has drawn upon him, in much later and lefs ignorant times than his own, the difpleafure of Humphrey Lhuyd, and fome other Welch writers: fo hard is it to eradicate, from the minds of an ancient people, the fond belief of any fiction, in which they imagine that the glory of their nation is concerned!

Canutus, a monk of Canterbury, is faid to have see Aumade an abridgment of Pliny's Natural Hiftory, brey's Me-moires of and to have dedicated his work to King Henry the the county Second. Of Giraldus Cambrensis some mention of Wilts, p. has been made in former parts of this book; and Balæus I shall have occasion to fay more in giving an ac- Cent. 3. n. count of the affairs of Ireland, which he has recorded. It will be fufficient to observe in this place. that, if too much love of the marvellous, and a rancorous hatred of King Henry the Second, which he contracted before the end of that prince's life, had not corrupted his veracity and diffuonoured his judgment, he would have flood high in the catalogue of English historians who flourished during that reign. Several others might be named who excelled in wit or learning about the fame period; but of all these the most eminent were Peter of Blois and John of Salifbury. Peter of Blois had v. Przfatibeen made præceptor to William the Second, King on. ad opeof Sicily, in the year eleven hundred and fixty eight, Blefenfis. through the recommendation of Stephen archbishop of Palermo, and chancellor of that kingdom : but, the following year; upon the difgrace and banishment of his patron, he retired into France; from whence he was prefently invited into England by V. Petri. Henry the Second, who afterwards employed him, Blef. epit. 127. 149. as

Fpift. 14.

as his private fecretary, in many important affairs. From one of his letters it appears, that he had undertaken to write a hiftory of the acts of that prince, and had almost compleated it before the end of his reign. Whether it ever was published is uncertain; for no other trace of it has come down to our times : which may be juftly lamented, as, from the confidence Henry had in him, he must have been better informed, than any other historian in those days. both of facts and counfels; nor was any more capable of conveying them to posterity with the spirit and energy, which all his works are very full of, befides a great erudition, and an admirable fervour of virtue and piety. There is likewife in them a noble freedom, becoming a Chriftian philosopher, in reprehending the faults of perfons in high flations, and rigoroufly cenfuring the diforders and corruptions of the clergy: but, unhappily, he did not reckon a defire of independence on the civil authority, and an abfolute fubjection to the pope, among those corruptions. On the contrary, he efteemed them effential parts of their duty. The fame notions alfo prevailed in his friend, John of Salisbury, who appears to have been little inferior to him in learning, and fuperior in the graces and elegance of his ftyle; though neither was he quite exempt from the barbarifms of the age. Some of his letters are animated with a fpirit of liberty, which would have done honour to a Greek or Roman republican : but with regard to the church he extended his ideas of liberty to an exemption from all obedience to the fecular power. This rendered him to zealous in Becket's caufe, that he attended him in his exile ; and it will be feen in the following book of this hiftory, that he was the most active and the most trusted of his agents in France. Nor did this attachment ceafe even after the death of that prelate; for he became one of the many who wrote accounts of his life, with with much more regard to his honour than to truth or fincerity. Indeed what he has left on that fubject is unworthy of his genius and character : and the offence his whole conduct had justly given to the king, during the course of the difference between that prince and Becket, excluded him from those favours, which his merit would have otherwife entitled him to, in a court where none was neglected, and where a particular regard was fhewn to parts and learning. It is observable, that his writings, as well as those of Peter of Blois, are full of citations from the Latin clafficks, a tafte for which was then rifing in France and England, and would, probably, have gone far towards refining the age, if the minds of men had not been turned from cultivating those ftudies to the fubtilities of fchool divinity, which Rome encouraged as more profitable for the maintenance of her doctrines. The first teachers of this new art were two archbishops of Canterbury, Lanfranc, and Anfelm; to whom fucceeded Peter Abailard, the brighteft wit of those times : but the most illustrious mafter of it was Peter Lombard, made bishop of Paris in the year eleven hundred and fifty nine. It was a great misfortune to religion, and to learning in general, that men of fuch acute understandings as Abailard and Lombard, who might have done much to reform the errors of the church, and to reftore fcience in Europe, should have depraved both by applying their admirable parts to weave these cobwebs of sophistry, and to confound the clear fimplicity of evangelical truths by a falle philofophy and a captious logick. I cannot mention Abailard, without taking notice, that if his fair difciple Heloifa, inftead of being compelled to read the fathers, or the legends of faints, in a nunnery, had been fuffered to improve her genius by a continued application to polite literature, one may

may venture to fay, from what appears in her letters, that flue would have excelled in it more than any man of that age.

Of the flate of learning at Oxford in the reign of which I am treating I find little mention. But Ingulphus fays, that he learned Ariftotle in the schools of that city; and another writer informs us, that, under the aufpices of King Henry the Firft, the divinity lecture, which had been difcontinued a long time in Oxford, began again to flourish. The civil war in the reign of Stephen must have difturbed and interrupted the ftudies there; but probably they revived again under Henry the Second : for we find that in King John's time the number of fludents was three thousand. And Matthew Paris calls the univerfity of Oxford, the second school of the church, nay, rather a groundwork of the church. next atter Paris.

Of the schools at Cambridge, from the reign of Henry the First till that of Henry the Second, inclusively, Peter of Blois, in his continuation of Ingulphus, has given an account, which is thus translated in the last edition of Camden's Britannia: 66 Abbot Joffred fent over to his manor of Cotenham, nigh Cambridge, Giflebert, his fellow. 65 monk and divinity-professor, with three other 46 monks, who followed him into England; and 66 66 being well furnished with philosophical learning, c 6 and other ancient sciences, they daily repaired to Cambridge: where they hired a publick barn, 66 66 made open profession of the sciences, and in a 66 little time drew a great number of fcholars to-... gether. In less than two years their number 66 encreafed fo much, out of all that country as well as the town, that there was not a houfe, 66 barn, or church big enough to hold them all. 66 " Upon which they difperfed themfelves into feveral " parts of the town, imitating the university of " Orleans.

See Camden's Britannia, CAM-BRIDGE-SHIRE.

See Camden's Britannia, Ox-FOR D-SHIRE,

". Orleans. Betimes in the morning Frier Odo, an " excellent grammarian and fatyric poet, read " grammar to the boys and younger fort, who " were affigned him; according to the doctrine of " Prifcian and Remigius upon him. At one a " clock Terricus, a jubtil sophift, read Aristotle's " logic to the elder fort, according to Porphyry's " and Averroe's introductions and comments. Ar " three of the clock Frier William read lectures in " Tully's rhetorick, and Quintilian's Inftitutions; " and Giflebert, the principal mafter, preached " to the people upon all fundays and holidays. " From this fmall foundation we see large flowing " ftreams, making glad the city of God, and en-" riching the whole kingdom with many mafters " and teachers, who came out of Cambridge, as " from the holy paradile, &c."

Whether this was the first beginning, or only a revival of learning in this town, it will not be neceffary to inveftigate here. But Mr. Camden takes see camnotice that the name of univer hiy was not used till den's Bri-tannia, Oxabout the time of Henry the Third, and then not FORDfor the place, but for the body and fociety of ftu- SHIRE. dents.

La a letter to Becket from John of Salifbury this Epia. T. difcription is given of the ftate of learning at Paris: Cod. Vatic. " When I beheld (lays he) the reverence paid to the l. i. epill, " clergy, the majefy and glory of the whole church, " and the various occupitions of those why applied " themselves to philosophy in that city, it raised my ad-" miration, as if I had seen the ludder of Facob, the " up of which reached to beaven, and the steps " were covered with anges afcending and defcending." On this pallage I would obferve, that the learning of the clergy in those days was a mighty affiftance to their power, and to what this writer calls the majefty and glory of the church. For, as it was almost confined to them, princes were under a necessity to VOL. II. Aa employ

employ them in much of their bulinels; and the superiority it gave them over the ignorant laity, though great in reality, was greater ftill in opinion. The degree of it, which Henry the Second had attained to, helped to fhew him the enormity of the encroachments they had made on the civil authority, and ftrengthened his mind to refift them. It was likewife of no little advantage to him, that fome of his nobles had a fufficient tincture of knowledge, to be able to ferve him in the higheft offices of law and justice. Upon the whole, it may be faid, that a beam of light in the twelfth century, began to break through the clouds of Gothic ignorance and barbarifm, but was foon afterwards obfoured by a thicker darknefs.

Book II

V. Præfat. ad Not. Monaft.

Hiftory of the English church, p. 172. 0.9.

See Dug-

con.

The great increase of religious houses must be reckoned among the evils of this age. The author of the Notitia Monastica computes the number of fuch houses, built in England during the reigns of Henry the First, Stephen, and Henry the Second, at no lefs than three hundred. And Mr. Inett afferts, that more monafteries and other religious focieties were founded in that kingdom, during the fingle reign of Henry the First, than in five hundred years before. But he rightly obferves, that this was not peculiar to this nation. The high opinion of the merit of fuch foundations infuled into the minds of the laity by the divines of those days; the hopes of compounding in this manner with the Deity for the greatest offences; but more efpecially the liberty granted by the pope of commuting for vows made to go to the Holy wars by benefactions of this kind. filled all Europe with convents. In the year eleven hundred and fifty-two, the Ciftertian order, which had been founded in one thousand and ninetyeight, had no fewer than five hundred. Among dale's Baronage, part I. other caufes of the increase of monasteries in this & Monaftikingdom

Book II.

kingdom may be reckoned the civil war, with which it was afflicted during the reign of King Stephen. For many of the nobility engaged in those troubles endeavoured to atone for the pillage of the people, and other crimes they had committed, by raifing or endowing religious houfes; and others defired to fecure for themfelves and their children a quiet afylum in these places. The pernicious confequences of fuch numbers of men and women being confined to a life of celibacy were grievoully felt in the reign of Henry the Second, by continuing and increasing the depopulation of the country, which the commotions in that of his predeceffors had occafioned. Nor was it a fmall inconvenience to the government of this monarch, in his disputes with the pope. that he had fo many perfons in his realm, who, by their feparation from fociety and the nature of their inftitutions, were more devoted to the fee of Rome than the fecular clergy : which difference shewed itself, upon feveral occasions, in the conduct of both. And the practice of exempting monks from the proper authority of the diocefan bishops increased this mischief. Such exemptions took their rife from what was done by William the Conqueror in favour of Battle abbey; which made others, and more efpecially those of greater antiquity, endeavour likewife to free themfelves from the epifcopal jurifdiction, by pretended ancient charters, the forgery of which was not discovered, or not regarded, by our kings, who thought that they advanced the royal prerogative by supporting these claims, and making other grants of a like nature. In the year eleven hundred and fifty-four, the abbot of St. Albans obtained a bull from Pope Adrian, to exempt the abbey and their dependants, not only from the jurifdiction of the bishop of Lincoln, their diocefan, but from all epifcopal authority; and to fubject them only to that of the Aa 2 apostolical

apoftolical fee; an innovation in the conflictution and discipline of the church till then unknown in England, and which in France had been juftly condemned by Bernard, who declared in one of his writings, " that the uniting of religious houfes " to the Holy fee in this manner was as monftrous " and unnatural a deformity in the church, as it " would be in the natural body to unite the finger " to the head !"

Belides the danger to the flate from the independence of these communities on all power but the papal, which was thus procured and eftablished during the times I write of, the great proportion of land, over and above all the former poffeffions of the church, now thrown into mortmain, and the quantity of filver taken out of circulation, by the ornaments with which fo many convents were decorated, must have been very hurtful to the trade and revenues of the kingdom

V. MSS.

There is in the Cotton library a manufcript trea-Cotton. Ju- tife of Giraldus Cambrenfis, which affirms that William Rufus had conceived a defign of taking from all the monasteries, or religious houses in England, founded and endowed by the English, all their lands and poffeilions, or the greater part thereof, and converting them into knights-fees; faying, that near one half of the kingdom had been beflowed on the church, from all which little or nothing could be drawn by the government, in any exigence whatfoever, for the defence of the ftate. If this were true, it would account, more than any other reason, for the odious colours in which his character has been painted by the monks: but nothing is faid of it by any contemporary writer; and even in the time of Richard the Second, after vaft additions had been made to the wealth of the church, and particularly by the foundation of fo many more religious houses, all the possessions of the regular and fecular clergy were not effimated at more

more than a third of the kingdom, as appears by See the Parl. a protestation of the house of commons in that England, reign. Belides it was falle, that in the time of vol. i. p. William Rufus little or nothing could be drawn 383. from the lands of the church to the defence of the kingdom: for all the bifhopricks and abbies of royal foundation being then converted into baronies, they contributed to it equally with the other baronial poffessions. Nevertheless it is possible that this ftory may have had fome grounds of truth: for William Rufus might naturally entertain a defire, if not a fettled purpole, of taking away fome of the lands of fuch abbies and convents, as were not charged by his father with any military fervice, and turning them into knights-fees. However this may have been, it is certain that the opulence of the monks, as well as the number of them, in the times of Henry the Second, was enormous. And the luxury, in which men profeffing poverty lived, was scandalous and offensive to the common sense of mankind. We have in one of the treatiles of v.G. Camb. Giraldus Cambrenfis a description of the table, fe gentis, which was kept by the monks of Canterbury, and part. II. c. which confifted regularly of fixteen covers, or Sacrà, vol. more, of the most costly dainties. These, he ii. p. 480. tells us, were dreffed with the most exquisite cookery, to provoke the appetite and pleafe the tafte. He alfo speaks of an excetlive abundance of wine, particularly claret; of mulberry wine, of mead, and of other ftrong liquors, the variety of which was fo great in these repasts, that no place could be found for ale; though he informs us, that the best was made in England, and particularly in Kent.

There is likewife an account in the fame author, " that the prior and monks of St. Swithin at " Winchefter threw themfelves proftrate at the " feet of King Henry the Second, and with many " tears complained to him, that the bishop of that A a 3 " diocefe,

" diocefe, to whom they were fubject as their ab-" bot, had withdrawn from them three of the " usual number of their diffies. Henry enquired " of them, how many there still remained : and " being informed they had ten, he faid, that he " bimself was contented with three, and impreca-" ted a curle on the bishop, if he did not reduce " them to that number." I repeat this flory, rather to fhew the temperance of the king than the excess of the monks.

Book II.

In what manner the laity feafted in those days John of Salifbury has given us a fhort description. He fays, the houses, on such occasions, were ftrewed with flowers; and the jovial company drunk wine out of gilded horns, and fung fongs when they became inebriated with their liquor. This is a better account of the feftivity of our anceftors, than that given by Froiffard, who fays that the English, in the time of Edward the Third, s'envoroient moult tristement, à la façon de leur pays ; got drunk in great sadness, after the manner of their country. In the time of Henry the Second, and for ages afterwards, the great halls of the caffles, or principal manor houses, in which the nobility and gentry refided, were crowded with vaft numbers of their vallals and tenants, who were daily fed at their coft; and, in order to fupply the conftant plenty required for fuch profule holpitality, they kept in their hands large demeines, which were cultivated by their villeins; and received their rents, not in money, but in divers kinds of provisions, from many of those farmers to whom they had granted freehold lands, adjacent to their feats. This way of living, still more than the feudal obligations, attached the vaffals to their lords, and enabled these to become formidable to the power of the crown. When the weather permitted it, the chace drew together all the neighbouring gentry; nor was it difficult, in fuch meetings, Book II.

ings, to form or put into action those factious confederacies, to which the genius of the people was ftrongly inclined. It must be observed, that to be skilful in the arts of hunting and hawking, was anciently effeemed one of the requifite qualifications for chivalry, and preferred to all other knowledge. The Lombard laws and the capitularies forbad a gentleman to fell his fword, or his hawk, even for the payment of his ranfom. This fort of chace, which was a diffinguishing privilege of the nobles, delighted them the more, as the ladies took part in it, and appear to have made it their principal amusement. The high and romantic gallantry, which prevailed in those times, must have given the fair fex fuch ideas of themfelves, as were much above the character of mere good housewives, though most of their time was employed in household cares. And, from what we read of fome ladies in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. there is reafon to believe, that their minds were elevated by those ideas to a more than ordinary pitch of greatnefs. It is likewife probable, that the initation of royal flate, which the great barons kept up in the eftablishment of their households, and the whole manner of living at their habitations in the country, not only drew to them the reverence of the people, but fo raifed their thoughts of their own dignity, that it was difficult for them. in their attendance on the king, to confider themfelves as his fubjects, and much more to defcend to any fervile obedience,

Some of the nobles in the age of which 1 write, and efpecially the bifhops, were magnificent builders. Giraldus Cambrenfis informs us, that Henry v.G. Camb. de Blois, bishop of Winchester and brother to king De vitis Sex Epifcop. Stephen, had, during the anarchy of those times, coetaneoprelumed to pull down the royal palace of Win- rum in An-glia Sacra, chefter, which was not inferior to that of London, vol. ii. p.

either 421.

Aa4

either in the extent or quality of the buildings. because it flood too near his church; and, that nothing might be wanting to compleat this offence against the majesty of the crown, even ventured to carry off and employ the materials in crecting a five palace, for himfelf and his fucceffors, in another part of that city. The fame author speaks of other works of this prelate, for the embellifhment of his feats, which appeared to exceed the power of kings themfelves : particularly vaft ponds. fupplied by aqueducts, carried on with much difficulty and expence, or by waters brought through various windings, and from a great diftance, under ground. He had likewife menageries of birds and beafts from all parts of the world; a kind of magnificence which he feems to have taken from his uncle, King Henry the Firft, who (as William I. v. de H. of Malmfbury tells us) had an inclosure in his palace of Woodflock, where he kept a variety of rare animals, prefented to him by foreign kings, at his own earnest request; among which lions, leopards, lynxes, camels, and a porcupine, are mentioned by that hiftorian. He also describes two very spacious and beautiful castles, erected, at an immense charge, in the reign of King Stephen, by Roger bifhop of Salifbury; the ftones of which were to clofely and to artfully joined together. that they feemed to be all one folid rock.

By many evidences it appears, that a luxury in apparel was very general among the nobles and gentry of that age. Even the nuns were not free from it, as may be inferred from a canon of the legantine lynod, held at Westminster, in the year cils, vol. ii. eleven hundred and thirty eight, which, under pain of an anathema, forbids them to use the particoloured fables, called in French petit gris, martin, ermine, and beaver skins, or golden rings; Vid. Pictav, or to curl or curioufly fet their hair. William of geft. Gul. Poictou takes notice, that the English women in his

I. f. 91.

1. 91:

See Spelman'sCounp. 41.

211.

his time, viz, in the reign of William the Conqueror, excelled in embroidery; and tells us, that the garments of those English noblemen whom that prince carried over with him into Normandy, in the first year of his reign, were richly enwoven and incrusted with gold. He fays alfo, that among the men of that nation there were good artificers of all forts; that Germans, or Dutchmen, very skilful in all the finer manufactures, were used to fettle among them; and that foreign manufactures were imported from the most distant countries, by merchants trading to England. As one can hardly imagine that this writer, who came over with the duke of Normandy, was partial to the English, I think this account of their opulence, commerce and industry, which he gives us as an eye-witnefs, is of no fmall weight. Neverthelefs, as we are told by William of Malmfbury, in a paffage I have cited before, that the garments of the Englifh, before their intermixture with the Normans, were generally plain, I prefume that the embroidery, and other fine manufactures, spoken of by William of Poictou, were only worn by the nobility of the first rank. But it appears, that, in the times of Henry the Second, the whole gentry of England, having adopted the fashions of the Normans, were as magnificent in their drefs as their fortunes could bear. And we are informed by L. viii. p. Ordericus Vitalis, that, during the reign of Wil-<sup>682.</sup> iub liam Rufus, the mode of apparel was changed, not only in England, but all the weftern parts of Europe; fo that, inftead of clofe coats, which had been used till that time, as most commodious for exercife and a military life, trailing garments with long fleeves, after the manner of the Afiaticks, were univerfally worn. The men alfo were very nice in curling and dividing their hair, which on the fore part of their heads they fuffered to grow very long, but cut fhort behind. The extraordinary

form their morals.

nary fervour of zeal expressed by Anfelm, and other churchmen of that age, against this fashion, feems ridiculous: but we find from the words of the above-mentioned hiftorians, that they combined V. Ord. Vit. it with the idea of an affected effeminacy, and supposed it to indicate a disposition to an unnatural vice, which was very prevalent in those times. The good prelate, whole piety was fo much fcandalized at it, would have done well to confider. how much more the celibacy to which he forced the clergy, and the number of monafteries in this kingdom, might contribute to increase that abominable wickednefs, than any mode of drefs. And indeed we are told that his preaching prevailed with the English to cut their hair, but could not re-

> I find no grounds upon which I can form any eftimate of the number of people in England, during the reign of King Henry the Second. One cannot judge of it by the number of inhabitants in the capital; becaufe, from the manners and policy of the times, the people lived more difperfed than they usually do in these times. The king's court was not fixed, and every diffrict had a leffer court of its own, in the caftle of an earl or great baron; which rendered the country more populous, in proportion to the metropolis or other principal cities, than it is at prefent. In general it may be faid, that the police then established, which forced the common people into an orderly way of living, and the hardy and healthy education given to perfons of both fexes, must have been greatly conducive to propagation.

V. Gloff. DOMES-DAY.

Sir Henry Spelman observes, from the leffer Domefday-book, that in all the county of Norfolk, which is above fifty miles in length, and about thirty in breadth, there were, at the time when that register was compiled, but fixty fix lords of

at fuprà.

Book II.

of manors, who had the property of the foil. Under these all the rest of the free inhabitants of that province held by fubinfeudation; nor was the proportion much greater in other parts of the kingdom. But, during the reigns of Henry the First and his two next fucceffors, property became more divided, and the number of landholders in chief was confiderably augmented : yet it appears from Dugdale's Baronage, that, till long after the death of Henry the Second, the earls and barons were poffeffed of vaft eftates; and the far greater part of all the lands of England was held by them in demesne, or under them by mesne tenants. Of the exact number of the peerage in Henry the Second's reign I find no account. But Mr. Selden has shewn from the close rolls of the forty feventh See Titles year of Henry the Third, that a hundred and thirty temporal, with fifty fpiritual barons, were fummoned by that king to perform the military fervice due by their tenures. And it appears by a record, see the Parthat, in the thirty fifth year of Edward the Firft, liament. eighty fix temporal barons, twenty bilhops, and vol. i. p. forty eight abbots, were fummoned to a parliament 151. convened at Carlifle.

I shall conclude this account of the civil and political flate of the kingdom, during the times of which I write, with two remarks; first, that the privileges granted, or confirmed to the nation, within that period, though often violated by our kings, were perpetually reclaimed, and reftored, from time to time, by new confirmations, the laft of which was the bill of rights, that great compendium of our ancient, conftitutional liberties, the glory of this, and the envy of every other flate: Secondly, that for some ages after the settlement of our government by King Henry the First, the high fpirit of the nobles, and the ferocity of the people, were ftronger fences to both against oppreffion and tyranny than laws and charters; but, at

HISTORY OF THE LIFE, &c. Book II.

at the fame time, had fuch a tendency to diffurb the tranquillity and order of fociety, that thefe could hardly be preferved, even in the reigns of good princes, without fome fuch exertions of the roval authority, as approached too near to an illegal and arbitrary power. But in later times, as the temper of the nation grew milder, the fame rigour in government was no longer requifite, or fit to be used; and liberty ceasing to border upon anarchy, the regal part of our conftitution could, with fafety to the publick, be fet at still a further diftance from absolute monarchy. In the prefent ftate of our whole political fyftem we have nothing to wifh, but that the fpirit of liberty may be moderated with fuch diferetion, and fupported with fuch firmness, as that we may never again find it neceflary to feek a remedy against anarchy in an extension of prerogative; nor yet be drawn by the corruption and diffoluteness of manners, which too naturally attend a high degree of politenefs, to relax the ancient British vigour and dignity of mind. which hitherto neither violence has been able to fubdue, nor prosperity to enervate.

## END of the SECOND BOOK.

## ТНЕ

## HISTORY

OF THE

## L H.

## O F

# King Henry the IId.

#### BOOK III.

**T**HERE is a paffage well deferving the at- v. Appea-tention of those who read this book in a late dix. famous remonstrance of the parliament of Paris; where, complaining of the abufes of the ecclefiaftical power in the kingdom of France, they fay to their king, " that the clergy of that realm are " now bufily using their utmost endeavours to sup-" port and confirm a fystem of independence, the " foundations of which have been laid near a " thousand years ago; the principles of which " that

" have been connected, developed, and follow-"ed, from age to age, in the conduct of "feveral ministers of the church; and the "inevitable effects of which, if not ftopped by the "vigilance and firmnels of the magiftrates, would "be the most enormous abuse of the royal "authority, as well as of religion; the deftruc-"tion of good order and publick tranquillity, of "all the regular jurifdictions, of the laws, of the "king's fovereignty itself; and, by confequence, "of the whole ftate."

These are the words of that very respectable body : and whoever reflects upon them will have good reason to think, that, where the popish religion remains established, the principles of Becket will alfo remain ; and, notwithstanding the apparent absurdity of them, will perpetually diffurb, and fometimes overpower, the civil authority, even in countries the most enlightened by learning and philolophy, or affecting the greateft latitude and freedom of thought. How great is therefore the happinels this nation enjoys in the reformation of religion, by which those principles, fo repugnant to true Chriftianity, have been rooted out from our church; and which alone can fecure us from a return of those evils, the malignity whereof will be fhewn, in its utmost extent, by examples more convincing than any arguments on the fubject, in that very inftructive part of the hiftory of this kingdom, which I am about to relate?

A. D. 1163.

The reader has feen what large advances the clergy of England, abetted and fupported by the power of the papacy then almost at its heighth, had made, in Stephen's reign, towards a total independence upon all civil government. The pernicious confequences of this were felt by his fucceffor; and though the infolence of the hierarchy was in fome measure awed under the reign of this prince, yet he had been hitherto obliged to tolerate many

many abuses, which the name of religion had fanctified, and which could not be reformed without the aid and concurrence of more favourable circumftances, than had offered themfelves to him before this time. The worft of these was the exemption from all fecular justice, which was claimed as a fundamental and inviolable part of the liberty of the church. " The bifhops (fays one of the Gul. Neu-" best contemporary historians) being much more 394 " intent on maintaining the privileges or dignities " of their clergy than correcting their vices, ima-" gine that they do their duty to God and the " church, by protecting those criminals against " civil difcipline, whom they refuse or neglect to re-" ftrain, as the duty of their office requires, by a " proper feverity of canonical cenfures." He adds, " that, for this realon, the clergy having a licence " to do what they would with certain impunity. " were in no awe of God, or man." It is remarkable that this teftimony is given by a churchman. And, indeed, the whole publick was now become as fenfible as Henry himfelf, how monftrous a thing it was, that one part of his subjects fhould thus be fuffered to withdraw themfelves from his juffice, and, where-ever they were concerned, to put the others also out of his royal protection. The neceflity of correcting the notorious iniquities and relaxation of discipline in the spiritual courts, as well as of ftopping their encroachments in point of jurifdiction, was, likewife, generally acknowledged. Another evil, which began to be grievoully felt, and which many even of the clergy defired that the crown fhould exert itfelf to reftrain, was the frequent practice of appeals to Rome in ecclefiaftical caufes. This was attended with great vexation and expence to the fuitors : the exportation of its treasure was a loss to the nation ; but it fuffered much more by the admission of a foreign jurisdiction over the subjects of England.

England, which violated the dignity and freedom of the flate. The voice of the people calling loudly for a redrets of these grievances, the royal authority being tettled upon the firmeft foundations, the Roman pontificate being weakened by a Ichilm, and the pope whom Henry had acknowledged owing more to his friendship than to that of any other monarch, the time appeared very favourable for this great undertaking, which, if the king had fucceeded in it, would have compleated his glory, as the deliverer and restorer of England. But he met with an obftacle which broke all his measures, and put him under many difficulties, A. D. 1163. that he had not foreseen. The confident and the partner of his most fecret countels, the man whom he loved and trufted above all others, that very Becket whom he had made archbishop of Canterbury, chiefly with a view of being allifted by him in this defign, fet himfelf to oppofe it

with invincible obflinacy, and feemed all at once to

V. Stephanidem in vitâ S. Thoma. Quadrilog. et Vit. Them. præfixam

be poffeffed by the fpirit of Gregory the Seventh. No change was ever fo fudden and violent, as that which appeared in the new prelate immediately upon his election. He affected to be now entirely given up to his foiritual duties : to the reading of the fcriptures, to prayers, and to preaching. Cerv.Chron. Whenever he received the communion in publick he shed abundance of tears; he sighed; he groaned; pouring fortb bis whole foul (lays a writer of his life) in devotion and contrition, as if be had touched the wounds of Chrift. There was at all times in his convertation, and even in his afplet, a grave and religious ieverity Under his canonical habit he wore the frock of a monk, and under that a rugged haircloth, next to his fkin. Archbifhop Theobald had doubled the fum which his predeceffor appropriated to charitable ules ; and Becket doubled that which had been given by him, beftowing a full tenth of the revenues of his fee in confiant

stant and stated alms. But he was not fatisfied A. D. 11631 with relieving the wants of the poor ; he waited on them at table, he walhed their feet with his own hands. We are told, indeed, that these acts of pious humility were done by him in private : but as he ufually repeated them every night, they could not long remain unknown; and the fame of them was encreafed by the affectation of fecrecy. The hospitality of the favourite was kept up by the primate; but the mode of it was changed. He dined in publick every day with profution and fplendor; but any nobles or gentlemen, who came to dine with him, were placed at another table; none being admitted to eat at his, except the monks v. Quadriof the convent of Canterbury, and a felect fet of log I i. c. lergymen, both English and foreigners, who were iv. c. 12. eminant for their learning, and whom he had par- Vit.S. ticularly attached to his perfon. Inftead of the ufual prafix. epift. entertainment of mulick, fome Latin book was 156, 157. read to him during the whole time of dinner : after which he retired to a more private apartment with those learned friends, whose fociety leems to have been his chief delight. When he was vifited by any of the regular clergy, he received them with fuch reverence, that (to use the words of John of Salifbury) " be feemed to work ip the draine prefence V. Johan. or angels in their perfons." But against any who go. Li.c.15. were accounted schifmaticks or hereticks his zeal Vir. S. Thom, prewas flaming : he refused all communication with fix. epift. p them; and professed, that he held them as his 23. worst enemies. Nor did he spare to blame the faults of men in power very freely, knowing (fays the hiftorian I have cited above) that where the fpirit of God is, there consequently is liberty. Thus he quickly obtained a reputation of fanctity, especially with the monks, to whom he chiefly made court, and who talked of his conversion, as a most evident miracle of divine Grace, poured out upon him at his confectation. But nothing fo much ex-Vol. II. Bh cited

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book III.

370

A. D. 1163. cited the wonder of mankind, as his fending the

L. i. c. 22. Vit. S. 32.

great feal to Henry in Normandy with a fhort mef-V. Johan in lage, " that he defired him to provide himfelf with Quadrilogo, " another chancellor ; for he could hardly fuffice to " the duties of one office, and much lefs of two." Thom. prz- The king, at this proceeding, was no lefs alarmed than aftonished. All he had known of the temper and inclinations of Becket made it very difficult to impute his refigning of an office, ufually held by a churchman, to a scruple of confcience, or diflike of temporal power. He therefore looked upon it as a certain indication of a higher and more dangerous kind of ambition; believing that the archbishop would have continued his minister, if he had not aspired to become his rival, and to exalt the mitre above the crown. These uneasy apprehensions were accompanied with the fhame of having been duped in his choice; one of the worft mortifications that could happen to a prince renowned for his wildom.

Diceto Imag. Hift. fub ann. 1163.

When he came over to England full of anger and vexation on this account, Becket met him at Southampton, with the young Henry, his pupil : but was to coolly received, that the quick eyes of the court immediately faw, what many there were glad to fee, a great decline of his favour. Another mark of it was, that the king infifted with him on his giving up the archdeaconry; which he was fo unwilling to part with, that, not without difficulty and urgent repeated expolulations, was Henry able to wreft it out of his hands. Certainly, there could be nothing more unfit and indecent, than for the fame perfon to be, at the fame time, archdeacon and archbishop of Canterbury. It is very furprifing that the impropriety of it fhould not have been perceived by Becket himfelf !

The affairs of Wales having engaged all the attention of the king for fome time after his landing, he had no further difputes or explanations with the primate on church affairs; and Pope Alexander, holding

holding a council at Tours, in the fummer of this A. D. 1163. year, eleven hundred and fixty three, obtained his et vit. S. permission, that it should be attended by the two Thom. ut metropolitans, and all the bishops of England, ex- Gervafe. cept three who were excufed on account of ficknefs. Neubrigenf. The example of Louis, and the friendship which ann 1163. had hitherto continued fo warm between Henry and Alexander, might render it very difficult, at this juncture of time, for Henry to refuse the pope his confent to a request of this nature : but he should have given his bishops the fame orders at parting, as those who were permitted by his royal grandfather to attend the council of Rheims received from that prince, namely, that they (bould go and falute the pope in his name, but take care not to bring with them, at their return into England, any of that pontiff's unneceffary inventions. For, there could be nothing more contrary to the reformation now intended, than one of the principal purpoles v. Ord. of holding this council, which we may learn, with Vital. fub great certainty, from the fermon preached at the 1119. opening of it, wherein it was publickly and exprefly declared, that the business of their meeting was N. Baron Annals, fub to take care of the liberties of the clergy, as well as ann. 1163. to reftore the unity of the church ; and both thefe objects were recommended with equal warmth. Nor was the preacher's eloquence ineffectual. The affembly acted agreeably to his zealous exhortations. Even fome of the canons made by them had a manifest tendency to establish that independence of the church on the state, which they had now to much at heart; and probably more was done, in their fecret confultations, to facilitate and advance the fuccess of their plan.

Extraordinary honours were paid to the archbishop of Canterbury on his arrival at Tours. Not only the citizens, and all the ecclefiafticks of different nations that attended the council, but, by the command of the pope, all the cardinals there, Bb 2 ex-

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

372

A. D. 1163 except two, who were in office about his own perfon, went out to meet him. Alexander judged well, for the interefts of the papacy, in paying this court to that prelate. His fpiritual pride was encreafed by it, and, together with that, his zeal for the hierarchy. A clote connexion was alfoformed between Alexander and him, the confequences of which were most pernicious to Henry's defigns. Nor were the other English bishops uninfected with the spirit that reigned in this meeting. So very dangerous was it, in an age when the church was so extremely corrupted, for princes to fuffer *those* great cabals of ecclefinsticks, that were dignified with the name of general councils!

One of the means, by which Becket, in concert with Alexander, judged, that the fchemes they had formed together might beft be promoted, was the canonization of archbishop Anselm. The cause, which they both equally determined to maintain, was the very fame which that prelate had eminently diffinguished himself in supporting, and for which he had fuffered banifhment, with many other evils, under two kings of England. To canonize him was to fanctify that caufe and those fufferings : it was crowning opposition to the laws of the English government with the glory of heaven : nor could there be found a more proper or a more powerful artifice to feduce the imagination of the ignorant vulgar, and prevail with them to fecond the zeal of Becket in a future contell with the crown. For this purpole the archbilhop had before employed John of Salifbury to compile a book, chiefly drawn from the writings of Eadmer, a monk contemporary with Anfelm, in which, with an account of the merit of that prelate to the fee of Rome and the church, feveral miracles, faid to have been done by him during his life, and after his death, were recorded. This was prefented to Alexander in the council, as a fufficient foundation

V. Joann. Saritb.de vitâ Anfelm in Anglia facrâ.

## Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

dation for inferting him in the catalogue of faints, A. D. 1163. But that pontiff, though his own inclinations corresponded with this request, was afraid to grant it at this time, becaufe the fame honour was afked for many other perfons; and therefore he waited till after the council was separated, and sent into England a bull, by which Becket was impowered V. Bullam to convene his fuffragan bithops, together with the tione Anclergy of his province, and, in cafe that they fhould telm in Arglia facta approve of it, to canonife Anfelm. Neverthelels, part II. p. it feems that the archbishop, upon the breaking 177. out of the quarrel between him and the king, was afraid of irritating him more by an act of this nature, or was doubtful whether his fuffragans would concur with him in it: for we do not find that he affembled any fynod upon it; and the canonization of Anfelm was deferred for feveral centuries. even till the reign of King Henry the Seventh. But other parts of the plan concerted with Alexander were profecuted by Becket, upon his return into England, with all the violence natural to his vehement temper. A fevere canon having been V. Concil. made in the council of Tours against any perfons Canon. 111. who usurped the goods of the church, he took occalion from thence to fet up feveral claims, as archbishop of Canterbury, to the lands of English barons. Particularly he demanded of Roger de Clare, Gerv. earl of Hertford, the caftle of Tunbridge, with ann. 1163. the honour belonging thereunto, though it had Vit. Thomas been granted in exchange for the caftle of Brione prefix. Quain Normandy to the great grandfather of the earl, drilogus. Di-by King William the Firft, and quietly enjoyed, J. viii. c. 15. from that time, by the grantee and his heirs, under homage to the crown; alledging, that it had formerly belonged to his fee, and that no grant, nor any length of poffession could be good against the claim of the church, according to the maxims of the Roman canon law. This alarmed all the nobility, who knew not how far his refumptions Bb 3 might

#### 374

HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

Stephanid. in vita Thoma. Gervale. act. pont. Cantuar. col. 1675. L. niger fcaccarii, p. 54.

V. Eadmer.

A. D. 1163. might be carried. The king himfelf was not fafe with refpect to his own property : for certain caftles and manors of the royal demeine were claimed<sup>s</sup>by the archbifhop, as alienations from the fee of Canterbury, the reflitution of which he was in confcience obliged to procure. It would be tedious to enumerate each particular inftance, wherein, by a real or pretended zeal for the church, he difquieted his fellow-fubjects, or offended his fovereign; but it is neceffary to take notice of one, which was of a nature fomewhat different from the others, and very material. He collated a prieft named Lawrence, to the rectory of Eynesford in Kent, against the right of patronage in the lord of the manor, William de Eynesford, who held of the archbishoprick, but was also an immediate tenant of the king. The pretence on which this was done was a general prerogative, which Becket fupposed inherent in the archbishop of Canterbury, to prefent to all benefices in the manors of his tenants. As the claim was unprecedented, William drove out the fervants who were fent by Lawrence to take poffeffion of the church in his name. Becket did not condefcend to determine the difpute by procels of law, but excommunicated his adverlary, and without having afked the king's confent. This was a direct attack on the royal prerogatives. p. 4. Diceto, For it had been an uncontroverted right of the crown ever fince the eftablishment of the feudal conftitution by William the First, that neither the tenants in chief, nor the fervants of the king, could be excommunicated without his knowledge and confent, becaufe the confequences of that fentence would deprive him of their fervice. But Becket, who difregarded both the authority and the reason of all such laws as tended to restrain or controul the ecclesiaftical power, answered Henry, who fenc him an order to take off the excommunication, that it did not belong to him to command any perfon to be excommunicated or abfolved. Nevertheless.

verthelefs, when he found that the king infifted A. D. 1163upon it, he yielded at laft : but it does not appear, v. Stephanthat he made any excufe for what he had done, or in vita acknowledged the right of patronage in the lord of ut fupra. the manor, or receded in the leaft from the principles on which he had acted.

All these proceedings, instead of intimidating Henry, or averting him, by the profpect of a violent opposition, from his intention of reducing the clergy to obedience, determined him to it more ftrongly. He faw, indeed, that he must expect to find in Becket, whole affiftance he had hoped for, his most intractable adversary; but he faw likewife, that this circumstance, however unfortunate, rendered it neceffary to proceed with double vigour, in order to fet timely bounds to the infolence of a prelate, who, if he was fuffered any longer to go on uncontrouled, would give fuch fpirit and ftrength to the ecclesiaftical faction, that it would not be afterwards in the power of the crown to vindicate its own dignity, and the rights of the kingdom. He thought that the first beginning of the reformation he meditated would be most properly made, by taking from the clergy that ftrange privilege, to which they pretended, of being ex-empt from all fecular judicature; becaufe, fo long as they retained it, they might fafely perfevere in all their other encroachments on the civil authority. And he had now an occasion of bringing on the queftion, with the ftrongest eividences of the milchiefs that must attend the continuance of fuch an immunity. Becket had lately protected fome cler- V. Quadrilog. gymen, guilty of enormous and capital crimes, from being delivered up to the juffice of the crown. Among others there was one accufed of V. Stephan. having debauched a gentleman's daughter, and of Thom. having, to fecure his enjoyment of her, murthered the father. The king required him to be brought to judgment before a civil tribunal, that, if con-Bb4 victed.

ut fupra. Quadrileg. hiftor. fub ann. 1164. Neubrigenfis, fub ann. 3163.

A. D. 1163 victed, he might fuffer a penalty adequate to his guilt, which the ecclefiaftical judicatures could not inflict upon him : but this was refifted by Becket; which raifing a general indignation in the publick, Henry fummoned all the bifhops to attend him at Weftminfter, and declared to them, in a weighty and vehement speech, the reasons of their meetv. Stephan. ing. He began by complaining of the flagrant corruption of the fpiritual courts, which, in many Dicetoimag. cafes, extorted great fums from the innocent, and in others allowed the guilty to escape with no Gervale, et punishment, but pecuniary commutations, which turned to the profit of the clergy. By these methods, he faid, they had levied in a year more monev from the people than he did himfelf, but left wickedness unreformed, secure, and triumphant. He then fet forth to them, in ftrong colours, the very great mifchiefs that the whole kingdom had fuffered, and the yet greater that neceffarily muft be expected to arife, from the impunity of the most flag tious offenders, who, under the cover of holy orders, had nothing to apprehend except fpiritual cenfures, which wicked men little regarded. He faid, it was certain, that they would only be readier to offend than before, if, after the spiritual punishment, they were not liable to corporal pains: and observed, that, on account of the abuse of their holy character, they deferved to be treated with more feverity than any other delinquents. For these reasons he demanded the confent of the bishops, that ecclesiafticks convicted, or confessing themfelves guilty, of any heinous crime, fhould first be degraded, and then immediately delivered over to the fecular courts, for corporal punifhment : he also defired, that one of his officers might always be prefent at the degradation of any such offenders, to prevent their flying from juffice. Becket was confcious that thele complaints,

though they feemed to be general, had a particular

## Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

lar reference to fome of his late proceedings. He A. D. 1163. likewife knew that all the laity, and even many of the clergy, had been difpleafed at his conduct : nor could he be fure that the demands which Henry had made, on fuch a foundation of justice, and with fo much moderation, would not be agreed to by the bishops, if they were to give him an immediate answer, while the impression of his speech was strong on their minds. He therefore laboured very earneftly to ob- utique. tain his confent, that no opinion should be delivered by them upon what he had faid, till the next morning. This was denied; but he was fuffered to confer with them apart; and, though he found them inclined to yield to a proposition, supported, not only by reafon and the law of the land, but (as moft of them acknowledged) by the fcripture itfelf, yet he fo wrought upon them by arguments drawn from the canons, the authority of which had entirely taken place of the fcripture, that, coming over to his opinion, they unanimoully joined with him in declaring to the king, that no ecclefiaftick ought ever to be judged in a fecular court, or fuffer death, or lofs of limb, for any crime whatfoever; and that, degradation from orders being a punishment, it would be unjust to punish twice for the fame crime : but that, if a clergyman, who had been degraded, fhould afterwards be guilty of other crimes, the royal judges, in that cafe, might punish him for them, according to their difcretion.

Henry having reafoned with them againft Gervafe. Neubrigenthese notions fome time, and finding them ob- fis. Stephaftinate, reduced his arguments to this queftion, nides, ut fu-Whether they would observe the ancient cultoms and laws of his realm ? To which Becket, after fome confultation with his brethren, returned this anfwer, that he would obferve those laws and cuftoms, as far as be could, saving the privileges of his order and the honour of God. Every one of the prelates.

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

Gervale. Quadrilogus, ut fuprà.

378

V.Epift.85. I. i. Epift. Thom. Becket.

A. D. 1163. prelates, being asked the fame queftion, answered in thefame words. The king, extremely provoked at this evafive referve, from which none but the bifhop of Chichefter could be brought to depart, faid, be perceived that a line of battle was drawn up against him. and abruptly left the affembly. The next morning he took from Becket the government of his fon. and the cuftody of those caffles which had been committed to him when chancellor, and which he had not given up when he refigned the great feal, though much more incompatible with his fpiritual functions. The loss of these did not please him ; but it particularly grieved him to fee the young prince, whofe tender mind he defired to mould to his purpoles, taken out of his hands before he had been able to make any very lafting imprefions upon it. Yet this he must have expected ; unless he was fanguine enough to think, that fear would now induce the king to continue to him those trufts, which an immoderate and unfulpecting affection had rendered that prince fo lavish in conferring.

It appears by a letter from the bishop of Lizieux, who knew the fecrets of the court, that Henry's anger against Becket was much inflamed at this time, by a report, which had been made to him, of a conversation held by that prelate with fome intimate friends, in which he had spoken of him irreverently, with an air of fuperiority, and as one who thought he could eafily controul and over-rule him in any undertaking, from the reciprocal knowledge they had of each other's abilities. Upon this the king faid, that it was neceffary for him to exert his whole power, fince he found he muft now contend for hisroyal dignity; and an agreement would be impoffible ; for neither would he derogate in any manner from that, nor would the archbishop delift from his attempt.

V. Epif. 8:, bidem.

The fame letter informs us, that if there were fome perfons, to whom the behaviour of Becket appeared to proceed from an extraordinary fancti-

## Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

ty and zeal for religion, there were others who A. D. 1163. faw it in very different lights. They faid, " His " ambition was much better gratified, by holding " that power independently, and through the reve-" rence due to an ecclefiaftical dignity, which before " he had only enjoyed under the favour and at the will " of another. That, being fo raifed, he was no " longer content to fit at the foot, or even by the " fide, of the throne ; but threatened the crown " itfelf; intending to bring it into fuch a depen-" dence on his authority, that the ability to beftow " and to support it should principally belong to the " church. That he fet out with oppoling the " king's commands, in order that all might appear " to be abfolutely fubdued to his government: " fince no hope of refifting could be left to any " others, where the royal authority itfelf was for-" ced to fubmit."

We also learn from the same evidence, that the V. Epift. cit. nobility of the kingdom were ftrongly confederat- ut fupra. ed with the king against the archbishop, and reprefented to him, " how much it would difhonour " his character, if he, who exceeded all his prede-" ceffors in power, fhould reign lefs worthily, or ", act more remifely, than they had done, in de-" fending the dignity and the rights of his crown." Henry did not want these infligations. But, though he refolved to maintain his royal prerogatives with the neceffary spirit and firmness, he proceeded as one who wished to conquer rather by art Gervale. than force. All methods were used by him to lub ann. gain the bishops to his fide, or at least to divide them, and break their affociation ; in which he fo far fucceeded, that many of them were inclinable to yield to what he defired, being only reftrained from it by the fear of drawing on themfelves the cenfures of Rome, if, in a caule of fuch importance to the interefts of that fee, they fhould difcover less alacrity than the archbishop of Canterbury. This being evident, the whole policy of the

1163.

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

380

A. D. 1163. the king was exerted in trying to overcome the obftinacy of Becket. He threatened; he entreated, he even prevailed upon himfelf to flatter the man, whom he once had loved and now hated. But that prelate had too much fenfe, and knew courts too well, to think that favour could be regained after a ftruggle for dominion with his matter. He therefore continued as inflexible to the allurements of Henry, as unshaken by his menaces, which had no effect on a mind, that was naturally intrepid, and in beginning this difpute had determined to stand all the perilous confequences, with which it might be attended. In vain did the moft different and fober of his friends put him in mind of the respect he owed to his fovereign ; in vain did they fet before him the ingratitude of his conduct, or the diffurbance and danger which, by perfevering in it, he would bring on the whole kingdom, as well as himfelf. All this he anfwered by pleading his zeal for the church, which fuperfeded all duties, and cancelled all obligations. When the bifhop of Chichefter, among others, Gervale. preffed him to alter those words, which were fo difagreeable to the king, and laboured to convince him, that a regard to the peace of the church, in this conjuncture, ought to induce him to proceed with more moderation, it only drew from him a fevere reprimand to that prelate, for having taken the liberty to propose other words in the affembly at V. Stephan. Westminster. He went so far as to fay, that if an in vita Tho, angel should come from beaven, and advise bim to make the acknowledgment defired by the king, without the faving he had thrown in, he would anathematife Quadrilogus bim. Yet he was afterwards brought to make that five hiltoria acknowledgment, and part with his faving claufe, quadriparby the authority of the pope's almoner, who then tita. was at London, and whole advice, it feems, he was

willing to take even preferably to that of an angel from beaven! This man pretended he had orders form from his Holinefs, to perfuade him to obey the will A. D. 1163. of the king; in which, I imagine, he went beyond his commission: for, though Alexander might in general recommend to him a prudent complaifance to his fovereign, as he himfelf was obliged to cultivate the friendship of that prince, yet he could hardly intend to authorife, and much lefs to enjoin, fuch a conceffion as this, against all the interests and avowed pretenfions of Rome. Probably, the almoner was gained by the king, who often negociated more fuccefsfully with the pope's minifters, than he could with the pope, and would doubtlefs exert, on this occasion, his utmost liberality. It is affirmed by fome of those who have written Becket's life, that the archbishop was told, before he yielded this point, that Henry had fworn to require nothing of him prejudicial to the church, defiring only that gus, c. 25. a mark of respect should be given to him in the prefence of his nobility; to which effect a mere shadow of consent would suffice. But this feems to have been invented by the panegyrifts of that prelate to justify the apparent inconfistency of his conduct : for he perfectly knew to what his confent was demanded, and the intention of the king in that demand. However this may have been, he went to Quadrilo-Henry at Oxford, and there promifed to obferve the Gervaie. cuftoms of the kingdom, without any exception or referve. The king received him with an appearance A. D. 1164. of great fatisfaction, but not with the confidence he had formerly fhewn him. Nor yet would he content himfelf with this verbal promife, but foon afterwards called a parliament to meet him at Cla- See the pre-amble to the rendon, wherein fuch rights of the crown and cuf- conflictations toms of the realm, particularly with regard to judi- in the Ap-cial proceedings, as had been in use under the See also Ep. government of King Henry the First and his royal 126. e Cod predeceffors, being recollected upon memory, and the Appenfet down in writing, by the most ancient perfons dix. there

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

382

M. Paris. p. 85.

Quadrilogus. Hoveden. Gervale, fub. ann. 1164.

V. Epift. Cotton. in Appendix.

Append.

A. D. 1164 there, it was likewife defired that the whole affembly should take an oath to observe them. This met with no difficulty on the part of the laity; but Becket objected to it, as very different from the general promife he had given. The king and the temporal lords expressed great anger at this unexpected opposition; which indeed might well offend them; fince it amounted to a confession, that he had meant to impose on his fovereign, and fraudulently evade the obedience he had promifed. But the bishops concurred with him, not daring to abandon their primate, in a contest against laws, which they were affured the fee of Rome would join with him in condemning, as repugnant to the rights and liberty of the church, and to the fidelity they owed to their lord, the pope (as the bilhop of London expref-126. e Cod. fed himfelf in a letter he afterwards wrote upon this fubject to Becket.) For three days fucceffively the temporal barons and they debated this point; but about the end of the third day, while they were fitting and conferring privately in a feparate room, the whole body of the nobility, incenfed at the obstinacy, with which they continued to oppose the v. Epift. in king's demand, came fuddenly to them, in a tumultuous and violent manner, and extending their arms in a threatening attitude, accofted them with these words, " Take notice, you who contemn the laws " of the realm, who refuse to obey the orders " of your fovereign : theie hands, these arms, " which you behold, are not ours: they are the 66 king's; our whole bodies are his, and at this 66 inftant most ready to be employed in his fervice, 66 or to revenge any injury done him, in fuch man-66 ner, as shall be most conformable to his will; 66 and at his leaft nod. Whatever command he " fhall be pleafed to lay upon us, we shall think it 66 most just, and obey it most willingly, without " examining any further. Be better advised; in-

" cline

" cline your minds to what is required of you; A.D. 1164. "that, while it is in your power, you may escape " from a danger which will very foon be inevitable." This was a language and behaviour most hurtful to the king, and very unbecoming the nobility of England affembled in parliament. It violated the freedom effential to the nature of fuch an affembly, and greatly impeached the legality of all their proceedings. But there was still in our parliaments a remainder of barbarifm and ferocity, not unlike what is now feen in fome Polifh diets. And the impatient fpirit of the nobility was more than ufually heated on this occafion, by the interest they had in the confirmation of laws to neceffary to the general weal of the kingdom, and by their indignation at the confederacy, which now became apparent, between the pope and the English prelacy, under the conduct of Becket, to fubject the temporal power to the ecclefiaftical. Having long endeavoured, without fuccefs, to reafon the bifhops into a better temper of mind, they now began to treat them, rather as enemies to their country than members of a free legislature, whole determinations ought always to be exempt from the least shadow of V. Epiff. violence or compulsion. Yet, in despite of their pend. menaces, the prelates remained firm, believing, perhaps, that their fury, to whatever height it might rife, would be reftrained from any outrage by the prudence of the king. Becket alone, after the tem-Quadrilo-poral lords were departed, withdrew from his bre-Gervafe. thren, and went to confult with the prior of the Temple in London, and another knight templar, his particular friend, who both exhorting him to fubmit to the orders of the king, he returned to the bifhops, V. Epitt. and fpoke, in the hearing of them all, these very pend. remarkable words : " It is my master's pleasure that I " should forswear myself, and at present I submit to " it, and do resolve to incur a perjury, and repent " afterwards.

384

A. D. 1164 " afterwards, as I may." The bifhops heard him with aftonifhment, and were not a little fcandalized at what he had faid. Yet they went with him to the king and the other barons in parliament, to whom he declared his affent to the conftitutions proposed, and See the pre- promised in the word of truth, that be would observe conditutions them in good faith, and without deceit; which was

amble to ti e pend.

e Cod. Cot. ton. in Aprend. &c.

Epif. 12. 1. i. Gervale. Hoveden. Quadrilogus.

See the pre-Appendix.

in the Ap- the ufual form of all promiffory oaths at that time. Having thus bound himfelf, he injoined the other Epift. 126. bifhops by the canonical obedience they owed him to take the fame engagement; which they all did in the fame words. They then figned the articles, and fet their feals to them; but this Becket declined: a e Cod. Vatic. referve which does him no honour! for, after a folemn promife, that he would observe those constitutions, it was inconfiftent and trifling to fcruple the figning or fealing of them. The omitting of this form did not at all mend his cafe, or take off from the incongruity of his fubfequent conduct: for, beamble in the fides his verbal engagement, the confent he had given to the articles is expresly declared in the preamble to the act itfelf; which was, undoubtedly, as ftrong a teftimony against him, as his fubscription or fignature; and all authors agree, that he received one counterpart, or authentic copy of it, into his cuftody; another being delivered to the archbishop of York, and a third retained by the king himfelf, to be enrolled among the royal charters. If therefore there is any weight in this circumftance, it can only fhew that he was looking for fubterfuges, where none could be found, a little to palliate the guilt of that perjury, which (as he had told the bifhops) he was deliberately refolved to incur.

> It was not, I prelume, from his having lefs obflinacy or courage than his brethren, that he was the first to forsake a cause, of which he had been the warmeft champion; but from his being perfuaded that his danger was greater, and that he fhould be

be fingled out from all the others, to bear the whole A. D. 1164 weight of his fovereign's indignation, which he faw the nobility difpoted to aggravate. And this apprehenfion was well founded. For, belides that it is ufual, when any great bodies of men have offended againft a ftate, to punifh the head, rather than the members, Henry muft have defired, both from paffion and policy, to fet a particular mark of his royal difpleature, in the iffue of this bufine s, upon one who had fo treacheroufly deceived his affection, and whom naturally he muft hate, in proportion as he had loved him, above all others. Nor did that prelate intend to give up the conteft in reality, but only to temporite, and avoid the inftant danger.

In my relation of this transaction there are some particulars of great importance, which differ from all the accounts that have been hitherto given by other writers: but they are founded upon the most unqueftionable authority, upon a letter written by Gilbert Foliot, then bifhop of London, to Becket himfelf, during his exile, concerning this matter. 1 have before made fome use of other paffages in this letter, which, among many other epiftles to and from the archbishop, has been preferved in a manufcript, which appears to be of that age, in the most valuable collection of our English antiquities, the Cotton library; from whence it is transcribed into the Appendix to this volume. A very ftrong prefumptive proof of the truth of the facts attefted there, relating to Becket's behaviour, and that of the other bishops in the council of Clarendon, is their remaining uncontradicted by the primate himfelf, who, if he had not been filenced by the teftimony of his own confeience, must have loudly complained of fuch a milreprefentation, capable of being difproved by all his brethren there prefent. to whom he might have appealed again a the calumny VOL. U. Cc invented

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

A. D. 1164 invented by Foliot. But he never answered this let-Cod. Vat.l.i. ter. It must also be observed, that Baronius, who, Epift. 108. in writing of these times, has transcribed several Thomas Cantuar, ec- letters out of the Vatican manufcript of the fame defia humi- collection, and particularly that to which this aplis minifter Gib, epite, pears to be an answer, has omitted to transcribe or Lond. Quod mention this: and (what is no lefs remarkable) in femel, hoc iterum: Sic the printed edition made at Bruffels, from the Vatitransfire per can manuscript, this is also left out. By which supralia, ut non pression of evidence, upon a point so important to the character of one of their greatest faints, we amittat æterna. may judge of the credit due to the clergy of that church in ecclefiaftical hiftory.

> Sixteen articles of this charter, or code of laws, which is called *the conflicutions of Clarendon*, related particularly to ecclehaftical matters, whereof the ten following were the most contradictory to the pretensions of the clergy and see of Rome.

> 1. If any difpute shall arife concerning the advowfon and prefentation of churches, between laymen, or between ecclesiafticks and laymen, or between ecclesiafticks, let it be tried and determined in the court of our lord the king.

> 2. Ecclefiafticks arraigned and accufed of any matter, being fummoned by the king's jufficiary, fhall come into his court, to anfwer there, concerning that which it fhall appear to the King's court is cognizable there; and fhall anfwer in the ecclefiaftical court, concerning that which it fhall appear is cognizable there; fo that the king's jufficiary fhall fend to the court of holy church, to fee in what manner the caufe fhall be tried there: and if an ecclefiaftick fhall be convicted,

### Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

victed, or confess his crime, the church ought not A. D. 1164. any longer to give him protection.

287

3. It is unlawful for archbishops, bishops, and any dignified clergymen of the realm, to go out of the realm without the king's licence; and if they shall go, they shall, if it so please the king, give security, that they will not, either in going, staying, or returning, procure any evil, or damage, to the king, or the kingdom.

4. Perfons excommunicated ought not to give any fecurity by way of deposit, nor take any oath, but only find fecurity and pledge to fland to the judgment of the church, in order to abtolution.

. 5. No tenant in chief of the king, nor any of the officers of his houfhold, or of his demetne, fhall be excommunicated, nor fhall the lands of any of them be put under an interdict, unlefs application fhall firft have been made to our lord the king, if he be in the kingdom, or if he be out of the kingdom, to his jufficiary, that he may do right concerning fuch perfon; and in fuch manner, as that what fhall belong to the king's court fhall be there determined, and what fhall belong to the eccleuaflical court fhall be fent thither, that it may there be determined.

6. Concerning appeals, if any fhall arife, they ought to proceed from the archdeacon to the bithop, and from the bifhop to the archbifhop. And, if the archbifhop fhall fail in doing juffice, the caule fhall at laft be brought to our lord the king, that by his precept the difpute may be determined in the archbifhop's court; fo that it ought not to proceed C c 2 any 388 HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III, A. D. 1164 any further without the confent of our lord the king.

> 7. If there shall arife any dispute between an ecclefiaftick and a layman, or between a layman and an ecclefialtick, about any tenement, which the ecclefiaftick pretends to be held in frank almoigne, and the layman pretends to be a lay fee, it shall be determined before the king's chief justice by the trial of twelve lawful men, whether the tenement belongs to frank almoigne, or is a lay fee; and if it be found to be frank almoigne, then it shall be pleaded in the eccleliastical court; but if a lay fee, then in the king's court; unlefs both parties shall claim to hold of the fame bishop or baron : but if both shall claim to hold the faid fee under the fame bifhop, or baron, the plea shall be in his court : provided that by reafon of fuch trial the party who was first feized shall not lofe his feizin, till it shall have been finally determined by the plea.

> 8. Whofoever is of any city, or caftle, or borough, or demefne manor, of our lord the king, if he fhall be cited by the archdeacon or bifhop for any offence, and fhall refuse to answer to fuch citation, it is allowable to put him under an interdict; but he ought not to be excommunicated, before the king's chief officer of the town be applied to, that he may by due courfe of law compell him to answer accordingly; and if the king's officer shall fail therein, such officer shall be at the mercy of our lord the king; and then the bishop may compell the person accused by ecclesiaftical juffice.

> 9. Pleas of debt, whether they be due by faith folemnly pledged, or without faith fo pledged, belong to the king's judicature.

10. When

10. When an archbishoprick, or bishoprick, or A.D.1164abbey, or priory, of royal foundation, shall be vacant, it ought to be in the hands of our lord the king, and he shall receive all the rents and iffues thereof, as of his demesse; and when that church is to be supplied, our lord the king ought to fend for the principal clergy of that church, and the election ought to be made in the king's chapel, with the affent of our lord the king, and the advice of fuch of the prelates of the kingdom as he shall call for that purpose; and the perfon elect shall there do homage and fealty to our lord the king, as his liege lord, of life, limb, and worldly honour (faving his order) before he be confecrated.

I shall have occasion, in another part of this book, to mention the contents of the fix other articles. Some conflictutions were likewife added, not relative to the church, which will hereafter be confidered among the laws of this king: and at the end of the act there was a general clause, to fave and confirm to the church, the king, and the barons, all other their rights and dignities not therein contained.

It is very remarkable that the bifhop of Winchefter did not endèavour to gain the favour of the pope, and once more put himfeif at the head of an ecclefiaftical faction in England, by making a firm oppofition to thefe proceedings. He could not want inclination to take this part, digraced as he was and diffatisfied with Henry; but he faw that the temper of the nation was changed, and would not fupport him now againft the civil power, as it had done in the heat of their quarrel with his brother. Anger in fubjects acts as violently as ambition in kings: and thus, when a prince, by ruling ill, forfakes his C c 3 true

10-25-

390

A. D. 1164 true intereft, it often happens that his people are drawn to depart no lefs from their's, and blindly give themfelves up to the conduct and direction of any one man, or fett of men, who will gratify their refentments, by opposing the court, however improper in itfelf, or however criminal in its motives, that opposition may be. To fuch a rage of discontent it was undoubtedly owing, that fo great a part of the laity, in Stephen's reign, had joined with the clergy under the bishop of Winchester, in some of their attempts against the ancient rights of the crown, without reflecting how materially they themfelves were concerned in the maintenance of those But the good fenfe of that prelate enabled rights. him to judge, that, while the general welfare of the ftate was the fole object of government in all its measures, the pretensions of a factious clergy would not be espoused as the cause of the publick. And he had reason to fear, that, if he began to be turbulent, Henry might be provoked to revenge his mother's quarrel, together with his own, by purfuing him to deftruction. He therefore fubmitted, as well as the other bifhops, to what the prefent difpofition of the nation required; not having the obftinate ftiffnefs of a bigot, but a supple and flexible mind, which could, without difficulty, accommodate itfelf, in all political measures, to the spirit and bent of the times.

V. Epiff. 4. It appears by a letter from Alexander to Becket, L. L. dated the third of the Calends of March in the year with S. Thowith S. Thomæ prefx. the breaking up of the council of Clarendon, Becket epift. c. 24. had joined with the archbishop of York, in writing p. 44. to that pontiff, to fupport a request which Henry

made, by Geoffry Ridel, archdeacon of Canterbury, and John of Oxford, that his Holine/s would confirm the ancient cuftoms and dignities of his realm, by the authority of the apostolick fee, to him and his fucceffors. fors. But the pope fays, in the fame letter, that he A. D. 1164. had refused his assent. And one cannot wonder that he did : for fuch a requeft was, in reality, defiring the affiftance of the papal power against itfelf. Indeed a bull had been granted by Pope Calixtus the Second to King Henry the First, which confirmed all the laws and cuftoms of his realm : nor is it improbable that Henry the Second relied on that precedent in making this application; Alexander being now, as Calixtus was then, driven from Rome by a schism : but many circumstances made a difference, both in the times and the queftion. The papal authority had not gained fuch a footing in England under King Henry the First, as under his fuccessor ; and therefore lefs was given up by the grant of Calixtus, than would have been facrificed by Alexander, if he had fent one of the fame purport to Henry the Second. Nor had Henry the Firft, when he obtained that concession, engaged himself to far in favour of Calixtus as his grandfon had now done in favour of Alexander; and with the court of Rome, as other courts, no gratitude for paft fervices has fo much weight as prefent utility. Every act, by which the laft of these princes had supported and firengthened the party of Alexander, especially in having fixed the king of France to his fide, had made him more independent, and, confequently, lefs tractable to any demands prejudicial to the interefts and views of his fee. It would, indeed, have been more beneficial to the king of England's affairs in many points, and particularly in all his difputes with the church, if he had joined at first with the emperor in acknowledging Victor, and had prevailed on Louis to concur with him in that determination : becaufe a pope of the imperial faction, fet up and fupported by the emperor, must neceffarily have acted with more regard to civil govern-CCA ment,

Bock III.

A. D. 1164 ment, than the affociate of Gratian in compiling the decretum, whole exaltation was owing to his known zeal for the papacy, and for the whole fyftem of ecclefiaftical power. We may judge of what might have Saxo Gram- been expected from Victor, by the promife which he m.t.fubann. made to the bishops of Germany, in one of the 1163. councils held there, to give up that great prerogative of the papal fupremacy, the receiving of appeals to his fee. It was therefore a confiderable error in Henry to favour the adversary of this pontiff, and render himfelf the patron and chief fupport of that faction, which in its temper and principles was most repugnant to the purpose he had in view. By what means he was drawn into fo unhappy a miftake has before been fhewn. But, as things were now circumitanced, it was hardly to be hoped, that he fhould obtain more of Alexander, than a filent acquiescence in the confirmations of his customs by a parliamentary fanction : and it is furprifing he fhould V. Epin 4 alk for any thing further; because (as we are informed by the above-cited letter from Alexander to Becket) he had applied to the former, before the affembly at Clarendon, by the bifhop of Lifieux, and the archdeacon of Poitiers, for a mandate to be fent to all the English bishops, wherein the pope thould require them to obferve the ancient cuftoms and dignities of the realm; which his holinefs had refused to grant him, without fuch modifications and temperaments, as would have defeated the purpole for which it was defired. But it feems that the diffimulation and falfeness of Becket deceived the king in this matter. For, at the very time when, conjointly with the archbishop of York, he applied to Alexander to confirm the conflitutions of Clarendon, he had fufpended himfelf from celebrating mais, in testimony of his penitence for the crime he had committed by confenting to those laws: and there

there is extant a letter from that pontiff to him, da-A.D. 1164. ted on the Calends of April, which enjoins him to V.Epit. 26. return to the fervice of the altar, left his abfence from it fhould occafion a publick fcandal; and abfolves him from his fin, out of regard to the necellity he was fuppofed to be under, and to his intention in giving that unwilling confent. His having acted this part was a fecret to Henry: but it is probable that the pope, by his agents in England, had early notice of it; and confequently he would pay but little regard to any thing done or faid by Becket merely with an intention to impofe on the king.

Another requeft had been made to Alexander by V. Epift. 4. Henry, and preffed with great eagerness, which was, that a committion appointing him legate over the whole kingdom of England fhould be granted by his Holinefs to the archbishop of York, and fent to Henry, to be delivered by him to that prelate, whenever he should think proper. This was agreed to, but under fuch V. Epift. 5. a reftriction as rendered it ineffectual : for, before it could be obtained, a promife was made by the king's ministers in his name, that he would not deliver the committion without the knowledge and confent of Becket. It is furprifing that they fhould not have V. Epift. 4 ut fupra. difcerned the inutility of this pretended favour. Nor is it eafy to account for the conduct of the pope, who, in notifying it to Becket, took no notice to him of the limitation under which it was granted. But not long afterwards, when he found that a great V. Epift. s. ut fupra. alarm had thereby been given to that prelate, who apprehended from it both difgrace and danger to himfelf, he informed him, by another letter, of the condition he had annexed to this illufory grant, and promifed him, if the king fhould make any use of it, to exempt his perfon, and the church and city of Canterbury, from the archbishop of York's jurifdiction.

A. D. 1164 tion. Indeed this affurance was needlefs: for Henry, finding himfelf clogged by the promife given by V. Epift. 6. his minifters, which he abfolutely difavowed, fent 3. i. back the commission, and could obtain no other fo

unlimited as to answer his purpose. These applications to the pope having entirely failed, and the king imputing his difappointments therein to Becket, all amity between them apparently ceafed, and the archbithop, being refolved not to recover his favour, by the only effectual means, V. Hittorium obedience to his laws, began to apprehend his retentment, and in order to shelter himself from the florm, which he forefaw would foon rife, determined to go immediately out of the kingdom.

The doing this without a permission from the king was a very high mifdemeanour, and particularly forbidden by the conftitutions of Clarendon: but he now thought, or profelled to think, that the difregarding of those statutes, though he had sworn to observe them, was an act of religion. Nor was it his intention, in flying out of England, to abandon the cause he had to deliberately engaged in; but he fuppofed that he fhould ferve it with more advantage abroad, in the prefent flate of affairs, than by remaining exposed to the indignation and power of Henry within his realm. Anfelm and Theobald had fet him the example of a voluntary exile on like occafions; and he hoped that by working on the bigotry and fimplicity of the French monarch, and by animating the pope to more vigorous measures, he fhould force his fovereign to give up the conftitutions of Clarendon, and then return with fecurity and in Duad ilogue, triumph to his fee. For this purpose he had fent an Gerv. Chros. agent to Louis, by whom the mind of that prince was disposed to afford him protection and affiftance. Not doubting therefore of a fafe and friendly afylum, he

Quadripartitam.

fub ann.

1162.

he went by night to the port of Rumney, with all A.K. 1164. poffible fecrecy, and attended only by two domefticks fet fail for France. But, having been twice driven back by contrary winds, he returned to Canterbury just in time to prevent the king's officers, who, upon the report of his flight, had been fent with a commission to feize his temporalities, from executing their orders. That report had given V.Epift.126. Henry no fmall difquiet, because he feared that a e Cod. Cotblemifh might have been thrown upon his character, pend. as if he had driven the archbishop from his fee, in a tyrannical manner, without a legal process. Befides this apprehenfion, to which he expressed a great fenfibility, he had another and a very ftrong reafon for his uneafinefs. He was then in fuch circumstances. that an enemy, or a rebel, especially one who was acquainted with all the fecrets of his foreign affairs, could hurt him infinitely more, abroad than in England. The news therefore of Becket's having failed in his attempt was received by him with great joy; and when that prelate came to him at his palace of Woodftock, he fo maftered his paffion as to treat him very mildly. One word only dropped from him in the course of their conversation, which discovered Quadrilogue. the real fentiments of his heart. He asked the arch- Quadripartibishop, as it were jeftingly, " whether the reason of ta, 1. i. " his having defired to go out of his territories, was, " that the same land could not contain them both." What reply Becket made to this embarafling queftion we are not told : but at his return from the palace he notified to his friends, that, although the king diffembled with him, he clearly faw, he muft either shamefully yield, or manfully combat; for he fhould prefently be put to the proof. Being perfua- v. Hin. ded of this, he chose rather to begin than wait for Quadriparhoftilities, openly oppofing the laws enacted at Clarendon, protecting churchmen who had offended againft

HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Ouadritogur. Stepha-6. 1.

deliberate purpose to exalt the ecclesiaftical above the civil power. All the nobles were alarmed, and Henry was told in plain words by fome of his counfellors, that, if be did not take care of bimfelf and notes to vita bis successors, it would come to that pass, that He whom the clergy pould elect would be king, and only fo long as it Boald pleafe be archhilpop. What England had feen under Stephen gave a force to thefe admonitions: but there was now on the throne a prince of much greater abilities, who determined to guard it against any fuch infults; and an occasion of executing that refolution, in a proper and legal manner foon offered itfelf to him.

against them, and expressing by his whole conduct a

Book III.

V. E.pia. 125. A royal mandate having been fent to Becket, re-Cod. Cot-ton, in Ap. quiring him to do justice to a great officer of the houfhold, John, the king's marefchal, concerning an eftate pend. Quawhich he claimed from the church of Canterbury; and, the limited time being paft, that nobleman now brought his complaint to the king, that justice was denied him by the archbishop. He also declared that he had gone through the neceffary forms for removing the caufe out of the court of Canterbury into the king's court. Whereupon a citation was fent to Becket from the king, by which that prelate was ordered to appear before him, upon a fixed day. But his answer to this fummons was an express declaration, that he would not obey it. Which appearing greatly to derogate from the king's right and dignity, it was thought proper to bring him before the high court of parliament, to answer for this offence, and feveral others he was charged with on the part of the crown. A great council was accordingly fummoned at Northampton, to which (favs the bifnop of London in his letter to Becket) the whole people came, as one man. Those of the affembly, who by their rank and dignity were intitled to

T. Daiff P. 2dice.

in lleg.

Ibidem.

195 A. D. 1.54.

to fit in the prefence of the king, having taken their A. D. 1164 feats, Henry complained to them in very moderate and decent terms of the contempt of his mandate fhewn by the archbishop of Canterbury; who, be-Ibiden. ing called upon to anfwer, confelled the fact, only alledging in excuse of it, that the mareschal had failed in point of form, becaufe he had taken the oath required of him to authorile the appeal, not upon the gofpel, as he ought to have done, but upon the pfalter, or a book of hymns then used in churches. This plea was judged infufficient ; the court condemned the archbilhop, as guilty of contumacy against v. Stephathe king's majefty; becaufe, having been cited by s. T. the king, he neither came, nor alledged by meffage any infirmity of body, or necessary function of his spiritual office, which could not be delayed ; and therefore, they decreed his goods and chattels to be all at the mercy of the king. The bifhops unani- v. Herebert, moufly concurred in this fentence with the temporal in vita Becbarons; and it being underftood that a fine of five ket prains, et hundred pounds (equivalent in those days to feven in H.H. thousand five hundred in these) would be accepted Quariparby Henry, Becket fubmitted to pay that fum, and Chion. col-found fureties. We are told by one author, that this Hovel. Ana. fentence was pronounced by the bifhop of Winchef- fub. ann ter, at the command of the king: but I think the Steptan in fact very doubtful. Nor do I give much credit to vita S.T. what the fame hiftorian relates of the refufal of Foliot bifhop of London o concur with all his brethren. in being fureties for Vecket : as I do not find him reproached with it is any of the letters written afterwards by that prelate, or any of his friends, on this fubject. Such a lingularity would have certainly deferved animadvertion, and they were much inclined to cenfure him wherever they could.

The

398 A. D. 1164.

Vit. S. T. fix. enil. p. 47. c. 26. jub. ann. 1164.

The next day, the king demanded of the archbishop five hundred pounds, which he faid he had lent Cantu. pre- him, when that prelate was his chancellor. Becket affirmed that it was given, not lent: but, as he Gerv. Chron. could not prove the grant, the court condemned him to pay the money back; and he fubmitted to the fentence; five of his valials offering themselves to be his fureties, as they faw the bishops unwilling to pledge themfelves for him any further. But on the third day a higher charge was brought against him; it being alv. Enift. 6. ledged that having had, while he was chancellor. & 33. Lii. the rents of feveral vacant abbies and bifhopricks, e Cod Vatic with other cafual profits belonging to the crown, the rents of feveral vacant abbies and bifhopricks. driparitia, & many years in his hands, he never had given any Gerv. Chron. account of them, which now the king required him to do. He faid, that not having been cited concerning this matter, he came not prepared to make a prefent answer to it; but in due time and place he would not fail to do the king right. It would have been unjust to deny him fo necessary a delay; nor did Henry object to it, or prefs him to come to an immediate account, but only demanded fureties: whereupon he defired leave to confult with the bishops; and the king permitted him to go with them into a feparate room. The difficulty, upon which he requefted their advice, was indeed very perplexing. His expences, while he was chancellor, had been enormous, and much beyond what the income of his employments or benefices, great as they were, could fupply. The chief fupport of that magnificence was the king's money in his cuftody, of which, during the time that he continued a favourite, his indulgent mafter had neglected to alk an account, and he had never given any. But that omiffion, which favour had connived at, anger would not overlock, and juffice could not, when it was made a legal charge. Senfible of this he refolved

OF KING HENRY II. Book III. 399 ved in his own mind to fubmit to no examination, A. D. 1104. and not to attempt to find fecurity for what he could not perform ; but wished much to be supported by the authority of his brethren in refifting the demand. The bifhop of Winchefter, who inclined to ferve V. Hift. him, reminded the other prelates, that on his elec- tam, c. 27, tion to the fee of Canterbury he was given to the church free and discharged from all the bonds of the court : as had been declared in their hearing by the king's jufficiary. And it is faid in a letter from the bishop of London on this fubject, that many thought V. Rpift. 120: bis promotion a sufficient discharge from all the obliga- ton. in Aptions be had contracted in the court. But that prelate pend. himfelf was of another opinion, and therefore advifed him to refign his archbishoprick into the hands of V.Hift.Qua-aripartitan. the king, as the only means that could be found to draw him out of this difficulty, by appealing the refentment of that monarch against him. The bifhops of Chichefter, Lincoln, and Exeter expressed their affent to this council; but the bishop of Winchefter faid it would be a precedent of dangerous confequence to them all, and of great prejudice to the liberty of the church. The bishop of Worcefter fpoke doubtfully; and a long filence enfuing, Becket role up, and defired to fpeak with the earls of Leicefter and Cornwall, who were then with the king. Thefe lords being called to him, he told them that the perfons to whom his caufe was beft known not being then prefent there, he prayed a respite till the next day, at which time he would make his anfwer as God (bould in spire bim. Which being explained to the king by the bishops of London and Ro- Ibid. c. 27. chefter, as purporting that he would then deliver in his accounts, that prince fent back the two earls above-mentioned, to fignify his affent to the delay requefted by him, if he would perform, on his part, what the two prelates, his fuffragans, had promifed in his name. But he denied that he had authorifed them

A.D. 1164. them to carry fuch a meffage, and repeated again his former words. Nevertheless the king permitted him to depart, and, the next day being Sunday, adjourned the council till Monday, that no precipitation or hardfhip might be juftly complained of in the proceedings against him. When he came home, he found himfelf entirely forfaken by the great train of knights and gentlemen which had attended him to the parliament : whereupon he ordered his fervants to pick up all the beggars about the hedges and villages in the neighbourhood of Norththampton, and invite them to his table ; affecting to imitate the parabolical feaft of the golpel. His command was obeyed, and he dined in that company, faying, that with fuch an army be should more eafily obtain the victory, than with those who had Bamefully fled from bim in the bour of danger. Yet his mind was fo agitated, that the diffurbance of it

Thid. c. 28.

Ibid. c. 29.

brought upon him a violent fit of the cholick, to which diftemper he was fubject. It feized him on Sunday night, and difabled him from attending the council the next day. All the affembly believed that his illnefs was a feigned one; but, to know the truth, they deputed fome of the greater nobility, to visit and cite him to the court. He pleaded his ficknefs, which they evidently faw to be real, and affured them that he would not fail, with the afliftance of God, to appear before them the next day, though he fhould be obliged to be carried in a litter. Early in the morning he was visited by many of the bishops, who endeavoured to perfuade him, that, for the peace of the church, and his own fafety, he fhould fubmit himfelf entirely to the king's pleafure; becaule, if he did not, he would be charged in the court of parliament with perjury and treafon, as having failed in the allegiance he owed to the king, by refufing to obey the royal cuftoms, to the observation of which he had particularly bound himfelf, with a new eath, fo lately. He

He replied, that he confessed himself inexcusable A. D. 1164. before God, for having taken an oath againft God : fub and. but that, as it is better to repent than perifh, he 1164. did not admit a law repugnant to the divine law. David, he told them, had fworn rashly, but repented : Herod kept his oath, and perished. Wherefore he injoined them to reject what he rejected, and annul those obligations which would deftroy the holy church. " It is (added he) a deteftable proceeding, that you have not only forfaken me in this dispute, but now for two " •• days have fat in judgement with the barons up-66 on your fpiritual father. And from what you V. Hift. fay I conjecture that you are ready to judge me, c. 29. •• 56 not only in a civil, but alfo in a criminal caufe. 66 But I forbid you all, for the future, in virtue 66 of the obedience you owe me, and at the peril " of your order, to be prefent at any further pro-" ceedings againft me: which the better to pre-66 vent, I appeal to the refuge of all who are op-66 preffed, our mother, the church of Rome. And 66 if, as it is rumoured, the fecular power shall 66 prefume to lay hands upon me, I command you, 66 in behalf of your father and metropolitan, to " thunder out the proper ecclefiaftical cenfures. .. But of this be affured, that let the world rage against me ever fo furiously, even though my " " body be burnt, I will not fhamefully yield, nor 66 wickedly forfake the flock committed to my " care."

The bithops having left him after this declaration, V. Hittoria he went and faid mais at an altar dedicated to St. Quadripart. Stephen, ordering it to begin, as on the feftival of Hoveden. that martyr, with thefe words of the feripture, *Princes fat and fpoke against me*: he also caused this verse of the Second Plalm, The rulers take counsel together against the Lord, and against his anointed, to be fung as part of the fervice. Having thus prepared himfelf (as one of his advocates tells us) Vol. II. D d for

Book III.

A. D. 1164 for martvrdom; or (as it was, doubtlefs, underv Heriber, flood by the king and the peers) having thus litas in Qua- belled them and their proceedings, he fecretly cardrilag Edw. ried with him a confectated hoft, and went to the parliament. When he came to the door, he took Gretham College. the crofs out of the hands of the perfon who bore it Gervaie. before him, and holding it up entered alone into Hoveden. in vita S T. the chamber, where the king and the barons affembled expected his coming. The bifhops rofe up to meet him, and were greatly aftonished, when they faw him appear in fo extraordinary a manner before his fovereign and his judges. The bilhop of Hereford offered to carry the crofs, as his chaplain, but he refused to deliver it, faying, it was proper he fhould bear it himfelf, as he wanted it to protect him; and that, when this enfign appeared, it would be evident under what prince he fought. The bishops of London and Hereford ftrove in vain to wreft it out of his hands : which the archbishop of York observing feverely reproved him, for prefuming to come into the court of his fovereign thus armed with his crofs, as if to bid him defiance : and he was told, both by that prelate and the bithop of London, that he would find the king's weapon of greater force than his : to which he replied, that the king's weapon indeed could kill the body, but his could deftroy the foul and fend it to hell. He then notified to them all his appeal to the pope, and prohibited them from allifting in any other judgement concerning him or his caufe.

V. Hift. Quadrip. c. 30, 31.

The king, being informed of the manner of his coming, had inftantly retired into an inner room of the caftle, from whence he fent out a herald to command all the other fpiritual and temporal lords, who were affembled in the hall, to attend upon him there. When this order was obeyed, he complained to them that Becket, by entering his court in that unheard of manner, had fixed a ftain upon him and all all the peerage of England as if fome treachery A. D. 1164. had been intended against him, which made it neceffary for him to have recourse to the facred protection of the crofs. The answer made to him v. Hin: was, that the archbishop had been always avain and Quadrip. c. arrogant man : That this action was an affront, not only to his fovereign, but to all the peers, and the whole kingdom affembled in parliament : That the king had drawn it on himfelf, by raifing one of fuch a character above all his other fubjects. and placing him next to the throne : That for his ingratitude and perfidy to fo good a mafter, and for the manifest violation of his oath of fealty, in this offence against the honour and reputation of his fovereign, he ought to be impeached of perjury and high treafon. But although this appeared to v. Hin. be the unanimous ferife of the whole affembly, Quadrip. c. who confirmed the advice with loud clamours, yet v. Epie. the king was fo moderate, that he would not allow 126 e Cod. them to proceed against the archbithop on this ac-Append. count, but only required that justice should be done him with regard to the debt which he had claimed from that prelate, and fent fome lords to dema d of him, whether he would give pledges to ftand to the judgement of the court on that article, or was prepared to do the king right according to his promife. His answer amounted to a peremptory refufal, which, together with the declaration he had made to the bishops of his appeal to the pope, appeared to the king and to all the temporal barors such an act of deliberate and contumacious ditob dience, that it was refolved to attaint him. as guilty of high treafon. But the bifhops found them. lves under very great difficulties how to act on this occafion. The conftitutions of Clarendon, which they had fworn to obferve, i joined them to be prefent with the other peers at the trials of the . king's court, till the judgement proceeded to lofs of members or death. They knew that no fen-Dd2 tence

404"

A. D. 1164. tence of that nature would be paft against the archbithop ; and the king called upon them, with the ftrong authority of a law fo lately confirmed, to remember the oath they had taken, and perform their duty to him, by concurring in this judgement with the temporal barons. On the other hand they were afraid of the fpiritual cenfures, which they might draw upon themfelves, by difregarding the prohibition, and the appeal to the pope, notified to them by Becket. After fome confulta-". Hift. Quadrip c. tion, they agreed to implore the permillion of the 22. king to appeal to the fee of Rome against that prelate, on account of his perjury ; folemnly promifing, that they would use their utmost endeavours to prevail on Alexander to depose him from his archbifhoprick, if the king would excufe them from joining with the temporal lords in the fentence, they were going to pass against him. To this Henry gave way, with more complaifance than difcretion. Whereupon they went to Becket; and the bishop of Chichefter, who was the beft speaker among them, accosted him with these words, " Some time you was our archbishop, " and we were bound to obey you : but becaufe 66 you have fworn fealty to our fovereign lord, the " king ; that is, to preferve to the utmost of your " power his life, limbs, and royal dignity, and to 6: keep his laws, which he requires to be main-" tained ; and neverthelefs do now endeavour to " deftroy them, particularly those which in a spe-" cial manner concern his dignity and honour ; " we therefore declare you guilty of perjury, " and owe, for the future, no obedience to a per-" jured archbishop. Wherefore putting ourfelves and all that belongs to us under the protection " of the pope, we cite you to his prefence, there " to answer to these accusations." He then named a day for the archbishop's appearance before the pontif. I hear what you fay, replyed Becket.

405

ket, and vouchfafed no other answer. Where-A. D. 1164. upon the bifhops, withdrawing themfelves from him, to the opposite fide of the hall, fat apart, in deep lilence, for a confiderable time. The king, in the mean while, had demanded justice again ft him from the temporal peers, and had called in certain theriffs, and some barons of inferior dignity, v. Stephen. to affift in the judgement. They unanimoufly in vita S. T. found him guilty of perjury and treason. After v Hit. which the earls and barons, with a great crowd of Quadrip. c other perfons attending the parliament, went to the 33. archbishop; and the earl of Leicester, as grand justiciary, faid to him these words, "The king " commands you to come before him, and give an " account of the money you are charged with, " according to the promife you made to him yef-" terday. Otherwife hear your fentence." " My " fentence !" interrupted Becket, rifing up from his feat, " nay, fon earl, hear you first. You are " not ignorant how ferviceable and how faithful, 66 according to the ftate of this world, I have been " to the king. In respect whereof it has pleased " him to promote me to the archbithoprick of " Canterbury, God knows, against my own will. " For I was not unconficious of my weakness; and " rather for the love of him than of God I acqui-" efced therein : which is this day fufficiently ap-" parent; fince God withdraws both himfelf and " the king from me. But in the time of my pro-16 motion, when the election was made, prince " Henry, the king's fon, to whom that charge " was committed, being prefent, it was demand-" ed in what manner they would give me to the " church of Canterbury ? And the answer was, " free and discharged from all the bonds of the court. " Being therefore free and difcharged, I am not " bound to answer, nor will I, concerning those " things, from which I am fo difengaged." " Hereupon the earl faid, " This is very different Dd3 from

Book III.

406

c. 34.

from what the bifhop of London reported to the A. D. 1164.66 king." To which the archbishop replied, " At-.. " tend, my fon, to what I fay. By how much the foul is of more worth than the body, fo 66 much are you bound to obey God and me rather \$6 " than an earthly king: nor does law or reafon allow, that children should judge or condemn 66 " their father : wherefore I difclaim the judgement of the king, of you, and of all the other 66 " peers of the realm, being only to be judged, under " God, by our lord the pope; to whom, before you " all. I here appeal, committing the church of " Canterbury, my order, and dignity, with all " thereunto appertaining, to God's protection and 66 to his. In like manner do I cite you, my bre-" thren and fellow-bishops, because you obey man " rather than God, to the audience and judge-" ment of the fovereign pontif; and fo relying " on the authority of the catholick church, Gerv. Chro." and the apoftolical fee, I depart hence." He Hiftoria was then going out; upon which a general cry Quadrip. was raifed in the hall; and as he paffed along, many called him a perjured traitor. Stung with thefe words he turned his head, and looking back upon them with a ftern countenance, faid, as loudly as he could, that if his holy orders did not forbid it, he would by arms defend himfelf against the charge of treason and perjury : nor could he refrain from revenging himfelf upon two of the most clamorous, by very foul language; upbraiding one of them, who was an officer belonging to the houfehold, with one of his relations having been hanged; and calling Earl Hamelin, the king's natural brother, baftard and catamite. When he came to the outward gate he found it locked; but the porter, at that inftant, happening to be out of the way, one of his attendants perceived the keys hung on the wall near the gate, and feifing upon them let him out. As foon as

as he appeared in the ftreet, a great number of beg-  $\frac{A}{V}$ . Hift. gars, together with the mob of the town, and Quadrip, fome of the inferior ecclesiafticks, crowded about c. 34. him, congratulating him upon his delivery, and attending him, with joyful acclamations, to the convent where he lodged. This he affected to call a glorious procession, and invited them all to partake of his repart. Whereupon the whole monaftery and the courts belonging to it were filled with this rabble, whom the archbishop very courteoufly entertained as his guefts. As foon as Henry was informed of his having withdrawn himfelf fo abruptly from the judgement of his peers, and with fuch a provoking infolence of words and behaviour, he appreheaded that the barons might be incited by the excels of their indignation against him to fome act of illegal violence; and therefore V.Epin. 126. most prudently ordered proclamation to be made, e Cod. Cotthat he forbad all perfons, on pain of death, to Gerv. Chro. do the archbishop, or his people, any harm. Prefently afterwards he received a mellage from that y, Hit. prelate, by the bilhops of Hereford, Worcefter, Quadrip. and Rochefter, requefting his licence to go out of the kingdom. On what pretence, or fuggestion, this petition was supported we are not told: but probably it was, that he might profecute the appeal he had made to the pope. The king answered, that he would advife with his council upon it. the next day. We are told by one, who was then v. Heriberattending upon Becket, that before he fent this tumin Quameffage, upon hearing the words of the gospel, dillog. " When they perfecute you in one city, fly to another." read to him at dinner, he evidently shewed by his countenance, that he refolved in his mind to obey that precept. But, if we may believe John v. Johan. of Salifbury, he conceived this defign from an a-in Quadril. larm which he received from two of the nobility, who came to him in the evening, and, with many tears and oaths, revealed to him a confpiracy a-Dd4 gainft

. 407

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE

408

Book III.

A. D. 1164. gainft his life, which fome perfons of great quality, but of infamous characters, had formed and bound themselves, by mutual oaths, to carry into effect, Whether any notice of fuch a plot had been given to the king, and was the occasion of his ordering the abovementioned proclamation, is uncertain; and indeed it looks like a ftory invented afterwards to justify the archbishop's flight : but, when that proclamation had been made, there was no reafon to apprehend any danger of this kind. It is therefore most probable, that if fuch an intelligence was really given to Becket, he regarded it no further than to make it an excufe for leaving the kingdom, which ftronger reafons might incline him to, and which he undoubtedly had been long defirous to execute. He now was fenfible that he had no time to lofe; and determined to attempt it that very night. The better to conceal his intention, V. Alanum in Quadril. or to encourage the notion of his apprehending fome outrage, he ordered a bed to be made for him in the church, between two altars, as if he V. Heribert meant to take fanctuary there; and rifing at midin Quadril. night went out, by a back-door of the convent, with only two attendants, a monk of the Ciftercian order, and another, named Herbert de Boseham, who has written an account of his life, from which I shall take the particulars of his flight. This author indeed does not tell us, nor do I find in Ibidem, 1. ii. any other, how they got out of Northampton, 6. 2. which was then a walled town ; but from his relation it appears, that inftead of directing their courfe towards any of the ports, from whence the archbishop might readily pass over to the coast of France or Flanders, they rode northwards to Lincoln, in order to elude any purfuit, that might be made when his efcape out of Northampton should be known. From thence he went by water to a hermitage in the fens, near forty miles from that city, where, being fecured from difcovery by the

the folitude of the place, he refted three days, and A. D. 1264. then turned to the fouth-east, travelling on foot, and by night, in the habit of a monk, but repofing all day in different monasteries, till he came to Effrey in Kent, a manor belonging to the priory of Canterbury, and not far from that city. There he remained eight days, unknown to all but one prieft, who kept him concealed in his chamber, while Herbert de Boseham and two other ecclesiaflicks of his train were employed at Sandwich to procure a fmall fifherboat for him, which he embarked in, with them, a little before the dawn of the fifteenth day from the laft of his attendance at Northampton, being the tenth of November, in the year eleven hundred and fixty four. About the close of the evening he landed, not far from Gravelines: but, before I proceed to tell the confequences of his efcape out of England, I shall make a few observations on the transactions relating to him in the parliament of Northampton.

It cannot, I think, be denied, that, whatever matters he was charged with, in that affembly, the offence which drew upon him the difpleafure of the king, and without which he probably would have been acculed of no other, was his renewed opposition to the conftitutions of Clarendon. Upon this a most fevere inquisition was made into the rest of his conduct : complaints against him were fought for ; and it may feem that in the courfe of thefe profecutions national justice was fomewhat fharpened by royal refentment. Yet that every thing was done according to law we have great reafon to prefume from the manner of proceeding. For he was not condemned by delegates appointed by the king, and particularly under his influence, but in the high court of parliament, by all the barons and bishops of England. The bishops at least must have been careful not to concur in any judgement against their primate, which was not agreeable to the

A. D. 1164 the methods and forms of law then eftablished, and to the nature and quality of the offence; becaufe, befides their own confciences and the reproach of the world, they had the refentment of Rome to apprehend in this bufinefs; it being certain that Alexander would fupport the archbishop, as far as the cafe would admit. And it is very evident. that all possible care was taken, in the proceedings against that prelate, to avoid fuch matters as might engage the fee of Rome in the quarrel. For this reafon it was, that the king did not accufe him of violating the laws he had fworn to maintain, in points relating to the clergy; but charged him as a civil officer, indebted to him in great fums, during the time of his ministry, and whole accounts had not been duly or regularly paft. He did not attempt to prove (and a contemporary historian Diceto infays he could not prove) that the king had, by any ter Decem order or act of his own, either previoufly autho-Scriptures. rifed, or afterwards ratified, the pretended dif-P. 537. charge, which he faid had been given to him, upon his promotion to the fee of Canterbury, by the young prince then an infant, and by the justiciary, in a very extraordinary manner, and without any examination of his accounts, on which a difcharge could have been properly grounded. Whether the words fpoken by them on that occasion, that they gave him to the church of Canterbury free and difcharged from all the bonds of the court, could be fuppoled to extend to fuch an acquittance; or how far the king's fubfequent or preceding indulgence might be admitted, in equity, to bar, or at least to mitigate, the prefent demand, were points which the parliament might have favourably confidered, if, with due obedience, he had submitted the cafe to their judgement. But for one ftanding fo charged to deny the authority of the highest court in the kingdom, and in a caufe purely civil, appeal from thence to an ecclefiaftical and foreign court, when

## Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

when fuch an appeal, even in fpiritual caufes, had A. D. 1164. been fo lately forbidden by one of the ftatutes enacted at Clarendon, was the highest act of contumacy that can be conceived : it was not only an infringement of that particular law, but a rebellion against all the laws of the land and the whole legiflature ! His only apology was what a writer of his y Stephalife, who lived in those times, favs, he declared to nid in via the bifhops, in answer to their objection of the fo-tuar. lemn promife they had made to obferve all the rights and prerogatives of the crown: namely, that a Christian king had no right or prerogative, by the exercife whereof the liberties of the church, which be bad fworn to maintain, could receive any prejudice. But the question was, how far the liberties of the church extended, and the legislature had already decided that queftion, by declaring those customs, against which he objected, to be obligatory on all the fubjects of England, and those pretended liberties, which he prefumed to affirt in behalf of the clergy, to be illegal encroachments and innovations. The parliament therefore could not poffibly recede from this judgement, nor allow a fubject to deny the validity of the laws which the king and they had eftablished, difelaim their authority, and declare himfelf only responsible for his conduct to God and the pope. Olo bilhop of Bayeux, and Flambard bifhop of Durham, had been imprifoned for offences of lefs danger to the flate. Neverthelefs it is evident, that Henry had no intention, if Becket had flaid in the kingdom, to pulith him with fuch rigour as his behaviour deferved. He only defined to deprive him of his archbishoprick, and reduce him to a condition, in which his turbulent fpirit would not be fo troublefome to the government and peace of the

kingdom. It would perhaps have been a wifer conclution of the proceedings against him at Northampton, if, immediately after his contumacious

departure .

A. D. 1164 departure from the court, the king had ordered him to be arrefted and forced from the monaftry into some place of fafe cuftody. But, unqueftionably, the worft fault committed by that prince. in the management of this bufinefs, was allowing the bishops to appeal to the pope, instead of joining in the fentence which the other barons pronounced against the primate. Indeed that appeal was made in confequence of the archbishop's; but it was equally offenfive to the dignity of the kingdom: it admitted the judicature of the pope in a matter, of which he had no proper cognifance, and gave him an authority to revife and rejudge what ought to have been finally determined in England, by the law of the land and the judgement of the baons. There was much evil in this conceffion; but Henry was unwarily induced to make it, by his very earnest defire of keeping the bifhops on his fide in this conteft, and by a belief that the pope would be perfuaded by them to confider the difpute, as a pecuniary caufe between him and his late chancellor, in which the church, or the hierarchy, had no concern. And if through their mediation, that pontiff could be prevailed upon to depose the archbishop, he thought it would as effectually answer his purpose as more violent methods, and lefs difturb the tranquillity of his kingdom. But he was greatly deceived in thefe opinions. Becket acted more artfully, and with a truer difcernment of the confequences that would follow from his conduct. By his plea of exemption from all fecular jurifdiction, and by citing the bifhops to answer at the tribunal of the pope, for having concurred with the laity in the former judgements against him, he interested the authority of Rome in his guarrel; and inftead of a defendant in a weak or doubtful caufe made himfelf plantiff in behalf of the church, and the champion of that court to which he appealed. Thus

•Thus the policy of the king was baffled, and his<sup>A. D. 1164.</sup> hope difappointed : the conteft not being, in Alexander's opinion, whether Becket ought to pay the debt he was charged with, but what were the limits of the civil and ecclefiaftical powers.

Upon the first notice that the archbishop had fecretly fled from Northampton, orders were given dripart 1 ii. by Henry to watch the fea ports, particu-c.L larly Dover; but, left all these cautions to pre-ann. 1164. vent his efcaping out of England should prove ineffectual, that prince was advised to entreat the king of France not to receive him in his territories. and likewife to employ all his power to obtain of the pope, that the appeal made to his Holinefs might be decided in England, by legates fent thither, and the fugitive primate remanded back to his fee, till judgement was paft. This feemed very neceffary; for the king had much to fear from that prelate's being fuffered to take refuge in France. The fecrets of the flate were known to him; and what use he might be inclined to make of that knowledge, how many enemies he might raife against his late master, how many friends he might cool, what inftructions he might give to those who envied or dreaded the greatness of that monarch, in prejudice to him and his government, was matter of very ferious and very uneafy confideration. At the fame time, not to put any difficulties in the way of the negociation with Alexander, it was thought expedient that the king should abstain from the exercise of his royal prerogative, which gave him a right to feize the archbithop's temporalities, in confequence of his flight : and that all who belonged to that prelate fhould be left unmolefted by the government, till it had been seen what effect such gentle measures would have, in bringing the affair to an amicable conclusion between Henry and the pope. To thefe counfels the king affented; and a most splendid embaffy,

A.D. 1164 embaffy, confifting of many of the chief nobility of his kingdom, both ecclefiafticks and laymen, was accordingly fert, without delay, to the king of France and to Alexander, of whom the latter had made Sens, a town in Champagne, the place of his refidence. But the embaffadors were commanded, on account of the uncertainty where Becket might be, to go fift to the earl of Flanders, and deliver to him a letter, of the like purport with that they carried to Louis, complaining of the archbishop, as having traiterously fled from juffice, and defiring the earl not to give him protection in any part of his country. It fo happen- $\epsilon d$ , that they paffed from Dover to Calais, at the very time when Becket failed from Sandwich to Flanders. As he had not been heard of in England after a fearch of fome days, it was supposed by the king's officers that he had escaped to France or Flanders, while he was still in the kingdom : and this opinion occasioned their not being to vigilant in guarding the ports, as when the orders to that purpose were first received. But his danger did not erd upon his croffing the fea. It has been shewn in the former parts of this hiftory, that the earl of Flanders, befides his near relation to Henry, was under the greateft obligations to him for the care he had taken of his perion and territories, while his father was in Afia. It has likewife been told, that his brother, the earl of Boulone, had been affifted by that prince in his marriage with Matilda, King Stephen's daughter, in virtue of which he had gained that opulent province. These were strong reasons to render them V. Epift. 24, both unfavourable to Becket. Nevertheleis it I. i. appears by a letter from John of Salifbury, whom he had feat abroad, as his agent, when first he took the refolution of feeking an afylum on the continent, that the earl of Flanders had given him an affurance of protection, and had even offered

to

to procure a veffel and feamen for his passage. But A. D. 1164. that was before the proceedings against him at Northampton, and when his going out of England could not have been branded as flying from juffice. In his prefent circumstances to protect him was inconfiftent with any fhew of friendship for his fovereign. Senfible of this he defired to pass undifcovered through the territories of Flanders, and perhaps he had privately agreed with the earl, that, not to draw upon that prince a quarrel with Henry, he fhould come in difguife, and, feemingly, without his knowledge. Certain it is that he acted with no lefs caution than if he had been in an enemy's country : for, being afraid to enter the Heilbertus port of Gravelines, where he might have been fub-in Quidriject to a troublefome examination, he was fet on logo, Laic 3. shore a league from thence, and forced to travel on foot, through deep roads, and a great ftorm of wind and rain, before he had recovered from the fickness occasioned by his voyage. It fo fatigued him, that, his ftrength being quite overcome, he laid himfelf down upon the ground, Alanusia cold and wet as it was, and declared to his atten-Quadrilogo, dants, that he could not walk any further. They 1. ii. c. 3. then procured him a horfe, but without a bridle or faddle. Supplying these defects, as well as he could, by a halter and fome cloaths of the three monks who waited on him, he rode to Gravelines, and, under the name of Frier Christian, stopped at an inn in that town. We are told by one of Heribertus his companions, that, while he was at fupper, the in Quadrihoft, being a man of more than vulgar fagacity, log a lic.4. fuspected who he was, from fome remarks on his countenance, perfon, and behaviour, and from the report, which had already fpread itielf all over Flanders, of his profecution and flight. These fuspicions he immediately imparted to his wife, who confirming them from her own obfervations and opinion, they began to treat him with a refpect

Book III.

A. D. 1164. spect that made him very uneasy. To take it off, and perfuade them of his being what he appeared, he invited the hoft to fit at table with him; but the good man, feating himfelf, with great humility, at his feet, faid to him, "My lord, I re-" turn thanks to God Almighty, that I have been " thought worthy of receiving you under my " roof." "Why, who am I?" replied Becket: " am not I a poor monk ? " No," faid the hoft, " you may call yourfelf what you pleafe; but I " know you to be a great man, and archbishop " of Canterbury." Though it was dangerous to truft a perfon unknown, Becket thought it more dangerous to perfift in a referve that probably. would be useles, and therefore declared himself to him, with an air of franknefs and confidence, proper to confirm his good will. This fecured his fidelity : the archbishop passed the night without a further discovery, and, for fear that the next day should produce any alteration, he took the man along with him, to be his guide to St. Omers. When they arrived there, which was late in the evening, he would not enter the town, but went to a monaftery of the Ciftercian order fituated near to it, where he learned that the embaffadors fent by King Henry had come that day to St. Omers, and were lodged in the caftle. Upon this intelligence he removed in the night to a hermitage, which had belonged to St. Bertin; a very folitary place, furrounded with waters. Here he was concealed, three days and nights, with only one of his attendants, having ordered the two others to watch the motions V. Hift. Quadripart. of the English embassadors, who left St. Omers the next morning after his departure from the Lil. c. 7. convent. On the fourth day, being informed that he might come without danger, he went to the abbey of St. Bertin, where he was received by the monks with regat respect and affection.

The

The English ministers, having made a short A. D. 1164. abode with the earl of Flanders, haftened to France, where they fuppofed the archbishop had found means to procure a fecret afylum; as they had no tidings of him. The efteem which Louis had conceived for the character of that prelate, when he knew him as chancellor and favourite to King Henry, had fince been greatly encreafed by the general fame of his piety, and by the account of his extraordinary zeal for the church, which he had received from a meffenger, whom the archbishop, not long after the council of Cla-rendon, had fent over on purpose to make a fa-1. i. vourable representation of his caufe and behaviour. This agent was affured, at his departure from the king, that if the primate should feek an afylum in his territories, he would receive him, not as a bishop, or an archbishop, but as a partner in his kingdom. The fubfequent proceedings at Northampton were also reported to Louis with much kindnefs for Becket, by many of the bifhops of France, who, being leagued in the fame ecclefiastical faction against the civil power, spoke of him as a martyr. He had moreover fome advocates among the laity there. The earl of Champagne, and his brothers, who, from the enmity of the house of Blois against that of Plantagenet, wished ill to the king of England, suggested to Louis, that by fomenting the difcord between the church and the crown, which had fortunately arifen in that kingdom, he might effectually fecure and strengthen his own. It must be confeffed, that in this counfel there was a colour of reafon. Yet a wifer prince would have feen, that, upon such an occasion, any particular jealousies ought to have been facrififed to the common caufe of both crowns, that is, to the maintaining of the royal authority against ecclesiastical and papal encroachments. All the kings in the Chriftian world VOL II. Ee were

Book III.

418

A. D. 1164. were no lefs interested in this dispute, on the fide of Henry, than the pope was, on the fide of the archbishop of Canterbury; and as Alexander difregarded all the great obligations which he had to that monarch, when brought into comparison with the interest of his see; fo should Louis have set afide the leffer reasons of state, to affift his brother of England in fupporting the effential and fundamental rights of fovereignty, thus attacked by the priefthood. But his policy not reaching fo far, and his bigottry, which more than any other principal directed his conduct, inclining him eagerly to espouse the cause of Becket, he received very coldly the English embassadors, when they arrived at his court: and beginning to read the letter. they had brought to him from Henry, he ftopped at these words, " Thomas, late archbifbop of Can-Gervafe. Quadrilogus " terbury, has fled out of my realm like a traitor;" and asked them whether the person there mentioned was no lorger archbishop of Canterbury, and who had deposed him? They appearing embaraffed at the queftion, he faid, "I am a king as " well as the king of England; but I would not " have deprived the loweft clerk in my kingdom, " nor do I think I have power to do it. I know " that this Thomas ferved your fovereign long " and faithfully in the office of chancellor; and " his recompence is now, that his mafter, after " having forced him to fly out of England, would " also drive him out of France." The embassadors hereupon, feeing no hopes of fucceeding in this part of their bufine's, entreated him at leaft to admonifh the pope not to give any credit to the fuggestions of Becket against the king of England; which he likewife refusing, they left him, and went to Alexander at Sens. The day after their departure, the two ecclefiasticks, whom Becket had dispatched from St. Omers, arrived at Compiegne, where Louis then kept his court, and imploied him to grant that pre'ate an afylum in

Book III.

in his kingdom. He embraced them, and repeat-A. D. 1164. ed to them the anfwer he had given to Henry's minifters, bidding them affure the primate in his name, that he fhould be received with great kindnofs. Nor was he fatisfied with this promife; but difpatched his own almoner on a meffage to the pope, befeeching his Holinefs, that, as he loved the the honour of the church, and the weal of the French king dom, be fould maintain Thomas archbishop of Canterbury, and bis cause, in all points, against the tyrant of England. Becket was confirmed, by these encouragements, in his defire and intention of going into France. But while he was yet in the abbey of St. Bertin, Richard de Lucy, who had been fent not long before, on fome fecret commission, to the earl of Flanders, returned to England by St. Omers, and hearing that Becket was there went and made him a vifit. How it happened that the archbishop, who had fled from the fight of the English embassadors, when he first came to St Omers, took now fo little care to avoid the notice and prefence of the Greatjufficiary of England, who of all his council was the most devoted to Henry, we are not told. But it is faid, that in their conference Richard tried to perfuade him to go back to England, offering himfelf to conduct him, and be a mediator and interceffor with the king for his pardon, which he thought might be obtained by fuch an act of fubmillion. The archbishop answered, that the temper of the king was implacable when he was thoroughly angered. The jufficiary, finding him abfolutely determined to perfift in the part he had taken, expressed a proper indignation at his obstinacy, and left him. It was indeed most improbable that this vifit fhould conclude in any other manner.

Presently after the departure of Richard de E e 2 Lucy

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE.

Book III.

Heribertus in Hift. Quadrip. c. 10. l. ii.

A.D. 1164 Lucy, Becket went from St. Omers; and, whether he really apprehended fome danger to his perfon, or only did it to conceal the fecret intelligence he had with the earl of Flanders, he chofe to travel by night, and under the conduct of fome foldiers procured from his friends, the abbot of St. Bertin, and the bishop of Tournay, till he had got out of the Flemish territories into the French. On his arrival in the latter he was joined by fome of his clergy, who, from attachment to his perfon, or zeal for his caufe, defired to follow his fortunes.

V. Francifci Pagi breviar. pontif. Rom. Jub ann 1164. fub eodem anno.

During the fpring of this year, eleven hundred and fixty four, the Antipope Victor had died at Lucca ; but another, who took the name of Pafann 1164. & Baronium chal the Third, being elected foon afterwards, by the party of that pontiff, the fchilm remained unfubdued; and feemed, in the whole extent of the imperial dominions, to draw a new fpirit, and an augmentation of vigour from it's new head. Becket therefore had great reafon to dread the impreffions that might be made upon Alexander by Henry's embaffadors, in circumftances which rendered the friendship of their master so necessary to him : and it appears from fome letters, that the nearest friends V. Epift. 7. of that prelate were very apprehenfive of his being facrififed by the pope to the necessity of the times. Henry indeed, on the first intelligence of

Victor's decease, had renewed his affurances of adhering to Alexander ; which, one would think, in good policy he should not have done; as he might have found an advantage, in his difputes with the church, from leaving that pontiff more doubtful, with regard to his refolutions, at fuch a V. Epift. 7 crifis. But, by a letter fent to Becket foon after that event, this hafty proceeding may be accounted for, and in fome measure justified. We are there told, that when the news of the antipopes's death

death came into France, it was imagined by fome A.D. 1164. there, that the emperour himfelf would put an end to the fchifm, by fubmitting to Alexander; and that this conjecture was much firengthened by other accounts, received about the fame time, of a difpolition in fome of the cities of Italy to revolt from that prince, who was dangeroufly ill of a fever. Henry therefore might fear, that, if he did not make hafte to declare for Alexander, inftead, of terrifying that pontiff, he should hurt his own interefts. But the election of Paschal, the recovery of the emperor, and fome advantages gained by their adherents in Tufcany, quite chang- v. Fpid. 23. ed the fcene; and Becket was informed by another 1. i. letter from one of his agents at Sens, before the proceedings against him at Northampton, that Alexander himfelf and all his cardinals were full of uneafinefs, on account of the long ftay, which John Cummins, whom Henry had fent to the emperor, made in the court of that prince; and becaufe, for fome time, no minister from the king had come to Sens: which, with other concurrent circumftances, had alarmed them fo much, that they were by ro means difposed to offend any potentate, but leaft of all the king of England. Affairs had remained in much the fame fituation from that time to this : fo that Henry was now very confident in the hope fuggefted to him by those bishops who had most of his confidence, that Alexander might prefer his own perfonal interefts to those of his fee. And if the king of France had been only neutral between him and Becket, this confidence, probably, would not have been difappointed. But his weight turned the scale in favour of the primate. Before the embaffadors from the king of England were heard, Alexander had received the meffage from Louis, of which an account has been given, and had admitted the agents of Becket to an audience. They began by faying, " They were feat to acquaint his " Holinels, Ee 3

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III

422

A. D. 1164. " Holinefs, that Joseph, bis son, was still living, " but no longer bore rule in the land of Ægypt, having " been, on the contrary, oppressed, and almost de-" stroyed, by the Ægyptians." After which they related to him, in the fame ftyle of the fcripture, the perils his fon had gone through, when he fought with beasts at Northampton, his perils among false brethren, perils in his flight, perils upon the road, perils at fea, perils even in the port : upon the whole they reprefented him as another St. Paul. At which, fays one of the monks who wrote his life. the father of all fathers was fo much moved, that he burft into tears.

> The next day, a confiftory being called for that purpofe, audience was given to the English embaffadors. The perfons fent on this important bufinefs were the archbishop of York, the bishops of London, of Worcefter, of Exeter, and of Chichefter, with three of the king's chaplains; and the earl of Arundel, with three more of the temporal barons, who were all men of great dignity in Henry's court. The bishop of London began, and, in a Latin oration, (which, with the others here following, I give upon the report of one who was prefent) " fet forth the necessity, that the apostolical fee " fhould employ its authority to reclaim that man " to true wildom, who, being wife in his own con-" ceit, had difturbed the concord of his brethren, " the peace of the church, and the piety of the king." "He faid, " That a diffension between the king " and the priefthood had lately arifen in England, 66 on a point of fmall importance, which might have been extinguished more eafily, if moderate 66 remodies had been ufed : but my Lord of Can-46

terbury following his own fingular notions, and

not the advice of his brethren, proceeded too eagerly, not confidering the malice of the times,

and what mifchief his violence might produce : to that he had woven a fnare for himfelf and his

" brethren ;

Alanus in Hift. Quadripartita. 1. ii. c. 8.

Alanus, ut

66

66

66 66

"

fupra.

" brethren; and, if their confent had abetted him A. D. 1164. " in his purpofe, the bufinefs would undoubtedly " have had a worfe end. But, becaufe they would " not concur, or acquiesce in a conduct so con-" trary to their duty, he fought to turn the blame " of his own rashness upon them, nay, upon " the king, and the whole nation, in order to " blemish whose fame, he had fled out of the king-" dom, no man offering him any violence, none " even threatening him : according as it is written : " the wicked flies when no man pursues." At these words his Holinefs interrupting him, faid, " Bro-" ther, forbear." The bishop answered, My Lord. I will forbear. " I bid you forbear, re-" plied the pope, not out of regard to his cha-" racter, but to your own." At which reprimand being abashed he faid no more. Novertheless the bishop of Chichefter, vain of his eloquence, for which he was famous, ventured to inveigh, in a rhetorical ftyle, against the immoderate prefumption of Becket, and remonstrated to the pope the danger attending it, of producing a fchifm in the church, and other grievous diforders. But, while he was indulging his oratory out of feafon, he happened to ipeak a word of falle Latin, and repeat it once or twice; which drew upon him the laughter of the whole affembly : whereby he was fo confounded, that he ftopped fhort, and remained filent. The archbishop of York, observing how ill his brethren had fucceeded, fpoke more concifely, and more difcreetly of Becket, faying only, " That he had known him, by long and close ob-" fervation, even from the time of his first fetting " out in the world, to be a man of great obstinacy " in whatever opinion he had once entertained; " and that having too lightly engaged in this dif-" pute (as he was apt to be hafty in his determi-" nations) he could by no means be fet right, un-" lefs his Holinefs would apply his own hand to Ee4 the

A. D. 1164. " the work, and let it be felt pretty roughly." The bishop of Exeter faid, " There was no need of " a long difcourfe ; the caufe could not be de-" termined in the absence of the archbishop of " Canterbury: therefore they defired that legates " might be appointed to hear and decide it."

The bishops after this continuing filent fome time, the earl of Arundel defired to be heard, and in the English language spoke thus, " Of what the V. Alanum " bishops have faid we illiterate laymen are entirely ignorant, but must, as well as we can, in vita Beck. 66 Se alfo Ger- 66 perform the commission with which we are en-66 trufted. Nor do we come hither to dispute, or " to throw out reproaches against any man, especially in the prefence of fo great a perfon, to 66 whofe nod and authority all the world does 66 ", and ought to fubmit. But for this we certainly come, to lay before you, holy father, 66 and the whole church of Rome, the devotion " and love which the king our mafter has always " " borne to you and still bears. By whom is this " done? by the greateft and nobleft of all his " fubjects, by archbishops, bishops, earls, and ba-66 rons. Higher than these he could find none in " his kingom? for if he could have found any, " he would have fent them, to fhew his reverence " to you, holy father, and to the facred Roman 66 church. You have yourfelf experienced fuffi-66 ciently, upon your first exaltation to the ponti-66 ficate, the fidelity and devotion of our royal 66 mafter, when he entierly fubmitted to your 46 authority himfelf and his realm. Nor is there " in Chriftendom any prince more pious than he, " or who more defires to maintain the peace of " the church by a moderate use of his royal au-" thority. Neverthelefs My Lord Archbishop is " also in his own order and degree as well in-" ftructed, and in things that belong to his office " as diffreet and prudent; though to fome per-" fons

124

vafe.

" fons he may feem too fharp and fevere. And A. D. 1164. " were it not for the prefent unfortunate difference " between the king and him, the ftate and the " church would be mutually happy in union and " tranquillity, under fo good a prince, and fo ex-" cellent a paftor. It is therefore our earnest re " queft, that you would apply your gracious en-" deavours to compose this difference, and bring " about a renewal of concord and affection." This fpeech, being more fuitable to the temper of the affembly in which it was fpoken, was thought to deferve a more favourable answer than had been vouchfafed to any of the bifhops. The pope therefore faid, that he well knew, and preferved in remembrance, with what devotion the king of England had conferred many and great obligations upon him; which, when a proper opportunity offered, he defired from his foul to return, in a most grateful manner, fo far as might be confiftent with his duty to God. Upon which all the embaffadors defiring most earnessly, that he would fend the archbishop back to England, and nominate legates to judge him there, he confulted with the cardinals what answer to make; many of whom were of opinion, that he fhould grant the king's request, for fear of driving him to the antipope; but others opposed it, and he determined not to yield to it in the manner defired. However, that he might keep fome meafures with the king, he told the emballadors, that, as they had asked for legates, legates they should have. Whereupon the bifhop of London, killed his foot, and defired to know with what powers those legates would be fent. With the proper powers, anfwered he. Yes, returned the bilhop, but we defire they may decide this caufe without appeal. " That, faid the pope, is my glory, which " I will not give to another. And certainly, when " the archbishop of Canterbury is judged, it shall " be

426

A.D. 1164. " be by ourfelves; for no reafon allows that we " fhould remand him back into England, to be " judged by his adverfaries, and in the midft of " his enemies." He added, that they fhould wait for the arrival of that prelate, who foon would be there, and in whofe abfence nothing concerning him could be juftly determined.

Hoveden. tub ann. 1164.

in Hift.

ii. c. 1.

The reafon given in one of the contemporary hiftorians, why Alexander refused to fend legates into England, for the final decifion of this controverfy, is, " that he knew King Henry was mighty " in word and deed, and that the legates might " be corrupted, as loving money more than juf-" tice." Another affirms, that, by the advice Heribertus of a prelate, to whom the dispositions of that Quadrip. 1. court were well known, the embaffadors had carried with them a large fum of money, as a requifite most effential to the fuccess of their bufinefs. If this be true, it will account for the in affected moderation, with which the earl of Arun-Stephen. vita St. The. del fpoke in his publick audience. For trufting to the fecret influence of bribes and corruption he might think that an open accufation of the primate, or angry invectives against him, would rather be likely to obstruct than ferve his purpose. Otherwife it is certain that he expressed himfelf much too tenderly concerning that prelate, and as if he had only defired that Alexander should mediate a reconciliation between Henry and him; which was very different from the errand on which he was fent. But though it is probable he meant to do his bufinefs, rather by gaining than convincing the facred college, this method proved as inffectual as reason or argument : for the interefts of the papacy were fo closely interwoven with those of Becket, and Alexander was fo afraid to offend the king of France, who had made himfelf a party in the archbishop's cause, that nothing could induce him to comply with Henry's defires. When

When the earl of Arundel found that the foothing A. D. 1164arts he had ufed were of no fervice to his mafter, he changed his tone, and talked a language more fuitable to the dignity of the character in which he appeared, intimating that the king might, by this ill treatment, be provoked to join with the antipope : but Alexander ftill remaining inflexible, he and his collegues departed, without receiving or

asking the benediction of that pontiff. In the mean time Becket came to Soiffons, and Louis, heated with the idea of his fuffering for the church, made a vifit to him there. During his abode in that city, which continued fome days, the infinuating prelate entirely poffeffed himfelf of his affections; and his mind, from this time forwards, was fo exafperated against Henry, that he quite forgot the great fervice lately done him by that prince, in marching to his fuccour against the emperor, and took every opportunity of doing him mifchief to the utmost of his power. Thefe impreffions being made, and the archbilhop Hitt. Quad. having obtained a liberal maintenance for himfelfc. 10, 11. and his followers at the expence of the king, he left Soiffons and went to Sens, where he was cooly received by the cardinals, but kindly by Alexander, who appointed the next morning to give him a publick audience, on the reafons which had induced him to abandon his fee, and feek a refuge out of England. The cardinals being accordingly affembled together, he was called in, and feated at the right hand of the pope, who commanded him to plead his caufe before them; whereupon he rofe up, but was ordered by his Holinefs to fit down again, and fpeak fitting; which greatly encouraging him, he confidently let forth, " how meritorious to Rome, and how much " againft his own intereft his conduct had been; " fince there was not a fingle man in the king-" dom of England who would have refuted obe-\* di mee

Bock III.

A. D. 1164. 66 dience to him, if he would have complied in all 66 points with the will of the king; and while 66 he ferved on those terms every thing prospered 66 with him according to his wifh; but when he 66 changed his courfe, out of regard to his facred 66 profetlion, and duty to God, the king's affec-66 tion for him immediately began to cool. Yet 46 even now, if he would entirely fubmit to that 66 prince in all his purpofes, he fhould want no 66 interceflion to recover his favour. But feeing 56 that the church of Canterbury, which had been 66 in times past the western fun, was now obscured 66 in it's brightnefs, he chose rather to endure a 66 thousand deaths, than diffemble the evils it " fuffered. And left he should feem to have un-" neceffarily, or out of vain glory, engaged in this " dispute, he thought it best to fatisfy all the affembly there prefent by occular demonstration." Then producing to them the writing, in which were contained the conftitutions of Clarendon, he faid, with tears, " See here, what laws the king " of Ergland has ordained against the liberty of the " church! Be judges yourfelves, whether without " the perdition of my foul I could poffibly con-" nive at fuch matters as thefe!" The conftitutions were read, and faved him the trouble of entering into any justification of the other parts of his conduct. It was the opinion of the whole affembly, that in the person of the archbishop of Canterbury the catholick church should be succoured; and the pope proceeded, in the fame confiftory, feverally to examine the articles contained in that writing, of which he tolerated fix, not as good, but lefs evil; and abfolutely condemned the ten which have before been recited. Those he tolerated were as follows.

1. Churches belonging to the fee of our lord the

## Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

the king cannot be given away in perpetuity, with-A. D. 1164, out the confent and grant of the king.

2. Laymen ought not to be accufed unlefs by certain and legal accufers and witneffes, in prefence of the bifhop; fo as that the archdeacon may not lofe his right, nor any thing which fhould thereby accrue to him: and if the offending perfons be fuch as that none will or dare accufe them, the fheriff, being thereto required by the bifhop, fhall fwear twelve lawful men of the vicinage, or town, before the bifhop, to declare the truth, according to their confcience.

3. Archbishops, bishops, and all dignified clergymen who hold of the king in chief, have their possible from the king as a barony, and answer thereupon to the king's justices and officers, and follow and perform all royal customs and rights, and, like other barons, ought to be prefent at the trials of the king's court with the barons, till the judgement proceeds to loss of members or death.

4. If any nobleman of the realm fhall forcibly refift the archbifhop, bifhop, or archdeacon, in doing juftice upon him or his, the king ought to bring them to juftice; and if any fhall forcibly refift the king in his judicature, the archbifhops, bifhops, and archdeacons, ought to bring him to juftice, that he may make fatisfaction to our lord the king.

5. The chattels of those who are under forfeiture to the king ought not to be detained in any church, or church-yard, against the king's justiciary; because they belong to the king, whether they are found within churches or without.

6. The fons of villeins ought not to be ordained without

A. D. 1164 without the confent of their lords, in whofe lands they are known to have been born.

That the pope and his confiftory fhould thus fit in judgement upon the laws and flatutes of England was a most infolent violation of the independence, the freedom, and the dignity of the crown : and the abetting of fuch an act was without queftion highly criminal in a fubject of that kingdom. But Becket knew that this crime would be there reputed a virtue, the merit of which would attone for any failing or offence in other parts of his conduct. Nevertheless there was one circumstance, from whence he apprehended advantage might be taken to induce the fee of Rome, even by the authority of the canons, to confent to depofe him; I mean, the violation of the liberty of the church, by the compulsive methods used to obtain his election to the archbishoprick of Canterbury, which it would have been easy for his adversaries to prove against him. Confcious of this he thought it neceffary to guard himfelf as effectually, and as fpeedily as he could, against that danger. On the fol-Quadillogo, lowing day, the pope and the cardinals being in a more private room, he came to them, and accosted them in the following words; " My fathers and " lords, it is unlawful to fpeak untruly any where, " but more efpecially before God, and in your " prefence : wherefore with tears I confeis, that 66 my miferable offence brought all thefe troubles " upon the church of Eugland. I afcended into " the fold of Chrift, not by the true door, not " having been called by a canonical election, but " obtruded into it by the terror of fecular power. 66 And though I undertook this charge unwilling-" ly, yet was I induced to it, not by the will of "God, but of man. What wonder then, if it " has profpered fo ill with me? Yet, if, through " fear of the menaces of the kirg, I had given it 56 HP

430

Alanus in

C. 12. 1 ii.

" up at his defire, (as my brethren the bifhops A. D. 1164. " would fain have perfuaded me to do) I should " have left a pernicious example to the catholick " church: for which reason I deferred it till I " could come into your prefence. But now, ac-" knowledging that my entrance was not canoni-" cal, and fearing from thence a worfe exit; per-" ceiving alfo my ftrength unequal to the burthen; " left I should ruin the flock, whole unworthy paf-" tor I am made, into your hands, O father, Ire-" fign the archbishoprick of Canterbury." Then taking off his ring, he gave it to the pope, and defired him to provide a proper paftor for the church which he thus left vacant. Nothing could be more artful than this method of proceeding ! By deposing himself in this manner he corrected all the faults, that could be alledged by his enemies to make void his election, and was very fure that the pope, into whofe hands he fo humbly refigned the archbishoprick, would reftore it to him again, and confirm him therein; after which his poffeffion of it would not only be free from all the former objections, but must be defended by Alexander, for the fake of fupporting his own immediate act, and the authority of his fee. Accordingly, when he and his followers were withdrawn, and the matter was fully confidered, only fome few of the cardinals, whom Becket's hiftorians call the Phari-V.Hift Quafees, gave their opinion for accepting his refigna-dripartitam. tion, and providing for, or rewarding him, in fome other manner; as a means happily offered of fatisfying the king: but the far greater number. and Alexander himfelf, expressed their apprehenfions, " that if he, who, in defence of the liberty " of the church, had rifqued, not only his wealth " and honours, but life itself, should be fuffered " to fall a facrifice to the king, all other bifhops " would fall with him; nor, after fuch an exam-" ple.

43I

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

A. D. 1164 " plc, would any one ever have courage to refift " the will of his prince: and thus the ftate of the 46 catholick church would be shaken, and the " pope's authority perifh." The conclusion was, " that Becket flould be reftored to his fee in de-" fpite of any opposition ; and that he who fought " for them (bould by all means be affifted." The archbilhop was acquainted with this determination in the most honourable and affectionate terms the pope could find, who concluded his fpeech by recommending him to the abbot of Pontigni, a religious houfe in Burgundy, that he might there be maintained during the time of his exile ; faying, " that he, who had hitherto lived in affluence and " delights, fhould now be taught, by the inftruc-" tions of poverty, the mother of religion, to be " the comforter of the poor when he returned to " his fee : wherefore he committed him over to one " of the poor of Chrift, from whom he was to re-" ceive not a sumptuous, but simple entertainment, " fuch as became a banished man, and a champion " of Chrift." Beirg thus difmiffed, he immediately retired into the convent affigned for his refidence: but when he was there he thought it proper to wear the habit, as well as to conform himfelf to the life of a monk, and defired to receive one from his Holinefs, who accordingly fent it with his bleffing. The reafon given for this by one of Alanus in Quadrilogo, his followers is, that almost all the archbishops of 1. ii. c. 13. Canterbury had been monks, and, when any of them was not of that profession, some misfortune had been observed to fall on the kingdom : but it may rather be fuppofed that he did it to encreafe the opinion of his fanctity, and flatter the monks, who in England maintained his caufe with much more affection than any of the fecular clergy. It is very obiervable, that, notwithftanding the confeffion he had made to the pope and the cardinals,

423

In the manner here related, of his election to the A. D. 1164 fee of Canterbury having been uncanonical, yet, in his anfwer to the letter which was foon afterwards  $v_{. Epiff.}$ written to him by all the bifhops of England, he 127. L. i. endeavoured to juftify it from that imputation; denying *that any injury bad been done therein to the church*; and affirming that it was *lawfully* and *quietly* made, *with the confent of all those who had a right to elect him.* So different were the publick professions of this man from his private declarations!

Upon the report made to Henry of the pro-Hift. Quad. ceedings at Sens, that prince thought it neceffary to Gerv. Chro. exert his authority, with it's utmost terrors, against lub ann. the rebellion of Becket, and to make Alexander 1165. himfelf, who fo arrogantly abetted that rebellion, feel the effects of his anger. He therefore confif- A.D. 1165. cated all the archbishop's eftate, and fent an order to the bishop of every diocese to seize the revenues of any of the clergy who had followed him into France, or had otherwife acted in derogation to the honour and dignity of the crown, conjointly with him, or for his fake. All correspondence with him was declared to be criminal; and it was forbidden to pray for him publickly in churches, which fome hiftorians of those times have mentioned with horror, as the greatest of cruelties: but, if this reftraint had not been laid on the intemperance of their zeal, the monks would have turned their very prayers to fedition. An order was like-v.Epift. 13, wife fent forth to ftop Peter-pence from being paid 15. 1. i. to the pope. In all these acts of government nothing was done by the king, beyond what justice, and the obligation he was under to maintain the laws of his kingdom, demanded and authorifed. But he did not ftop here. For, about the begin- v. Epift. 79. ning of the year eleven hundred and fixty five, he l. iii. banished out of England, by a general sentence,

VOL. II.

Ff

Book III.

that

434

A. D. 1165. all the relations, friends, and dependants of Becket to the number of near four hundred perfons, without diffinction of fex or age; not excepting infants at the breaft, if we may give credit to the words of Becket himfelf in feveral letters on that fubject. Their lands and goods were confifcated : and the adult perfors among them were compelled to take an oath, before they departed, that they would go to the archbishop, wherefoever he was: which was done in order to load him with the charge of their maintenance, and alfo to grieve him with a spectacle of the diffress they endured on his account. Ld. Chief Juffice Hale, in his hiftory of the pleas of the crown, after giving fome examples of the uncertainty of treafons at common law, during the early times of our government, makes this observation : " By these, " and the like instances, that might be given, it " appears, how uncertain and arbitrary the crime " of treason was before the statute of 25 Ed. III. " whereby it came to pafs, that almost every of-" fence, that was or feemed to be a breach of the " faith and allegiance due to the king, was by " conftruction and confequence and interpretation " raifed into the offence of high treafon." Nor was the penalty better afcertained than the crime: but varied in different reigns. As to the practice of involving the innocent in the punifhment of the guilty for certain offences, which appears to have prevailed in the days of Henry the Second, I shall have occasion to speak of it more fully hereafter: but will only obferve in this place, that when Becket complained of it fo bitterly, as we find he does, in his letters, the answer to him might have been, that, for much lighter offences against the royal dignity than he had committed, feverities of V. Epif. 126 this nature were supposed to be due from the juse Cod. Cot-tice of the kingdom : fince he could not but know,

See p. 82. C. 11.

that one of the king's chief jufficiaries, Richard A. D. 1165. de Lucy, had threatened the bifhops of the province of Canterbury, that all their relations, together with themfelves, fhould in like manner be banifhed, if they did not obey the royal mandate to elect him archbifhop. There is great reafon to believe that he himfelf was confenting to this terrible menace; and if he was, it precluded him from the right of complaining in this inftance: but nothing can juftify the proceeding itfelf: for that which is contrary to humanity and natural juffice cannot be warranted by any authority of law or cuftom.

In excuse of the king it may perhaps be supposed. that the cruelty of extending the general fentence of banishment, against the relations and friends of Becket, even to women and infants at the breaft, did not arife from the intention of Henry himfelf, but from the barbarous zeal of the officer who executed his orders; as it frequently happens, that, when kings are angry, the ministers of their anger are much more inhuman than they. Ranulph de Broc, who had the principal care of this bufinefs, was a man of a cruel nature; and Gervafe of Canterbury, who defcribes him as fuch, fub ann. feems to impute thefe barbarities chiefly to his 1165. hatred of the archbishop, whose enemy he had been for fome time. But admitting that he went beyond his commission, and that Henry was induced to give him fuch a commission, by the practice of those days, yet they who advise that prince, under a notion of law or prerogative, to depart fo much from the humanity of his own disposition, gave him bad counfel, and made him greatly difhonour the justice of the cause he maintained againft Becket. There is a letter preferved among those of that prelate, without any name to it, but v. Epift. 48. directed to King Henry from one of his friends, 1. i. by which it appears that the writer had reprefented

Ff<sub>2</sub>

to

Book III.

Ibidem.

436

proferibing fo many innocent perfons for the archbishop's offence, especially as some of them were no way related to him in blood. We also find that this remonstrance (which I imagine was made by the bishop of London) had been graciously heard by the king, who acknowledged the truth of it. and promifed to act more favourably towards them ; at the delay of which mercy his friend expressed furprize and uneafinefs, imploring him to mitigate the feverity of his edict, as he had given his royal word fo to do. It would have been every way better for him, if other counfels had not finally prevailed over those of this wife and faithful monitor : Hin Quadr. for the innocent fufferers met with pity and kindnefs in their exile. Some of them, having been abfolved, by the authority of the pope, from the oath they had taken to go to the archbishop, refided in Flanders, where they were fupported very hospitably by the friends of that prelate. The king of France, the queen of Sicily, and many other perfons of diftinction, took care of the reft. So that the obftinacy of Becket was not conquered, nor his diftrefs much augmented, but his malice was exafperated, and far better justified in the eyes of the world, by the cruelty of this unjust and unprofitable act.

Chro.Norm. fub ann. Daniel.

Things were now apparently tending to a rupture between the kings of France and England. see alfo Pere The behaviour of Louis with relation to Becket was most offensive to Henry. And he had been greatly difgusted on another account. For the French monarch, in the year eleven hundred and fixty four, had married one of his daughters by Eleanor to his brother in law, the earl of Blois, and prefently afterwards had invefted him with the office of Seneichal, without any regard to the right of the earls of Anjou, to whom it belonged. The taking away an hereditary dignity from a family

mily with which he then was in peace, and giving A. D. 1165it to another, fo nearly related to himfelf, was a most violent act of arbitrary power.

The empress Matilda apprehensive of her fon's being engaged in a war with the king of France at this time, when a great infurrection was begun by the Welch, fought to make up their differences by the mediation of the pope, though fhe could not but know that there was need of a mediator between her fon and that pontiff. He accepted of the office, and after fome negociation prevailed on the two kings to have an interview at Gifors, in the Eafter week of the year eleven hundred and fixty five. The first point of which they treated was the affair of Becket: and as Henry would v. Johan. not be perfuaded to recede from his demand of Sarifb. epift. an entire fubmission on the part of that haughty 31. prelate, nor Louis from the affurance he had given him of protection, the difcontent on each fide continued very ftrong. Yet the conference did not end in open hoftilities; Henry thinking it prudent to diffemble his refentment, in confideration of the unfettled state of his kingdom. As for the reftitution of the office of Senefchal, which he juftly demanded, it was not agreed to, nor abfolutely refused, but left to a future decision. Perhaps he might think it of lefs confequence to him, in his prefent fituation, to carry this point, than to footh the earl of Blois, by permitting him to enjoy it, as a temporary benefit, without any departure from the maintenance of his own claim. A con-v. Hig. ference was also proposed between him and the Quadrip pope, to which he confented, but conditionally, that Becket fhould not be prefent. The archbifhop, hearing of this, entreated Alexander, by letters, not to agree to the interview on that condition; telling him, that, without an interpreter as skilful as he was in the king's language, his Holinefs would be in danger of being deceived by the fub-Ff 2 tility

A. D. 1165. tility of that prince. Whereupon the pontiff fent back this meffage to Henry, "that it had never " been heard of in any age, that the church of " Rome, at the command of any prince what-" foever, had driven any perfon out of her train; " efpecially one who was banifhed for the caufe " of justice : but that it was a privilege and au-" thority granted from above to the apostolical see, to " succour the exiled and the oppressed of all nations " against the rage of their sovereigns." Having thus avoided a conference, which his fense of the obligations he had to Henry, and the bad return he was making, must have rendered extremely difagreeable to him, he fet out for Rome, which was opened to him by the death of Victor, and a confederacy of many cities of Italy in his favour. Nor did Henry remain in France; but, as foon as he had fecured himfelf against any immediate danger of a war in those parts, he hastened back to his kingdom, where his prefence was now become very neceffary. For not long after the WelchChro-peace he had concluded in South-Wales, with nicleunder Rhees ap Gryffyth, that prince's nephew Encon 1163, 1164, having been murdered in his bed, by a Welch-1165. and Brompton's man of his own household, Rhees conceived Chron. un- a fulpicion that the earl of Chepftow and der the year Pembroke had procured the affaffination, out of revenge for the hoftilities committed against him the year before, or used this as a pretence for breaking the oath of fealty which he had taken to Henry by making an incursion into the lands of that earl. The attack being unexpected, he met mith little refiftance, and in a very short time recovered all Cardiganshire, except the castle of Cardigan, then called Abertivy. I find no reafon to believe that the earl was concerned in the murther of Eneon. The Welch were accuftomed to affaffinate one another, upon any quarrels among them, or the bare

438

See the

bare fufpicion of an injury : and it was very impro-A, D. 1165. bable that this nobleman, who knew that his fovereign never pardoned a crime of that nature, should dare to commit it, at the hazard of exciting a rebellion in that country, which had fo lately been pacified. But in reality the ambition of Rhees ap Gryffyth was the motive of this revolt. He could not behold the royal feat of his anceftors, their ancient palace of Dynevowr, in which he was fuffered to refide, without reflecting that the kingdom, they had poffeffed for fome ages, was ulurped by foreign invaders. The very walls of it feemed to reproach him with a degenerate and fervile fubmiffion : but above all, the high efteem and fond affection which his countrymen continued to fhew him, called upon him, as he thought, to fet them free : and he had a fpirit always ready to answer that call, believing that on their liberty he should build his own greatness. Having therefore a fourth time drawn the fword againft Henry, and with fo prosperous a beginning, he carried his arms, from his new-acquired territories in the province of Cardigan, into that of Pembroke, attacked the Flemings fettled there, and ravaged all their country; from whence he returned to Dynevowr, with great spoils and much honour, about the end of the year eleven hundred and fixty four. During the winter he negociated with all the other Welch princes. He reproached them with their cowardice and pufillanimity. He shewed them how favourable the conjuncture then was for an attempt to deliver themfelves and their country from the oppression of foreigners; diffenfions in England between the church and state; an archbishop of Canterbury exiled; his caufe fupported equally by Rome and by France; a great probability of a war between Louis and Henry, on that and other accounts. These instigations fo enflamed F f A them.

440

A. D. 1165 them, and they were fo animated by the fuccels which had attended his enterprifes, that not only Owen Gwyneth and all his fons, but his brother Cadwallader, who had particular obligations to Henry, and the princes of Powifland, the fons and the nephew of Madoc ap Meredyth, on whole affection he most depended. now took up arms to regain their national independence.

V. Stepha-S. Thoma.

See the Welch Chron. and year 1165. Giral. ner. l. ii. c. 12. ii. c. 18.

Provision having been made for levying folnid in vitî diers against Rhees ap Gryffyth in the parlia-

ment held at Northampton the year before, the king, upon his arrival in England from Normandy, found fome forces affembled, with which Brompton's he marched into Flintshire, where David, one under the of the fons of Owen Gwyneth, had made grievous devastations. The king was apprehensive that Cramb. Iti- the Welch would befiege Ruthlan caftle, and therefore haftened to fuccour it : but he found, Neubrig. 1 when he came thither, that, after having ravaged the open country, they had paffed, like a fudden tempeft, and were retired, with their plunder, to the vale of Cluyd in Denbighshire : whereupon contented himfelf with ftrengthening the garrifons of all his caftles in Flintshire, and then he returned into England, to augment his forces. For he knew how great a war he had to fustain, and how difficult he should find it to vanquish fo courageous and fo warlike a nation, now, when they were united, which they never had been fince their first confederacy against William Rufus. That he might be able to oppose this formidable league, he not only raifed an army of chosen men out of all his British territories, but brought over many troops from Normandy, Aquitaine, Anjou, Bretagne, and Flanders. With this combined force, the greatest that had ever been drawn together against Wales, by any king of England, he marched to Powis-land, which he entered

entered at Ofwestry, and there encamped for A. D. 1165. fome time, waiting to fee what effect the terror of his approach would have on his enemies, and whether fome of their chiefs, particularly the princes of Powis-land, whole family had been long diftinguished by their fidelity to the English, might not leave their confederates. But all were conftant in the part they had taken; all were intrepid : all were actuated with an equal and ardent defire of recovering their country from the poffeffion of ftrangers, and fhaking off from their necks the difhonourable yoke of a foreign domination. The whole power of North-Wales was collected in great multitudes, under Owen Gwyneth and his brother Cadwallader ; that of South-Wales under Rhees ap Gryffyth; that of Powis-land under Owen Cyveliock, and the five fons of Madoc ap Meredyth; to whom were joined the Welch inhabitants of the country fituated between the Wye and the Severn, under two fons of Madoc ap Ednerth, who governed as much of it as was not poffeffed by the English, with some dependence upon the princes of Powis-land and South-Wales. All these affembled at Corwen in Edeyrneon, a part of Merionethshire according to the prefent divilion of Wales, but belonging at that time to Powis-land; and they composed fuch an army, as, aided by the natural ftrength of the country. was not inferior to that brought against them by Henry. When this monarch had intelligence of their being fo near him, he advanced to the river Ceireoc, and, for fear of ambufcades, commanded the woods, that covered the banks on both fides of it, to be cut down. But, while this was executing, a body of the enemy, without any orders from their leaders, fell on his vanguard, in which he had pofted all the flower of his army. A bloody action enfued : the Welch fought

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

A. D. 1165 fought bravely; but Henry at last gained the pafs, and came to the mountain of Berwin, one of the higheft in Wales, at the foot of which he encamped. The Welch hung, like a dark cloud, at the top and on the fides of it, waiting an occafion to fight the king with advantage, who found it impracticable to attack them in the poft they had taken, and was very uneafy in his own. For the flying parties of the enemy cut off his provisions; and his foldiers, being afraid to ftir from their camp, were foon diftreft by a great fcarcity both of victuals and forage. While he was confulting what measures he should take to force the Welch to a battle, there fell on a fudden fuch exceffive and violent rains, followed by fuch inundations and torrents of water, pouring down from the mountains into the vale where he lay, that he was obliged to retire, and give over his defign of maintaining himfelf in those parts, or driving the enemy from their flation. But to punifh them as much as lay in his power, he commanded the eyes of the hoftages, they had formerly given him, to be now put out, in revenge of their violation of the faith they had plighted to him in his palace of Woodftock. Among these were two sons of Rhees ap Gryffyth, and two of Owen Gwyneth.

V. Dionyl. The putting hoftages to death in fome cafes has Halicarn. I been thought agreeable to the law of nations; and vi c. 3. PluterchdeVir- examples of it are found in the hiftory of the Rotute Milita- mans and other civilized people; but the law of ci, p <sup>244.</sup> nature, and the mild dictates of the Chriftian reliv. Grotiam gion, which are the beft interpreters of that law, de Jare Belli condemn and forbid it. Yet the ufage of the & Pacis, I. ii. c. 24. I times feemed to authorife Henry, and an uniii. c. 4. Put-happy neceffity almost compelled him, to ftrike a tendorff, I. terror, by this means, into the chiefs of the Welch; that he might fecure his own people, who were exposed to their inroads, from which no regard to their

their treaties or their oaths was able to reftrain A. D. 1165. them, and in which they committed the most horrid barbarities. How averfe he was to it we may judge from his forbearance in respect to the fons of Rhees ap Gryffyth, who had twice rebelled fince the year eleven hundred and fifty feven, when their father had made them the pledges of his fidelity; but they had not fuffered for his treason till this third infurrection, which was more unprovoked, and more pernicious to the English fubjects in Wales, than either of the former. If the king had ftill fpared them, the use of taking fuch hoftages would have been loft for the future; and it was not eafy to find any other fecurities, by which a nation fo barbarous, and fo prone to rebellion, could have been hindred from continually breaking the peace.

After some necessary refreshment had been given to his army, Henry reiolved to revert to the plan of Dr. Powe's operations, upon which he had acted fo fuccefsfully welch in the year eleven hundred and fifty feven, that chron.under is, to convey his troops by fea, and infeft all 1166. the maritime parts of Wales, without attempting to penetrate into the heart of the country. With this view he went to Chefter, and continued there fome time, till all his navy, and fome thips that he hired from Ireland, were brought together on that coaft. But on a fudden, in the midst of these preparations, he broke up his camp, and discharged both army and fleet. It may be prefumed, that an apprehenfion of fome rebellion breaking out in his foreign dominions, or of fome attack being intended against those countries, while he should be embarraft with this war, was the caufe of fuch a precipitate alteration of his measures, for which no reafon is affigned by the contemporary hiftorians. This fear may have been founded upon a fecret intelligence he then received, and

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

Welch the year 1166.

1. i.

. D. 1165 and which was never made publick. It was certainly no light matter, that could prevail upon him thus to leave his dominions in Wales exposed to the fury of the infulting and exafperated Welch. The confequences of it were pernicious to his reputation and intereft. For P. Powel's Rhees ap Gryffyth laid fiege to the caftle of chron under Abertivy, and took it ; by which having compleated his conqueft of Cardiganshire, he turned his arms against Pembrokeshire, then called Dyvet by the Welch, and made himfelf maf-ter of the fortrefs of Cilgerran, one of the beft in all Wales, which the English and Flemings, who belonged to that province, twice endeavoured to recover, but failed in their attempts. And, not long afterwards, the caftle of Bafingweark was taken and demolished by the army of North-Wales under Owen Gwyneth. The bad fuccefs of this war appears to have been a matter of great triumph to Becket : for, in V.Epift. 40. a letter he wrote to the bishop of Hereford about the end of this year, after reminding that prelate of the injuries he had fuffered, when in his perfon Christ was again judged before the tribunal of a prince, he threatened the king with the feverest judgements of God for these offences, and infultingly asked, with exprefiions borrowed from the fcriptures, " Where " are now his wife men ! Let them come forth, " and declare to him what the Lord of bofts has " thought concerning England. His wife men are

" become fools : the Lord has fent among them " a spirit of giddiness; they have made England " reel and stagger like a drunken man !"

Diceso imag hift. Arb ann, 116r.

Bendes these loss in Wales, fortune had now given another mortification to Henry. The agreeable hope that the princefs, whom his eldeft ion had married, might happen to inherit her father's crown, which had long amufed his ambition

bition for the aggrandifement of his family, was A. D. 1165. defeated this year by the birth of a fon to the king and queen of France. How much uneafinefs had been felt by Louis himfelf, from the apprehensions of a disputed fuccession in his kingdom, before this event, we have a remark-able proof in a letter written to Becket, while i. i. that prelate was still in England, by John of Salifbury, his agent at the French court, He there relates to him, among other particulars, which had paffed in a fecret audience he had obtained of the king, that this monarch being informed by him of the health of the young princefs, his daughter, espoused to the prince of England, had made answer thereupon, that be beartily wished the angels had already received her into paradife. He replied, that by God's mercy she would bereafter be there, but before that time the would make the happiness of many nations. The king faid, that this was possible indeed to God; but it was far more likely that fie would be the caufe of many evils. And, undoubtedly, if he had died without a fon, her pretenfions, and those of her husband in virtue of his marriage, might have occafioned a civil war in France; which probably would have ended in the fettlement of the kingdom upon the house of Plantagenet : but, though the disappointment of this hope might be unpleasing to Henry, it was happy for England; as the certain confequence of the two kingdoms being under one fovereign would have been the fubjection of the interefts, if not of the laws and government of this island, to those of France. Some compenfation was given to him for the prospect he had loft, by a propofal of marriage now made to Diceto imag his eldeft daughter Matilda, from Henry, fur-bift. fub and named the Lion, duke of Saxony and Bavaria, 1163. to whom in the luftre of his family, and ex-

445

tent

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

A.D. 1165 tent of dominions, few kings were equal. On-

V. Annales Paderb. & Annales Gori.

30

the fide of his mother he could reckon fix emperours among his progenitors, and by the male line he descended from the noble house of Este. one of the most ancient in Italy. Azzo the Fourth, a prince of that family, had come into Germany, about a hundred years before, and married the daughter of Guelph the Third, count of Ravensburg and of Altorff; by whom he had a fon, who, upon the death of his uncle, in the year of our lord one thousand and fifty five, inherited all the teritories belonging to those counties; and, about fifteen years afterwards, obtained the investiture of the dutchy of Bavaria from the emperour Henry the Fourth. The dutchy of Saxony was also acquired by a marriage, which Henry the Proud, great grandfon to this prince, contracted with Gertrude, the only child of the emperour Lotharius the Second. Upon the decease of Lotharius, in the year eleven hundred and thirty eight, his fon-in-law afpired to the imperial crown : but Conrade duke of Franconia being preferred to him, he was put under the ban of the empire, and forced to compound for the recovery of all his other dominions, confifcated in this conteft, by yielding Bavaria to the Margrave of Auftria. His fon, Henry the Lion, recovered that dutchy, by a decree of the diet under the Emperour Frederick, in the year eleven hundred and fifty three; but not fo entire as his father had poffeffed it. Nevertheless both that and Saxony were much more extensive in those days than at prefent; and befides thefe he had two dutchies, which no longer fubfift, Weftphalia, and Angaria; in the latter of which were contained the provinces of Brunfwick and Luneburg. Great conquefts had been likewife made by the valour of this prince, in the countries north of the Elbe, upon the Venedi, the Sclavi, and the Vandals, who, together with the religion, still retained

retained the martial spirit and fierceness of their A. D. 1163. anceftors. Many of thefe he drove out from the dutchy of Mecklenburgh, and repeopled the country with Saxons and other Chriftians : the reft he forced to fubmit to his government, or to that of the king of Denmark, whole arms he affifted. The renown he gained by thefe exploits were fo widely diffused, that the Greek emperour, Emanuel Comnenus, fent him an embaffy, to congratulate him upon them, and defire his alliance. As to his perfonal qualities, Iv. Radevie. find this character of him in Radevicus, a contem-1. ii. c. 38. porary German historian, who, not being his fubject, may be reasonably supposed to have given it impartially. "He was endowed by nature (fays " that author) with a very agreeable counte-" nance, a very ftrong body, and a much ftrong-" er mind. From his earlieft youth, he did not " give himfelf up, to be corrupted by floth and " luxury ; but, conformably to the cuftom of the " Saxons, employed all his time in exercifes of " chivalry, among the nobility of his own age; " and, though he furpaffed them all in glory, " was yet beloved by them all. He contend-" ed with the braveft in valour, with the most " modeft in modefty, and with the most in-" nocent in the integrity and fobriety of his man-" ners, feeking rather to be than feem good. But the " virtue he most excelled in was strict and fevere " juffice; infomuch that he was a terror to all bad " men, and most dear to the good, by the respect " he caufed to be paid to his laws."

From the picture of him here drawn he appears to have been a prince of the first rank in merit, as well as power: yet, however defirable an alliance with him might be in all these respects, there was one objection against it of no small weight, namely, that he was confidered, both by the English and French, as a schissmatick, for taking part with the two antipopes, Victor ard Paschal.

Book III.

A. D. 1165 Pafchal. It is probable that this circumftance would have prevented the king from agreeing to the match, if he had not been greatly incenfed against Alexander, and defirous to procure to himfelf new alliances. which he might fafely depend on, in cafe that his Holinefsthould be driven, by the violence of Becket, to further hoftilities. But these motives induced him to accept the duke of Saxony's propofal with pleafure. Diceto, fub It was brought to him by ministers fent from the ann. 1165. emperour, who was coufin-german to that prince; and they were ordered to propole, not only this marriage, but a confederacy between their mafter and the king. At the head of the embaffy was the archbishop of Cologne, the emperour's favourite and principal minifter. An embassador of fuch dignity had never before been feen in England. He was therefore entertained with extraordinary honours. All the nobility went out in great pomp to receive him, except the earl of Leicester, who refused it, on account of the excommunication he had been laid under by Alexander, as a chief abettor of the schifm. It seems strange that this lord, fhould thus alone, and in opposition to all the other peers, offend the king, and the royal family, in fo tender a point. There is not the leaft intimation, either in the hiftory of those times, or the epiftlespreferved to us, that he had been foured against Henry by any act of that monarch. This fingularity must have been therefore the effect of a confcientious regard to religion : and from hence it may, perhaps, benot unjustly inferred, that he would not have taken a leading part in the proceedings against Becket, if he had not thought them agreeable both to the law of the land and the law of God. It may be prefumed that he difapproved both of the match with the duke of Saxony and the confederacy with the emperour : but it does not appear that he opposed them; or that any one of the prelates objected against them. On the contrary, we find, that not only the young princefswas betrothed to the duke, and the league with Frederick agreed to, without contradiction, but foon after

after the return of the archbishop of Cologne the A. D. 1165" following letter was fent to that prelate by the king. 1. i. " I have long wifhed that fome just occasion might be given me to leave the party of Pope Alexan-66 der and his perfidious cardinals, who prefume to \$6 66 maintain that traitor, Thomas, fome time archbishop of Canterbury, against me. Wherefore by 66 the advice of all my barons, and with the consent of my 66 clergy. I now intend to fend to Rome fome prin-" < 6 cipal men of my kingdom, namely the archbi-66 fhop of York, the bifhop of London, the archdeacon of Poitiers, Richard de Lucy, and John of " " Oxford, who publickly and manifeftly, in behalf " of myfelf and the whole kingdom of England, " and of all the other territories under my govern-•• ment, shall propound and denounce to Pope 66 Alexander and his cardinals, that I expect they 66 shall no longer support that traitor, but so rid " me of him, as that I may, with the advice of " my clergy, eftablish another in the church of 66 Canterbury : and shall further require that they " revoke and annul whatfoever he has done. This 62 alfo shall they demand, that, in their prefence, 66 the pope shall cause an oath to be publickly 66 taken, that he himfelf and his fucceffors shall " for ever maintain (as far as in them lies) to me 66 and all my fucceffors, the royal cuftoms of my 66 grandfather, Henry the First, unshaken and in-66 violate. But if it shall so happen that they re-26 fufe any one of my demands, then neither I, nor 66 my clergy, will any longer pay any obedience 66 to Alexander; nay, we will openly oppofe him 66 and all his adherents : and whofoever in my do-66 minions is found to perfift in a wilful adherence " to his party shall be driven into banishment. 56 We therefore entreat you, as our dearest friend, " that you will not fail to fend us speedily brother " Ernold, or brother Radolph, of the order of the " knights hospitallers, who, on the part of the 66 emperour and yourfelf, may give my embaffa-" dors VOL. II. Gg

Book III

450

A. D. 1165." dors a fafe conduct, to go and return through " the emperour's territories."

That Henry should thus, by the advice of all his barons, and with the confent of his clergy, declare a refolution fo contrary to all their former proceedings, with regard to the election of Alexander. is very furprifing. It feems to fhew, that the whole nobility, and a majority even of the clergy in England, thought the acknowledgement of a pope rather a matter of policy, than of right or religion, and believed, that they were at liberty to withdraw their obedience, if he, whom they had acknowledged, prefumed to oppose the cuftoms of the kingdom. But how thefe notions could agree with that veneration for the papacy, or that abhorrence of fchifm, which in other inftances they profeffed, and teftified by their conduct, it is not eafy to difcover.

Of the five embaffadors named in the king's letter here recited two only were fent, namely Richard of Ivelchefter, archdeacon of Poitiers; and John of Oxford. They found at Wurftburg (or Wittenburge) a diet affembled for the more Pagi Brevi. folemn acknowledgement of Gudio de Crema, who was called by his adherents Pope Pafchal the Third. The emperour himfelf, and, after him,

all the princes and bishops there prefent, fwore to epilt 70,71, obey the faid Pafchal, and never to acknowledge Orlando, called Alexander, or any fucceffor elected by those of his faction. It was also decreed, that whofoever fhould afterwards fucceed to the empire, fhould bind himfelf by an oath to fupport the imperial dignity, and adhere to the engagements that were taken in this diet. Laftly, it was mioined, that, within fix days after the diffolution of the council, the fame oaths fhould be tendered to all orders and ranks of men throughout the whole empire; which whofever refused was to be deemed a publick enemy. Towards the end of thefe

V. Baronii annales. Francifci pont. Roman.

Epift. S. Thom. l. i.

#### Book III.

- these proceedings Richard of Ivelchester and John A. D 1165 of Oxford arrived at Wurtsburg; and (if we may Thoma, 70. believe the emperour's letters patent, foon after-71.1. i. wards published) did there, in the name of their mafter, take an oath, upon the reliques of faints. that the king of England and his whole kingdom would faithfully adhere to the emperour's party, and constantly acknowledge the pope whom he had acknowledged, without doing any thing further to support the schifmatick Orlando. But, though in these letters we find no mention made of any condition having been annexed to the oath, there is reafon to think that the embaffadors took it conditionally, in cafe that Alexander should refuse to give the king fatisfaction with relation to Becket. For fo the letter to the archbishop of Cologne explains his intention. We have also a letter from the arch-y. Epift 9. bishop of Rouen, in which that prelate most fo-Thome, 102 lemnly affures the pope, that neither by himfelf, nor by his embassadors, had the king given any oath or promise to the emperour, that he would acknowledge the antipope. Yet this expression, I presume, must be understood to mean only, that no unconditional oath or promife had been given. For the bifhop of London, in a letter to Alexander, which he Epift. 38.1.1. wrote to vindicate Henry against this charge, feems no otherwife to deny it. " The king (he fays) " afferted, that he had not withdrawn his regard " from that pontiff, nor ever purposed to do it : " but, so long as bis Holines's would act towards " him with a paternal affection, he would love him " as a father, and obey his injunctions, faving his " own royal dignity, and that of his kingdom. The fame conditions are expressed in a letter written Epist 41.1.11 by the king to the college of cardinals, as an anfwer to fome complaints the pope had made on this fubject. He there affures them, " that it " was his most hearty defire to perfevere in the in-" tegrity of love to that pontiff, if his Haline's Gg2 " would

### HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book III.

452

A. D. 1164. " in return, maintain to bim and bis kingdom the " same bonour and dignity, as holy and venerable popes of Rome had maintained to bis predeceffors."

It is a very wonderful thing, that the emperour's letters patent, published to the whole empire, fhould reprefent an engagement as abfolute, which was only conditional, and dependant on a contingency which might never happen ! But it is ftill more unaccountable, that Henry's ministers should have fo exceeded their orders, as to have abfolutely engaged him, without his confent, in an act of fuch importance; or that, if they had done to he fhould not have punished them, on their return to England : whereas it appears, that they continued to enjoy his favour and confidence. Perhaps they had acted upon fecret inftructions, which he thought proper to deny to all but themfelves. However this may have been, it is fufficiently evident, that his honour fuffered very much from this transaction. For he did not frighten Alexander into any compliance with his demands; nor yet did he quit him, upon their being rejected; as, by his letter to the archbithop of Cologne, he had promifed to do. It does not even appear that he ever proposed to that pontiff the oath mentioned therein : nor did his embassadors go from Wurtfburg to Rome. This variation in the purpofes and conduct of a prince, whofe mind was naturally fleady, muft unqueflionably have been owing to fome fecret caufe, which is hidden from us by our ignorance of the ancedotes of those times, About the beginning of the year eleven hundred

Neubrigen-1166.

fis, 1. ii. c. and fixty fix a fynod was held at Oxford, in the Diceto imag prefence of Henry, for the examination of fome hift. fubann. German men and women, about thirty in number, who four or five years before had come over into England from fome part of the lower Germany, either to fhun a perfecution, or to propagate their opinions, which differed from those of the eftablifhed

· blifhed religion. At the head of them was one A. D. 1166 Gerard, to whofe guidance they implicitly fubmitted their minds; he having fome learning; whereas they all were illiterate and ignorant rufficks. For fome time after their landing, as their manners were perfectly innocent, and they were cautious of any publick declaration of their tenets, no notice was taken of them by the clergy or govern-They gained but one profelyte, who was a ment. woman of low rank; yet this gave an alarm, and fome enquiring more curioufly into their doctrines, they were taken up, and imprifoned, while the king was abroad. Being now in England, and at leifure to confider this affair, he would neither difmifs nor punish them unexamined. A fynod of bishops was therefore convened by him at Oxford, before which they were brought; and being ordered to make a folemn profession of their faith, they answered by Gerard, their teacher, who took upon himfelf to speak for them, that they were Christians, and venerated the dostrines of the apostles. But when they were examined particularly upon the feveral articles of faith, they answered (fays William of Newbury) perverfely and erroneoufly concerning the facraments, speaking with deteftation of baptilm, of the eucharist, and of marriage. When they were preffed with texts of fcripture in oppofition to thefe notions, they faid, they believed as they were taught, but would not difpute about their faith. Being admonished to repent, and return to the body of the church, they received those exhortations with a determined contempt. When they were threatened with punifhment, they fmiled, and answered, Bleffed are they who suffer persecution for righteousness' sake; for their's is the kingdom of heaven. The bishops therefore condemned them as obstinate hereticks, and delivered them over to the king for corporal punifhment. Henry had no rule, in the practice or laws of his kingdom, to di-Gg 3 rect

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book III.

the

454 A. D. 1166. rect him in the manner of punishing fuch offen-Lii c. 13. ders. For William of Newbury well observes. that no herefy had ever arifen in England, or been brought into it from abroad, fince the expulsion of the Britons from that part of the ifland fo called by Ibid. c. 15. the Saxons. But against the Albigenses, (of which fect the abovementioned hiftorian supposes these to have been) the council of Tours had made a canon forbidding all perfors, under pain of incurring the highest censures of the church, to harbour or protect them, or to hold with them any intercourse of buying or felling, that, by being deprived of all the comforts of human fociety, they might be compelled to repent, and for sake their errors. Moreover, all catholick princes were exhorted and injoined by the council, to imprifon any of them whom they difcovered in their territories, and confifcate all their poffeffions. Henry, no doubt, was apprifed of these canons by his bishops, and he acted conformably to that cruel fpirit by which they were dictated : a fpirit very different from the humanity and benignity of his own nature. He did not indeed remand these perfons back to prison, but he com-Neubrigenf, manded them all to be branded in the forehead 1. ii. c. 13. with a hot iron, and then to be publickly whipt and expelled out of Oxford. He likewife forbad all his fubjects to receive them in their houfes, or give them any relief. Their teacher, as the most culpable, was diffinguished from the reft by being branded in the chin as well as the forehead. When they were led to their punishment, they went joyfully; their teacher going before them, and finging these words of the goipel, Bleffed are ye, when men shall hate you. The fentence was executed with the most barbarous rigour. Their cloaths were cut off as low as to their waftes; their backs were torn with ftripes, unmercifully inflicted; and they were turned out naked and bleeding into the open fields, in the midft of winter; the cold of which, and

the want of all the neceffaries of life, foon mifera-A.D. 1166bly killed them; none affording them any fuccour, or even fhewing them any pity. But the Englith woman, whom they had perfuaded to embrace their opinions, forfook them, for fear of the inftant punithment, and efcaped it: nor, till long afterwards, did any fectaries, who diffented from the eftablifhed faith of the church, venture to come into England, left they alfo fhould perifh in the fame lamentable manner as thefe unfortunate perfons.

A learned author, who has lately inveftigated v. I. Conthis matter with great accuracy and fagacity, be-radi Fuelilieves that the herefy, with which Gerard and his Tigurini disciples were infected, was that of the Cathari, or Differtatio Puritans, a fanatical fect, who came from Greece Seculo XI. into Italy, and were first discovered in the Milanese in Italia detectis. & about the middle of the eleventh century, from ejuidem whence they fpread into France and many other epift. ad arcountries, where they were called Albigenses, Pa-Cant. de Fatareni, and Publicans. These have been very im-naticis Se-culo XII. in properly confounded by hiftorians with the Vaudois Anglià reand Waldenfes, who differed but little from the pertis &c. doctrines of the reformed churches in our days: whereas the Cathari were imbued with opinions destructive of true Christianity, if we can give any credit to the accounts that are delivered of their tenets by the beft contemporary authors. But even the beft must, in these points, be read with doubt and caution.

This affair being thus terminated, Henry went Chron. Not. into France, where his prefence was become neceffary on many accounts. The first measures he took were to chastife fome of his barons in the earldom of Maine, for having ditobeyed the commands of Queen Eleanor, whom he had left regent there, as well as in Aquitaine, at his last return into England; and for having confederated themfelves with fome nobles of Bretagne, in what they

Gg4

called

456

A. D. 1166. called an affociation for their mutual defence, but, indeed, in a confpiracy against his authority. He did not wait till he felt the dangerous effects of this new-cemented league; but drew the fword first, and before they could receive an affiftance from the Bretons, forced them all to furrender to him both their caftles and perfons. The feeds of fedition, which had been fown in that province, being thus cruthed in good time, he next applied himfelf to compose the diforders, which had broken Dicetoimag, out in Bretagne. The baron de Fougeres, who hift fub ann. had been the chief inftrument of Duke Conan's 1166. Neubrigenf. fuccefs in the civil war between him and his father-1 ii. c. 18. in-law Eudo, had now raifed a very dangerous rebellion against him; which was easily done under the government of a weak and indolent prince, in a country where the nobility had been accuftomed to maintain their power by faction, and their riches by plunder. Many barons joined with him, and he had flattered himfelf with an additional ftrength from the intended infurrection in the earldom of Maine ; but his chief confidence was in Louis, who, by a promife of fupport, had excited him to take arms. It is very probable that Becket had opened the eyes of that monarch, and fhewn him the error of his conduct, in having fuffered the king of England to acquire for himfelf the city of Nantes with its earldom, and to give the reft of the dutchy of Bretagne to Conan. Upon the difcovery of fuch a powerful combination against him, the duke was greatly intimidated, and feeing no means of defence, but in the friendship and ailistance of Henry, concluded a treaty, which had been in agitation fome time, for the contracting of his only child, the Princess Constantia, with Geoffry, Henry's third fon, and refigning to Henry, as truftee for that prince during the time of his infancy, the whole dutchy of Bretagne, except the earldom of Guingamp, which he referved to fupport

port him in a ftate of retirement, more agreeable A. D. 1166 to his temper than a government exposed to perpetual troubles, and requiring abilities he was confcious were wanting in himfelf. To accelerate and fecure the execution of a purpole fo beneficial to his family, Henry led into Bretagne all the troops he could affemble, and began his operations by befieging the caftle of Fougeres, a place very well fortified, and provided with all neceffaries for a long defence. The baron, having laid wafte thev. Epit. 163. whole country about it, upon the approach of the king, put himfelf at the head of a felect body of horfe, with which he cut off the fmall parties that the befieging army was obliged to fend out to a diftance, for provisions or forage; and by fudden incurfions often harraffed the camp itfelf. This retarded the fiege; and Henry, fearing that the difficulties of procuring fubfiftance for his forces would daily encreafe, refolved to ftorm the caftle. This determination was bravely executed; he took Diceto. it fword in hand: the garrifon were all made pri-Chron. Norfoners of war; the caffle was pillaged and demolifhed. So great a terror was ftruck into the minds of the other nobles, who had rebelled against Conan, by the heavy blow thus unexpectedly given to their chief, that immediately they all laid down their arms, and fubmitted to Henry, who purfuant to the agreement between him and Conan, took poffeifion of the dutchy in the name of his fon, and received the homage of the vaffals, as adminiftrator and governour of Bretagne, till the young prince and Conftantia should be capable of the government. They were not yet old enough to confummate their marriage: but fuch premature matches in the families of princes were authorifed by the general practice of the times. A greater objection to this was the confanguinity of the parties; for they were coufins in the third degree; and therefore a papal difpensation was requisite to make

A.D. 1166 make the marriage canonical, which Henry hoped to obtain from Alexander, notwithstanding the diffension between him and that portiff upon ecclessifical matters. In the mean while he had the custody of the princes, as well as the administration of all her dominions.

This was the greatest acquisition that any king of Englard had ever made on the continent, except that of Normandy by Henry the First. It y. Gemiu- had been formerly divided into upper and lower cenf. I. vii. Bretagne, under different earls, who, by the cuford vital, tom of Gavelkind, derived to them from the Bri-1 iv. p. 544 tons, had equally fhared the inheritance, at the death of Geoffry the First, husband to the great aunt of William the Conquerour. But Conan le Petit having inherited the upper Bretagne from his mother, the daughter of Conan le Gros, and the lower from his father, Alan le Sauvage, his daughter now fucceeded to the whole dutchy reunited. This revolution, which committed the government of it to Henry in the minority of that princefs, v. Neubrig, was of great advantage to the people. They had been grievoully tyrannifed over by the nobles; I. ii. c. 18.

fome of whom were fo powerful, that, as they feared no chaftifement, they difdained all fubjection, and, for many years past, had so desolated their country with civil wars, or acts of cruelty and violence, that large tracts thereof were deferted. But Henry taught them to respect the authority of government and dread its juffice. It is the peculiar glory of this prince, that wherever he gained dominion he drove out all tyranny! The Bretors knew this, and therefore fought his protection. Nor were they deceived in their hopes. He took from the nobles many caftles they had accounted impregrable, or inacceffible to his arms. The most rebellious he compelled to leave the country: others he reduced to submission and obedience; fo that, after a few years of his administration

tion in Bretagne, the whole land was repeopled; A. D. 1166. and that legal and regular liberty, which he had eftablished in his other territories, was imparted to thefe, which had fo long been the feat of confusion and oppreffion,

But, while he was thus employed in the moft beneficent and most laudable acts of royal virtue, humbling the proud, reftraining the profligate, and protecting the feeble; Becket was bufied in V. Epift. 64. writing to him letters of admonition and commina-65, 66 1 i tion. In one of these he affirms, that kings receive their power from the church, and argues largely from this principle against the royal customs. In another he repeats fome of the arguments used by Pope Pafchal the Second to King Henry the First. "Who doubts (fays he) that the priefts of Chrift v. Epift. 6c. " are to be deemed the fathers and mafters of l.i. " kings and princes and all the faithful? Is it not " acknowledged to be an inftance of miferable " madnefs, if a fon should attempt to hold his fa " the in fubjection, or a difciple his mafter, and " by unjust obligations reduce that perfor under " his power, by whom he ought to believe that he " may be bound or loofed, not only in earth, but " in heaven." He tells the king, " It is written, " that none ought ever to judge a priest but the " church; and to pafs fentence on fuch does not be-" long to buman laws : that Christian princes are ac-" cuftomed to obey the decrees of the church, not to fet " their own power above them; to bow their heads to " bishops, not to judge bishops."

It is a fentence in the decretals of Gregory the v. 7th do-Seventh, which Becket here quotes as Scripture; cret.dift 96. and the whole letter is full of fimilar doctrines, delivered with an authority, as if they had been the word of God. All the others, which he fent to v. Epift.64. Henry at this time, were written in much the fame<sup>66. 1.</sup> ftyle; and the purport of them was, (befides a geperal exposition of his theological principles with relation

IFE Book III.

A.D. 1166 relation to the controverfy between him and the ftate) to demand a full reftitution of whatever had been taken from his church, his friends, or himfelf, with leave to return to his fee, in freedom, peace, and fecurity, and to do his duty there without reftraint; upon which conditions he promifed to ferve the king faithfully, to the beft of his power, faving the honour of God, and of the Roman church, and the rights of his order. It was not very likely that Henry would be difpoled to accept of his fervice under all these reftrictions, or could be perfuaded by any eloquence to grant him fuch terms. He therefore mingled threats with admonitions and

V Epift 65 arguments, telling Henry, that many pontiffs had 1. i. excommunicated both kings and emperours; and that be ought, like David and Theodofius, to humble himfelf beneath the corrections of fuch holy men, repent, and amend. All this was preparatory to the terrible fentence of excommunication, which he defigned to pass on the king's person, as soon as the forms prefcribed by the canons of the church would fuffer him to do it. Most of the English bishops had likewife incurred his difpleafure; and though he duift not attack them for what they had done at Northampton, becaufe of the appeal which they had made to the pope, he found other pretences to bring them under the lash of his metropolitan jurifdiction. About this time he fent a letter to the V.Epift.toc. bifhop of Salifbury, by which he fufpended that I. 1. prelate from all epifcopal functions, for having lately, against his and the pope's prohibition, admitted John of Oxford into the deanry of Salifbury, in the absence of some of the canons, who were in banishment with him, and for his fake. He alfo annulled the election, and declared John of Oxford excommunicate, for his intrufion into that dignity, and likewife for his behaviour at the diet of Wurtfburg. The bifhop of Salifbury hereupon appealed to the pope; and all his

his brethren in England were fo apprehenfive of A.D. 1166. what might follow, against themselves, the king, and kingdom, that they thought it neceffary to Epift 126. prevent it, by a previous appeal to his Holinefs, I. i. e Cod. which they notified to Becket in an eloquent letter, in the Apo, written in the name of the whole English clergy. This contained fevere reproaches of his turbulent conduct, and ingratitude to the king, reminding him " how that monarch had exalted him from a " low and private state to the highest degree of " honour, and had fubjected to his power all parts " of his own dominions, which extended from the " northern ocean to the Pyrenæan mountains." To this he answered, " That, before he came into Epid 127. " the fervice of the king, he had a fufficient de-Li 66 gree of wealth and dignity: That David was V. Append. 66 raifed from a lower ftate to reign over the peo-66 ple of God; and Peter, from a fisherman, was " made the head of the church : that the latter, 66 by fuffering death for the name of Chrift, had " merited a crown in the heavens, and glory upon 66 earth : That he wished to do the fame ; for he " was a fucceffor of Peter, not of Augustus: " That he better repayed the king's favour by " obtaining for him the divine mercy through a " wholefome feverity, than they did who flattered " and made their court to him with lies. That " he did not mean to be ungrateful; and in all " offences it was the intention that made the guilt. " That God himfelf had faid, " If thou doit not " declare to the ungodly his iniquity, and he dies " in his fin, I will require his blood from thy " hands." In like maaner he defended himfelf from other charges against him, with much art and Epit. 109. much spirit. But, besides this general answer, he vatic in wrote a particular letter to Gilbert Foliot, bishop Append. of London, whom he fuspected to have dictated that which had given him to much offence, and vented therein all the rancour of his heart against that prelate. This

A. D. 1166. This occasioned a reply, which the bishop be-V. Epift 126 e Cod. Cot-gan by denying, in the most folemn manner. the ton, in Ap- imputation caft upon him in both the letters abovementioned, as if he had afpired to the archbishoprick of Canterbury, and had been irritated against Becket on account of the preference given to him by Henry in that promotion. Befides the ftrongeft appeal to God, on the falfhood of this charge, he called on the archbishop himself to

teftify, whether in order to obtain the fee of Lordon he had made any court to him, who, by his favour with the king, was then the fole difpofer of all preferments; and, from his not having done that he inferred the improbability of his having applied to any minister, or shewen any ambition, to gain the fee of Canterbury. But he charged Becket with having ambitioufly defired that dignity, and having procured his election by the most violent use of the royal authority. He likewife upbraided him with the burthens he had laid upon the church, in his administration as chancellour on occasion of the war of Touloufe : and with having deferted his brethern in the oppolition they had made to the conflitutions of Clarendon, agreeing to fwear to the obfervation of them, and declaring that he meant to perjure himself in fo doing; injoining them likewife to take the oath he had taken, and then breaking it by acts directly contrary to those statutes. The proceeddings at Northampton in co-fequence of that difobedience are recapitulated in this letter, as they are related above; and the archbishop is reproached with his behaviour there, and flight from thence into a voluntary exile. And as that prelate had called on all his brethren in England to be martyrs with him, the bishop tells him, that it is not the punisement, but the cause, which makes the martyr. That, God be thanked, there was then in England no dispute about the faith, none about the facraments.

## 462

pend.

ments, none about morals. True religion flourished A.D. 1166equally in the prince, in the prelates, in all the fubjeEts of the kingdom. None had joined in the schifm which then divided the church. The whole contention was against the king, and concerning the royal authority, with respect to certain customs, which be afferted to have been established in the time of his predeceffors, and required to be kept under bim. The bishop, having thus stated the nature and grounds of the controverfy, expoftulates with Becket on the injustice of drawing the fword of excommunication against the arointed head of the king, becaufe he would not give up thefe cuftoms, which he had not introduced himfelf, but found inftituted and confirmed by a long usage of the kingdom; observing, " that the difficulty of pulling up any plant must naturally be greater, in proportion to the time it has had to take root, and ftrike deep into the ground." He then reminds him, " that his predeceffor in the fee of Canterbury, Augustin, the first apostle of the Arglo-Saxons, had turned that nation and their king from the many evil cuftoms eftablished among them, not by maledictions, but by gentle and friendly exhortations, which had inclined the minds of the powerful to receive good inftructions: that John of Crema, in their own times, being fent over from Rome, had, by the fame methods, procured an alteration of many cuftoms long fettled in the kingdom : and that lately the king of France, had, on the birth of his fon, by way of thankfgiving for that favour vouchfafed to him by God, and at the interceffions of his clergy, taken off many burthens, laid upon them and confirmed by ancient, ufage: none of which changes could have been effected by force or menaces." In purfuing this argument of the unfitnels of violent and the probability of fuccefs by gentle meafures, he men-tions it as a thing well known to Becket, " that Henry,

Book III

A. D. 1166. Henry, in the midft of all his greatnefs, had fuch a contempt of the world, and fuch a fpirit of devotion, that he could hardly be restrained from retiring to a convent !" This appears very extraordinary, and unfuitable to the temper and character of that prince ; but I prefume it was rather a paffionate and vehement expression of that difguft, which the troublefome flate of his affairs had produced in his mind, and which he may have vented to this prelate in difcourfing upon them, than any deliberate purpofe, or real inclination to a monaftick retreat. The bishop further afferts, " that he would, long before that time, have given up fuch of those customs as were most offenfive to the clergy, if two confiderations had not hindered; first, the fear of its being thought difhonourable to him, that the rights of a kingdom, which had devolved to him from his anceftors, should be impaired in his days; and fecordly, the fhame, that what he granted from a motive of piety, fhould be fuppofed to be extorted from him by force: yet, that he had fo far got over the first of these difficulties, as to be willing to affemble the clergy of this kingdom, and by their advice correct and alter fuch cuftoms of the realm, as fhould be found grievous to them; if the diffurbance raifed by Becket had not prevented the good effects of this gracious disposition." But in another letter, which the clergy of the province of Canterbury afterwards wrote to the pope, V Foilt ras it is faid, " that, if there was any thing in the conftitutions of Clarendon, either dangerous e Cod. Vatic. 66 1. i. in AFP. cc to the fouls of men, or ignominious to the 66 church, the king had long promifed, and ftill perfifted in promifirg, that he would correct it 66 " by the advice of his kingdom :" which explains the paffage above cited from Foliot's letter to

Becket, as meaning, not that Henry had promifed to

to fubmit the royal cuftoms to the judgement and A. D. 1166. correction of the clergy alone, but of them and the whole nation affembled in parliament. Indeed even this was a conceffion, which, confidering how deliberately the conftitutions of Clarendon had been enacted, ought not to have been made : and which agrees but ill with the conftancy he afterwards shewed in maintaining most of those statutes, against all the efforts of Becket and of the pope to fubvert them. It is not improbable, that in making this promife to the bifhops he only fought to gain time, and enable them to profecute their appeal to the pope with more advantage, intending to elude the performance of it. or trufting that the parliament, when Becket fhould be no longer archbishop of Canterbury, would, upon a revision, confirm, instead of abrogating, the greater part of those laws.

In another part of the bishop of London's epiftle abovementioned he recites fome epiftles and decrees of popes forbidding any clergyman to fubmit to the judgement of any fecular court; but intimates an opinion, that, by virtue of the unstion received at his coronation, the king was fo fanctified, as to be reputed, not only a fecular, but an ecclefiaftical magistrate. He also reminds the archbishop, that Pope Leo the Fourth, in a letter to the emperour, acknowledged himfelf to be fubject to the jurifdiction of that prince, or of judges fent from him, touching any offence he might have committed against his subjects. He then diftinguishes to what ecclesiaftical cafes the royal jurifdiction extends, and to what it does not extend: fome things, he fays, belong to the church by divine right, and fome by human. Among the first he places ecclefiaftical degrees and orders, with all the dignities and powers thereunto annexed, and all the fpiritual functions of the priefthood, He shews from the Old Testament, that when VOL. II. Hh the

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

A. D. 1166 the laity prefumed to intermeddle in these they were punished for it by fignal acts of divine vengeance. "Every prieft, he fays, is in thefe fuperior to a king, as a father and a paftor over a fon and a disciple. If therefore a king has offended against God, he ought to feek (after the example of Theodofius the Great) to be reconcil ed to him by the intervention of the priefthood. If priefts accufe each other, the judgement of this caufe does not belong to the king, but he ought to withdraw, and go backwards, left he should behold the nakedness of his father." But the bishop adds. " that, befides the fpiritual, there are alfo fome material thirgs, which the church holds by divine right. Among thefe he reckons tithes, oblations, and first fruits; and concerning these, which the Lord has fanctified to himfelf, and dedicated by an eternal law to the use of his ministers, he denies that the royal power has any proper cognizance." But he observes, "that the church posfeffes many thirgs by human right alone namely, fuch as have been granted to it, not in virtue of any precept or law of God, but by the voluntary gifts of men, which the zeal of Christians had extended far beyond the limitation of the levitical portion. Kings (he fays) and elect princes had transferred to the church their ample patrimonies; to that the ancient prediction to her fons had been literally fulfilled, ye fall devour the strength of the nations, and in the glory of their people (ball ye be proud." He makes the fame application of fome other texts of scripture, and seems to intimate, that the docations and conceffions of this nature had been excellive and fuperfluous; concluding, " that it is free to every man, in giving what is his own, to annex what conditions he pleafes to the gift, provided they are not unlawful or im-From hence he infers the obligation of morral." churchmen to perform all the fervices annexed, by cuftom

cuftom to their fiefs and temporal poffessions. HeA. D. 1165. fays, "that the power conferred by God made his minifters pontiffs, and the power conferred by the king made them earls or barons. By virtue of the latter the clergy had obtained in the palace a high degree of preeminence, having a principal place in all trials and judgements of the kingdom, except when the question was concerning life or blood ; in confequence of which they were bound, when cited by the king, to attend his court, and try caufes, even concerning the lands which the royal bounty had beftowed on the church. whether the conteft was among themfelves, or raifed against them by the laity: and, though in fpirituals they were diftinguished by different degrees, in these temporal matters they all judged as peers to each other and to the temporal barons: and each of them was equally obliged to fubmit to the fentence given by all." The bishop adds, " that from the different exercise of the facerdotal and royal powers, both of which were from God; and from the vicifitude between thefe of judging and being judged, there arole a ftrong boad of mutual affection and reverence; and each of them was interefted to fecure the peace of the other." He therefore praifes Becket " for having, at first, fubmitted to the fentence of the king's court againft him; but laments, that he should have declined the judgement thereof in a pecuniary caufe between him and his fovereign, who rather angrily than greedily demanded from him his own." And, as Becket had faid, in the letter to which this was a reply, that an archbishop of Canterbury's being compelled to answer such demands in the king's court was a novelty unheard of before, he tells him, "it was unheard of, that ever, till that time, an officer of the court had been to fuddenly exalted to that fee; that a man should pass from hawks and hounds, and other pleafures of Hh? the

A D. 1166. the court, to the fervice of the altar, and the adminiftration of the higheft fpiritual office and dignity in the kingdom." In the conclusion of his letter he admonishes him to call to mind, that our Lord did not turn to Zacheus, till be came down from the sycamore, and exhorts him to defcend from the heighth of his arrogance, that the king might turn to him, and grant more to his humility, than he would to his pride, or his threats.

120. l. i.

This is the fubstance of Gilbert Foliot's most remarkable letter, a transcript of which from the V. Append. Cotton manufcript is in the Appendix to this book, together with feveral others that were written during the courfe of this quarrel, by which the merits of the caufe, the temper of the parties, and the abilities of the writers, may be more particularly feen.

But it was not Becket's intention to combate his antagonifts by words alone. He had now received from the pope a power to exercife at dif-V.Epift 119 cretion ecclesiaftical justice against those who had usurped the goods of his church, or done him or his friends any injury, if they refused reftitution and fatisfaction. His Holinefs also faid, " that, as to the perfon of the king he gave him no special mandate ; but neither would be take from him that authority which belonged to his office, as archbifhop of Canterbury, and which he defined to preferve to him unprejudiced and entire." This Becket interpreted into an absolute power of excommunicating Henry when he fhould think proper, fuppoling perhaps, and not unreafonably, that Alexander meant only to remove from himfelf the odium of fuch a violent act, and throw it chiefly upon him. Nor was he afraid, or unwilling, to bear that burthen : and having already gone through the canonical forms of admonition and commination, he thought it time to pais fentence. About the beginning of June, in the year eleven hundred and fixty fix, he

he went from Pontigni to Soiffons, in order to A. D. 1166. visit the sepulchre of St. Dransfius, who was sup-v.Epist 140 posed to have the power of rendering invincible<sup>1, i.</sup> any champion who fhould pafs a night at his fhrine. Robert de Montfort, before his duel withHenry de Effex, had practifed this devotion; and his good fuccess was ascribed to the intercession of the faint. Here therefore Becket, adopting the popular fuperflition, prepared himfelf for the exercife of his fpiritual chivalry, and implored the affiftance of Dranfius in that perilous combat, which, as the champion of the church, he refolved to undertake against his own fovercign. One whole night did he watch before the fhrine of this faint; another. before that of Gregory the Great, whom he confidered as the founder of the English church; and a third before the altar of the Bleffed Virgin, his patronefs. Having thus raifed in the people a very high expectation of what was to follow, and, poffibly, fortified his own heart by kindling in it a more ardent flame of enthusiasim, he went to Vizelay, intending there to pronounce his anathema against the king, his mafter, on the enfuing Whitfunday. But, before that day came, he had a meffage from Louis, to inform him that Henry was dangeroufly ill: on which account he was advifed by that prince to defer the accomplishment of this act till a more proper time. Advice from Louis was a command v. Epift. 96, to one in his circumftances. Yet, though he grant-138 140.1.1. ed this delay to the perfon of Henry, he pronounced feveral fentences of excommunication against his fervants and minifters; particularly against John of Oxford, for the caufes beforementioned ; against Richard de Ivelcestre, archdeacon of Poitiers, for holding communion with the archbishop of Cologne, a favourer of the antipope; against Hugh de St. Clare and Thomas Fitz-Bernard, for having usurped the goods of the church of Canterbury, (that is, for having obtained the fequestration of those he had forfeited by his flight) and laftly, a-Hh 3 gainft

Book III.

A.D. 1166 against the chief justiciary, Richard de Luci, and Joceline de Baliol, as the favourers of the king's tyranny, and the contrivers of those heretical pravities, the constitutions of Clarendon. He also condemned all those laws, but more especially fix of them ; excommunicated in general all perfons whatfoever who fhould enforce or obferve them ; annulled the act of parliament by which they were confirmed, and abfolved the bishops from the oath they had V.Epift. 96. taken to observe them. Having thus outgone the pope himfelf, who had tolerated fome of them, he 1. i. notified what he had done to his fuffragan bishops, injoining them to publish the fentences he had pronounced, and take care of their execution. He added, that, as yet, he had deferred to pais fentence on the perfon of the king, waiting to fee whether that prince, through divine grace would repent ; but, if this did not happen, he declared, V.Epift. 140 he would foon pronounce it.

His former letters had given fuch an alarm to the king, that he had called a great council at Chinon in Touraine, to confult with them by what means he should refift the hostilities of this violent man, who, he told them, defired to defiroy both his body and bis foul. The bishop of Lisieux advised him to interpofe an appeal, in his own name, to the pope; as the only measure which could ftop the impending fentence. He purfued this advice, though it was much more agreeable to the necessity of his affairs, than to the dignity of his crown; and ordered two of his bishops to go to Pontigni, and notify there to Becket the appeal he had made. But they found him not; for he was then at the fepulchre of St. Dranfius: fo that he had no information of the meffage they brought till he returned from Vizelay; and the king efcaped excommunication only by his ficknefs, which did not laft very long.

It is obfervable how much the conduct of Becket differed in this inftance from that of archbifhop Anfelm,

felm, whom in many particulars he feems to have A. D. 1165. made his guide and pattern. That prelate, being v. Eadmer. a fugitive, as his fucceffor was now, ftopped a fen-1. ii. p. 50. See alfo 1 i. tence of excommunication, which Urban the Se-of the first cond was going to pronounce againft William Ru-volume, p. fus, in the council of Bari, by falling on his knees, and interceding for the king with whom he had quarrelled, and who had feized his temporalities on his leaving the kingdom. This was a behaviour that became a chriftian bifhop; but the temper of Becket could not brook any delay of his vengeance, and he thought that decency in this bufinefs was of V.Epift 140 lefs importance than difpatch. l. i.

John of Salifbury, writing to the bifhop of Exeter upon Henry's appeal to the pope, observes very justly, That while that prince, by his ancient cuftoms. endeavoured to abolify the right of appeals to Rome, be confirmed it still more, by being obliged to have recourse to it bimself, for the safety of his own person. And certainly the church party had great reafon to exult and triumph therein. But Henry, fearing that Becket, notwithstanding this appeal, might put his realm under an interdict, which, efpecially during his abfence, would grievoufly difturb the peace thereof, took all possible care that no letters of in- cod Cotton. terdict should be conveyed into England, nor any p. 26. Vatic. obedience paid to them, if they fhould arrive. For, p. 169. he fent over orders that all the ports fhould be dili-Epit. 14. L. gently watched, and that if any ecclefiaftick articles in was found to have brought over fuch letters, he the Apendix. fhould be punished with mutilation of members : if any layman, with death. He also commanded, that if any of the bilhops, for fear of fuch interdict, should depart out of the kingdom, he should not be permitted to carry any thing with him, except his ftaff : and that all ftudents abroad should speedily return into England, or be deprived of their benefices and banifhed for ever. All priefts, who fhould refuse, in confequence of the interdict, to perform divine fervice, were to be caftrated ; and for any

Hha

Book III.

A. D. 1166 any rebellious act they were to be punished with the loss of their benefices.

By thefe terrors the civil power endeavoured to V.Epift.128. guard itfelf againft that rebellion, in which the primate of England had required all his clergy to join him, for the falvation of their fouls. Inftead of complying with his orders, his fuffragan bifhops, and all the clergy of his diocefe, complained to the pope of his rafh and furious proceedings, affirming, that inftice, peace, and the publick weal of the king-

juffice, peace, and the publick weal of the kingdom were the fole objects of the king's most fervent defires; and fetting forth in ftrong terms, how much to the detriment of the church it would probably be, if, by the intemperate zeal of Becket, that monarch should be compelled to join with the antipope. The account they give, in this epiftle, of the proceedings at Clarendon is remarkable. They fay, " that the king, not from any ambition " of extending the royal prerogative, nor with any 66 view to opprefs the liberty of the church, but " from his defire of establishing the publick peace, 23 required that those customs and dignities of the 66 realm, which under former kings had been ob-" ferved by ecclefiaftical perfons, fhould be pro-66 duced and promulgated, in order to prevent for " the future any controverfy about them. Where-66 upon the oldest bishops, and other most ancient per-" fons of the kingdom, being folemnly adjured to 66 give their teftimony truly and faithfully in this 66 enquiry, the cuftoms fought for were brought " forth, and publickly attefted in parliament by " the greatest men in the kingdom." They also apologife for the opposition they made at first to thefe laws by their zeal for the privileges of the priefthood, " between which and the king's zeal for " the good order of his realm a holy contention had " arifen, which they believed would, on both fides, be " justified, before God, by the honesty of the intentions." They conclude their letter by declaring their appeal to his Holinefs, and carrying the term of it to the afcenfion-day of the next year. Thus

Thus was the papal authority called in by both A. D. 1166. parties in this diffute, to decide a queftion which belonged to the civil power alone. But Henry, v. Epit. before he made his appeal to the pope, had expref-129.138. fed his indignation against the Ciftertian monks of Hoveden. Pontigni, for harbouring Becket, by declaring to fub ann. the whole order, that, if they did not expel that 1166. prelate from their house, he would certainly expel them from all his dominions ; and as, notwithstanding his application to Rome, he continued thefe menaces, the archbishop, unwilling to burt his friends without benefit to himfelf, departed from Pontigni, where he now had refided near two years, about the feaft of St. Martin, in the year eleven hundred and fixty fix. A fafe afylum was given to him by the king of France at Sens, with all the affiftance that compassion warmed by bigotry could beftow. Henry doubtless judged ill in thus compelling him to remove from his former retreat ; as he might be fure that another, equally fecure and agreeable, would be opened to him in France: for to feem to perfecute, and not to be able to hurt. was doubly difhonourable to his royal dignity : nor did an act of this nature agree with the appeal he had made to the pope, who had himfelf recommended Becket to the abbot of Pontigni, and owed a peculiar regard to that order, becaufe they had loft all their convents in the empire by refufing to join in the fchifm.

About a month after this change in the place of v.Epia.118his refidence, the archbifhop received fome letters<sup>1, i.</sup> from Alexander, which not only confirmed the feveral fentences pronounced by him at Vizelay, but v.Epia.115 appointed him legate over all the realm of England, 1. i. except the fingle diocefe of the archbifhop of York, who, being legate for Scotland, could not properly be fubjected to the legantine power of another. This legation was not given as a right annexed to the fee of Canterbury, which fome have fuppofed; but, 474

E Book III.

A. D 1166 but, as appears from the words of it, was a special commillion : and the granting it at this time was an extraordinary favour conferred on Becket, and a very offenfive act to the king of England and all the appellant bifhops. It was making that prelate judge in his own caufe, and arming his paffions with all the thunder of Rome. He probably owed it to the importunate interceffions of Louis, who was more zealous for him than Alexander himfelf. But the joy this gave him was checked, while he was using his new authority to the no fmall terror of his enemies, by the effects of a negociation between that pontiff and Henry, of which we have no V Epift.130 fatisfactory account. All we know is, that embaffadors having been fent from the marquis of Montferrat to alk one of the daughters of Henry for his fon, they affured the king with great confidence, that, if they returned with fuccefs, they would procure the deposition of Becket from Canterbury. Henry granted their requeft, and feat back with them three minifters, John of Oxford, John Cummin, and Radulph de Tamworth, who, from the court of the marguis, were ordered to proceed to that of Rome. It is very wonderful that the king fhould fend on this bufinefs one fo obnoxious as John of Oxford, accufed of having joined in the fchifin with the Germans, and actually excommunicated on that account, as well as for having accepted the deanry of Salifbury against the pope's prohibition ! What fecret reafons determined him to fo exception-V. Frid tez, able a choice it is difficult to difcover; but the prudence of it appears to have been fufficiently juftified by the event : for, in fpite of all these objections, John of Oxford was admitted to treat with his Holinefs, after taking an oath, that he had done no-V. Bpil. 7. thing at Wurtsburg against the faith of the church, or the honour and fervice of the pope. 1. 11. As for the deanry of Salifbury, he refigned it to Alexander, and immediately received it again from that

that pontiff, together with abfolution. One may A. D. 1166prefume that all this had been concerted beforehand between his Holinefs and the ministers of the marquis of Montferrat. The credentials brought by John of Oxford appearing to contain ample powers from his mafter. Alexander negociated confidentially with him, and he managed fo ably, with the help of his collegues, as to obtain for the king that two cardinals named by that prince should be fent legates à latere over all his French y Con territories, with full authority to hear and determine Cotton. ethe caufe of Becket, as well with Henry himfelf, pift. Thom. as with the bifhops appellant, by a difinitive fen- ii. fol. 142. tence. One of these legates was William of Pavia, See allo the Henry's particular friend. And, till these should feript in the have determined the abovenentioned caufes, the Bodeian li-brary, and archbishop was strictly forbidden by the pope in the tran-any manner to diffuet the king, or his kingdom. the Appear Moreover, if in the interim he fhould have paft any dix. fentence against Henry's perfon or realm, his Holinefs declared it to be of no effect. This was indeed a fufpenfion, or rather revocation, of the legantine power which he had granted to Becket. And, to compleat his indulgence, he affured the king in this letter, which is dated the thirteenth of the calends of January, that the legates he had appointed should absolve all the fervants and counfellours of that prince, from the excommunication laid upon them, though he had confirmed it before; and further granted, that if any of them should be in danger of death before the legates arrived, fuch perfon might be abfolved by any bifhop or prieft, only taking an oath, as was ufually done in cafes of this nature, that if he recovered, de would fubmit to whatever the pope fhould injoin. Thus were the hands of Becket tied, and the acts he had done at Vizelay entirely annulled by the papal authority, from which he expected the most cordial support and assistance. So confeious WCS

476

Book III.

A. D. 1167 was the pope how extremely inconfiftent with all his paft conduct these concessions must appear, that, although he allowed the king a liberty of flowing the letter, by which he notified them to him, in cale of neceffity; yet he most earnestly entreated, and firictly is joined him, not to do fo, if it could by any means be avoided ; but to keep it abfolutely fecret. And therefore the Jefuit, who was the editor of Becket's epiftles, has, from a concern for the honour of the papacy, left this out of the book he publifted from the Vatican manufcript, as he has feveralothers; but it is in the Cotton manufcript of those epiftles, and also in the Cave manufcript of Gilbert Foliot's letters; from which very ancient and authentick collections I have transcribed it into the Appendix belonging to this volume. It was a cur-V. Epif. 102 rent report, that in order to obtain these extraor-1. ii. dinary favours, and the pope's difpensation for Geoffry Plantagenet to marry his third coufin, the heirefs of Bretagne, which John of Oxford brought with him, that minister had engaged, in the name of his mafter, that the difpute concerning the royal V Epift.164 cuftoms fhould be entirely fubmitted to the judgement of his Holinefs; and that each of those conflitutions should be annulled or confirmed at his pleafure. We are also informed by a letter from the bilhop of Poitiers, who, though a fubject of Henry, corresponded with Becket, and gave him intelligence of what paffed in the court of that prince, that John of Oxford had been charged, by both his collegues, at their return out of Italy. with having, to gain abfolution for himfelf, exceeded his powers, and given hopes to the pope that a reconciliation might be effected between Henry and Becket, on terms which it was impossible for the king to accept. But whatever he did muft have been done by Henry's orders; as V.Epift. 23. 1. ii. he continued to enjoy the fame degree of his fayour. And what it was that he promifed Alexander himself has told us. For, in a letter written by

by that pontiff to the cardinal legates, after their de- A. D. 1167 parture from Rome, there is this expression, " John " of Oxford fignified to me by the letters be brought. " that the king had publickly faid, be would pre-" ferve to bis clergy that liberty which they had en-" joyed from the time of his grandfather Henry the "Firft." Now in these words there was much ambiguity. If from the time of his grandfather Henry the Frst fignified after that time, it was in reality giving up what the king and the nation were most concerned to maintain; because the church, in the reign of Stephen, had violated almost all the rights of the crown : but, if that date took in the reign of Henry the First, then the king gave up little; becaufe most of the customs confirmed to him at Clarendon were then in full vigour. It appears very probable, that John of Oxford was impowered to offer fome relaxations of the royal prerogatives, fo as to bring them to a medium between what they had been in the time of Henry the First, and the immunities which the clergy had gained under Stephen. For this was confonant to what the bishop of London affirmed to Becket, in the letter recited above; and, fome time afterwards, the fame prelate in a council, y Epic. 6. or fynod, where both the legates were prefent, I. ii. made a publick declaration, that the king releafed the prolibition of appeals to Rome, which he had enacted for the benefit of the poor clergy, and now annulled on account of their ingratitude. He ought to have maintained it for the dignity and independence of the state; but he could not do that with any grace or propriety, after he had himfelf appealed to Rome. Yet, whatever conceffions John of Oxford may have made in his name, the fuccess of that minister must be chiefly ascribed to the apprehenfions of Alexander at this junc-v.Franifi ture of time. The Emperour Frederick, at the Pagibichead of a formidable army, was now came isto Roman fub Lombardy, ann. 1166

478

A. D. 1167. Lombardy, and threatened Rome. The terror this gave naturally added great force to the interceffions of those cardinals who favoured the king of England, and of his new ally, the marquis of Montferrat, who was one of the most powerful princes in Italy. The bufinefs was also much for warded (if Becket was not milinformed) by the power of bribes in the court of Rome, which (to use an expression of that prelate in one of his let-V. Epift. 164 ters) was prostituted, on this occasion, like a barlot, for hire. An aftonishing inftance how far that power extended, and how dexteroufly Henry's minifters employed it to ferve him, is, that John Cummin and Radulf de Tamworth procured and brought with them, at their return to the king, all the letters which Becket had written to the pope against that prince, or which other perfons had written in favour of Becket, among whom were fome the king had never fulpected, bilhops of his own territories, and even officers of his household. The bishop of Poitiers, who wrote to apprife the archbishop of this treachery, fays, that Cummin pretended he had taken thefe letters from a meffenger fent with them to Rome by Becket : but that it was more probable be had got them out of the Roman chancery. He also tells that prelate, it was believed John of Oxford was gone into England to prepare a new charge against him : and that the two other ministers threatened him grievoully, becaufe in fome of the letters he had written to the pope, and which they now brought to Henry, he had called that monarch a malicious tyrant. His correspondent appears much alarmed for him on account of this unhappy difcovery: and doubtlefs it was a misfortune which muft have given great difquiet both to him and his friends. But before he had received any intelligence of it, or of what had been done to his V.Epiñ.130 prejudice by Alexander himfelf, he had found 431. l. i. means

means, notwithftanding all the vigilance of the A. D. 1167. government in guarding the ports, to get the pope's mandate, which notified his legantine power to the bifhops of his province, and a copy of the bull of legation itfelf, delivered, by a perfon unknown, to the bifhop of London, while he was officiating at the high altar, on the feaft of St. Paul's conversion, in the year eleven hundred and fixty feven. There were also delivered to him, at the fame

time, and by the fame perfon, letters from Becket to him and the other bishops of England, requiring them to obey him as legate, and fummoning them to appear before him within forty days after the receipt of those letters. These the billiop of London was commanded to deliver or fend to those prelates, together with the bull of legation, on pain of being degraded. The pope's mandate required them to compel all perfons, who, purfuant to an order from the king, had taken polletlion of the benefices belonging to the clergy in exile with Becket, to a full reftitution of them within the term of two months, under the penalty of excommunication. They were likewife commanded to collect Peter-pence, and pay it to fome meffengers whom the pope would lend for that purpose.

The bifhop of London, greatly terrified, implored the king's permittion to comply with all thefe injunctions; but Henry would confent to none of them, except that which concerned Peter-pence: neverthelefs, fo fubjected were the bifhops of England to the papacy, that even this prelate, the moft attached to the perfon of the king, and moft inclined to refpect the royal authority, durft not venture to difobey the orders of the pope, or the fummons of his legate. At this conjuncture, John of Oxford, returning from his embaffy, arrived at Southampton, where he found the bifhop of Here- v.Epill. 44 ford, whom Becket had thrice fummoned by particu-Li. lar letters, waiting for a wind to go to France, the?

he

### HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book III.

A.D. 1167 he had been forbidden to pay any regard to that v.Epift.165 injurction, not only by the king's minifters, but 166. 1. i. alfo by his letters. John of Oxford endeavoured to ftop him in the name of the king, and, finding that ineffectual, in the name of the pope. The bifhop afked, " if he had letters of the pope on this fubiect." He replied, " he had letters, by which his Holiness forbad all the bishops of England to go over to Becket, or obey his injunctions on any other point, till the arrival of the legate à latere defired by the king, meaning William of Pavia, who would determine their appeal, and the more important caufe between the king and that prelate, with fullnefs of power, and in the laft refort." The bifhop defiring to fee those letters, he faid, he had fent them before him to Winchefter with his baggage. The bishop's chaplain was dispatched to read them there; and at the fame time they were thewn to the bifhop of London, who was in that city, intending to pass over to France, as well as the bifhop of Hereford, at the call of Becket. As V. Eipft. 165 foon as he had read them, he cried out, in a tranf-1. 1. port of joy, " from henceforth Thomas shall be no more my archbift op !" nor did Becket himfelf form a different judgement : for, being apprifed of it by the bithop of Hereford's chaplain, he wrote to one of his clergy, who was with Alexander at Rome, " that if these things were true, the pope had undoubtedly strangled and suffocated, not him alone, but the whole English and Gallican church." The king of France was much incenfed. He talked of forbidding the legates to enter his kingdom, and of affembling all his bifhops to declare and complain to them how ill he was used by the pope. Nay, he protefted openly that he was no less offended at the fending of the legates on this business, than if Alexander had fent them to take the crown from his head. Yet, notwithstanding all this fury of zeal in that monarch for the fupport of Becket and his caufe, an opinion that

that he would be facrififed to Henry's refentment A. D. 1167. prevailed fo ftrongly in France, and fo cooled his friends there, that fome of the French nobility, and even of the bifhops, from whom many of those, who had been driven out of England on his account, had received a liberal maintenance, turned them back on his hands; an inftance of inhumanity and baseness of mind that would hardly be credible, if we were not affured of it by the teftimony of Becket himfelf, in the above-cited letter to his agent at Rome, whom he ordered to acquaint the popewith it, that means might be found to prevent thefe unhappy perfons from perifhing foon with cold and hunger, as fome of them, he faid, had already perished. He also expressed his fears, " that if " Alexander should die, or any great confusion " fhould happen in Rome, the favours granted to " Henry would be transferred to his heirs, and, " what was worfe, other princes would, in confe-" quence of this precedent, extort the like privi-" leges and emancipations from the church; and " thus all her liberty, and all the jurifdiction and " power of bishops, would be deftroyed, when there " would be none to restrain the wickedness of tyrants, " who in those days were wholly bent to make a violent " war against God and bis ministers, nor would defift, " till they had reduced them, as well as others, to " servitude." There is likewise extant a letter, written at this time to the pope from a trufty fervant in France, which tells his Holinefs, " it was " commonly and confidently reported, that the " king of England put all his hope in the death or " ruin of his Holinefs, declaring a fixed refolution ne-" ver to acknowledge his successor, unless be first " foould have confirmed to bim all the dignities and " cuftoms of bis kingdom." The writer adds, that " if, by means of the legates now fent to him, VOL. II. Ti " that

Book III.

A. D. 1167. " that prince could artfully obtain a delay of th " cenfures, with which he had been threatened " till the deceafe of his Holinefs, he would carry " his point : and therefore all those who had the " (pirit of God, and defired the peace of the church, " most fervently wished and prayed, that the spirit " of Daniel might be excited in his Holinefs, to " make him detect the frauds of Bel, and flay the " dragon."

It feems indeed very evident, that Henry meant to avail himfelf of the death of the pope, if it fhould happen, or of any diffrefs which that ponulf might be brought into by the emperour; and therefore fought to gain time by the arts of negociation, and by fuch concessions as he probably would not have made, if he had not hoped that he should foon be able to revoke them, without danger to himfelf, or to the quiet of his realm. Which policy not efcaping the penetration of Backet, he confidered all delays as most hurtful to his interests; and this, added to the natural impatience of his temper, made the conduct of Alexander appear to him effentially and inexcufably wrong. An able general, ftopt, by the orders of his prince, from giving battle in the decifive moment of victory, and forefeeing the ruin of his own and his mafter's affairs from that reftraint, could not be more diffatisfied, or more grieved than he. But, as he durft not quarrel with the pope; he had recourfe to fupplications, and wrote a letter to that postiff, in a most extraordinary ftyle, directly praying to him, and imploring his help, in phrafes of fcripture appropriated to V. Ep:ft. 45. God : " Rife, Lord, and delay no longer ; let the V. Appen- " me according to thy mercy, and to my wretched " friends who faint under too heavy a burthen : fave " us: for we perifb. Let us not be confounded a-" mong ft

482

0

1. 11.

mongst men; let not our adversaries infult over us, A. D. 1167. -66 " vea, the adversaries of Christ and the church ; let " not our fortune be turned into derifion by this nation " and people, because we have invoked thy name to " our assistance. Not unto us, O Lord, not uuto us, " but in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ make un-" to thyself a great name; repair thy glory, clear up the bonour of thy reputation, which, upon the return 66 " of that excommunicated and perjured schismatick, " John of Oxford, is, by his false reports, exremely " funk in these parts. God knows, I do not lie; " and if you do not believe me, enquire of those in " Hrance appeared to a set of the set of those in France who wust tender your bonour, who most defire " the welfare of the church. Clear up, I fay, the " bonour of your reputation, which bitherto has flourisk-" ed unblamed amongst men, which, in the midst of " all perils, has been preserved unburt, which, when " all elfe was loft, remained fingly inviolate, which in 66 all places was deemed to be found and illustrious." It is evident from the latter part of this very devout fupplication, that the former was addreffed, not to God, but to the pope. Whether it ought to be imputed to flattery or enthulialim I will not decide. Those times thought it no blasphemy to give to the pope the honours of God : but that Becket was ferious in that opiniou may be doubted. However this may have been, his prayers were heard. Alexander, upon the receipt of this and other letters, which informed him of the offence he had given in France, and particularly at court, by conceffions to Henry fo detrimental to Becket, whom a religious zeal had recommended to the protection of Louis, was much alarmed : and though he would N. Epift 23. not recall the legates, he limited their authority 28. 35. 1. if. within much narrower bounds, employing them rather as mediators to negociate a reconciliation between Henry and Becket, than as judges to try Ii2 that

Book III

A. D. 1167 that prelate's caule ; which reftriction of their commillion they received on the road, before they came

L ii.

v Fpin. 43 into France. And, to take off the ill impression which Louis had received, he wrote a letter to that monarch, explaining the purpose of this legation agreeably to the alteration now made, and defning him to affift the earneft endeavours the legates would use for the concluding of a peace between Henry and Becket. But if this could not be obtained, he then afked his leave to appoint that prelate apoftolical legate in France, if fuch a thing could be done without grievously offending the billiops of that kingdom. It does not appear, that this propofal was relified by Becket.

Soon after Easter, in this year, cleven hundred and fixty feven, a war had broken out between Louis and Henry. The former of these, from the time when Becket first implored his protection, had thewn in his whole conduct a mind entirely alienated from all friendship to the latter, whom he confidered as a tyrannical perfecutor of the church in the perfon of a holy archbishop. His conversations with that prelate, after he came into his territories, had fo ftrengthened this opinion, that he began to think the making war againft fuch a grievous offender would be little lefs meritorious than another crufade. But the immediate occafion of this rupture was one of those quarrels, wherein the king of England was often difagreeably engaged, by being, on account of his territories in France, a vafial of that crown.

Chron. Nor. biit. fub ann. 1167.

William the Seventh, earl of Auvergne, had Dicet. Imag. been difpoffeft of that earldom, which he isherited Gerv. Chro- from his father, by the arms and intrigues of his uncle; and Auvergne being a fief of the dutchy of Aquitaine, the former cited the latter to the tribunal of Henry. But the defendant had recourle

courfe to Louis, as supreme lord of the fief, who ir- A. D. 1167. regularly, as it feems, and against the right of the duke of Aquitaine to do justice to his vasfals in the first instance, took the caufe into his own hands. Henry therefore, to affert his own jurifdiction, led an army into Auvergne, and ravaged the lands of his rebellious fubject. Louis hereupon made an inroad into the Norman Vexin, where Henry having defired a peaceful interview with him, they held a conference, and the latter used his utmost endeavours to terminate this dispute, and other differences between them, in an amicable manner. But the French nobility were averfe to an accommodation, thinking it neceffary, after the acquisition which Henry had made of Bretagne by his treaty with Conan, to attempt the reducing of his exorbitant power in France, which broke the whole balance of the government in that kingdom. They were likewife apprehenfive that fome confederates, from whom they expected affiftance, would be difgusted and loft, if the king of France did not act with more vigour and alacrity than he had hitherto done, in support of their interests; and that Henry would be delivered from all the embarrafment of his conteft with Becket, by the cardinal legates, of whole coming they had now received accounts. For these reasons, and from a regard to the inclinations of their mafter, which were very averfe to a peace, they laid hold of all pretences to hinder an agreement, and particularly of a difpute about the manner of paying fome money raifed by Henry, for the relief of the chriftians in Paleftine; on which article I shall have occasion to fay more hereafter. Louis began the war by firing fome villages on the borders of Normandy ; whereupon Henry affaulted, took, and burnt to the ground the caftle of Chaumont, which, being the Ii 2 ftrongeft

A. D. 1167. ftrongeft fortrefs in the French Vexin, and the chief magazine wherein Louis had deposited all his ftores for the war, with his miltary cheft, the lofs of it was a most fensible blow to that prince. In revenge. he burnt the town of Andeli fur Seine, and fome others of lefs note ; but while he performed thefe exploits, more destructive than glorious, Henry took by florm the caftle of Finnel; and the war continued till August, when Louis, being unable to carry it on with any vigour, for want of the ftores and money he had loft, confented to a truce, which was to laft from that time till after the Eafter holydays of the following year. Many reafons of prudence might incline the king of England to think this armiffice more defireable than a continuance of the war, even with all the advantages he had gained ; and particularly the ftate of his affairs in Bretagne. For Guinomar, fon to the vifcount of Leon, and brother in-law to Earl Eudo, having been excited by Louis, and encouraged by an aflurance of support from that monarch, began to be factious in that country, and drew to his ftandard fome difcontented nobles. Henry availed himfelf of the truce concluded with Louis to crush this infurrection, before he could rife to any dangerous height; and makir gafudden incurfion into the county of Leon, deftroyed the caftle of Guinomar, with other fortreffes that belonged to the friends of the vifcount, which compelled him to fubmit, and give hoftages to the king for his future fidelity. Rebels, who act with a dependence upon aid from great kings against the arms of their fovereigns. are often facrififed in this manner to the neceffities or the interest of their royal protectors.

> While Henry was employed in fupprefling this revolt, he received an account of the death of his mother

mother Matilda, the greatest lady that Europe had A. D. 1167. ever feen, empress of Germany by her first marriage, countels of Anjou, Tourane, and Mane by her fecond, and, by the will of her father confirming her claim from hereditary right, dutchefs of Normandy and queen of England. Yet the was more truly great in the latter part of her life, when the acted only as a fubject under the reign of her fon, than at the time when the beheld King Stephen her prifoner and England at her feet. The violence of her temper, and pride inflamed by fuccefs, had then difhonoured her character. and made her appear to her friends, as well as to her enemies, unworthy of the dominion to which the was exalted : but from the instructions of adverfity, age, and reflexion, the learned the virtues fhe most wanted, moderation and mildness. These, joined to the elevation and vigour of her mind, wherein the had always furpafied her fex, enabled her to become a most useful counfellour and minifter to her fon, in the affairs of his government, which, for fome time paft, had been her fole ambition. There is not in all hiftory another example of a woman who had poffeft fuch high dignities, and encountered fuch perils for the fake of maintaining her power, being afterwards content to give it up, and, without forfaking the world, to live quietly in it; neither mixing in cabals against the ftate, not afpiring to rule it beyond that limited province, which was particularly affigned to her administration ! Such a conduct was meritorious in the higheft degree, and more than attoned for all the errors of her former behaviour.

The laft publick affair in which the took any v.E. in 42. part was a mediation between her fon and Becket, L. ii. which the pope injoined her to undertake, for the I i 'A remiffion

Book III.

A. D. 1167 remiffion of her fins. When that prelate was in-V. Epift. 52. formed of her having received this injunction, he \$3. l. i. fent meffergers to her with a very artful letter, in which, after great encomiums upon her charity, piety, and zeal for religion, he made his complaints. " that her fon had afflicted the clergy of his realm " in an intolerable manner, at d had exacted from " them fome things unbeard of, and unaccuftomed." But being fenfible that he could not make good this affertion, he immediately added, " that, if an-" cient kings had required prerogatives of that na-" ture, they ought not to have done it. What (fays " he) will it profit the king your fon before God, if " he transmits his fins to his heirs, and constitutes " them, as it were by his testament, adversaries of " God and his church? Or what does it now profit " bis anceftors, if be, taking occasion from their evil " practice, offends God by a kind of hereditary right? " Ither services sould have been done, and other " gifts have been offered, to appeale the divine wrath, " and for the falvation and redemption of the fouls of " his forefathers. God is not pleased with sacrifices " from rapine. It might as well be supposed that a " father would be pleased to have his son offered up " in factifice to bim." After these expostulations with Matilda, which were admirably well calculated to deter her from infifting on the antiquity of those rights that were in dispute, the archbishop invites the king, her fon, to repentance, with a gracious promife of mercy; but yet he fays, " that " God has drawn his bow, and will speedily shoot " from thence the arrows of death, if princes do not " permit his spouse, the church, for the love of whom " be had deigned to die, to remain free, and to be " honoured with the poffeffion of those privileges and " dignities, which he had purchased for her with his " blood, on the cross," Whoever

Whoever has read the Gospel must be aftonished A. D. 1167. to hear, that an exemption for clergymen from all civil justice was one of the privileges purchased by the blood of Christ for his church ! But Becket having, agreeably to the doctrines of Rome, inculcated this to the empress, proceeded to inform her, Li, ut fupra, " that it was her duty ro use the care of a mo-" ther, and the authority of a queen, in reclaiming " her fon ; as it was she who had, with many labours, " acquired for him his kingdom and dutchy of Nor-" mandy, and transmitted to bim, by bereditary fuc-" ceffion, those rights and royal prerogatives, which "were now made the occasion of the church being op-" prest and trod under foot, innocent persons pro-" scribed, aud the poor intolerably afflicted." Matilda had not, for fome time, been used to hear, that she had over her son the authority of a queen, nor that her labours had acquired for him his kindgom and dutchy of Normandy. That both these propofitions were false in fact, the archbishop and she herfelf must have perfectly known : but he thought they would found agreeably in her ears; and it imported him to render her favourable to him in this negotiation. He concluded by affuring her, " that, on his part, he would willingly do what " he could for the falvation of her and her fon, " perpetually imploring the mercy of God for " them both ; but he should pray with more confi-" dence, if the king by restoring peace to the church, " would speedily and dewoutly return to God, his ma-" ker and benefactor."

As foon as Henry was informed that the mediation of his mother was defired by the pope in this affair, he apprehended that her piety might be feduced or alarmed by mifreprefentations of the nature of the question; and therefore fent John of Oxford

Book III,

A. D. 1167 Oxford to caution her against the arts of Becket. V. Epist. 53 By him she was told, " that every thing done by " that prelate had been done out of pride and the " defire of dominion; and that the ecclefiastical li-" berty, which he endeavoured to maintain, was " used by the bishops, not to the benefit of their own " or other men's fouls, but to the encrease of their " wealth: the crimes of delinquents accused in the " spiritual courts not being punished by the proper pe-" nantes, but by pecuniary mulcis." He added fome reflexions upon the conduct of Becket, for having affected to gather about him the children of of noblemen, who were bred up to learning under his infpection, instead of religious perfons; and gave a very fcandalous name to those youths, which the writer of the letter, from whence I take thefe par-V. Fpift. 53 ticulars, fays, he did not think fit to be mentioned. This was certainly a most unjust and malignant defamation, of not only an innocent but laudable The young noblemen, thus taught in the act. archiepifcopal palace, were probably defigned for holy orders; and the fuperintending of their ftudies was very fuitable to the character of a learned archbishop, whatever offence it may have given to the monks, or inferior fecular clergy, who defired, if possible, to exclude all the gentry from learning, and confine to themfelves all preferments in the church. To argue from thence (as John of Oxford did to Matilda) that Becket was not really a friend to the church, was very uncandid; and to impute his familiarity with these youths to a foul and unnatural paffion (if that was meant by the fcandalous appellation given to them) was cruel flander. For I do not find the least hint of fuch a fuspicion against him, in any other letter or writing of those times. But another accusation thrown out by John of Oxford, in his difcourfe with Matilda, may

may have been not fo ill founded; namely, that A. D. 1167. V. Epift. 53. Becket conferred ecclesiastical dignities, merely with 1. i. a view to serve bimself, and not to serve God, even upon persons whose characters were notoriously vile. This he might do; for whofoever makes himfelf the head of a faction must confider abilities more than morals, and reward zeal for the caufe, which is frequently ftrongeft in those who have no other merit, with the most diftinguished marks of favour. The empress was likewife informed, that the archbishop had not fled out of the kingdom on account of the royal cuftoms, but of the pecuniary caufe between him and his mafter. And most of these acculations were confirmed to her by others who came from her fon, as well as by John of Oxford. It appears from a letter, fent to Becket from one of his agents in this bufinefs, that fhe was much V. Epia. 53. incerfed against him, and in discoursing with them, complained of the bifhops for ordaining men without titles, which brought into the church a multitude of indigent perfons, who being led by want and idlenefs into all forts of crimes, were protected from punishment by the ecclesiaftical privileges, and could not be reftrained by the fear of deprivation, having no benefices to lofe, or of being imprifoned by the bifhops, who, in most cafes, chofe rather to difmifs them with impunity than to keep and feed them in their jails. She also blamed the evil cuftom of allowing pluralities, even as far as feven benefices to the fame perfon, and of taking great fums of money, as commutations for the penances due to offences. Becket's agent himfelf acknowledges, that these complaints were well founded, and exhorts him to teftify his difapprobation of them, by words and deeds. Particularly he defires him, if he wrote again to the emprefs, to exprefs it to her : but I do not find that the archbilhop

492

A. D. 1167 bifnop paid any attention to this honeft exhortation. Indeed he could not do fo, without allowing, that Henry's endeavours to reform fuch grievous abufes were neceffary and loudable.

V. Epift. \$3. In one of these conferences with the perfons emut fupra. ployed by Becket, Matilda faid, " that the king " had concealed from ber all his intentions and coun-" fels with relation to the church, because be knew " she was inclined to favour the clergy." If this was true, it is a very remarkable proof of the caution and referve, with which he trufted even those who had the most of his confidence : a part of wifdom very necessary at all times to a prince, and particularly fo to him in this inftance, if Matilda fpoke her real thoughts to the agents of Becket. For, when the conftitutions of Clarendon were read and explained to her, fhe expressed a difapprobation of most of the articles, and blamed the king for having put any of those customs in writing, and for having infifted that the bilhops should swear to observe them; because his predeceffors had not thought that these precautions were neceffary. To account for this difference between her fentiments and those of her fon, on this point, it may be fufficient to obferve, that fhe was now drawing very near to the end of her life: and that probably the pope, before he injoined her to mediate in this difpute, had taken care, that fhe fhould know his opinion of those cuftoms,

v.Epift. 53. After much difcourfe with Becket's agents, fhe prefied them to tell her, what they thought might be a foundation for her to proceed upon, in negotiating a peace between her fon and the church. One of them proposed to her, "that without any "promife or written laws, the ancient cuftoms of the kingdom

Book III.

"-kingdom foculd be observed, with such moderation. A D. 1.67. " as that neither the liberty of the church fould be ta-" ken away by the fecular judges, nor the biftops " abuse it, as he acknowledged they had done :" and to this the affented. What Henry faid to it we know not : but if we may judge of his fentiments from a letter he wrote at this time to the college of cardinals, he was far from defiring a reconcili- V. Epin 41. ation with the church, upon terms fo different from the ideas on which he had acted, and liable to fo much dispute for the future. For there he declares, with all the spirit beloaging to his charac-" ter, " that while be had life, he would not fuf-" fer the least diminution of those rights of his crown " and cuftoms of the realm, which his illustrious pre-" deceffors had enjoyed and maintained, in the time " of boly Roman pontiffs." And the utmost advance he makes is a general promife, " that if, " after having heard what he had to alledge in " vindication of himfelf, the pope fhould be of o-. " pinion, that he had done wrong, or gone too " far in any particular, he was very willing to " do whatfoever might be proper, as he fould " be advised thereupon, by his clergy and barons, " agreeably to the cuftoms, the dignity, and the ma-" jefty of his king dom."

This was keeping the affair in the hands of his parliament, and even tying them down, in any counfel they fhould give him, to a conformity with his laws and royal prerogatives. He further added, "that if any perfon flould attempt "to obfruct these laws and prerogatives, or any wife "derogate from them, be flould efferen bim a publick "enemy and manifestation to the kingdom." There v. Epit 4... is also a letter written by Matilda to Becket, after " the had begun to negociate with her fon, and knew his A. D. 1167 his mind, in which the affirms to that prelate, and bids him reckon upon it; as a most certain truth. " that it would be impossible for bim to regain the " king's favour, unless by great bumility and most " evident moderation." It appears by another let-

1. ii.

494

V.Epift. 44 ter, that the archbishop of Rouen was joined by Alexander in this mediation with the emprefs; and that Henry, in his answer to that prelate's exhortations had complained of Becket, as having acted against his perfon and kingdom, in a very iniquitous, infolent seditious, and rebellious manner : most wickedly endeavouring to defame bis reputation. and, as far as be could, to diminify the dignities of bis realm. But the negociation was ended by the death of Matilda. On the tenth of September,

V. Chron in the year eleven hundred and fixty feven, the Beccent fub and, 1167, died at Rouen, to which city, the had been a mu-Chro.Norm nificent benefactrefs, having built there a ftone fub ann. bridge, which was accounted one of the nobleft 1166. See alio An works of that age : the river Seine, which it tratiquities de la ville de verfed, being deep and broad, in that place, and Rouen. the tide flowing with great ftrength. Her bounty

was likewife difplayed in many pious and charitable donations, exceeding those of any king contemporary with her in the whole chriftian world. Nor yet was the fatisfied with the acts of publick fpirit and charity done in her life-time, but left by her will large fums of money to lepers and other poor people, as well as to convents and churches; which her fon paid with a most exact and honourable fidelity, according to her directions. When he had acquitted himfelf of that duty, and feen her body interred, as fhe had defired it might be, in the abbey of Bec, he fought a remedy for his grief by renewing his attention to publick bufinefs.

The earldom of Montagne, which had defcend-

ed

Book III.

ed from King Stephen to his younger fon William, A. D. 1167. was, on the decease of that monarch, confidered as an efcheat, and granted by Henry in the year eleven hundred and fifty nine, to his own youngeft brother, who dying without iffue, in the year eleven hundred and fixty four, this great fief was re-annexed to the demense of the dukes of Normandy, from which it had been formerly granted to Stephen by King Henry the First. But the earl of Boulogne, who had married the daughter of Stephen, claimed it in her right. The queftion was whether the fief was heretable by females : for all were not fo at this time. But the cuftom of making them to being now become general, the pretention of this prince was supposed to be equitable, and ftrongly supported by his brother, the earl of Flanders. Whether they made their demand immediately after the death of William Plantagenet, or not till the year eleven hundred and fixty fix, when the affairs of Henry being more emba raft, he cou'd lefs fafely refift an application of this nature, I cannot discover : but it appears that they preffed it during the courfe of that year, and also another pretention of the earl of Boulogne to fome revenues in England, which as he has afferted, belonged to him by ancient V. Epift. 14. right. Thefe must have been the grants made by <sup>1. i.</sup> William the Conqueror to Euftace earl of Boulogne; and as Stephenhad poffeffed them by virtue of his marriage with the daughter of Euftace, fo his daughter, to whom the rights of her mother had devolved, might give her husband a title to them indifputably good. But it is probable that King Henry, upon the death of her brother, and while the was ftill in her convent, had given them to fome baron, whom he was unwilling to deprive of them upon her quitting the veil. Whatever his reasons may have been, he rejected the demand of the

Book III.

296

2. 172.

A. D. 1167. the earl of Boulogne, both with relation to thefe, and to the earldom of Montagne; which fo exasperated the two brothers, that the jointly formed a defign of invading his kingdom, while he was detained on the continent, and neceffitated to employ a great part of his ftrength, in fuftaining the war against Louis. Six hundred veffels were prepared by the earl of Boulogne, to carry over into England anarmy of Flemings; and I doubt not that the plan of this invafion was concerted with the kings of France and of Scotland, and with the princes of Wales. Perhaps too they might count upon the intended excommunication of Henry by Becket, and upon the interdict with which he threatened the realm; from whence it was probable fuch inteffine commotions might arife, as would greatly favour their purpose. It has been mentioned before, that the close alliance of Henry with the earls See the fore- of Flanders and Boulogne, was one of the reafons that made him not very folicitous, in the first years of histeige, to re-establish the maritime power of his kingdom, which had declined under Stephen : as he thought it certain that their fhipping would on all occafions be employed rather to ferve than annoy him. But there is no permanent fafety in any reliance on a foreign defence, especially if it produces or encourages a neglect of any neceffary part of the national ftrength. This Henry now experienced; and he might have fuffered extremely by the low flate of his navy, if the number and difcipline of his English militia had not supplied that defect. Richard de Luci, as grand jufticiary, and guardian of the realm in the absence of the king, commanded these forces; the earls of Leicester, at this time, being difabled from acting, by ar ill fate of health, which not long afterwards caud ed his death. By the care and conduct of Richar,all

# Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

all the coafts were fo covered with large bodies of A.D. 1167. Gerv. Chro. foldiers, whom the laws of those times had trained fub ann. to arms, and enabled the crown to call forth, upon 1167. any emergency, for the defence of the kingdom, that the two earls were deterred, notwithstanding the fuperiority of their maritime forces, from attempting to land. Yet Henry, in all whofe counfels refentment yielded to policy, being apprehenfive that their enmity might hurt him on the continent, and encourage the king of France to continue a war, which he defired to end, offered the earl of Boulogne, in lieu of all claims, an annual Epift. 44. penfion of a thousand pounds sterling, which in 1.1. those days was equivalent to one of fifteen thoufand in thefe. Both the brothers hereupon declared themfelves fatisfied; and the earl of Boulogne obliged himfelf, by the conditions of the treaty, to ferve the king as his vaffal; the penfion he was to receive being confidered as a *benefice*, which re-SceP Daniel guired from him a return of homage and fealty. la Milice These ftipulations were in reality of much the fame François, purport with the *fubfidiary treaties* of our times. 146, 147. And certainly, though it is dangerous and impolitick in a government, to truft its defence and fecurity to foreign forces alone, or to place its chief dependence upon any aids from abroad; yet to corroborate and encrease the strength of a nation by treaties of this kind with foreign powers, has ever been efteemed an act of good policy, and practifed by ftates the most renowned for their wildom and military virtue. The kings of England particularly, even those of the highest spirit and most warlike difpolitions, have continually done it, from the earlieft times. But they took great care that the payment of these flipulated pensions to foreign princes should not be construed to imply any dependence on those to whom they gave them; but thould appear to be an act of political prudence, in which, though the intereft of both the contracting VOL. II. Kk parties

4.97

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book III

V. Malmfb. 7. f. 90.

198

A. D. 1167 parties was confidered alike, yet the *Superiority* was V. Malmfth Supposed to be on the fide of the giver. William de Hen. I. l. of Malmfbury tells us, that King Henry the Firft, when Robert the Second, earl of Flanders, arrogantly demanded of him a penfion, or annual fubfidy, of three hundred marks, which the earl's father had received from William Rufus, returned this answer. " that the kings of England were not accustomed to pay tribute to the Flemings; nor would he, through fear, bring a ftain on the in-66 dependence and liberty of his crown, which his 66 predeceffors had maintained. If therefore the 66 earl, would truft to his inclinations, he would, 66 when he found occasion, give to him, as to a re-" lation and a friend ; but any demand of this na-" ture should be absolutely refused." This was a declaration agreeable to the wifdom and dignity of that king; but, having fhewn a proper fpirit in re-SeeRymer's fifting the claim, he afterwards followed the policy Fædera, v.i. of his father and brother, in attaching to himfelf, by a fubfidiary treaty, the mafter of a country, which was to conveniently fituated either to affift or annoy the realm of England.

Ibidem, p. shis book.

Similar meafures were taken by King Henry the 25 See it al- Second. In the year eleven hundred and fixty Appendix to three he concluded a treaty with Theodorick early of Flanders, and Philip, his fon; by which they agreed to become vaffals to him and his fon, the heir apparent of his crown, in confideration of a yearly penfion of five hundred marks; four hundred of which were to be paid to Theodorick, and, after his death, to his fon; and one hundred to his confort, the countefs of Flanders, who was aunt to King Henry': but, in cafe of her death, the whole fum of five hundred marks was to be paid to the earl. This penfion is declared by the words of the treaty to be a feudal grant; and, in return for it, belides the homage and fealty, which the earl and his fon were obliged to, they particularly promifed,

# Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

· promifed, that they would faithfully affift the king A. D. 1167. and his fon to maintain and defend the kingdom of England against all perfons what foever; only with a referve of their fealty to Louis their fovereign ; and that, in cafe of an invalion of the faid kingdom by any other foreign power, or of any confiderable rebellion within it, the one or the other of them would come to the affiftance of the king and his fon, with a thou fand knights, or military tenants, each of whom (as appears by one article of the treaty) was to bring with him three horses. The term of their fervice was not limited to any number of days, but was to be regulated by the neceffity which called them over. And they were bound, if required, to take an oath to the king, upon their arrival in England, that they would be true to his fervice. The king, or his fon, was to find fhips to bring them over and carry them back into Flanders, and was to maintain them the whole time of their abode in England, and indemnify them for all loffes fuftained by them there, in the fame manner as was cuftomary with respect to the knights of the king's own household. Certain cafes were mentioned, in which the earl and his fon were to be freed from the obligation of coming over to England and ferving in their own perfons; but no exception was specified with respect to the troops, which, even in cafe of an invalion from the king of France, were to be fent into England, when fummoned by Henry, and to be ready to embark within forty days after the fummons were received. The earl and his fon were to use their utmost endeavours, by counfels and entreaties, to hinder the king of France from invading England in perfon; but, if he fhould invade it, and bring over with him either the earl or his fon, they promiled to come with as few of their own forces as they poffibly could, without incurring a forfeiture of the fief they held of the French crown.

By

500

Fædera, y. j.

A. D. 1167. By another article of this treaty any vaffals of Flanders were permitted to ferve the king of England or his fon; and a free paffage was allowed to them from the feveral ports of Flanders, or of the earldom of Boulogne.

Thefe were the principal articles relating to England. There were others by which the earl of Flanders and his fon engaged likewife to bring fome cavalry to the king or the prince, in Normandy or in Maine, upon terms fomewhat different, which SeeRymer's it will not be neceffary to particularife here. The whole was formed upon the plan of a fublidiary treaty, or convention, made in the year eleven hundred and one, and renewed two years afterwards, by King Henry the First, with Robert the Second, earl of Flanders. A transcript of it from Rymer's Fœdera is inferted in the Appendix belonging to this book, as it contains many things, which, to the curiofity of an antiquary, may be worthy of notice.

While these affairs were transacting on this fide of the Alpes, Pope Alexander had in Italy experienced two great revolutions of fortune. The em-V. Annales perour's arms, in the fpring and fummer of the Baron. \$ 167. 80 vear eleven hundred and fixty feven, had been fo Francifci Pagi Brevi-fuccessful, that he had entered Rome as a conqueror, and had caufed himfelf and the empress to be na, fub eo- crowned by the antipope, on the thirtieth day of dem anno. et July, in the church of St. Peter; Alexander having been forced to yield to his competitor the La-Murat. V. etiam Chron. Tri- teran palace, and fly to Beneventum. But, on the vet. fub eo- fecond of August, the imperial army was attacked dem anno. by a peftilential fever, caufed by the bad air of Rome, which at that feafon of the year is mortal to ftrangers, especially after rain, a great quantity of which then happened to fall, and was immediately fucceeded by violent heats. The diftemper raged with fuch violence, that in fix or feven days the emperour loft the greatest part of his forces, and

Book III

and almost all the nobility that attended him in A. D. 1167. Ithis expedition, among whom was his chancellour, the archbishop of Cologne; his coufin-german, the duke of Rotenburg, who was fon of the late emperour, Conrade the Third; and feveral other great princes and counts of the empire. To fave the remains of his army, he was obliged to retire from Rome and the Campania; but the contagion purfued him : two thousand died on their march, before he could get into Lombardy : and most of those who survived continued for some time in a fick and languid condition. This fudden calamity, V. Enift 22. which Becket, in a letter to Alexander, compares 65, 89. 1. ii. to the deftruction of the Affyrian army under Sennacharib, gave fuch a weight to the fentence of excommunication and depolition, which that pontiff foon afterwards pronounced against the emperour. that most of the cities in Lombardy rovolted from him : and Rome itfelf would have returned under the power of Alexander, if the hoftages given to the emperour by the principal citizens had not re-v. Enit. 66. Arained the fenators from admitting him within L ii. their walls.

Such was the flate of affairs in Italy, about the time when the legates, fent by Alexander to Henry on Becket's affair, came into France. They had fet out from Rome at they beginning of January, but did not arrive at Montpellier till the end of October in the year eleven hundred and fixty feven, having, in order to avoid the emperour's troops, or from other fecret reasons, been much delayed in their journey. On their coming into France, v. Epift. 9. Cardinal William of Pavia wrote to Becket a very 1. ii. civil and amicable letter, excufing fome appearances in his paft conduct, which that prelate might have feen in difagreeable lights, by the necessity he was under of endeavouring to gain fuch a credit with the king, as might render his interceffions for peace more effectual. To this Becket wrote an V. Epitt. 19.

Kk 3

anfwer 1. ii.

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III

A. D. 1167 anfwer fo rude and offenfive, that John of Salifbury, to whole infpection he thought proper to fubmit it before it was fent to the cardinal, fra kly told him, " that, in his judgment, a courier of the pope ought V. Epift. 20.

1. 11.

11. İ. ii.

" not to have received fuch language from him; and " that, if the cardinal were to f ...d both letters to " the pope, his own writing would convict him of the

" charge of rancour and contumacy brought against " bim by the king." Whereupon he wrote another,

V. Epift. 10. and then a third, which he likewife fubmitted to the correction of his friend ; but still there remained fuch a bitterness and virulence in the ftyle, that

V. Epift. 25. John of Salifbury expressed himfelf much diffatis-1. ii. fied with them, and composed one for him, which was probably fent to the legate. He himfelf wrote another, full of the groffest adulation, to Cardinal Otto, the collegue of William of Pavia, who, he thought, was lefs his enemy, though not much his friend. Notwithstanding the notoriety of his being difpleafed with the legation itfelf, as unneceffary V. Epift. 18. and hurtful to his affairs, he told this legate, " that de ala " upon the news of his coming the whole congregation " of Christ's banished flock triumphed with joy and " thank fgiving; as if an angel had been fent down " from heaven to comfort the church and free the 66 clergy : and that, although his collegue was " fufpected by many, as a favourer of the king, " and capable of being corrupted to the ruin of the " church : yet it was believed, that he, with " Mofes, had the angel of the Lord, that is, the boly " spirit, going before him in the law; who would al-" ways protect him, and not fuffer him to have another " God, or to prefer either rewards, or perfon, or caufe, " to the divine word." In another part of this letter Becket expresses a hope, that the fuspicions conceived of William of Pavia might be false, and that his intimacy with Henry might turn in the end to

the deliverance of the church, the falvation of the king, and the glory of God; but cautions both the legates

# Book III. HISTORY OF THE LIFE

gates not to put any confidence in those false prophets, A. D. 1167. those Balaams, the English bishops; and tells Cardinal Orto, that he believes him to be " the man " of God, fent into England to relieve the defolate " Shunanite, and cure the powerful Syrian of his le-" profy: but at the same time to inflict on the Gebazis " who followed him the punishment they deserved." A.d, among the effects which he expected from the r covery of Henry, he mentions a full restitution of all that had been taken from himself and his friends, with fecurity and favour to them, and liberty and beace to the church : adding, " that it was to be " boped from the penitence of the king, that he would " not contend any longer for the maintenance of his " customs, which the pope had condemned with the " unanimous confent of the cardinals; nor require any " oaths, which could not be kept without violating the " catholick faith and religion."

All thefe things were thrown in to make the cardirals feafible of the inutility of attempting, by any gentle methods, to mediate a reconciliation between him and the king, who they might be fure would not yield to fuch demands. And, as William of Pavia had faid, in the letter he fent to him, that he was come, with his collegue, to determine the questions between him and the king of England, he took great umbrage at thefe words, and wrote thereupon to the pope, " that, from the tenour of v. Epitt 21. " the letters which he and the king of France had 1. ii. " received from his Holinefs, he had rather expect-" ed the confolation of peace, than the confusion " which would arife from the decision of questions " between him and the king of England." He like-" wife ventured to fay, " that the cardinal " abovementioned was not a perfon to whofe au-" thority or judgement in this caufe he ought " to be fubject; it being contrary to all justice, that " he (bould submit to be tried or examined by one " who fought to traffick with his blood. Where-Kk4 " fore

### HISTORY OF THE LIFE

Book III

504

A. D. 1167." fore he entreated his Holinefs, that he would " at least annul the authority of this legate fo " far, as it had any relation to him or his caufe." This request was partly founded upon a report. or furmife, which then prevailed, that the fee of Canterbury was promifed to William of Pavia. if the deposition of Becket should by his means be effected. Whatever truth there might be in that furmife, which certainly does not feem probable, Henry's eager defire that he should be nominated for the determination of this caufe, and his known attachment to that prince, were reafons fufficient to justify the archbishop in excepting against him as a judge. But fince there was no likelihood that Alexander, to whom he had done many fervices, fhould revoke the commission he had given him, these complaints and declarations of ill humour in Becket could do that prelate no fervice. but might anger the pope, and render the conditions of that reconciliation, which this cardinal was employed to mediate for him, ftill lefs advantageous.

A. in

V. Epift. 2.4. The two legates, in a joint answer, which they made to his letters, expressed themselves much diffatisfied at his loading their negociation with fo many difficulties, which they thought infurmountable; and plainly told him, that the infifting on fuch points at this time, particularly on the reftitution of all that had been taken from him and his followers, would be very imprudent, and was what, without the knowledge and confent of the pope, they would by no means agree to. After many peevifh and affected delays on his part, they had a conference with him, on the nineteenth of November, in the year eleven hundred and fifty feven, at Planches, a town of the French Vexin. On their return into Normandy they fent the pope an account of what had paffed in that meeting. In this V. Epifi. 28 letter they fay, " that, upon their arrival in King Henry's

Henry's dominions, they found the difference A. D. 1167. 44 - 66 between him and Becket much more inflamed 26 than they wished : for he himfelf and the better 46 part of his court affirmed, that the archbishop 66 had vehemently excited the king of France a-٤6 gainft him, and had also induced his relation. 50 the earl of Flanders, who before had been void 46 of any rancour towards him, to defy him on a 66 fudden, and do all that was in his power to make 66 war upon him; as he certainly knew, and as, " by evident indications, was fufficiently apparent." They then proceed to acquaint the pope, " that, in their first audience of Henry, they delivered 60 into his hands the letters they brought, which " having read and confidered, and finding them " lefs fatisfactory to him than fome others, which " his Holiness had fent him before, on that affair, " he shewed great indignation; and the more, " becaufe, as he told them, he undoubtedly knew, " that fince their departure from Rome the arch-" bifhop had received letters, which entirely ex-56 empted him from their jurifdiction. He like-" wife affirmed, with the concurrent teftimonies of all the bishops there prefent, that what his Ho-" " linefs had been told concerning the ancient cuf-66 toms of England was not founded on truth: and " further offered, that if any, which were repug-" nant to the ecclefiaftical laws, fhould appear " to have been added in bis time, he was willing to 66 annul them according to the judgement of his " Holinefs."

I need not obferve that in this offer the king rifqued nothing; but the legates continue their narration by faying, " that they had laboured, " conjointly with all the principal clergy of Hen-" ry's dominions, to prevail upon that monarch " to approve of their acting, not only as judges, " but also mediators, between him and the arch <sup>55</sup> bithop, that all hope of a reconciliation might " not

# OF KING HENRY II. Book III.

506

A. D. 1167. " not be cut off; and had fent their own chaplains " with letters to that prelate, in which they " named a fafe place, where he might confer " with them on the approaching feaft of St. " Martin. But he, pretending fome excuses, " which they did not think worth repeating put " off the day of their meeting till the nineteenth " of November, at which delay the king ex-" preffed a deeper refentment than they could " have believed. And when Becket, notwithftand-" ing the off r they made him of a fafe con-" duct, would by no means confent to meet " them on the borders of Henry's country ad-" jacent to the French territory, they fo far de-" ferred to him, as to repair to a place within " the bounds of that territory, which he ap-" pointed himfelf, left his being deprived of the " benefit of this conference fhould be imputed to " them."

Confidering the respect which Becket owed to the dignity of the legates, and the professions he had made to Cardinal Otto, his behaviour on this occafion can be only accounted for, by the arrogance of his temper, and a fixed refolution to avoid, or at leaft to delay, any treaty with the king. For he certainly could not justify the diftruft he expressed of that monarch, in refusing to meet the legates at the place they appointed, even with a fafe conduct. There was indeed no occafion for any fecurity, except the honour of those ministers, which Henry, for his own fake, would not have violated. They go on to tell the pope, " that they had begun the conference with " the archbishop, by endeavouring to perfuade, " and earnefuly exhorting him to fhew fuch hu-" mility towards the king, who had heaped upon " him fo many benefits, as might afford them " fome matter whereon to ground a negociation " for making his peace. To which he had an-" fwered

" fwered, after a private confultation with his A. D. 1167. " friends, that he would fufficiently humble him-" felf towards the king, faving the honour of God, " the liberty of the church, the dignity of his own " person, the possessions of the churches, the justice due " to bimfelf and those who belonged to bim. That, " upon his enumerating all these exceptions, they " had urged to him the necessity of specifying " his demands; which he not doing, they afked " him, whether, upon the points that were fpe-" cified in the letters of his Holinefs, he would " fubmit to their judgement; as the king and the " appellant bishops had promised to do; To which " he immediately answered that he had not re-" ceived from his Holinefs any fuch command; " but, if he and all who belonged to him were first 66 absolutely restored, he would then proceed in this " matter according to the orders be should receive " from the apostolical see. That the conference " being thus ended, and his words having been " fuch as had no tendency either to a trial of his 46 caufe, or an agreement with Henry, they had " made their report to that monarch, concealing 66 many things, and foftening others, as well as " they could. Whereupon the king and his chief nobility began to affert, that he was now fully 66 " cleared by the archbifhop's refufing judgement."

Against the truth of this conclusion nothing is faid by the legates ; but they add, " that the Eng-" lift prelates, with many of the clergy there " prefent, earneftly enquired of them, whether by 66 any special mandate, or by their general legan-" tine powers, they could compel him to fubmit " to their judgement? And finding their authority " infufficient, either to determine the caufe, or to 66 protect the appellants against the archbishop, " they had unanimoufly agreed to renew their ap-" peal to his Holineis, till the next feast of St. <sup>44</sup> Martin; in the mean while putting themfelves 46 a.d A.D. 1167. " and the whole realm of England under his " protection."

> This was procuring another year of delay, in the course of which they might hope for fome alteration in their favour, either from the diffrefs of the pope, or, perhaps, from his death. The legates gave their confent to it, at the fame time informing Alexander, "that they had forbidden " Becket to attempt any thing, during this inter-" val, against the subjects or kingdom of Erg-" land." And they concluded with exhorting " him to proceed in this affair with great cir-" cumfpection."

But Becket himfelf wrote to Alexander an account of what had paffed in this interview with V.Epift. 30, them, which contains fome particulars not mentioned in theirs, and a laboured defence of his own conduct. After thanking his Holinefs for having abridged the authority given at first to the legates. he excuses his having put off the time of his meeting them a little longer than they defired, becaufe he could not affemble fo readily his exiled friends. whole attendance and advice he thought he might want. As to the charge brought against him of having incited the king of France and the earl of Flanders to make war upon his fovereign, he fays in general, " that he had effaced those fuspicions " with true and probable arguments; and that the " king of France himfelf, on the following day, " had, in prefence of the cardinals, fo far as he " was concerned, upon oath attested his innocence. " He adds, that God, the fearcher of all hearts, " knew he was free from this offence; for he " was not fo ill read in the foriptures as to think, " that, in fuch a caufe, a prieft ought to employ carnal weapons, inftead of fpiritual, or truft in 64 " princes or in the arm of flefh." He then relates to the pope another particular of his discourse with the legates, not related by themfelves, "that he

508

L ii.

" he was asked by the cardinal of Pavia, whe-A. D. 1167. " ther (as he was no better than his predeceffors) " he would promife the king, in their prefence, to 46 maintain all those customs, which, under former 66 kings and archbishops of Canterbury, had been 46 maintained ; and fo, complaints on both fides being quieted, regain his archbishoprick and the 56 66 king's favour, if they could be obtained for 66 him? To which he replied, that none of his 56 predeceffors had, by any king, been conftrained 66 to make fuch a profession: nor would he ever promife obedience to cuftoms which deftroyed 66 the liberty of the church of God, tore up the pri-66 66 vileges of the apoftolical fee, and were plainly 66 repugnant to the divine law; cuftoms, from 66 the observance of which he had been graciously 66 abfolved by his Holinefs, in the prefence of 66 them and many others at Sens." He added, 66 That, by God's grace, he fhould never forget 56 those words of his Holiness, which so well be-... came an apostolical mouth, that they ought rather < 6 to yield up their necks to the foord or the axe, than 11 confent to fuch wickedness, and so forsake their 66 pastoral charge, out of a scandalous attachment to 66 temporal things, or an inordinate love of life. Af-66 ter this, the conflitutions of Clarendon being 66 read, he asked the legates, "Whether they 66 could be obferved, or even connived at, by a 66 prieft, without bringing both his order and his 66 foul into danger ?" Adding, that he had fworn 66 fealty to the king faving his order, and would 66 fo keep it to him, as not to give up the faith 56 he owed to God. But being exhorted to com-66 ply for the peace of the church, he urged the 66 danger of the precedent; that no perfon would 66 afterwards dare to open his mouth for ecclefiaf-" tical liberty; that, when the paftors gave way " in fuch a cowardly manner, none elfe would 66 contend for the defence of the house of Iirael ; and

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

510

A. D. 1167. " and that neither his Holinefs, nor any apof-

" tolical man, had ever inftructed the church by " fuch examples."

These are the principalpoints in which the letter of Becket differs from that of the legates; but in the bitterness of his heart he could not help filling it with the tharpest invectives against the appellant bifhops; reminding the pope, That they who now thirsted for his blood were the Same, who, upon the demand of his pall, had expressed by their letters the most entire approbation of his election, and bestowed on his perfon the highest encomiums; though, at prefent, contradicting both truth and themselves they had, by the impudence of lying and flattering, made themselves contemptible; and, like the flaves in ancient comedies, first affirmed, and then denied, at the nod of their master. He also complained to his Holines. "That, befides the churches of Canterbury and " Tours, the king had for a long time detained in " his own hands no less than seven vacant bishop-" ricks in England and Normandy, and fuffered no " paftors to be ordained in them. He adds, that the clergy were given up to the foldiers of that " prince to be trampled upon and made their 66 pray. He asks the pope, how he will answer " the enduring of this at the day of judgement? "Who will refift Antichrift at his coming, if fo " little opposition is made to the vices and crimes " of his foreunners?" It is, fays he, by fuch for-" bearance on our fide, that the powers of the world. " grow infolent; kings become tyrants, fo as to im-66 agine that no right, no privilege, is to be left to " the church, unless at their pleasure. But bleffed " is he who takes and dashes their little ones a-" gainst the stones. For if Judah, according to the command of the law, does not root out the Ca-" naanite, he will grow up against him, to be perpe-" tually bis enemy and his scourge. Take courage, " father and be strong; for more are with us than " againf

" against us. The impious Frederick has already been A. D. 1167 " crushed by the Lord, who will soon crush others " alfo, if they do not repent, and make their peace " with the church." Then referring his Holinefs to a verbal account, from the meffengers he fent to him, of fome particulars which he did not think proper to write, he addreffes him thus; " Of this " let your ferenity be well affured, that, if I would " from the beginning have acquiefced in those " wicked cuftoms, I thould not need the mediati-" on of any cardinal, nor indeed of any man li-" ving. In vain do they plead in defence of them " the example of the Sicilians or the Hunga-" rians, which would not excuse us in the day " of judgement, if we should prefer the bar-" barifm of tyrants to apostolical institutions, and " believe that the infolence of fecular provers " fhould be the rule to direct our life, rather than " the eternal teftament, confirmed with the blood " and death of the fon of God." He then complains very bitterly of the perfecution he had fuffered for the fake of the church; and alks the pope, "Whether it ought to be the fruit of his " labour and exile, and of the opposition he had " made to the fiercest oppressor of the church, in de-" fence of its freedom, that, after fo many mile-" ries fuftained by himfelf, and by those who were " banished on his account, instead of the confola-" tion which he had to long expected, and the " vengeance due from God and his Holinefs to the " injury done to Chrift Jefus, he should, by the " authority of this legation, be vexed with delay " and chicanery, year after year, and at last have " the right and justice of his cause turned to "the run of himfelf and his unhappy friends."

Such was the letter of Becket on this occalion; a letter full of that mixture of puffion and cunning, which is one diffinguifhing mark of his fingular character! I would obferve up in it, that the teftimony of Louis, alledged by him in vindication 512

1. ii.

A. D. 1170. tion of himfelf from the charge of having incited that monarch to make war upon Henry, may fo far be true, as that he did not directly instigate or advise bim to do it ; but, that by indirect methods, by poifoning his mind with jealoufies and fufpicions, and inflaming his bigotry against an oppressor and perfecutor of the church, he disposed him to break all friendship with that prince, can hardly be doubted, if we confider the rancour expressed in his letters, V.Erift'163 and the whole tenour of his conduct. The pro-168. J. i. bability of it is further confirmed by the manner. in which one of his nearest and most intimate friends wrote to him, and to others, upon the events of this war, and of other quarrels wherein their fovereign was engaged; expressing great satisfaction when his enemies feemed to have any advantage over him. That fimilar arts were used to incense the earl of Flanders one may reafonably fuppofe: nor was it difficult for one fo experienced in the world as Becket had been, to do this in a manner that would finally anfwer his purpofe, without committing any open or politive act of high treason.

With regard to the complaint, which Becket makes, of Henry's keeping feven bifhopricks in England and Normandy too long unfilled, it must be observed, that if, during the absence of that prelate, the vacant fees in this kingdom had been filled up, the perfons elected to fupply them could not have been confecrated without an offence against his metropolitan rights. It appears that Henry was defirous to fill them up at this time, by the inter-V. Epift. 34. vention of the legates; but the pope, at Becket's requeft, had, by a particular mandate, reftrained them from interfering in that affair till the archbishop should be entirely reconciled to the king. What occasioned the delay in the Norman fees is uncertain : but it probably was fome good reafon ; as we do not find that the legates made any remonftrances to the king on that article, or took any notice of it in their letter to the pope.

Henry

Henry was much discontented at the report of A. D. 1167. the conference with the archbishop, and still more at the inability which he found in the legates to do him any fervice. On their return into Normandy, he preffed them to hear his caufe with Becket, and offered to give them any fecurity they fhould afk, v. Epift. 26. that he would fland to their judgment on every ar-1. ii. ticle, if they would render to him what even the loweft of men had a right to demand from them, justice. They replied, that their commission was not to judge, but amicably to compose his disputes with that prelate. At the end of this conference he faid publickly, and even in their hearing (if we may believe an anonymous letter to Becket) that v. Epif. 6. be willed his eyes might never more see the face of al. ii. cardinal. Neverthelefs, when they afterwards had their audience of leave, he begged their affiftance and interceffion with the pope to rid bim of Becket, and fpoke with fo much emotion, that he even fhed tears; " at which (fays the letter-writer) " Cardinal William of Pavia feemed alfo to " weep, but Cardinal Otto could hardly forbear " from laughing." And he adds, " that the lat-" ter gave notice to the pope, by a fecret chan-" nel, that he never would be concerned in the " deposing of Becket, nor confenting thereunto; " though the king seemed to defire nothing but his " head in a charger."

All the appellant English prelates now wrote to V. Epist. 33. his holiness most bitter complaints of the archbi-1. ii. shop's behaviour, with relation to themselves, the V. Appenchurch, and the kingdom. They faid, "be declined to pay the king forty thousand marks, or more, (as his own people affirmed) or even to make up any account; and denied to his fovereign and his master what he ought not to deny even to a heathen or publican." The embezzlement was enormous: for the fum he was charged with was equivalent in those days to above four hundred thousand pounds in Vol. II. L 1 these

#### HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III

A. D. 1167. thefe. And the bifhop of London, in a publick affembly before the legates, enlarged upon that

V Epift. 6. point, and treated Becket's defence with ridicule and contempt, faying, " the archbiflop thought, " that, as fins were remitted by baptism, so debts " were difcharged by promotion." The plea indeed was ridiculous, and this Becket well knew; for

V. Epift. 30, in the abovementioned letter he told the pope, 1. i. that although he had a confidence in one of the legates. yet there was no man but his holinefs to whom he would venture to commit this cause of the Lord.

That pontiff, before he departed out of France, V.Epift. 49. had granted to this prelate a very extraordinary See alto Apbrief, in which, by virtue of his own apostolical pendix. power, he reverfed and an ulled the fentence paft by the bifhops and barons at Northampton, which on account of Becket's contumacy in his fuit with John the king's marefchal, had declared all his goods to be forfeited to the king; " because (fays the brief) an inferiour cannot judge a superiour, especially one to whom his obedience is due; because all the goods of the archbijkop belong to the church, which ought not to suffer any loss or inconvenience for the faults of its pastor; and because the sentence was contrary to the ecclepastical usage, and the forms of the cannon law." But, even under the protection of fuch an exemption from the authority of all laws except those of the church, Becket could not be fafe from the demand of a debt incurred before be was a bifliop, during the courfe of his administra tion in a civil employment; the equity of that demand being fubmitted to the judgment of legates from the pope. He therefore defired to avoid any trial upon it, and decide it more advantageoully by excommunicating Henry, and forcing him to purchase absolution by an act of grace and oblivion. But, to his great mortification, foon after the conference between him and the legates, in which he declared, he would not fubmit to their judgment,

### 514

1. i.

judgment, unless be and his friends were first re-A.D. 1168. fored, a condition he was certain the king would refuse, he received from them a letter, forbidding v. Epit.29. him to pronounce any fentence of interdict againft 1. ii. the realm of England, or to excommunicate any perfon within that realm, till the affair had been brought before the pope, and till his pleafure thereupon should be known ; which mandate they grounded upon the authority of Alexander himfelf, fignified to the appellant bishops in letters from that pontiff, produced by them to the legates. He had entertained no apprehenfions of this prohibition, when he gave his holinefs an account of the conference with the legates, in the manner related before; and it grieved him fo much, that, in the dejection and agony of his mind, he again prayed to the pope, v.Epift. 47. as he had done when the two cardinals came first 1. ii. into Normandy, with very indecent and profane applications of fcripture. Not long afterwards he received a letter from Alexander, in which, v. Epift.94. after exhorting him not to fink under the weight i. ii. of his afflictions, but remember, that " bleffed " are they who fuffer persecution for righteousness " fake," that pontiff gave him this judicious and friendly admonition; "Where you are certain "that justice and the liberty of the church are " greatly injured, do not endeavour to make your " peace with the king to the depression and dimi-" nution of the ecclefiaftical dignity : but never-" thelefs, as far as it can be done, faving the ho-" nour of your office and the liberty of the church, " bumble yourfelf to bim, and ftrive to recover his " favour and affection ; neither be too much afraid " of bim, nor require greater f curities than you need." If the archbishop had differently followed this counfel, it would have prevented his death : but to bumble bimfelf was a lesion he could not learn, nor did he think it confiftent with the bonour of his I. 1 2 office.

A.D. 1168. office. This letter had therefore no effect on his conduct : and, as he was ftopt by the pope's mandate from any hoftile proceedings, nothing material was done, with relation to the difference between him and the king, for feveral months. But foon after Midfummer, in the year eleven hundred and fixty eight, the earl of Flanders, upon fome overtures then made by Henry, carried him to wait on that monarch at a certain place on the borders, where a conference between the kings of France and England was appointed to be held. V. Epift. 20. If we may believe what he wrote himfelf to the 1. ii. pope, it was thought that a reconciliation might have then been obtained for him on the most advantageous terms. But two minifters, whom Henry had fent to Beneventum, returning from thence at this important juncture of time, brought to that prince a letter from the pope, wherein it was ordered by his holinefs, that Becket's fpiritual authority over him, or his kingdom, or any perfons belonging to it, should be entirely suspended, till that prelate had recovered his royal favour : whereupon he was fo elated, that he would not fo much as fee him. And being permitted by Alexander to publish this letter, he fent copies of it to England, and over all the realm of France ; nay, he boafted in publick, " that he had obtained the fame privilege as his grandfather Henry the First, who was king, legate, patriarch, emperour, and all that be wilbed to be, in his own territories." This was only an hyperbolical expression of his triumph; and he had reafons of policy to found it as high as he could. But he feems, on this occasion, to have exceeded the limits of his usual prudence. For V.Epift. 32. he told the bishop of Worcester, " that be had 58. İ. ii. now got the pope and all the cardinals in his purfe." He even declared in his family, what bribes he had given, and to whom of the facred college. All

All which was immediately repeated to Becket by A. D. 1168. friends and fpies he had there.

What rendered the affliction of that prelate more painful and infupportable to him, was the confidence he had conceived from the profperous ftate of Alexander's affairs at the time when this letter was fent. For, by a confederacy of the Lombards, the emperour had been forced to abandon Pavia, which city he had repaired to, after the deftruction the fickness contracted at Rome had made in his army; and retiring, or rather flying, from caftle to caftle, had efcaped at laft out of Italy, through the territories of Humbert earl of Savoy and Maurienne, which, not without difficulty, were opened to him, in the utmost extremity of his danger, by the interceffion of a near relation of that earl, the marquis of Montferrat. He was even forced, when he came to the borders of Savoy, to go fecretly off, by night, with only five of his menial fervants, and difguifed in their habit. Nevertheless fome good reasons might prevail with the pope to grant this favour to Henry. He had a cool and fober mind. which was able to preferve in the midft of profperity a provident attention to future dangers. Frederick indeed had been driven beyond the Alps; but, his perfon being fafe, his power was ftill formidable; and the loffes he had fuffered. from a misfortune fuperiour to all human prudence, were more likely to excite in him a defire of re-v. Acerb. venge, than fubdue or weaken his courage. No Morence regard was paid in Germany to the fentence of ex- Struy, pericommunication and depolition pronounced againft of 7. parag-bin by Alexander, the fummer before, The rico Barbawhole body of the empire remained firm in rolla. P. the party of the antipope. Among a people d'Allem. fo numerous, and fo warlike as the Germans, new fub. ann. armies might foon be raifed, and brought again cifei Pagi into Italy, to support the cause of that pontiff. Biev. pontif. L 1 3 The 1167, 1168.

A. D. 1168. The duke of Saxony alone was fuch a powerful prince, that, whilft he adhered to Frederick, the oppolite party might still dread a change of fortune. Alexander's greatest strength was in the protection given to him by the kings of England and France; V.Epift. 79. but the earl of Champagne, who had a governing

influence in the French court, withed well to the I. ii. emperour, and at this very time was endeavouring to negociate a match between a fon of that prince and a daughter of Louis. Whether the latter would refuse this alliance was uncertain; and the

1. i.

V.Epift. 38. bishop of London had told Alexander, not long before, in a private and confidential letter, " that " if King Henry (bould throw off his obedience to him " as pope, there would not be wanting a perfon to " bow the knee to Baal, and take the pall of Can-" terbury from the antipope's hands, nor others to fill " all the English sees under that idol with great de-" votion ; and that many already withed for fuch a " revolution." On the other hand, to have facrifiled Becket to Henry would not only have hurt the power of the papacy and the reputation of the pope, but have exafperated Louis, whole regard for that prelate was become an enthuliafm. Under these difficulties Alexander resolved very prudently to keep the affair in his own hands as long as he could, and prevent either party from going into extremes, which might, in their confequences, endanger his interefts. What he wished was, that Becket might be perfuaded to defire, and make it his own requeft, to change his archbishoprick for another out of England. And there is in the Cotton Library a manufcript letter to Henry from Cardinal John of Naples, which affirms to that mo-Cod. Cot. narch, " that, if he would follow his counfel, ton. MS. Fol. Claudi-" and make a right use of the letters which the us B. ii. f. " pope had fent him, Becket, seeing bimself de-258. b. See is in the coprived of all affiftance, and certainly knowing, " that

### MI 8

519

" that he could by no means ever return to the fee of A. D. 1168. " Canterbury, would voluntarily renounce it, and beg " to be provided for in some other see, where be " might refide." But in this the cardinal was miftaken; and Alexander, who perceived, that Becket's agents at Beneventum were greatly diffatisfied, and that there was reason to apprehend he never would confent to any fuch exchange, thought it neceflary foon afterwards to write him an apology for what he had done; which he chiefly grounded V.Ep. 16. on the danger of driving Henry to engage in a ". iv. confederacy with the emperour, whom he called in his letter a tyrant, and a flagitious enemy of the church : but he affured the archbishop, " that, if " the king was not reconciled to him by the be-" ginning of Lent (meaning the lent of the year " eleven hundred and fixty nine) he would then " reftore to him full power to execute the duty " of his office, not only upon particulars of dig-" nity in the flate, but upon the kingdom itfelf, " and the perfon of the king, without any ob-" ftacle of an appeal, if be fould find it expedi-" ent for himfelf; and provided be did it with the " pontifical gravity and deliberation, which Juch a " proceeding required."

Before this letter came to Becket, on the first notice of that which Henry had published to all France with fo much exultation, the angry prelate had fent to Alexander most pathetick complaints, V. Epife.14. or rather upbraidings, on that fubject; his high liv. 46.1.i. fpirit being unable to conceal it's refentment. He had written in the fame ftyle to the college of cardinals, and had engaged fome French bilhops, v. Erit.10. and even the king and queen of France, to ex-20.1. iv. 59. postulate with his holiness on the injury he had done to him and the church. After receiving thefe letters the pope wrote to him again, with ftrong protestations, " that his affection for him was not " declined,

L14

A.D. 1168. " declined, but daily encreased: that he con-

520

I. iv.

Book III.

" ftantly proposed to maintain and preferve, with " the most diligent care, his honour and dignity, " and the rights of his church ; and that he would " faithfully keep the promife he had given, by " reftoring him to the plenitude of his authority " on the day he had fixed." He gave the fame affurances to the king of France; but he could not be induced to fhorten the term, though he had been v. Epift.ci, informed by a letter from a trufty friend in that kingdom, " that, notwithstanding a caution, which " conformably to his orders had been given to " Louis, not to be alarmed if he should hear that " the English ministers at Beneventum had gained " fome great point against Becket, because his ho-" line is would keep the cause of that prelate in his " own breaft; he was fo much diffurbed at the " boafts which Henry made of the letter he had " received, as to complain that his holinefs had " broken his word to him, and even to fay, in " the heat of anger, that he would not be flopt any " longer, out of regard to the see of Rome, from " procuring a benefit to bimself and his kingdom, as " be foould find it expedient;" meaning the projected alliance with the emperour's fon.

Besides the affection this monarch retained for Becket, his own interest now might reasonably incenfe him against the conduct of Alexander. For the excommunication of Henry would have enabled him to make war against that prince with advantage, or to prefcribe the terms of peace. But Alexander pleaded, " that the fee of Rome " was accuftomed rather to fuffer any damage " and lofs to itfelf by a deliberate conduct, than " to fin by precipitation." In fhort, he would not be driven, by folicitations or menaces, out of that plan, which he had very judicioufly fettled, as the best for his interest, and purfued with great fkill. If

V. Epift. prædict.

If we may believe John of Salifbury, this un-A. D. 1168. expected fulpenfion of Becket's authority was ob-s.T. Capit tained by William of Pavia, who wrote to the pope, 108. 1. ii. " that he apprehended great danger to himfelf and " his colleague, if the archbishop should pass any " fentence against the king or his kingdom, while " they continued in his territories." Certain it is from the evidence of Becket himfelf, who menti-v.Epift.100 oned it as a fecret to be revealed to no mortal, ex-1. ii. cept the pope and his own most faithful friends. that a meffenger, whom he had fent, about that time, to the legates, had received from Cardinal Otto a private inftruction, to let him know, "that " Alexander must not fend to them any mandate " against the king, whom they would not offend, till " they had left his dominions, either out of regard to " his bolinefs, or to any other perfon." Upon which declaration, he immediately difpatched an inftruction to his agents at Beneventum, ftrongly to prefs V. Epit. prædiet. the recalling of those legates without delay. Neverthelefs it appears by the above cited letter of John of Salifbury, that they were not recalled till the autumn of this year, eleven hundred and fixty eight.

A little before their departure, Cardinal Otto, in V. Epift. 108 taking leave of the king, made use of that occa-1. ii. fion to exhort him to a fpeedy reconciliation with Becket. He replied, " that, from his affection to " the pope and to them, he would confent to let " the archbishop return in peace to his fee, and " take care of his church and his own bufinefs." This (whatever limitation he might mean to annex to it by the concluding words) was certainly a great condefcention, and fuch as it is probable he would not then have been brought to, if he had not trufted that Becket would refuse to return without many other conditions. After a long difpute with the cardinal about the royal cuftoms, he faid, " that <sup>44</sup> he and his children would be content with those " alone,

A. D. 1158. cc alone, which it should be proved that his ancestors " had erjoyed, by the oaths of a hundred men of 66 Eigland, a hundred of Normandy, a hundred 66 of Ar jou and of his other dominions. But, if 66 this proposition did not pleafe the archbishop, he 46 was ready to ftand to the arbitration of three 66 Erglifh bifhops, and of three who belonged to 66 his territories on the continent, namely Rouen, " Baieux, and Mans. Or, in cafe that even this 66 fhould not be thought fufficient, he would fub-66 mit to the judgment of the pope, with this re-66 ferve only, that his act fhould not prejudice the 46 rights of his heirs."

If Becket's objection to the conftitutions of Clarendon had been (as fome have maintained) that they were innovations, the first proposal Henry made with regard to the royal cuftoms would have removed all his difficulties. But it was the difcordance of them to the divine laws upon which he grounded his opposition; and by the divine laws he meant the ecclefiaftical canons. All that the church, at any time, had illegally obtained or usurped from the ftate, he supposed to be it's just right; and all attempts made by the ftate to recover what it had loft, or to oppose future encroachments, he treated as facrilege. The king therefore must have been fensible that his first proposal would not be accepted by Becket: he rifqued more in the fecond; but, undoubtedly, in the laft he went a great deal too far; becaufe, though he articled that his act fhould not prejudice the right of his heirs, yet fuch a concession made for his own life-time, besides the prefent detriment and injury to the ftate, would have laid fucceeding princes under very great difficulties to recover that right from a power to affift :  $\epsilon$ d by the bigotry of the people, and which knew fo well how to convert the fhortest possession into a permanent claim. In all probability, this was rather a compliment lightly thrown out, to fnew his

his defire of an agreement with the church, and <sup>A. D. 1168-</sup> to load the archbilhop, than a deliberate purpofe, upon which he fincerely intended to proceed. Being afked by the cardinal, "what he would do v.Epift.108 with regard to the reftitution demanded by Becket, <sup>L. ii.</sup> and to which he was bound in confeience? he anfwered, "he would do nothing;" attefting with many ftrong oaths, that all be had taken from that prelate bim/elf, or from those banished with bim, he bad bestowed on poor churches."

The conference ended with intimations from the cardinal, "that, if he did not follow other counfels, and act with more lenity, be would be called to an account by God and his church more feverely and more speedily than he believed." The other legate thought it necefiary to fpeak a fimilar language in his audience of leave; and he likewife was heard without regard by the king. But, not long afterwards, Cardinal Otto, difcourfing with Becket. asked him, whether be would confent to give up bis archbishoprick, on the condition of the king's renouncing his customs? To which disagreeable question the archbithop replied, " that the cafe was not equal; because the king was bound in duty, and for the good of his foul, to renounce those cuftoms; but he could not, without betraying the honour of the church, give up his archbithoprick." This answer, artful as it was, appeared to repugnant to the difinterested zeal which he had professed, that the bishop of Worcester faid publickly, "it was v. Epit 110 now evident, that the archbifbop of Canterbury was 1. ii. not fincere in the caufe be maintained, but fought his own private interest, not the liberty of the church :" ading, "that he himself was ready to give up his own bi/boprick on the fame condition :" which words were of no little differvice to Becket; as he who fpoke them was effected a most zealous churchman. But the friends of that prelate apologized for him by faying, "that the king might revoke, at pleasure, his

### HISTORY OF THE LIFE

524

fub ann.

1167.

A. D. 1168. his benignity to the church, and reduce it again to it's former servitude, or a worse: but, after such an example, no man would again affert it's freedom : for who could have courage enough to take up a caufe, in which, and for which, he remembered that so great a v. Epift. prelate had fallen?" John of Salisbury affirms, in a predictam. letter on this fubject, "that, to his knowledge Becket. was absolutely determined never to change bis see for another, nor defift from profecuting his right, nor make a peace with the king, if the constitutions, about which the controversy bad arisen, were not given up." There is also a letter from the archbishop himfelf, written not long before, wherein he inftructs his agents at Beneventum, "plainly and fairly to let bis bolinefs and bis other friends know, that be would sooner suffer himself to be put to death, than to be torn, while alive, from his mother, the church of Canterbury, which had nurfed and exalted him to what he was at this time." He likewife bid them add, "that, were there no other objection, but the king's taking from this and other churches in England what of right belonged to them, he called God to witnefs, that he rather chose to die the most cruel death, than shamefully live, that monarch bei g permitted to do these things, and not receiving from him the punishment due to them, unless he made satisfaction."

During the courfe of these transactions Henry's affairs had been embroiled with inteftine commotions in feveral parts of his territories belonging to France. The truce, made in August of the year eleven hundred and fixty feven, between him and Louis, had been a suspension of open, but not of fecret hostilities, on the fide of the latter, who encouraged fome nobles in the dutchy of Aquitaine to take up arms against Henry, with a promise of affiftance on the expiration of the truce at the end Chron. Nor. of the Eafter holidays in the following year. The Norman chronicle imputes their intended infurrection to no other caufe than a licentious defire of plunder

Book III

der and rapine, which, indeed, in that country A. D. 1168. was often fufficient to excite a civil war. But an- Gervafe, fub other hiftorian afcribes it, with more probability, ann. 1168. to their difcontent against Henry, on account of fome franchifes he had lately taken from them. A power of opprefling the commons with impunity, was frequently claimed, as a privilege of nobility, by the feudal barons in Aquitaine; but their prefent duke was not fo patient of any franchife of that nature as fome of his predeceffors. Whatever the caufe may have been, the confederacy was ftrong; and, had the fecret been kept till the expiration of the truce, might have produced a diverfion of great advantage to Louis. But Henry's vigilance foon difcovered, and punished their treafon. On the first intelligence of it he marched into Poitou; and, though it was now the middle of winter, laid fiege to Lufignan, the principal fortrefs of the confederate barons, which he prefently took and deftroyed. When this barrier was broken down all the lands of the infurgents were ravaged by his foldiers, who met with no refiftance. Thus, by the great celerity with which he acted, the ftrength of this rebellion was crushed and overpowered in it's first beginning; after which he left the government of the province to Eleanor, it's natural fovereign, and went back into Normandy. Negociations for a peace between him and Louis had been carried on for fome months. Soon after the first conference of Becket with the legates, John of Salifbury wrote to the bifhop of Poitiers, "that Henry and the earl of Champagne were V. Epit. 25. " then contending, which of them fhould outwit " the other in the treaty." And he fays in another letter, "that the earls of Flanders and Champagne, at the defire of that monarch, had formed the plan of a treaty, and communicated it to Louis. in a great council at Soiffons, which feems to have been convened between Christmas and Easter in the year eleven hundred and fixty eight, foon after

A. D. 1168. after the return of Henry from Poitou. The conditions propoled were fo fair, and the mediation of the two princes who preffed them upon Louis had fo much weight, that, however averfe he might be to any peace at this time, he did not think it advifeable for him to reject them.

All being agreed to on his part, the carl of Champagne was letting out to wait upon Henry, in order to receive his ratification of the treaty, when an incident happened, which again retarded the V. Epift. 66, peace. The lords of the house of Lusignan, after Henry's departure from their country, had attempted to rebuild their ruined caftle; which being informed of, he determined to go inftantly thither, and oppofed their defign, but left full powers to the three minifters of the higheft rank in his court. Richard de Humet justiciary of Normandy, the archbishop of Rouen, and Richard de Luci justiciary of England, to conclude the peace for him according to the conditions with Louis had accepted. There was nothing in this proceeding at which that monarch could reafonably take any umbrage; but either he really fuspected, or pretended to fufpect, that Henry meant to difavow the act of his ministers, with regard to any articles which he afterwards might diflike. Upon this groundlefs apprehenfion he acted fo violently, that he went directly to Bourges, and made a treaty with the confederate nobles of Aquitaine, by which he engaged to affift them, and make no peace with Henry, till he had obtained one for them with an entire reftitution of all they had loft. This put a new difficulty in the way of the mediators, and made Henry apprehend a troublefome war in Poitou as foon as the truce with Louis fhould expire. He therefore ftrengthened that province, and all his dominions beyond the Loire, with numerous garrifons; and, having appointed the earl of Salifbury his general in these parts, went to meet the king of

I. ii.

of France, at a place appointed for their inter-A. D. 1168. view by the former conventions. But Louis refuled to fee him, unlefs he would affure to the nobles of Aquitaine a fafe peace and reftitution of all the pofferfions he had lately taken from them; yet fo as that neither party should be obliged to rebuild the caftles or houses they had burnt. He fubmitted to this, and perfonaly pledged his royal faith to the nobles who treated for Louis, in the absence of that king, that he would obferve all the articles agreed upon at Soiffons: a reciprocal engagement being taken by them in the name of their royal mafter, except with regard to one article, which he afterwards gave his affent to, namely the contracting of one of his daughters to Prince Richard Plantagenet, When they had made their report to him of what they had done, he confented to fee Henry, and fwear to the peace. John of Salifbury wrote to V. Epie.co. the archdeacon of Exeter, that, before Henry<sup>1. ii.</sup> could bring the king of France to this promite, he was forced to folicit the affiftance of all the friends he could make in the French court; and that applying himfelf, in a more particular manner, to the bishop of Charters, whose reputation for piety gave him a principal place in the favour of Louis, he implored that prelate, with an air of great cordiality, " to reconcile bim to his liege-lord the king of France, with whom, and for whom, be was ready to go to a boly war against Ægypt." The bishop asked, " whether he really meant what "he faid?" To which he replied, " that he did, and had never done any thing " with a better will in his life, if it would pleafe " bis lord, the king, and if that prince would " only give him leave, before he fet out, to fet-" tle his family and provide for his children," The bifhop reported his words to Louis, who anfwered, "that he was ashamed of baving been jo often

A. D. 1168. often deceived; and flould never believe that Henry fpoke from his heart, till he faw the crofs on his v. Epit. floulder." But John of Salifbury fays, "that although he expressed fuch a diftrust of the "fin-"cerity of this offer, he yet was influenced by "it in fome degree, and inclined the more to a peace on that account."

While these negociations were depending, the Ger. Chron. & Hoveden, earl of Salifbury was furprized and treacheroufly fub ann. murdered, on his return from a pilgrimage, by 1168. Chio.Norm. Guy de Lufignan, and others of the fame family. ii. ne sepra. Henry, who never fuffered an outrage of this nature to be committed with impunity, even where his own dignity was not fo immediately, and highly concerned, ordered an army to march, with the utinoft expedition, against these noble assailins, who refuled to obey his fummons. Guy, dreading the vengeance impending over his head, fled out of Poitou and went into the Holy Land, where he met with extraordinary revolutions of fortune, an account of which will be given in the latter part of this work. The confederates in his crime found likewife an afylum in the court of King Louis from the punifhment due to their guilt; but their lands were all deftroyed by Henry's troops. They had the impudence to complain of this to Louis, as a breach of the flipulations between him and Henry : which complaints he received with as much warmth of refentment, as if justice and law had been clearly on their fide; infomuch, that he declared, he would not meet the king of England according to his engagement, unlefs that monarch would firft grant a lafe conduct to them, that they might attend at the place of conference; and would give hoftages to fecure them against any injury from himfelf or his fubjects, in coming thither, or during their continuance there, or while they were returning. This declaration might be deemed an act of hoftility, rather than a preliminary to the conclufion

Book III.

fion of a peace, the conditions of which had been A. D. 1168. fettled. John of Salifbury owns, "that Louis V. Epift. " wifhed for a pretence to break his conventions." prædict. "He alfo fays, that fome thought Henry would " not by any means endure fuch an ignominy, as " to be obliged to grant a peace, and make refti-" tution, to those who had affaffinated one of his " peers, and with fo much contumacy refifted " his orders. But others were of opinion, that, " as he was crafty, and faw himfelf now in great " ftreights, he would recur to his usual arts of simula-" tion and diffimulation, and avenge himself on his enemies at a more convenient time." Certain it is that this prince had fufficient caufe to refent the proceedings of Louis; but he had likewife ftrong reafons to conceal that refentment, and fubmit to fome indignities, which upon other occafions he would not have endured, rather than venture to let the war be renewed at this very unfavourable juncture of time. For, as yet, he had not received the letter from Alexander, which fecured him from the cenfures he was threatened with by Becket. Seeds of fedition had been fown and confpiracies formed in expectation thereof, not only in Poitou, but in the dutchy of Bretagne, which he governed as administrator during the nonage of v. Chronica his fon. A fecret treaty had been made, not long Normannie, before Easter, in this year eleven hundred and fixty eight, between Louis and Earl Eudo, against whom Henry had given fentence in favour of Conan concerning their claims to that dutchy; whereby the earl, in conjunction with the powerful lords of Dinan, engaged to take up arms against Henry in those parts, though, by a late compact with that monarch, he had been put into possession of a great part of Bretagne. Louis promifed to affift them at the expiration of the truce, intending, if they fhould prove fuccefsful in their enterprize, to overturn the whole fettlement made by the late duke Vol. II. Mm upon

A.D. 1168. upon Geoffry Plantagenet, and give the dutchy to Eduo. What grievances were alledged by the lords of Dinan to justify their revolt we are not told : but most probably it was owing to the restraints Henry laid on the licentioufness of the nobles. Having been used, for many years to call anarchy freedom, they now thought they were oppreffed, because they were governed. Undoubtedly they, and earl Eudo, their confederate, relied much on the hope, that Henry would be foon excommunicated by Becket, which, from the bigottry of the times, would have had a mighty effect on the minds of the people, and have made any quarrel feem just against a prince driven out by the church from all Chriftian fociety, and delivered over to Satan.

> While they were concerting their measures, Henry, who had always good intelligence, having discovered their plot, fummoned them, together with Eudo, to come and ferve him against Louis, in cafe the war should be renewed between him and that king at the end of the Easter holidays; which they refufing to do, he prudently waited till he had tied the hands of Louis by the covenants above-mentioned, and then fell upon Eudo, whofe chief caftle he took and demolished. Another fort being also furrendered to him foon afterwards, he put into it a garrifon of his own foldiers, and finding no further obstacle deprived the earl of all the fiefs he had granted to him before, and of all his patrimony in Bretagne. Among the former was confilcated the town of Vannes, one of the beft in the dutchy, which the king retained in his own hands. He next exerted his indignation, with èqual celerity, upon the lords of Dinan, laid wafte their lands, and took from them three caftles belonging to their family, two of which he deftroyed; but was forced to ftop his career before he had utterly ruined them, that he might attend upon Louis.

Louis, eight days after midfummer; till which A. D. 1169. time their engagement to hold a perfonal conference, for the ratification of the treaty, had been deferred. That prince must have felt himself exceedingly mortified at the defeat of his friends and confederates in Bretagne, while he was difabled from acting to their benefit or relief by the fuspension of arms before concluded. In this temper of his mind the infinuations of Becket, or of Becket's friends in his court, working upon him more ftrongly, he fought for any pretences to avoid or delay the conclusion of the peace, which he had agreed to with reluctance. The revolted V. Epitt. 24. barons of Bretagne, whom Henry had chaftized, 1. ii. demanded vengeance, or fatisfaction, for the mifchief he had done them; and earl Eudo complained to Louis, not only of the loffes he had fuftained in his property, but of a grievous outrage committed against the honour of his family. declaring with great lamentations, that his daughter, whom he had delivered as a hoftage of peace, into the cuftody of Henry, on the late agreement between them, was with child by that prince. The mother of this lady being niece to the emprefs Matilda, Henry's amour with her, according to the doctrine of the canonifts in those days, was accounted inceftuous. But the debauching of a noble virgin, entrusted to him as a hostage, was fuch an offence as wanted no aggravation to render it more odious. Her father's breach of his faith, for which he had made her a pledge, might pollibly feem to the paffions of the king an excufe for this enormity: but he fhould have punished the treafon without violating the laws of honour himfelf. Louis received the earl's complaint y. Enift. with great and just indignation, and fome others, pradict, not fo well founded, which the confederated nobles of Aquitaine, to whom Henry had given the fafe conducts they defired, now urged with great Mm 2 warmth.

A. D. 1168. warmth, as if the loffes they had fuffered had not been the confequences of their own difobedience, and a vengeance due to the blood of his general; the earl of Salifbury, whom they had bafely and perfidioufly flain. Many meffengers paffed, with much altercation on this point, between the two kings; during which Henry remained at la Ferté Bernard, without going to the place appointed for their conference, about two leagues from that town, on the banks of the Huines; and Louis refided at Chartres, on the other fide of that river. After fome time Henry promifed, that he would reftore to thefe nobles all he had taken from them; but a certain abbot of that country demanding also the restitution of some lands appertaining to his abbey, and afferting that the abbey was held of the crown of France, Henry denied that affertion. Yet finding it pertinacioufly abetted by Louis, he faid at last, " that, not out " of regard to any right in the king of France, " but for the love of God, of the earl of Flanders, " and of the cardinal William of Pavia, if he " had poffession of any thing that belonged to " the abbot he would reftore it to him." When Louis was acquainted that the cardinal was at la Ferté Bernard, in the council of Henry, he expressed much refentment, faying, " he had not " deferved of the fee of Rome that this legate " fhould abet and favour his enemies, as he al-" ways had done hitherto; and that he would " accept nothing out of regard to him or the " earl of Flanders, but would have it on the " foundation of his own right." Henry abfolutely refused to yield it in that manner, which would have been an affront to both the mediators, as well an acknowledgement of a tenure in dispute, without any proper decision. Louis ther fent a meffage requiring him to come immediately to the place affigned for their meeting.

V. Epift. prædiet.

### 532

But

But this difference being unfettled, and fuch an A. D. 1168. air of hoftility appearing in all the proceedings of that monarch, he declined an interview which was not likely to have any good effects, and from which he feems to have apprehended fome danger v. Epift. to his perfon. Louis, having ftayed on the bank predict. of the Huines a great part of the day, in expectation of his coming, paffed over, and in the prefence of all his nobility washed his hands in the river. and drunk fome of the water, protefting that he had discharged the faith he had plighted ; after which ceremony he difinified the earl of Flanders. and most of the nobles who had followed him from Chartres, remaining himfelf in that place, with a fmall number of attendants, till it began to grow dark. Henry in the mean time had received a fecond meffage, by which he was fummoned to give fatisfaction to Louis for having broken his faith. He returned no answer, but came unexpectedly to the river, armed, and accompanied by a multitude of armed knights. The French, feeing him approach in this warlike manner; ran themfelves to their arms. In a letter y, Enift. from which I have taken this account John of Sali-prædict. foury fays, that an action would have enfued, if the night had not prevented it. But I think it very improbable, that, if Henry had come thither with any hoftile intention, he fhould not have executed it by inftantly attacking the French, who were too few to have made any confiderable refistance. Whereas it appears, that upon feeing the alarm he had given, he returned very peaceably to his quarters at la Ferté Bernard. Robert earl of Dreux, and one of the brothers of the queen of France, went thither to him that night. What he faid to them, in justification of himfelf from this appearance of an intended treachery, we are not informed. John of Salifbury fays no more, than that he earnestly begged of them to prefuade the king of France not to compel bim to have Mm 2 recourfs

A. D. 1168. recourfe to the enemies of that kingdom; meaning the emperour and empire; and that in his words he made a shew of great moderation. But one may conjecture that he alledged the danger of coming unarmed, and without a fufficient guard for the fafety of his perfon, to a place where fo many of his own rebellious vaffals, who, he knew, were exceedingly exafperated against him, might, under the pretence of attending the conference, take occasion to affault him. The next day he fent minifters to the court of Louis at Chartres, with inftructions to employ their utmost endeavours to incline that monarch to conclude the treaty of peace, as it had been fettled between them; and, in cafe of his refufal, to fummon the earl of Flanders, who was a pledge for that treaty. to furrender himfelf up, agreeably to the faith he had plighted. Louis declared, "he would make " no peace with Henry, till he had given fatisfacti-" on to him and his realm, for having come upon " him fo fuddenly, armed and almost by night, " though he had not been able to make a fingle "Frenchman ftir from his place :" adding, " that " he himfelf was ready to prove, in the court of " the marches or of the earl of Flanders, that he " had freed the faid earl, and others who, on his " part, had intervened in the treaty, from the obli-" gation laid upon them. Henry's minifters anfwer-" ed, that their mafter, on the contrary, was ready " to prove, in the court of the emperour of the king " of Arragon, or of the king of Navarre, that he had " kept his faith; and that the earl of Flanders and " the other pledges, or guaranties, for the treaty, " had violated their's." In the interim they defired a prolongation of the truce; but this alfo was denied.

Befides other inducements, which made Louis defire to renew the war at this time, one was, that embafiadors from William the Lion, king of Scotland, and from all the Welch princes, confederated, to recover the independence of their country, were

V. Trift. - adict.

were now in his court, and offered him aid from A. D. 1168. their masters against the king of England. The Welch Chron.p year before this, while that monarch was engaged 224. in his foreign affairs, Owen Gwyneth, and his brother Cadwallader, affifted by Rhees ap Gryffyth, had taken Ruthlan caftle, after a blockade of three months, and then, with lefs difficulty, had made themselves masters of Prestatyn ; the surrender of which, as the fortifications of Basingweark had been demolished, and those of Flint caftle were unfinished, subjected that province, one of the fineft in North-Wales, to the power of Owen. This was a lofs very mortifying to Henry; and it was no improbable expectation, that the Welch, thus victorious, might purfue their fuccefs to the entire extirpation of the English and Flemings out of all parts of Wales, if they were favoured by a continuance of the war between the kings of England and of France, by rebellions against the former in Aquitaine and Bretagne, and by an invalion from Scotland of the three northern countries, which Henry had regained in the minority of Malcolm the Fourth. The circumstances of the time, and the disposition of Malcolm, which was mild and unambitious, had made that prince acquiefce under the lofs of those provinces, throughout his whole reign : but after his death, which happened on the fixth of December, in the year eleven hundred and fixty five, his brother William, furnamed the Lion from his fierce and warlike character, fucceeding to the crown, fought to profit by the perplexed and troublesome state of Henry's affairs at that time, in order to obtain from him a grant of the earldom of Northumberland, which he had held, in Stephen's reign, by enfeoffment from hisgrandfather, king Da- v. Polydore vid the first. Some late historians have faid, that, when Vergil. first he came to the kingdom, he fent embassiadors Boet. Scot. to Henry with a demand of that province : but this Buchan. & is supported by no authority from the contemporary alice. writers : nor is any mention made of his coming to Mm<sub>4</sub> England,

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

V. Chron. Mailrofs. fub ann. 1166.

V. Epift. S. T. 44. L i.

A. D. 1168. England, either to fue for Northumberland, or do homage to Henry for Huntingtonshire or Lothian, as his brother had done. Only we find, by the ancient chronicle of Mailrofs, that in the year eleven hun. dred and fixty fix, when Henry went into France, William followed him thither in quality of his vallal and on his bufine is; which feems to imply, that he was then poffeffed of fome fiefs held immediately of that king. And in a letter written a year afterwards it is faid, " that Henry, being at Caen, and treating " anxioufly about a difference between him and " the king of Scotland, fell into fuch a paffion with " Richard de Humet, for speaking in favour of that " prince, that he called him a traitor, and in the " violence of his rage did fome actions which ap-" peared like diftraction and frenzy, throwing off " his own cloaths, and the filk coverlet of a bed on which he fat, and chewing ftraws that he pulled " out of the mattrafs underneath it." The picture is drawn by the hand of an enemy; but we may reafonably judge from it, that Henry's mind, at that time, was exceffively difcomposed with a refentment excited by fome difpute with that king, which probably might relate to the earldom of Northumberland.

Yet, though Louis, in confederacy with Scotland and the Welch princes, feemed now determined to make war against the king of England in all his dominions, the exploits of this league went no further than the burning of a finall town and two caftles in Normandy, by orders of the French monarch. Henry ravaged the whole country of the earl of Ponthieu, and burned above forty villages, becaufe that lord had denied a free paffage to the troops of the earl of Boulogne, who, agreeably to the convention fubfifting between them, was coming to affift him in Normandy. It is very remarkable, that while this prince was actually in arms against Louis, a letter was fent to that monarch, by the countefs

Chron. Norm.

countefs of Boulogne, notifying to him, " that A. D. 1168. fome embaffadors, who had gone from Henry to Reg. the emperour, had, on their return, paffed through Duchefne, her territories; and that the emperour had fent back tom. iv. with them embaffadors from himfelf ; which the Epitt. 108. afcribed to his defire of fhewing Henry his great readiness to confederate with him in his war against Louis, whom the further informed, "that, by fome difcourfe fhe had held with the English minifters, fhe found their mafter was inceffantly feeking to annoy him, and therefore gave him this notice, that he might be on his guard." In acting thus fhe was probably, moved by that averfion, which, as the daughter of King Stephen, fhe had imbibed againft Henry, and which no kindness shewn by him, either to herfelf or her hufband, nor even political interefts, to which princes often facrifice both their affections and refentments, had been able to conquer. Louis and his council were much alarmed at this letter ; and their apprehenfions were encreafed by the accounts they foon received of the arrival of the imperial ministers at Henry's court. A more fplendid embaffy, with regard to the rank and dignity of the perfons employed therein, had never been fent to any king. At the head of it were V. Chron. the archbishops of Mentz and of Cologne, the duke Gern. of Saxony and Bavaria, and the bishop of Liege Chroni, fub and They brought to Henry, from the emperour and 168. from the whole empire, large offers of affiftance in his war against Louis; and tried to engage him to join with them in the schism; promising, if he would do fo, to carry their arms into the bowels of France. He returned fuch an anfwer as gave room to believe, that he might hereafter be induced to accept this offer, if Louis and Alexander flould continue to act as they had done for fome time paft.

The young prince's of England, betrothed to the duke of Saxony, had, in the fpring of this year, been conducted to her hufband by the earls of Arundel 538

A.D. 1168. Arundel and of Pembroke. When they came to Brunfwick, in which city the duke then refided, they found him in the higheft degree of profperity. He had juft fuppreffed a great rebellion againft him in Saxony, after having taken by florm the two powerful cities of Bremen and Oldenburg. Peace being reftored by thefe fucceffes and the interpolition of the emperour, he celebrated his marriage, though the lady was not then above twelve years old, amidft the acclamations of his fubjects and the whole empire : after which, being defirous to wait upon his father-in-law, he took part in the embaffy fent by Frederick to that king.

Nothing could be more agreeable to Henry than this mark of respect and affection, at such a critical time, from a fon-in-law of fuch power, and fo connected with the other great princes of Germany. The French faw it with fear ; and it contributed much to difpofe the king of France no longer to reject the offers of peace, which the English monarch most prudently continued to make with the fame moderation as before. The earl of Blois had of late undertaken to mediate between these two princes, and was affifted by a monk of the order of Grammont, named Bernard de Gorrilo, whom, together with the priors of Montdieu and St. Peter's Vale, the pope had employed to treat with Henry upon Becket's affair, after the return of the two cardinals from their legation. The new mediators proceeded upon the plan of agreement before fettled by the earls of Champagne and of Flanders, which Henry confidered as unalterable ; but they had better fuccefs, for the reafon abovementioned. and from the peculiar authority, which Bernard de Corrilo, being of an order much celebrated for an extraordinary fanctity, could not fail of having over the mind of Louis. On the fixth of January in the year eleven hundred and fixty nine, the two kings inet at Montmirail, where the peace was concluded on

V. Epift. Joh. Sarift. 268.

#### Book III.

on the terms before agreed to, though Henry com-A.D. 1169plimented Louis with an empty profeffion, "That "he would fubmit all he had, himfelf, his child-"ren, his territories, his forces, his treafures, to "be difpofed of in fuch manner as that monarch "fhould direct, without any conditions."

The articles were, 1. That Henry fhould renew Johan. his homage and fealty for Normandy in the accuf-<sup>268</sup> ut tomed form.

2. That he fhould give up the earldoms of An-Cant. 66. jou and Maine, and the fealty of the vaffals thereof l. ii. to prince Henry, his eldeft fon; who fhould pay homage and fealty for them to the king of France, and owe nothing more either to his father or brothers, with refpect to those earldoms, than merely that which their merit or nature might require.

3. That Henry's fecond fon, Richard, fhould in like manner pay homage and fealty to Louis for the dutchy of Aquitaine, and fhould efpoufe Adelais the youngeft daughter of that king, upon whom no portion was fettled, but it was left to her father to give her what he thought proper.

4. That the office of great fenefchal of the kingdom of France fhould be yielded up by the earl of Blois, to whom Louis had given it fome years before, and reftored to prince Henry, in right of the earldom of Anjou, to which it belonged.

5. That the king of England should hold Touraine, as a fief from the earl of Blois.

6. That the hoftages given to Louis by the revolted barons of Poitou and of Bretagne thould be reftored by him to Henry; and that they themfelves fhould return to their former allegiance, upon condition of pardon for their revolt, and reftitution of all their caftles and lands, which had been taken from them fince the troubles in those countries began.

Such

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III

A. D. 1869. Such was this memorable treaty of peace, by which Henry divefted himfelf of all his territories in France, except Normandy and Touraine, in favour of his children. But from fucceeding transactions it appears, that he did not mean to give up the administration or revenues of the provinces he refigned, till his fons should be of full age. Neverthelefs it was a point of the utmost importance to the French monarchy, that, by thus fecuring to Richard, his fecond fon, the great dutchy of Aquitaine, he divided and broke that mafs of power, which he had accumulated himfelf. John of Salifbury fays, in a letter written at this time, that, when Prince Henry efpoufed the daughter of Louis, he did homage to that king for all his father's territories belonging to France : and that the grief which the French nation had felt thereupon made them fee this partition with greater fatisfaction. But as no other writer of that age has mentioned this fact, and as the Norman chronicle of Robertus de Monte, a contemporary hiftorian, expressly affirms, that a particular homage was done for the dutchy of Normandy, there is reafon to think that John of Salifbury was miftaken, and that the caufe of the uneafinefs expressed by the French was rather fome intimation of an intention in Henry to make his eldeft fon heir to all his territories in France, than an actual homage done for them, as this writer underftood it. Indeed it is very improbable, that Louis would, at that time, by receiving fuch homage, have confirmed a fettlement which fo much endangered his kingdom. The ceffion of Anjou and Maine, as an appanage for that prince, inftead of the dutchy of Normandy, was an alteration wifely made for the advantage of England, it being expedient, both on account of the fituation of Normandy, and of the connexions between the Normans and the English, that the king thould

Itift. 268. mi tipra.

should retain that dutchy. But it would have been A. D. 1169. better for him, if he had given up none of his dominions on the continent to any of his children. during his life. A prince of England, in becoming a vaffal to France, was too much under the influence of the French court. This Henry foon found; and probably his fagacity did not wait for experience, to be fenfible of the danger : but he might be of opinion, that as Louis had only one infant fon, it was still adviseable for him to keep in his view the eventual fucceffion, which by the death of her brother, might be opened to the eldeft daughter of that monarch, espoufed to his eldeft fon; and therefore was not unwilling that the latter should be made, by means of this ceffion. an immediate member of the kingdom and body politick of France. This was also a confideration of no fmall weight to induce him to reject the emperour's offers, and confent to a peace.

The acknowledging himfelf a fubvaffal to the earl of Blois for Touraine feems to have been the effect of a preceding convention between him and that prince, in which he probably found a fufficient compensation for the superiority he gave up; as no force had been used to make him do it against his will. The earl's credit with Louis, and his great power in the realm, might be a principal inducement to render Henry defirous of thus accommodating an old quarrel between the neighbouring families of Anjou and Blois, and tying them together by the amicable bond of a feudal connexion. As for the dutchy of Aquitaine, he had promifed, in the negociations which preceded the war of Touloufe, to fettle it on Richard, his fecond fon, after the confummation of the marriage with the princefs of Arragon, to which Richard then was engaged : but that contract having been frustrated by the death of the lady, this other match with Adelais of France was

Book III.

A. D. 1169. was now made, and the fame fettlement annexed to it. Equity feemed to require, that king Henry fhould advance his fecond fon to this dukedom, when his third had been exalted to that of Bretagne. A defire of overturning the eftablishment lately made in favour of the latter had been, doubtless, one object of Henry's enemies in this war: but it was acknowledged and further confirmed by this treaty, in the fixth article abovementioned. And the high office of fenefchal, which carried with it great power in the court and kingdom of France, was, by another article, reftored to the earls of Anjou. The most difagreeable condition of the whole treaty to Henry, was the restitution required by it of what had been taken. during the course of the war, from the rebels in Poitou and Bretagne: but, as most of their fortreffes had been deftroyed by him, the returning of their lands, with a very few of their caftles. was not likely to endanger his future tranquillity ; and the rebuilding of the others, though not forbidden by the freaty, he knew would be a work of years, which he might put a ftop to when he faw a proper opportunity. Revolted fubjects, who return to live under the dominion of the prince they have offended, have little to hope from any means of encreasing their ftrength, the employing of which requires a length of time.

Loit. 268.

vol. 1. in.

In the abovementioned letter of John of Salifbury it is faid, " that, before this treaty, Henry " had frequently and publickly fworn, he would " never again do homage to Louis for the dutchy " of Normandy, which oath he now broke." But, as he had paid that homage twice before. and once fince he was king of England, it is hard to find any reason by which he could justify such here the first a refolution. He might indeed alledge the example of his grandfather Henry the first; but the circumftances were different. For that monarch had

had done nothing which could be called an ac-A.D. 1169. Knowledgment of the right he difputed: whereas his grandfon by his own act had given up the difpute. I fhould therefore fuppofe that the declarations, the latter is faid to have made, with relation to this point, were only bravadoes thrown out during the courfe of the war, which prudence taught him to forget in concluding the peace; if the whole be not an idle rumour, too lightly taken up by his enemy John of Salifbury, whofe malice inclined him to believe and to aggravate all reports of this nature.

To this meeting of the two kings at Montmirail Enift. S. T. the priors of Montdieu and St. Peter's Vale, to-Cant. l. iv. gether with Bernard de Corrilo, the monk of Gram-<sup>epift. 8</sup>. mont before-mentioned, brought archbishop Becket, having first prefented to Henry a monitory letter, fent from the pope, through their hands, in favour of that prelate. Here, by their exhortations, and by the advice of his friend, the king of France himfelf, being much prefied to humble himself before his sovereign, he was, with difficulty, perfuaded, or rather forced, to do fo; and kneeling to Henry faid, in the hearing of both courts, " that, to the bonsur of God and his bonsur, he threw " bimfelf upon God's mercy and bis mercy." Thefe words appear fatisfactory; but Henry, whom experience had rendered very cautious, apprehending that the expression, to the bonour of God, was meant to cover fome referve, or eftablish fome diffinction, in favour of the church, refused to accept this form of fupplication; and, after fome paffionate complaints of the former behaviour of Becket. concluded with faying, " he defired nothing more " of him than that he would promife, in the pre-" fence of that affembly, as a prieft and a bifhop, " in the word of truth, and without fraud or fal-" lacy, to keep all the laws or cuftoms, which " former archbilhops of Canterbury, good and · holy

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III

544

A. D. 1169. " holy men, had kept under the reigns of former " kings of England, and which he himfelf had " once folemnly promifed to keep." Becket replied, " that in the form of the oath of fealty, which " he had taken to the king, as archbishop of Can-" terbury, he was bound to defend him in life, limb. " and worldly honour, faving bis order. And this he " was ready most chearfully to fulfil. Nor had " any more been ever demanded of his predecef-" fors in the fee, nor was there any more due." But, as the king infifted ftrongly upon his own proposition, he faid at last, " that, although none " of his predeceffors had done or promifed this, " and he was not obliged to it in duty, yet, for " the peace of the church, and to obtain the " king's favour, he would promife to keep those " cuftoms which had been kept by his holy pre-" deceffors, faving bis order, and fo far as be " could do it according to God." He further added, " that, to regain the king's affection, he would " do all he could without prejudice to the honour of " God."

V. Epift. \$5. l. i.

On this I would observe, that we have a letter to Becket, written fome time before, from the bishop of Lisieux, and which has already been cited on another occasion, wherein are these words; " It will not be for your interest to recur to parti-" culars, but as much as possible to flick to gene-" rals. For our cause is fafe, unless articles parti-" cularly exprest destroy our liberty. If we profess " ourfelves bound to fidelity, reverence and obe-" dience to the king; if we offer our fortunes " and perfons to be employed to his honour and " fervice; if we promife to obferve the royal dig-" nities and ancient cuftoms, so far as they do not " contradict the law of God, it does us no burt: " becaufe in all thefe things we are by no means " bound against our duty. If therefore under " this, or any other like form of words, which " can

" can be thought of, the divine goodness should A. D. 1169. " procure peace to you and your's, referve the " interpretation of these words to future times." On this plan it is evident Becket intended to proceed ; but Henry, who well underftood, that the exception, he threw in, would render the promife, he made, of no effect, rejected his offers, unlefs he would fwear precifely and absolutely to keep the royal cuftoms: which he refufing, though advifed and preffed to do it by many, the king departed without their peace being made. V. Epift. 8.

This is the account which was given of this<sup>1. iv.</sup> meeting by the priors of Montdieu and St. Peter's Vale, in their letter to the Pope. And agree- v. Epift. 6. ably to this Beeket himfelf wrote upon it to his ibidem. Holinefs. He also repeated the substance of it in V. Epift. 5. a letter to the king immediately after the confer-Quadriloence. But fome contemporary hiftorians relate other gus. Gerv. Chron. fub particulars, not mentioned in those letters. It is there ann, 1160. faid, that king Henry, after many reproaches against Becket for pride and ingratitude, addreffed himfelf to Louis in the following words: " My " liege, attend, if you please: whatsoever he " diflikes he fays is against the bonour of God; " " and thus he would difpoffefs me of all my rights. " But that I may not in any thing feem to defire " unreasonably to oppose him, or the honour of " God, this is my offer. There have been many " kings of England before me, fome who had " more power than I, and others who had lefs. " There have been before him many archbishops " of Canterbury, great and holy men. What " therefore the greatest and holiest of his predecessors " did for the least of mine, let him do for me, and I " (hall be fatisfied." At which all the affembly expressed their fatisfaction in the king's condescenfion; and Louis himfelf faid to Becket, upon his remaining filent for fome time, " My lord arch-" bishop, would you be greater or wifer than all " those boly men? Why do you helitate? See! Nn " your Vol. II

# HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

546 A D 1160

A. D. 1169. " your peace is at hand." But he replied, " It " was true: many of his predeceffors were better " and greater than he; but every one of them " had, in his own times, cut off fome things " which raifed themfelves up againft God, though " not all. For if they had entirely eradicated " all, he fhould not be now exposed to this fiery " trial, by which being proved, as they had been " and partaking their labour, he might alfo be " found worthy of their praife and reward. Nor, " if any one among them had been too cool, or " too immoderate in his zeal, was he bound to " follow his example, one way or another." He added other arguments to the fame purpofe, concluding, " that the primitive fathers had fuffered " martyrdom, becaufe they would not fubject the " name of Jefus Chrift to any other name : nor " would he, that he might recover the favour of a " man, give up the honour of Chrift.

V.Epift. 8. 1.iv.

The ecclefiafticks employed in this bufinefs by the pope, further acquainted his Holinefs, " that " when, according to his orders, they exhorted " the king of England to reftore Becket to his " favour, his anfwer was, that perhaps he might " be advised to reftore him to Canterbury, but to " his favour he never would, because by so doing " be (bould lose the benefit of that privilege his bo-" line is had conferred on him by a former letter. " which suspended that prelate from all authority " over him till he had recovered his favour." It is wonderful that Henry should speak to plain on this matter! for he might be almost certain that the pope would take from him a privilege, of which he openly declared he would make a use fo repugnant to the intentions of the giver.

Some time after this conference, a new interview being appointed between the two kings, the fame monks, who had before delivered to Henry a letter of *admonition* from Alexander, now delivered to him

him another of *commination*; affuring him, that, A. D. 1169. if, before the beginning of Lent, he did not comply 1. iv. with the repeated exhortations fent to him from that pontiff, his Holinefs would no longer reftrain the archbishop, as he had hitherto done. "For be " ought not to imagine, either that the Lord, who now " Rept, might not be awakened; or that the fword of "St. Peter was so confumed with ruft, as that it could " not be drawn, and exercise a proper vengeance." He very unwillingly received thefe letters; and, after much discourse on the subject of them, returned this answer, "I did not drive my lord of v. Enit. 10. Canterbury out of my kingdom : neverthelefs, if 1. iv. he will hereafter do his duty to me, and obediently observe and maintain to me what his predecessors have observed and maintained to mine, I will, out of reverence to the pope, permit him to return into England, and remain there in peace."

According to the account fent to Alexander by V. Epift. 6. Becket himfelf, Henry had been perfuaded, at the inftance of the monks, and of the moft Chriftian king, not to mention the royal cuftoms on this occafion. Yet that prelate obferves very juftly, that, although he changed his word, he adhered to his purpofe, infifting ftill upon the fame abfolute promife of the obedience paid by former bifhops to former kings. But, as in the courfe of their conference he made fome variations in the terms of his anfwer, the monks defired he would give it them by letters patent, to be fent to the pope for their greater fecurity againft any miftake; which he peremptorily refufing, they departed from him exceedingly difcontented.

When they made their report to Becket of what v. Epift. 6. had paft, he adhered to his former favings of the <sup>10. 1. iv.</sup> bonour of God, and of the rights of his order; alledging, "that, without the authority of the pope, he "could not change the ecclefiaftical form of allegi-"ance observed by the whole western church, and clear-N n 2 "ly

Book III.

A. D. 1169. " ly expressed in those very ordinances, which had " occafioned his banifhment; it being there faid, " that bishops are obliged to fwear fealty to the "king, faving their order." Henry did not propofe to make any alteration in the oath of allegiance: and confequently this objection had no real weight : but the archbishop supposed, that, because this exception had been indifcreetly admitted into the oath of allegiance, it ought to be in that he was now required to take, though it would have entirely defeated the purpole for which the latter was exacted. V. Epift. 26. In the letters he wrote on this affair he exulted greatly. " that the king, who before endeavoured to def-" guise it, had now plainly confessed, that the consti-" tutions of Clarendon were the fole cause of the per-" fecution he fuffered." And, confidering how unjuftly and cruelly he had been treated, he most earneftly implored the pope, to exact from the king whatfoever had been taken from him and all his friends, even to the last farthing ; affuring his Holinefs of a certain triumph, if, inftead of continuing his late too moderate measures, he would immediately exert the rigour of justice." He also entreat-V. Epit. 20. ed him, " not to abfolve the malefactors, he (Becket) had excommunicated." Thefe malefactors were feveral of the most eminent prelates and barons of England. For, having waited the term V. Epift. 39. prefcribed to him by the pope, and being therefore 40: 43, 44 reinftated in his former authority, he had at once Epift. 92, ib. excommunicated the bifhops of London and of Salifbury, the archdeacon of Canterbury, (whom in a lettter to the pope he calls the Arch-devil of Canterbury) Nigel de Sacville, and Thomas Fitz-bernard, officers of the king's houfhold,

Fitz-bernard, officers of the king's houfhold, Hugh de St. Clare, Hugh earl of Chefter, Richard de Lucy, Great-jufticiary, and other chief men of the kingdom. All this was done between Palmfunday and Whitfunday, without any notice of it having been given to Alexander.

The

· The bifhop of London, from an apprehension of A. D. 1169. the form that was coming upon him, had, with the bifhop of Salifbury, interposed an appeal to the pope. But his excommunication having been notified in the church of St. Paul, on Alcenfion-day, by one of Becket's agents, he affembled the clergy, and protefted against the fentence, " because the " archbishop had not cited him as he ought to have " done ; because, against all the rules of justice, "that prelate was accufer, witnefs, and judge; " and becaufe, till fuch time as he fhould come in-" to England, he could not act as legate there." But the most remarkable objection was, " that he " had no jurifdiction over the fee of London, be-" caufe that church had a right to the metropolitan " dignity, which it had loft to Canterbury only " by the irruption of the pagans (that is, the An-"glo-Saxons) as the bifhop faid he could prove." It must indeed be confessed, that, in the first institution of metropolitan fees, that dignity was appropriated to the capital cities ; the ecclefiaftical fuperiority being effablished in conformity to the civil. But John of Salifbury, with great fharpnefs, V. Epit. 19. ridiculed this pretention, in a letter he wrote to the monks of Canterbury about that time. He faved. " the bifhop was apprifed that the city of London, " before Chriftianity was established in England, " had been the feat of the Arch-flamen of Jupiter ; " and perhaps the prudent and religious man had " thoughts of reftoring the worship of Jupiter ; that " fince be could by no other means be an archbishop, be "might obtain the title of Arch-flamen." The latter words of this paragraph alluded to a notion encouraged by Becket, but ftrongly denied by the bifhop, that all the malevolence of that prelate to him was the effect of a difappointment in the hopes he had conceived of being himfelf promoted to Canterbury. And this obfolete claim gave more weight to that report; as it looked like a refource of difappointed Nn 2 ambition.

A.D. 1169 ambition. He feems himfelf to have been fenfible, that it would do him no fervice, and therefore refted his caufe upon the many informalities in the proceeding against him, and upon the appeal he had previoufly made to the pope, which he implored the V. Epift. 46. king to recommend to his Holineis by his own letibid. ters, and by all the credit of his friends in the fa-V. Epift. 47 cred college. Henry in his answer affured him, ibid. that he refented the fentence paffed upon him and other perfons of his realm, by his traiterous adverfary Becket, no less than if he had vomited out his V. Epift. 48. Poison upon bis own person : and accordingly wrote to Alexander with most bitter complaints, " that, 1. iii. " after his Holinefs had granted him judges to hear " his caufe, he had exempted his adverfary from " their jurifdiction, who now had added a new in-" jury to the innumerable others done to him be-"fore, by having excommunicated the bifhops of " London, and Salifbury, after an appeal made to " his Holinefs, and when they were ready to an-" fwer according to law, not cited, not called, " not convicted, not admonished. That Becket " had also anathematized fome of his nearest fer-" vants, having no reafonable caufe to alledge for "it : which he took no lefs ill than if the fentence " had been paft against his own perfon. That it " feemed as if the pope had entirely abandoned all " care of his fon, and had given him up to the ma-" lice of his enemy. He therefore entreated his "Holinefs by his own authority to annul thefe pro-" ceedings of Becket."

The archbifhop of Rouen alfo wrote very warmly to Alexander in vindication of his friend, the bifhop of London, witneffing for him, that in a fe-50.51.1 iii cret converfation, where only the king and they were prefent, he had laboured with all his power to obtain peace for Becket. And fome Englifh prelates fent letters to the fame effect, adding the higheft encomiums of his morals, piety, learning, and

## Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

and of the mildnefs of his temper, for which he iwas A. D. 1169 univerfally renowned above all the bifhops in England. V. Epift. 37. Indeed there was no need of thefe teftimonies in his favour : for Alexander himfelf, in his own letters, had expressed the fame opinion of him, even fince the beginning of the dispute with Becket. But yet the friends of the latter made no scruple to call him, in the letters they wrote to each other, a wolf in V. Epift. 24. Sheep's cloathing, parricide, and forerunner of Antichrist.

As foon as the pope had fome intelligence, from his correspondents in France, of the archbishop's proceedings, though he did not yet know exactly what he had done, he teftified his furprife at the impatience with which that prelate had acted : and (to use the words of the letter) " exhorted, befought, " and admonished him to fuspend whatever fen-" tence he had paft, till he fhould know how the " nuncios, who were coming to negociate a recon-" ciliation between him and the king, would fuc-" ceed in their commission." These nuncios had been fent at the defire of that prince; and Alexander was aware, that the archbishop, who wished for no more negociation, would be much difpleafed at their coming. He therefore accompanied the notification of it with an assurance, " that if in " two or three months the king fhould not be mol-" lifted by the forbearance, which, in hopes of a " peace, it became them both to grant him, he " would give him full liberty to revoke this indul-" gence, before the nuncios fhould leave France." And in the direction of the letter he ftyled him legate of the apostolical fee, which shewed that he confidered him as reftored at this time to the full authority of that office. The only power upon earth which Becket respected was that of the papacy ; yet fo intractable was he that he abfolutely contemned the admonitions and exhortations thus fent from the pope; nor would he take off the excommunication he had Nn4 laid A. D. 1169 laid on the bishop of Salisbury, though Alexander entreated him, by a particular letter, that, in confideration of the fingular affection be had for that prelate, grounded on a long intimacy of friendship between them ; and as the bishop acted not from the dictates of bis own mind, but from fear of the king, and through the natural infirmity attending old age, he would deal gently with him, and till the return of the nuncios fent to the king do nothing against him. This letter indeed was not received by Becket till after the fentence of excommunication was paft. Yet when he pronounced it. he well knew (as appears by fome paffages in the letter itself) how dear the bishop was to the pope. It was therefore a very great difrespect to his Holinefs, to do fuch an act, without having particularly apprifed him of it, and obtained his leave. But, that upon the receipt of fo warm an interceffion from a friend, who could have commanded what he begged for, he did not inftantly revoke the fentence, is a most astonishing proof of the implacable violence of his refentment, and the inflexible obstinacy of his temper.

Henry had for fome time been using his utmost endeavours to prevail on the pope, that, by orders from his Holinefs, Becket should be called out of France, and translated from Canterbury to fome foreign fee. It has been mentioned before, that this expedient was recommended by William of Pavia, during his legation in France; and, though the archbishop protested most violently against it, a more gentle or proper method to finish the dispute could hardly be found. Extraordinary means were therefore used by the Eng-V, Epil 79 lifh minifters at Beneventum to obtain this point for their mafter. They promifed Alexander, in his name, to procure for him a peace with the emperour and the Saxons. They offered to buy, in his behalf, all the Roman nobility who flood out against him, and to give him, for his own

ufe

552

80. 1. mi.

use, ten thousand marks, a present equal to one A. D. 1169. of a hundred thousand pounds in these days. They moreover affured him of their mafter's permiffion to ordain whom he pleafed, as well in the fee of Canterbury, as in all the other fees that were then vacant in England. But, whether he thought that in fome of these particulars the king offered more than he would be able to perform, or whether his knowledge of Becket's unalterable refolution, not to accept of any other fee, made him unwilling to propofe his removal from Canterbury, he rejected all these temptations. Henry laboured to overcome the reluctance he found in him, by the intercession of others, who might have, probably, a greater influence over his mind, or would embarraís him more from the difficulty of refifting their folicitations. With this intention he gained the bishop of Syracuse. who was a native of England, by an offer of the fee of Lincoln, and proposed to the king of Sicily, on whofe protection the pope in a great measure depended, a contract of marriage with Johanna his daughter, if that monarch would affift him in this affair. The propofal was too agreeable to be refused; and the translation of Becket, or his removal from Canterbury in fome other manner, was vehemently preffed by the court of Sicily. Henry likewife applied to those cities in Italy by whofe arms the pope was fupported. He promifed to give the Milanefe three thousand marks, and to build up their walls, which had been demolifhed by the emperour, more ftrongly than ever, if they could gain for him this moderate and reasonable request. To Parma he offered a thousand, as much to Bologna, and to Cremona two thousand. He also bribed with large sums the principal barons of Rome, who were of Alexander's party. But not all these united could shake that pontiff, whom the appreheafion of difgufting

A. D. 1169 difgufting the king of France rendered as obflinate in this point as Becket himfelf. The English ministers could obtain no more for their master, than that two nuncios should be speedily sent into France to negociate an agreement between him and Becket, which in effect was nothing elfe but a further delay of the excommunication, he feared from that prelate.

v. Epift. 78. During the course of these transactions the

82. 85. 87. bishop of London endeavoured to perfuade all his 88 91. Liii bushoon to join in his oppeal to the rope. But brethren to join in his appeal to the pope. But, except the bishop of Salisbury, who had originally concurred with him in it, they all refused it on various pretences. The bifhop of Winchefter's excufe was peculiar. He faid " it was a rule of the divine law, that whoever was fummoned by a fuperior judge could not appeal in to an inferiour; and therefore he, being called by his age and infirmities to appear before God, could not attend an appeal in an earthly court." Nor were thefe prelates content with merely declining, in this inftance, to make a common caufe (as they had formerly done) with the bishop of London : but obstinately refused to hold communion with him, and even published injunctions through their feveral diocefes, that all men should avoid him; though the king had fent orders particularly forbidding any regard to be paid to his excommunication. So great was their refpect to the legantine power with which Becket was invefted.

The bifhop of Winchefter, notwithftanding the affent he had given to what had been done in the parliament at Northampton, and by other fubv.Epift.114 fequent acts, in which he had concurred, againft that prelate, intrigued with him now, and favoured him, out of hatred to Henry. But his power was loft, and that fpirit, fo formidable once to the crown, was in a great meafure broken. He had received from the king, who thought it neceffary

554

Book III.

### Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

ceffary to keep him as low as he could, fo many A. D. 1169" and fo grievous mortifications, that, to obtain a difmiffion from the court and the world, he ex-V.Epift. 113 prefied a defire of refigning his bifhoprick, with the confent of the pope, which he applied for through Becket, but received a denial. And, not long afterwards, he was fo heavily incumbered with debts, and diftreffed for want of money, that he even fold the golden crofs belonging to his church: for which Becket, though in exile, and much his friend at that time, reprimanded him as his metropolitan, and injoined him to reftore it. Into fuch meannefs and mifery did this great prelate fall at the latter end of his life! Yet upon this occasion, fome sparks of his former character kindled, and broke out a little; fo as to make him again a favourite with what may be called the High Church Party of those days, but in a degree much inferior to Becket.

Henry now faw, with no little uneafinefs, what he had to expect from his bishops, if the censures he was threatened with, by his exafperated adver- v. Epift. 79. fary, fhould fall on his perfon. Nor could he c. iv. reasonably entertain a hope, that Becket would delay the inflicting of them any longer, than till the next feaft of the purification of the bleffed Virgin; that prelate having fent letters to the covent of Canterbury and to the clergy of his diocefe, commanding them from that time to ftop the celebration of divine fervice in their churches, and declaring, he was refolved not even to fpare the king's perfon, if that prince did not repent, and make a proper fatisfaction to all he had injured. Upon these acts of hostility, Henry fent a Norman bishop and the archdeacon of Canterbury to the French king, with orders to require of that monarch, both on account of the alliance, and the feudal connexion between them, that he fhould expell the archbishop out of his kingdom. But Louis

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

A. D. 1169 Louis answered. " that he had derived from his an-\* Epift. 79 ... ceftors this, as an hereditary right, and a perpetual " cultom of the realm of France, that all who were " banished for the sake of justice should there be " kindly received. Nor would be ever give up an " inheritance to honourable and pleaking to God." Such noble fentiments were never fo ill and impertinently applied : as Becket, inftead of having been banified for the fake of justice, had fled from justice, and opposed, with all his power, the due execution thereof against offending churchmen. Louis added more truly, "that he had received " the archbishop from the hands of the pope, " whom he accounted his only Lord upon earth : and " therefore, neither out of regard for the emperour, " nor for the king of England, nor for any power " in the world, would he fend that prelate away, " or ever ceafe from protecting both him and his " caufe, fo long as they wanted protection, be-" caufe God was with him, and for the main-" tenance of God's law he endured fo many loffes and wrongs." Of this Becket himfelf wrote an 66 account to his friend, the bishop of Oftia, and in the fame letter complained, " that fome, who W. Epift. 79. 66 were not filled (as Louis was) with the spirit of E 194. 6.6 God, advised him not to demand a reparation of 66 damages, and, if a peace should be treated of, 66 to pass over all matters as lightly as possible; 66. not confidering how dangerous it would be in 44 the precedent, if fecular powers should be thus 66 encouraged to proferibe and to banish innocent 6,6 perfons, and then be reconciled to the church, 66 whenever they pleafed, with great damage to 66 her, and great profit to themfelves. He affirm-66 ed, that the pope might eafily carry this point : 46 because, (fays he) though the king may affect to \$6 throw out menaces, be really shook with fear, " from the time that he faw his contumacious bifhops, \$6 with other accomplices of his malice and instruments ss of

## Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

" of his iniquity, delivered over to Satan, for the A. D. 1169. " destruction of the flesh. When they are once crush-" ed, he will be more eafily and sooner subdued, " and all his thunder will be turned into rain, Believe " me, who have experience, who know the manners of " the man, and have flood all the brunt and heat of the " day, nor am yet afraid of the contest, for the fake of " the Lord and the liberty of the church; believe, that " he is one of such a disposition, as nothing but " punishment can mend." He desires the bishop to reprefent all this to the pope, and to obtain of his Holinefs, and of the facred college, " that " the apostolical see may, through his sufferings, ac-" quire liberty for the church of England." Protesting, " that he chose rather to die in the bitterness " of banishment for the Lord, than to see the church " prophaned by the execrable traditions of tyrants, " and the divine law rendered of no effect.

While he was thus urging on, by all the means in his power, the excommunication of Henry, that king was employed in fecuring to himfelf, and to the young princes, his children, the benefits he had gained by the peace of Montmirail. His eldeft fon was accordingly fent by him to Paris, where, on a day of folemnity, he publickly ferved the king of France at his table, as fenefchal of that kingdom, in right of the earldom of Anjou, with which he now was invefted. This ceremony confirmed the reftitution obtained by the above-Monte. mentioned treaty of that high feudal office, which Chr. Norm. a contemporary writer affirms to be the fame as p. 1003that of Maire du Palais. And not long afterwards, in the fpring of this year eleven hundred and fixty nine, Prince Geoffry Plantagenet,, as duke of Bretagne, went to Rennes, and received the homage of all his great barons. Thus, notwithftand-Robertus de ing the many difficulties, which his quarrel with Monte. at the king of France had thrown in his way, and fupra. all the embarassiment of his other affairs, did Henry compleat the eftablishment of his fon in the

A. D. 1169 the dutchy of Bretagne; an acquifition of vaft importance to his power and interefts, not only in France, but in England!

Id. ibid.

See Carte,

fub anno. 1168.

But the troubles in Aquitaine were not fo eafily pacified. For, fome difputes having arifen about the reftitutions, which had been flipulated in the treaty of Montmirail, many of the barons who had revolted in Gafcony and Poitou continued in arms: fo that Henry was compelled to go thither himfelf in order to fupprefs their rebellion, which he effected by the deftruction of feveral caftles belonging to the earls of Angoulesme and La Marche. Thefe great lords being reduced, and treated by Henry with his usual clemency upon their fubmillion, the malecontents of lefs note were foon fubdued; and, by the beginning of August, the tranquillity of those provinces was fully reftored. When the king had fpent fome time in duly ordering and fettling the government there, he returned into Normandy, and made ftrong lines for the protection of one part of the frontier, which, having no river to defend it, was exposed to depredation from fudden incurfions. He likewife built a new caftle at Beauvoir en Lions, having a conftant attention in time of peace to all that would fecure his territories in war. At the fame time he carried on other great publick works for the benefit of his people; particularly a bank or dyke, on the north fide of the Loire, beginning about thirty miles above Angers, and continued to that city, in order to confine the overflowings of the river, which frequently happened with fo much violence, that they ruined the country. No monument that can be raifed to the memory of a king is fo glorious as thefe, which he erects for himfelf while he is only intent on doing good to his fubjects.

Gratian

Book III.

Gratian and Vivian, 'the two nuncios fent by A. D. 1169. the pope, repaired to Henry in Normandy up-27.1.iii. on his return out of Gafcony. They came with limited powers, and a form of agreement prefcribed by Alexander, to which if they could not induce the king to confent, they were ordered to leave him; and, for fear they fhould be corrupted, they were bound by an oath to accept no prefent from him, not even their charges while they remained at his court, *till the peace was concluded*. I ufe the word *peace*, becaufe it is ufed in Alexander's letters and thofe written by Becket concerning this affair, as if he and the king, his mafter, had been two independent potentates at war with each other.

When the nuncios delivered the pontiff's letters Id. ibid. to that prince he was greatly difturbed; and, in a conference which he afterwards held with them on the bufinefs they were fent to negociate, he let drop fome very warm and angry expressions; upon which Gratian, who was nephew to pope Eugenius v. Enift. 6. the Third, faid to him, "Sir, do not threaten; 1. iii. " we fear no threats: for we are of a court that " has been accustomed to give the law to emperours " and to kings." Nevertheless Henry seemed to be abfolutely determined that they fhould not give it to him; and before he would treat of a reconciliation with Becket, on any terms, infifted pofitively and pertinacioufly, that those of his fervants, whom that prelate had excommunicated, V. Epift. 27. fhould be abfolved. This not being agreed to, het, iii. broke off the conference, mounted his horfe, and protefted with an oath, that he never would hear another word, from the pope or any man living. upon the fubject of Becket's return to Canterbury. The nuncios, flartled at this, thought it neceflary to yield the point in difpute; and the negociation was renewed : but other difficulties arising about the preliminaries, Henry departed again, with marks

A. D. 1169. marks of great difpleafure; and being told by his bishops, that a mandate from the pope, requiring them to perform whatever injunctions should be given by the nuncios, had been communicated to them, he answered : " It is no matter : I know " what they will do: they will put my dominions " under an interdict. But cannot I, who am able " to take a ftrong caftle every day in the year, " arreft an ecclesiaftic, who shall have the bold-" nefs to offend me by fuch an act?" This language brought the nuncios to be more complaifant; and they came to an agreement with him, that three of his fervants, Nigel de Sacville, Thomas Fitzbernard, and the archdeacon of Canterbury, who then were attending upon him, thould be abfolved the next day; and that one of the nuncios should go over to England, in order to absolve the excommunicated there; on which conditions V. Epift.27. the king, out of devotion to God, and for the love of the pope, would permit the archbithop to come to him in fafety, and to receive his archbishoprick in good peace and with firm fecurity, as entire as he had posses it before he left the kingdom, and to hold it to the honour of God and of the Church, and to the honour of the king and of bis children. It was also flipulated that a like reftoration should be granted to those who were in banishment with and for the archbishop. This was the form of reconciliation, which, (if we may believe the report that the nuncios made to the pope) was written down with the king's entire confent, and without the addition of any other words. But they fay that the next morning he changed a word in the writing, inftead of children putting heirs; which alteration they admitted without dispute. And, upon their asking him, whether he would agree to give the archbishop the kijs of peace, he faid, " the peace should not be bin-" dered for so little a matter." They were much pleafed

560

I. ii.

pleafed with this answer, and, immediately after A. D. 1169. it, abfolved his three fervants. But they tell the pope in their letter that they were exceedingly furprifed and confounded, when the abfolution having been given, the king, inftead of the words to the bonour of his heirs, in the written agreement, inferted these, saving the dignity of his kingdom; and that they left him thereupon, and went to Caen. v. Epift. 27. They further add, that, as he was obliged to go1. iii. from Baieux, where the conference had been held, in order to meet the earl of Flanders at Rouen, he referred the negociation to a council composed of all the principal bishops and temporal lords of his dominions on the continent, together with fome of the English, who then were with him in France. They acknowledge that all thefe very ftrongly infifted upon retaining the claufe inferted by the king: to which they agreed, on condition that another fhould be added, saving the liberty of the church. V. Epit. 13. But this expedient not being fatisfactory, fome of 1. Hi. the bishops proposed, that, leaving all favings out, the agreement should be drawn up in the following words, that, for the love of God and of the pope, the king fould permit the archbishop to return into England, and enjoy his archbishoprick as entire as before be went out of the Kingdom : and that all those perfons who went with him, or on his account, should be like wife restored to their own. The brevity and fimplicity of this form being approved by all the affembly, they recommended it to the king, in a letter which was carried by the archbishop of Rou-The nuncios also agreed to it, and Henry was at first fo far fatisfied with it, that he fent for them to Rouen. But after they had waited for him there fome time, in the archbishop's palace, they received a meffage from him, to let them know, that he would on no account recede from the clause, faving the dignity of his kingdom : where upon they departed without coming to any agree-VOL. II. 00 ; ment.

A. D. 1169. ment. When they reported to Becket the king's final refolution, he cooly faid, that he would confent to maintain the dignity of the kingdom, faving the rights of his order, and his fidelity to the church of Rome. This is the account given by Vivian in a letter to the pope, which, he fays, had been feen and approved by his colleague. But there is very ftrong evidence against the truth of it, in one circumstance of great moment. For the king, in a letter he wrote himfelf to the pope on this occasion, affirms, that before the absolution given to his three servants, the nuncios had, without the least contradiction, agreed to the words, saving the dignity of his kingdom. But that the next morning, by whole infligation, or V.Epif. 20. from what spirit be knew not, they refused to fland to their agreement, objecting to that expression. And this account is corroborated by the testimony of the archbishop of Rouen, the bishop of Nevers, and the whole clergy of Normandy, who, in their letters to the pope, declare with one voice. that the nuncios, baving agreed to admit of those words, had presently afterwards revoked their confent, and refujed to perform what they had fettled. Indeed they do not fay, that the words had ever been agreed to without contradiction : but that an absolute confent was given to them at first, and retracted afterwards by the nuncios. they all affert. Nor is it probable that the king fhould have admitted a form of reconciliation, which, without the addition of this claufe, was at leaft as exceptionable as the words ufed by Becket, which he had rejected with fo much indignation in the late interview with that prelate at Montmirail. And fuch a tame acquiefcence agrees ill with the language, which in his former conferences with the nuncios he certainly held, and with all his behaviour in the courfe

562

L in.

course of this negociation. I therefore believe A. D. 1169 that the nuncios at one time did confent to this claufe; but that having reflected more upon it, and perhaps talked on the fubject with fome friends of Becket, they were afraid they fhould draw upon themfelves the whole tempeft of that prelate's rage, and, rather than ftand it, retracted their confent the next morning. Nor was it unnatural that they should defire to conceal from the pope their having made a conceffion, which, in all probability, was not authorifed by their inftructions. For they themfelves v. Epift. c. had told Becket, that it was not in their power 1. iii. to do any thing to his prejudice, or to the difhonour and detriment of the church. Indeed the archbishop of Rouen, the bishop of Nevers, and all the prelates and clergy of Normandy ufed their utmost endeavours, in letters to the pope on this fubject, to make his holinefs think. the words proposed by the king would not hurt V. Epitt. 21, either the liberty or dignity of the church; 22,23. 1. iii. " becaufe neither could princes obtain falvation "without the church, nor the church peace "without their protection." But John of Salif-v. Epitt.12. bury, in a letter to the bifhop of Poitiers, faid 4 iii. truly, " if the king had obtained that his clause " should be inferted in the agreement, he had car-" ried his royal customs, only changing the name, " and banifbed quite out of England all the au-" thority of the Roman church." Becket holds the fame language in feveral of his letters, fay- v. Epift. 54, ing, "the dignity of the kingdom was only a 55, 56, 57, "Jofter name for the constitutions of Clarendon." 58, 59, 60. He alfo complains very bitterly, that in this form of peace no mention was made of reparation of damages. But at the fame time he tells the pope, " that the king now declared, be did not de-V.Epift. 54. " mand of him any account of his administration as 1. iii. " chancellour, nor the money he then had received, 66 05 002

Book III.

A.D. 1169. " or flood engaged for, but only what he had " received that belonged to the crown fince he " was made archbishop of Canterbury; for which " (he fays) it was univerfally known that be had " accounted." He reports this to fome of the cardinals with whom he corresponded, in terms yet more to his own advantage; faying, that the king had now acknowledged to the nuncios and others, that be (Becket) was not bound to v. Epift. 56, any account of his administration as chancellour, or of the money he had received while he held that em-60. 1. 111. ployment. But to others he expresses it, as he does to the pope, that the king did not demand of bim any account: which is a very different thing from acknowledging, that he was not bound to give one. Certain it is, that in this negociation Henry waved that demand; for no notice is taken of it in any of the letters relating thereto, nor in the terms of agreement. Indeed it would have been a perpetual obftacle to an accommodation, as Becket would not fubmit to any judgement upon it, and could not have paid it, had he been fentenced to do fo by Alexander himfelf. Perhaps too Henry might now begin to feel, that, by fubmitting to the pope a cause of such a nature, he himself gave a grievous wound to the dignity of his crown. Yet, though he might have reasons for dropping his claim at this time, he could have none to allow that it never had been due. Nor is it credible, that he should fo lightly have impeached his own justice and that of his parliament.

But Michaelmas day being now paft, without hopes of a reconciliation between him and v. Epift. 37. Becket, as neither of them would give up the point in difpute, a letter was fent from the nuncios, to notify to those whom they had absolved, that the absolution was void; and immediately afterwards afterwards they prepared to return into Italy. A. D. 1169. Gratian went first, being much diffatisfied with Henry's proceedings. Nor did Vivian long de-v.Epift. 49. lay to follow his colleague; but he had not gone 1. iii. far, when he received a letter from the king, who entreated him to return, and gave him his royal word, that he would make peace with Becket, according to the pope's mandate and his advice. What drew from him this promife was an in-V. Epift.61. formation given to him, that the archbishop of !. iii. Sens, who was a most zealous friend to Becket. had let out with Gratian, which made him apprehenfive, that, on their report to his holinefs, the excommunication and interdict, with which he had been threatened, would be immediately laid on his perfon and territories. He had found Vivian a man of fome moderation; and he hoped, that by continuing a negociation with him, he fhould tie Becket's hands, and obtain at leaft the delay that was neceffary for him in order to know the fuccefs, which the archdeacon of Salifbury and Richard Barre, whom he had difpatched to Beneventum prefently after the conference at Montmirail, had met with in that Vivian came back immediately on v. Epift. 9. court. the receipt of this letter; but though he took 1. iii. great pains to foften Becket, and perfuade him to approve his unexpected return, that prelate told him, in answer to all he alledged on this fubject, that if, by his own authority only, he had refumed a legation which was actually expired, the king, for whose sake it was refumed, v. Epifi.10. might obey it; but he would not. He was the Lin. more difcontented, because, in the terms now offered by Henry, of which Vivian fent him a copy, there was no promife made of reparation of damages; though fome intimations were giv-v.Epift. en, that, if he would act in this reconciliation, 62.1.111. to as to deferve the favour of his fovereign, that

Book III

A. D. 1169. that prince would again fet him at the head of his kingdom, and let him feel no want of any. kind. As he did not intend to comply with the conditions prefcribed, and grounded his demand, not on favour, but justice, he looked upon these offers as nugatory or infidious. Neverthelefs he could not decently refuse his confent to Vivian's entreaty, that he would attend on a conference between the two kings, which was held at St. Denys about the middle of November in this year eleven hundred and fixty-eight. Henry went thither on pretence of devotion; but his real defign was to mitigate the ill temper of Louis towards him, which he feared would foon occafion a new war with that king. This he partly effected by promifing to treat in an amicable manner with the earl of Touloufe, on the claim of his fon Richard, as duke of Aquitaine, to that earldom; and moreover to fend that young prince to be educated in the court of France under Louis; which I can hardly believe he intended to perform: as, certainly, it would have been liable to many and weighty objections. Becket did not appear in perfon at this meeting, but he came fo nigh as to Paris, and from thence fent a petition, containing the conditions upon which he defired to be reconciled to the king. The words were V.Epift. 62. thefe: " This is what we alk of our lord the "king, according to the mandate and counfel " of our lord the pope, that for the love of "God, and of our lord the pope, and to the " honour of the holy church, and his own fal-" vation, and that of his heirs, he would re-" ceive us into his favour, and grant to us, and " to all perfons, who with and for us departed " out of the kingdom, peace, and entire fecuri-" ty from him and his, without deceit; and " would reftore to us the church of Canterbu-66 IV.

566

1. 111.

" ry, in as ample and free a manner as we ever A. D. 1169. enjoyed it, in it's best condition, fince our pro-" motion to that fee, and all our former poffefii-" ons, to have and to hold them, as freely as " quietly, and as honourably, as they have " been had and held by us, at any time fince " our faid promotion : and that our exiled friends, " may, in like manner, have their benefices, or " any other poffessions, which they had enjoyed, " reftored to them. We further alk of our lord " the king, that he would permit all churches and " prebends belonging to the archbithoprick, which " have become vacant fince we went out of the " kingdom, to be put into our hands, that we 66 may dispose of them as our own, in what man-٠٢ ner we pleafe." There is no mention here made of reparation of damages, either to Becket or his friends: which it is probable the archbishop was induced to omit, becaufe the pope, in the mandate, to which the petition refers, had been filent about it : but he fent word to the king that, to avoid any blame with relation to that point, he would be advised by his Holiness what he ought to demand.

In the petition fome particulars are worthy of note. Befides the caution with which every article of it is guarded, the words, to his own falvation and that of his heirs, were thrown in with great art, and meant to intimate, that neither Henry, V.Epit. 62. nor his heirs, could be faved, if he or they fhould ut fupra. perfift in this quarrel with the church. Nor were the preceding words, to the bonour of the church, without fome malignity; for they imported, that, inftead of this peace being acknowledged as a grace from the king, the church had triumphed over him. Henry faw this, and framed his anfwer as cunningly, though in much fewer words. He faid he would allow, that the archbishop should have the fee of Canterbury in peace, and those possellions 004 which

A. D. 1169. which had been held by his predeceffors, and as they were held by them; thus excluding him from the enjoyment of all new acquisitions which he had made to his church, or was defirous of making, and indirectly fubjecting him to those cuftoms of the realm, under which the preceding archbifhops of Canterbury had held their temporalities. But they with whom he was treating were as fharp in difcerning the intent of his answer, as he was in framing it; and because he would not recede from V. Epift. 61, it nor admit the petition fent by Becket, Vivian declared, that he had broken his word, and com-62. l. iii. plaining of him, as captious and infincere, refused to meddle any more in the negociation.

Nevertheless a new petition, in different words. was drawn up by Becket, and delivered to Henry at Montmartre on his return from St. Denys, by the archbishop of Rouen and the bishop of Seez. His prayer now was, " that, for the love of God and of the pope, the king would reftore, to him and his, favour, peace, and fecurity, their poffeffions, and every thing that had been taken from them; upon which conditions he offered to pay him all that an archlishop owed to his prince. This form was shorter, and might at first appear less exceptionable, than the former petition; but in the words, every thing that had been taken from them, the revenues they had loft during the time of their banishment, and a compensation for all damages. might be implied : nor was it certain that the promife Becket made on his part would be any fecurity for his future obedience; as his whole difpute with the crown had arifen from the question, what it was that an archbishop owed to his prince. The V.Epift. 62. king anfwered, " that from his foul he willingly forgave him all past offences; and, with regard to any complaints against himself, on the part of that prelate, he was ready to ftand to the fentence of the court of his liege, the king of France, or to

568

1.min.

to the judgement of the Gallican church, or the A. D. 1169. university of Paris." This proposal was so fair, that it required an uncommon fkill in chicane to know how to object to it. When it was carried to Becket, he felt the force of it, and therefore only replied, " that he did not refuse the judgement of the French parliament, or of the Gallican church, if it fo pleafed the king; but that he had rather compound with him amicably, than litigate, if such were his will." He added, " that if the king would reftore to him and his friends the church of Canterbury, with their proffessions; and give them a pledge of his favour and their fafety, by the kifs of peace, he was ready to receive it; only defiring that the king would pay to the church half the value of the moveables taken away, to difcharge his debts and those of his friends, and make the repairs that were neceffary in their eftates, after the wafte that had been committed upon them; an eftimate of which he delivered to Henry, revifed and corrected by the commissioners that prince had appointed.". Among those eftates, the restitution whereof he demanded, three were claimed by the crown ; and in some private instructions to two agents, whom he fent to the pope at this time, he politively declares" he would die in banishment, rather than make any peace, unlefs thefe were reftored." He likewife tells them, "that Vivian and the bifhop of Seez had affured him, from the " mouth of the king, that, if the peace was not " ftopt, that prince would give him a thousand " marks; but he notifies to them a refolution to " demand in prefent a moiety of the full value " of the moveables loft, and to be directed by " the advice of the pope, or the clergy, concern-" ing the remainder;" adding, " that, with re-" gard to this, he was willing to fhew a patient " forbearance, in confideration of the devotion " towards the church, and the cordiality towards " him,

A. D. 1169.4 him, which the king fhould give proof of, in " his future behaviour; becaufe it was expedient " for the church of Rome, as well as of England, " that fhe fhould have fomething in her power to " keep him in awe with, and to bring out against " him, if he should begin new diffurbances and " leditions."

When this paper was delivered, the king made evalive answers, which seemed to confent to all that was demanded, but were clogged with fuch conditions, as he was certain the archbishop would refuse to submit to. And the kiss of peace, which was then a cuftomary form in all reconciliations, he plainly faid he could not grant, though he was very willing to do it; becaufe, in the heat of his V. Epift. 61, anger, he had publickly fworn, that he never Epif. 46 .1. would give it to Becket ; but he declared he would retain no rancour against him. It ill became an archbishop to make light of a difficulty founded on a ftrict fenfe of the obligation of an oath ; nor could a fubject, confiftently with any fenfe of his duty, require his fovereign to difgrace himfelf in the eyes of the world, by publickly departing from what he had publickly fworn. Yet this feems to have had no weight with Becket; and we are told that the opinion of the king of France and the earl of Blois, whom he confulted upon it, helped to determine him not to accept of a peace without this form:

Vivian was much foured at the ill fuccefs of the negociation, which the king had made him renew; and fet out again to go to Italy. As foon as Henry V. Epift. 61, was informed of his departure, he fent a meffen-63, 64.1. iii. ger after him, with many fair words, and a gift of money; but this was returned by the nuncio with a decent complaint, "that, after he had laboured fo much in his fervice, and had loft for him the favour of many and great performs, Henry fhould endeavour to render him infamous, as being

v. Quadri-

logus.

• ing corrupted with bribes." In the fame epiftle A. D. 1169 he exhorted him to grant the archbifhop's petition, to give that prelate *the kifs of peace*, and, by a liberal reparation to atone for the crime of having unjuftly feized his goods and those of his friends: which admonition was concluded by a positive declaration, "that, if Henry fhould now reject this counfel, the last he would give him, *repentance yould come too late.*"

The king from this letter had great reafon to fear, that the foothing arts, which hitherto he had condefcended to make ufe of, would not avail him much longer; and therefore he now had recourfe to very different methods, more becoming the majefty of a great monarch, in order to fecure his perfon and kingdom againft the expected hoftilities from Rome and Becket. With this intent he loft no time in fending over to England the following at fupra. injunctions, which were of much the fame nature Gervafe.Cowith the orders he had given in the year eleven I. i. p. 27. hundred and fixty-fix, when the archbifhop firft V.Epift. 54. threatened him with excommunication, but more extensive, and fome in articles more fevere.

1. If any perfon be found carrying letters from v.Appendix the pope, or any mandate from the archbifhop of Canterbury, containing an interdict of divine fervice in England, let him be apprehended, and let juffice be done upon him without delay as a traitor to the king, and kingdom.

2. Let no ecclefiaftick, of what order foever, be fuffered to go beyond fea, or to return into England, without a pass from the king's justiciary for his going out, and from the king himfelf for his return, under pain of imprisonment.

3. No man may appeal, either to the pope, or the archbishop.

4. No plea shall be held of the mandates of the pope, or the archbishop; nor shall any mandate

Book III.

572

A. D. 1169 date of theirs be received by any perfon in England, under pain of imprifonment.

> 5. It is likewife generally forbidden, that any meffage be carried by any perfon, from any of the clergy, or laity, to the pope, or to the archbifhop, under the fame penalty.

> 6. If any bifhops, clergymen, abbots, or laymen, fhall obey the fentence of interdict, let them be inftantly banifhed the realm, and all their kindred, and not fuffered to carry with them any of their goods and chattels.

> 7. The goods and chattles of all those who favour the pope, or the archbishop; and all their possessions, and the possession of all who belong to them, of what soever degree, order, sex, or condition they may be, shall be feized and confiscated into the hands of the king.

8. Let all clergymen, having any revenues in England, be furmoned through every county, that within three months they return into England, as they value their revenues, which, if they do not come by the term preferibed, fhall be feizred into the king's hands.

9. Let Peter-pence be no longer paid to the pope, but carefully collected, and kept in the king's treasury, and laid out according to his orders.

Most of these articles are unquestionably agreeable to the constitution of England: but two of them contained clauses entirely repugnant to natural justice, viz. the 6th and 7th articles, in which the penalties inflicted on those who should obey the fentence of interdict, or favour the pope or the archbishop, are extended to *their kindred*, and *to all who belong to them*, of *whatever degree*, or order, or fex, or condition they may be. Inheritances indeed are shill liable to forfeiture for high treason, and even for felony, by our law; as they are in most other countries: but the principles, alledged to justify that feverity, will not extend to the cafe of the perfons subjected to the penalties beforementioned.

oned. Those principles are, that no man can have A. D. 1169. a natural right to inherit; fuch a right being derived from the politive inftitutions of civil fociety, which may therefore confer it with fuch reftrictions or conditions, as the fafety of the community may be thought to require. And whatever a man is at liberty to difpole of, or give away from his children, the state may take from him, without injury to his children, if, by his own act, he has wilfully incurred the forfeiture of it according to law. But, that any perfon should forfeit, by the act of another, what belongs to himfelf, or fuffer the loss of any natural right, for the delinquency of another, in which he no way partakes, and has not voluntarily made bimself responsible for, is fuch an injustice as no government upon earth has power to authorize. Some nations indeed have put to death all the kindred of traitors.. The Macedonians did fo, though their kingdom was a limited monarchy; and the Carthaginians, though their flate was a kind of republick. Nay, fuch was the inhumanity of the Roman civil laws, even under Christian emperours, that in one of Arcadious and Honorius it is called a fpecial  $a\mathcal{E}$  V. Codicis of imperial mercy to grant to the fons of a convicted ad legem Ju. traitor their lives : and they are declared thereby liam majefincapable of any inheritance, not only from their tais, I. v father, but from any other relation, or of receiving any bequeft from a ftranger, or of attaining to any office, or dignity in the ftate. Nor are these incapacities limited to the cafe of a treason committed by the father against the emperour himself, or his family ; but extend equally to the fons of perfons convicted of having confpired the death of any of his counfellours, or any of his fenators, or even of any of those who ferved in his armies. And, what is more furprifing, this unjust and barbarous law is transcribed in the golden bull, almost word for word, and makes part at this day of the conftitution of the empire, as confirmed by the treaties of Munfor

A. D. 1169 Munfter and Ofnaburg, in the cafe of a confpiracy V. Bullam Auream, c. against the life of an elector, ecclefiattick or civil. But in these instances, and some other which might 24 fet. be produced, the principles of justice were facrififed to an excellive defire of fecuring the government, by extraordinary terrors, against the danger of treafons. And thus, under the administration of king Henry the fecond, the independence and majefty of the ftate having been fhaken by the outrageous attempts of the pope and the clergy, it was judged neceffary to arm the civil authority with thefedreadful powers, that all the families of those churchmen. who might be inclined to abett any offence of that nature, should be obliged to restrain them, and to watch over their conduct with a vigilant eve. for fear of being themfelves involved in the punishment of their crimes. It has been mentioned before, that the families of those bishops, who refused to obey the king's mandate for the election of Becket to the fee of Canterbury, had been threatened with banishment by the Grand-jufficiary Richard de Luci ; and that it was actually executed on Becket's relations, and all who were intimately connected with him in any manner whatfoever. Nothing can justify fuch an iniquitous and cruel profeription of innocent perfons. But that Henry and his jufticiary did not act therein without fome warrant of law may be reafonably inferred from these articles. which denounce the fame penalties against all the kindred of other offenders, before the offence was committed : fo that the extreme rigour of them cannot be imputed to any fudden heat of anger. They were certainly framed by the king with the opinion and advice of his council. None of his judges remonftrated against them as illegal. Nor does it appear that, afterwards, on his return into England, any complaint was made of them in parliament. But further, I find, that the fame practice, of ex-

tending the punifhment for offences of this fort to

the

574

1. 4.

the whole kindred of the criminal, prevailed, dur-A. D. 1169. ing this age, in the kingdom of Scotland. For, in the year eleven hundred and eighty one, fome clergymen having prefumed to pay their obedience to the bifbop of St. Andrew's, who had been driven into exile by William the Lion, king of Scotland, and had thereupon excommunicated fome of his nobles, that prince banished them, and all their relations with them, even those (fays the contempo-rary abbot of Peterborough) who were still in their abb. fub and. cradles, or at the breafts of their mothers. It may be 1181. faid, that the Scotch king derived this act of tyranny from the precedent fet him by Henry the Second in England ; but I think it more likely that the governments of both kingdoms had taken it before from fome other fource; and most probably from the Roman imperial law, which, as hath been observed in the preceding book of this history, began early in this reign to mix itfelf with the ancient jurisprudence of England.

However this may have been, the articles abovementioned were received with no marks of diffatisfaction or diflike, by the lay-fubjects of this kingdom, who took an oath to obferve them in every particular. And the manner of doing it is remarkable. The sheriffs were ordered to fummon all the military tenants, and other freeholders, in their fe-Gerv. veral counties, to appear at the county-court, and Chron. there be fworn to thefe articles; which was like-1408. wife to be performed in all cities and boroughs. They were also to fend their officers into the villages, and by them the inferior orders of peafants, who did not come to the county-court, were to have the fame oath administred to them. It was accordingly taken by all the laity throughout the whole Gerv. kingdom, from boys to decrepit old men, as we Chron. learn from Gervale of Canterbury, a contemporary See alfo historian, who calls it an abjuration of obedience to pope Cod. Cotton. Alexander and the archbishop of Canterbury. Bithopiv. Stillingfleet

A. D. 1169. Stillingfleet terms it, an oath of supremacy made so V. Stilling-long ago as in the reign of King Henry the Second, and Creffy c. 5. by his command. He also mentions it as a very re-Of the penal markable thing, that the bringing over letters from papifts.

the pope, or any mandate from the archbishop of Canterbury, should, by one of these articles, be punished as treason. But the following words explain these letters to be mandates, wherein was contained an interdict on the kingdom. And the purpofe thereof being evidently to endanger the government, it was not improperly confidered as an act of high treafon, which ought to be punished by the most rigorous penalties the law could inflict. That all the laity took the oath demanded by the king, and bound themfelves to obey fuch orders as thefe, without refistance or complaint, is a great proof how unanimoully they still concurred with that monarch, in opposition to Becket and the papal pretenfions. But the clergy were not fo tractable. For when Geoffry Ridel, archdeacon of Can-V. Epift. 65. terbury, Richard, archdeacon of Poitiers, and fome lay-officers of the crown, were fent by the king with inftructions, to affemble all the bifhops and abbots at London, and to demand of them the fame fecurity, with regard to the articles abovementioned, none of them would appear there, or in any manner give a countenance to this proceed-V. Epit 45, ing. The bifhop of Winchefter first protested a-49.65.1 iii gainft it, declaring that he would, to the laft moment of his life, most devoutly obey the apostolical mandates, and those of the church of Canterbury, to which he had vowed fidelity and obedience : and he injoined all his clergy to do the fame. The bifhop of Exeter followed the example of that prelate, and then retired into a monastery. The bilhop of Norwich, though expressly foibidden by particular orders from the king, published a fentence of excommunication against the earl of Chefter and feveral others, conformably to injunctions

1. iii.

Junctions laid upon him by Becket, even in the A. D. 1169. prefence of the officers who brought the prohibition. Then, defcending from the pulpit, he laid his paftoral ftaff on the high alter, and faid, " he would fee who would dare facrilegioufly to ftretch out their hands against the lands or goods of his church :" after which going into the cloifter of the abbey he lived there with the monks. The bishop of Chefter was equally obedient to the archbishop's injunctions, and then, to fecure himfelf from the officers of the crown, he withdrew into a part of his diocefe inhabited only by the Welch. Thus did the clergy declare an open rebellion against the royal authority, rather than venture to offend their mafter, the pope. As to the laity who had taken this oath, they were abfolved from the obligation of it by letters from Becket, which he found fecret methods to convey into England : but that many of them defired to avail themselves of the benefit of this absolution does in no wife appear.

The king's thoughts were now intent upon a A. D. 1177. matter of importance, which he had for fome time been revolving in his mind. His eldeft fon was the darling and delight of his heart. If he fhould happen to die during the tender age of that prince, it was poslible that some of the nephews of Stephen, or the earl of Boulogne, who had married the daughter of that king, might afpire to the crown. The election of Stephen against the many repeated oaths, which the whole nation had taken to eftablifh the fuccession in the Empress Matilda, made fuch engagements appear an infufficient fecurity. Some other precaution was therefore supposed to be neceffary, and, agreeably to the general cuftom of those times, it was thought most adviseable for the king in his own life-time to crown his heir, and, with the confent and authority of parliament, declare him king in subordination to bimself : I fay in *Subordination* VOL. II. Pp

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

A. D 1170. fubordination to bimfelf; for, although this corona-See Hale's tion made him a fovereign over all others within pleas of the the realm, it left him a fubiol with a for a him the realm, it left him a fubject with refpect to his Crown. father, and he owed the fame allegiance to him as before. Nor was there annexed to this royalty any separate appenage, or independent jurisdiction : fo that indeed it was no more than an empty title, which gave an encrease of dignity, but none of power, unlefs when the father should be out of the kingdom, or under fome incapacity to exercife his authority ; for then it was underflood that the administration would, of course, devolve to the fon.

niel.

578

This had been continually practifed in France, from the reign of Hugh Capet down to Louis the See P. D2- Seventh, who was then on the throne. And from hence I think it evident, beyond all contradiction, that the kings of France in those days acknowledged in the nation a right to confirm or alter the fucceffion : fince they did not rely on any natural or legal claim, that their eldeft fons had, at their deceafe, to fucceed to the crown ; but defired to fecure it to them by this anticipated election. Had it been acknowledged, as a maxim of law in those times, that the throne could never be vacant, this practice, which meant only to prevent fuch a vacancy, could not have prevailed in that kingdom, The policy was the fame with that of the emperours of Germany now, when they endeavour to induce the diet to elect a king of the Romans : nor can any thing give us a more perfect idea of the nature of these coronations. Upon a fimilar motive, and in a fimilar manner, King Stephen had attempted to crown his fon Eustace; but yet it must be owned, that strong reasons might have been urged to diffuade King Henry the Second from having recourse to this measure, in order to fecure his fon's fucceffion. He might have been told, that the defire of regal power would be apt to accompany the name of king; and as he did not intend

intend a participation of that, it was not prudent, A. D. 1170. by unneceffarily giving the other, to kindle an ambition in the mind of his fon, which might eafily produce a dangerous flame. That the young prince, who was naturally of a high fpirit, would be much more exalted in his own imagination by the acceffion of this new dignity; nor would there be wanting fome wicked flatterers to blow up that pride, and fuggeft to him notions that obedience and royalty were incompatible things, or at least that the latter ought always to bring with it fome real advantage, befides the empty title and pageant robes of a king. That this method of fecuring the fucceffion, unknown, unthought of in England, till vainly attempted by Stephen, was authorized chiefly by the practice of France: but from that kingdom itfelf examples might be alledged, to fhew the great inconvenience and danger attending it. Hugh Capet, who introduced it in favour of Ro-Glaber, I. bert, his eldeft fon, had often repented the taking of iii. c. 9. that ftep, from the difquiet he fuffered by his fon's P. Daniel. difobedience, and defire of meddling in the government, after being raifed to the throne. And when Robert himfelf had been perfuaded, by the folicitations of his wife, against the opinion of his wifeft counfellours, to crown his fon, he had the mortification to fee that prince rebel against him, in order to obtain a greater fhare in the government, or at least fome province in which he might exercife royal authority. Philip the First had been forced to give up two provinces to Louis le Gros, whom he had likewife made king : and if, in other inftances, no difturbance had enfued from these premature coronations, it was either because the father had happened to die very foon after the fon had been crowned, or becaufe the fon did not live to feel that ambition, which fuch a nominal exaltation to fovereign power must naturally irritate, but could not affuage. In

580

A. D. 1170. In these objections there was undoubtedly a great force of truth; but in answer to them it may have been speciously urged, that if the advantages attending this practice in France, to the royal family and the realm, had not been found by experience to outweigh very much the inconvenience or danger, it would not have been fo long continued. That the fame thing had been practifed in the latter empire of Germany, with the free confent of the ftates; and in the kingdom of Sicily, by Roger, the founder of the Norman monarchy there; who, in the year eleven hundred and fifty, about four years before his death, had crowned his fon William; an example of great authority, both from the character of that prince, one of the wifeft that ever reigned, and from the conformity of the government in its conftitutional principles with that eftablished in England. That, although the cuftom had not prevailed in this nation fince the uniting of the heptarchy, it was not without a precedent among the Anglo-Saxons. For Offa the Great had crowned his fon in the kingdom of Mercia; nor had any ill confequences happened from it there. That it was the fafeft and beft provision against many accidents to which all kingdoms are liable, fuch as the long abfence, or ficknefs, or captivity of their kings; and for the preventing of factions, which nothing encourages fo much in a monarchy as an unfettled fuccettion. That the right of primogeniture was not firmly eftablished in any kingdom of Europe. That, as Henry had many fons, he could find no other method fo fure and effectual to hinder any of them from attempting to overpower that right, in times to come, by the ftrength of a party among the nobles or people, as the crowning of the eldeft during his own life, and without further lofs of time : for this would produce in the minds of the younger an habitual obedience to him, as their fovereign; which,

which, if they did not contract it in their infancy, A. D. 1179. they might not fo eafily learn in a riper age; effectially having before them the example of the three fons of William the Firft, the two youngeft of whom fucceffively obtained the dominion of England, without any regard to the title of the eldeft, who never was able to make it good. And the obligation conferred on Prince Henry by this encreafe of his dignity, together with the future more folid advantages he would be fure to draw from it, muft, in all reafon, be rather an additional bond, to fecure his obedience and duty to his father, than any incitement to depart from them, as those who argued againft it had fuppofed.

These confiderations prevailed; and, indeed, it feems that the king had been determined upon the measure fome years before. For, when the fee of Canterbury was vacant, by the death of Archbishop Theobald, as he then apprehended V. Epift. 45' that the election of Becket might meet with fome difficulty, he obtained a bull from the pope, impowering him to caule his fon Henry to be crowned by what bishop he pleased. This appears from a letter written by that prelate: and v. Enift. from another written to him, we also learn, that, 241. 1. i. in the year eleven hundred and fixty-four, it was reported in France, that the coronation would be performed by the hands of Pope Alexander, who was to go to England for that purpose. John of Salifbury, who fent this intelligence, adds, that it was imagined the delign of crowning the prince was deferred on that account. There is reafon to think that this report was well founded; for, as Louis le Jeune had been crowned during the life of his father, by Innocent the Second, who then was in France, Henry might naturally with, in fimilar circumftances, to procure the fame honour to be done to his fon by Alexander the Third. But this, I fuppofe, was prevented by Pp3 the

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III

A. D. 1170. the fubfequent difputes between him and that pontiff. After that time no further mention is made of this bufinefs, till the year eleven hundred v. Epift. 67. and fixty-eight, when (as a paffage in a letter then written informs us) Henry's ministers were em-1. 111. ployed to negociate about it at Beneventum. P. Daniel, Some modern authors have fuppofed, that the t. iii p. 341. principal motive, which induced that monarch to See alfo Car. principal motive, which induced that monarch to it, was the example of Philip the First, king of France, who, when his own perfon was threatened with an excommunication, had crowned his fon, and by that means had prevented the revolt of his fubjects, and all the diforders that would otherwife have enfued when the fentence was paft. But it has been shewn, that when Henry was under no apprehenfions of fpiritual cenfures he entertained the fame defign. Neverthelefs it is probable that this may have determined him to accomplifh it at this time. But the archbishop of Canterbury being out of the kingdom, and the crowning of the kings of England having for fome time been reputed one of the rights of that fee, the ceremony could not be performed in his absence, without an objection in point of form, which might give a pretence to difpute the validity of it, and much affect the fuperflitious minds of the vulgar, with whom forms are effentials in folemnities of this nature. To this objection the former bull obtained from the pope was not a good answer, beaufe Alexander gave that on the fuppolition of there being V, Epift 45 no archbishop of Canterbury when the young prince should be crowned, and not in derogation to any privilege of that fee. William the First indeed had been crowned by the archbishop of York: but there was at that time no archbishop of Canterbury acknowledged by the pope; for Stigand's election was deemed not canonical, and

v. Epift. prædict. (as Becket affirms in a letter to Alexander) he was then excommunicated by the apoftolical fee, for

for holding, against her prohibitions, together A. D. 1170. with Canterbury, the fees of Winchefter, London, Worchefter, and Ely. Another more recent ex-Ibidem, ample, which the king had to plead, was the coronation of his grandfather, Henry the First, by the bishop of Hereford, in the absence of Anfelm, who had then left the kingdom, upon a difpute with the crown of much the fame nature as that of Becket at prefent: but the bishop of Hereford acted as fubftitute to his abfent metropolitan, and as foon as Anfelm came home, the king excufed what had been done from the neceflity of the time, and delivering to him his crown, in the prefence of all his nobility, defired to receive it from his hands; because the anointing and confectating a king of England was a dignity annexed to his fee: which being thought by the archbimop a full fatisfaction, he approved the act of his fuffragan, and replaced the crown on the head of Henry. After fuch an extraordinary compliment made to the fee of Canterbury in this matter, by fo prudent a king, whole example in most points was a law to his grandfon, the latter could not eafily difpute its pretenfions. But, as he would neither fuffer Becket to return into England, nor any longer defer his fon's coronation, it was necessary to act as if there had been no archbishop of Canterbury, and let the ceremony be performed by fome other prelate. The archbishop of York, as the highest in dignity, appeared the most proper to execute fuch a function. In the year eleven hundred and fixty-two, after Becket's election and confecration, he had claimed that office as one of the rights of his fee, and obtained a bull from Pope Alexander himfelf to confirm it, on the V. Epift. 10. foundation of many precedents, which he brought 1. i. to fupport it from times antecedent to the Norman government in this kingdom. Neverthe-Pp1 lefs

A. D. 1170. lefs Becket afterwards procured from that pontiff V. Epift. AL a revocation of this bull, by a letter forbidding the archbishop of York, and all the bishops of Eng-1. iv. land, to do any act against the authority and dignity of the church of Canterbury, which being materially concerned in this particular, it was naturally underftood that hereby the former grant was repealed. There is no date to this letter: V. Epift. 36. but from others we find, that it had been received Liv. 24. L.v. before this time; and, as the king was apprehenfive that none of the bifhops would venture to difregard the prohibition it contained, he ordered two of the minifters whom he fent to the pope, after the conclusion of the conference at Montmirail, viz. Richard Barre and the archdeacon of Landaffe, to use their utmost endeavours to obtain from Alexander a new letter, not only to impower, but command, the archbishop of York, to crown the prince, his fon, at any time, when he should require it of him. One can hardly conceive that Alexander should have been brought, by any perfuafions, to grant a requeft which he knew was to offenfive to Becket. But yet he did grant it; and declared that this MS. Cotton. office belonged to the fee of York. The letter is Claudius b, extant in manufcript among those of Becket, both II. fol. 288, extant in the Cotton library and in the Bodleian; but, See also the for the honour of the pope, it was omitted in Appendix. the edition made of them at Bruffels from the Vatican manufcript. Henry received it, on the return of Richard Barre and the archdeacon of Landaffe, about the latter end of the month of February, in the year eleven hundred and feventy. He had kept his Chriftmas at Nantes, with Geoffry, his Benedictus Abbas, fub fon, in the most pompous manner: and after ann, 1170 the folemnity of that feftival they had made a progrefs together over all Bretagne, to receive the homage and fealty of the nobles and freemen of that dutchy, who had not paid it before. We likewife

Book III.

likewife are told that the king proceeded judici-A. D. 1170. ally againft the earl of Pontieure, and deprived him of almoft all the honours and power he had poffeffed in that country: the caufe of which, I make no doubt, was his not having appeared to pay his duty to his prince on this occafion, or fome act of rebellion or contunacy of which he was guilty. For no complaint was made by Louis of any injuffice having been done by this fentence, or of any breach of the amnefty granted to the confederate lords in Bretagne by the late treaty of Montmirail; as there would have certainly been, if Eudo had not deprived himfelf of the benefit of that treaty by his own fault.

These affairs being settled, Henry returned into v. Epift. 18. Normandy, and through the mediation of fome of 1. v. his clergy in that country, proposed to Becket new offers for an agreement between them upon general terms, namely, that each of them should perform what he owed to the other. But he feems to have done it only to amufe the archbishop, till he should hear what fuccess John of Oxford and the two archdeacons of Rouen and of Seez, whom he had fent to Beneventum foon after the conference held at Montmartre, had met with in their bufinefs. Of this an account was brought to him by Richard Barre and the archdeacon of Landaffe, together with the abovementioned letter or mandate, to the archbishop of York. Upon which he immediately fignified to Becket, who had fet out from Sens in order to attend him at Caen, that he would have him proceed no further; because he was obliged to go over into England without delay.

Before the return of these ministers, that prelate, being aware, either from intelligence or sufpicion, of Henry's purpose to crown his son, had entreated the pope to allert the right of the see of Canterbury, and to lay a restraint on the arch bishop

Book III.

A.D. 1170 bifhop of York, and all other English bishops, from prefuming to intermeddle in that coronation : which was granted to him in terms as ftrong and V. Epift. 42 ample as he himfelf could defire. The privilege

of his fee was declared, and the prohibition en-L iv. forced by the apostilick authority. Nay, the bishops were told, that if any one of them should presume to attempt it, be fould undoubtedly know, that it would be to the great peril of his office and order. His Holinefs alfo denied them any appeal to himfelf on this matter. The letter is dated the twentyfixth of February, and must have been fent within a few weeks after that, in which Alexander, by V Epiftelam the fame apostlick authority, had impowered and MS. in Ap- commanded the archbishop of York to crown the pend. young prince, as the performing of that function belonged to bis fee; which he took no notice of to Becket. It also appears that he earnestly defired V. Epift.45. the king to conceal from that prelate his having L v. received fuch a letter. A more scandalous instance

of double dealing can no where be found! And it will be feen that his Holinefs, in the progrefs and confequences of this bufinefs, went still greater lengths, with the most astonishing impudence of diffimulation.

Befides the mandate concerning the young prince's coronation, John of Oxford and the archdeacons of Rouen and of Seez had obtained for the king, that a commission should be fent by the V.Epift. 1.4. archdeacon of Landaffe and Richard Barre to the archbishop of Rouen and the bishop of Nevers, for the concluding of an agreement between him and Becket, on terms which he himfelf had propofed to his Holinefs by the advice of his council. They were expressed in these words, "that, for " the love of God, of the pope, and of the church " of Rome, the king would permit the archbi-" shop of Canterbury to return in fafety to his " church, and to hold and enjoy it in peace, and "all

586

14. l. v.

" all his poffessions, as he had held them before A. D. 1170. " he went out of the kingdom, while he was in " the king's favour; and the fame to those who " were banished on his account." And whereas the king, out of regard to the publick oath he had fworn, not to give Becket the kils of peace, had proposed, that his eldest fon should give it for him, Alexander confented to accept the expedient, if the archbishop himself could be induced to agree to it; and ordered the legates to labour that point with him, unlefs they could perfuade the king to give up his fcruple; which to render more eafy, he abfolved him from his oath, and injoined him to give the kifs, for the remiffion of his fins: at the fame time admonishing Becket, that, as much as he could with fafety to the liberty of the church. and without any danger to himfelf, or his friends. he should humble himself towards the king. But if, upon these conditions, peace was not concluded within forty days after the king had been admonifhed by the legates to make it agreeably to his promife, they were then commanded to lay all his dominions in France under an interdict, without any allowance of a further appeal, unlefs they were certain, that, foon after the expiration of that time, he would fulfil the terms prefcribed, or that the archbishop would agree to receive the kils from the fon, inftead of the father. They had also a power, upon an affured expectation of peace being made, to abfolve the excommunicated. with a provifo, that, if the expected reconciliation did not enfue, their former fentence should be renewed without appeal. And Alexander faid, in a letter he wrote to the king, "that if peace " fhould not be made, upon the plan now laid " down, and they who had declared their appeal " to the fee of Rome thought proper to purfue it, " he would hear their defence and judge their " caufe, as God should inspire him; for which " hearing

Book III.

A. D. 1170. " hearing he appointed the next feaft of St. Luke." His Holinefs was unwilling to incumber the treaty with any further conditions; yet he inftructed the legates, "that they should endeavour to gain for " the archbishop a thousand marks, which, Vivian •• had told him, the king was willing to give that " prelate, as a fupply for his prefent neceffities: 66 but, in cafe of a refusal, the reconciliation was " not to be ftopt on this account. And they were " further directed, that, not immediately after " the peace was concluded, but within a fhort time. 66 as their difcretion should judge most proper and 66 convenient, they fhould, in the name of the 66 Lord, admonish the king and injoin him, for " the remission of his fins, to abolish the evil customs " or laws of his realm, especially those, which he had " of late introduced, against his own salvation, and 66 the liberty of the church ; to release his bishops and 66 other subjects from the observance of them, and re-66 pay to the archbiflop, and those that belonged to 66 him, the profits of the revenues which he had feiz-66 ed. If the king, upon their admonition, did 46 not vield to these propositions, then they were 66 ordered, as foon as possible, to fignify by a let-٥٥ ter to his Holinefs, in concert with Becket. 66 which of the cuftoms it was most necessary to 66 infift on the abolition of, and how much of the 66 profits received by him the king was willing to " pay." All this was thrown in, only to ftop Becket's mouth, that he might not complain of those points being given up by the pope, which he and his friends had most at heart. For there was no great likelihood that the king would be brought to do any thing after the peace, which he would not do for the peace. And Becket fo underftood it; for he was extremely diffatisfied with the commission, and told the pope, "the king had " been fummoned often enough upon this mat-V. Epift. 2.4. " ter. and it was time to proceed to judgement." 1. v. His

His difgust was much encreased by the absolution A. D. 1170. of the bifhops of London and Salifbury, which the V. Epift 200 pope had particularly ordered the legates, or either 21. 1. v. of them, to give, in terms very honourable to the bishop of London, whom his Holiness called a religious, learned, prudent, and difcreet man; only taking an oath of them, as was usually done on thefe occasions, that they would fubmit to his mandate, with regard to the final decifion of their caufe. When Becket heard this, he wrote to his friends Cardinal Albert and Gratian, in a ftyle which expressed the utmost fury of refentment. Satan, he faid, was let loofe again to the destruction V. Epif. 20. of the church : Barabbas was freed and Chrift was 21. id. crucified a second time : adding that St. Peter bimfelf, if he was upon earth, could not have power to abfolve fuch impenitent finners. Having enlarged upon this, and bitterly inveighed against the court of Rome, he broke out into these words, "I can-" not defend the liberty of the church, becaufe Ly. V. Epift. 1. " the apoftolick fee has now protracted my exile " to the end of the fixth year. Let God fee, and " judge. But I am ready to die for it. Let any " cardinals, who will, rife up against me, let them " arm, not only the king of England, but the " whole world, if they can, to my deftruction : " I, by the divine protection, will neither living " nor dying recede from my fidelity to the church. " Henceforth I commit to God, for whole fake I " fuffer profeription and banishment, the mainte-" nance of bis own caufe. Let him find fuch re-" medies as he knows how to apply in the greatest " difficulties. I pu. pofe to give no further trouble " to the court of Rome : let those apply to it,. " who prevail in their iniquities, and having tri-" umphed over juffice, and captivated innocence, " return with pride and boafting, to the confusion 66 of the church." Thus wrote Becket to Cardinal Albert; and the other letter to Gratian was little

Book III.

A. D. 1170 little different in matter or expression. He also V. Epia. 22. made the whole band of his companions in exile 23. l. v. write to them in the fame ftyle, and declare the fame refolution not to litigate with their adverfaries, but commit to God bis own cause, as he had done. Yet the appearance in this matter was worfe than the reality ; for Alexander thought he might abfolutely depend on the peace being concluded; as all the conditions of it had been previoufly fettled between him and the king, except the point of the kifs, which he prefumed would be got over one way or other, either, by Henry's compliance, or Becket's accepting of the expedient propofed. As for the abfolution of the bifhops of London and Salifbury, confidering that these prelates were both excommunicated without confulting his Holinefs, and the latter extremely againft his inclinations, it was no more than Becket had reason to expect; especially fince he had paid fo little regard to the warm interceffions which Alexander had made, that he himfelf would fufpend or take off that fentence. Had he feen the mandate fent to the archbishop of York, he would have complained with more justice : but of that he had obtained no certain intelligence; and the letter which he foon received from his Holinefs being fo contrary to it, he thought the rumour he had heared about it was falfe. The form used therein is very remarkable : " By the authority of St. Peter V.Appendix and ours, we grant, with the advice and confent of our brethren, that our dear son, Prince Henry, should be crowned king of England." This supposed in the pope and the college of cardinals a right and power to difpofe of the crown of England ; whereas the king had afked a bull only to fettle the ceremonial of his fon's coronation. And thus did the fee of Rome take every occasion of applications made to it for different purpoles, to encroach upon the rights of civil states, and draw to itself all dominion. Henry

Henry did not enough attend to the purport of A. D. 1179these infidious words, but confidered only the prefent benefit from Alexander's affent to what he defired, and being impatient to put it in execution, left Becket and his friends should find fome means to prevent or obstruct it, he hastened over to Eng-While he was paffing the channel, in the land. beginning of March, fo great a tempeft arofe, about the middle of the night, that a fleet of fifty fhips, which attended him in his paffage, was difperfed and terribly fhattered. One of them funk, aboard of which was Radulph de Bellomont, the king's phyfician, and Henry de Agnis, who is called, by a contemporary author, the most noble of the barons of England, with his wife and two children, v. Benedict, and feveral other confiderable perfons of the king's abb. t. i. p2. houshold, befides four hundred failors and paffen-3. gers of an inferior rank. The king, after his fafety had been almost despaired of during eight or nine hours, got at laft into Portfmouth, to the great joy of his kingdom, from which he had now been absent little less than four years.

So long an abfence was one, and not the leaft inconvenience, that the many territories, which it's fovereign poffeffed in France, inevitable brought upon England. Those dominions were in too unquiet a flate to be eafily governed by delegated powers, and often required the prefence of Henry himfelf to keep them in order. While therefore that prince was neceffarily employed in a very anxious attention to his interefts there, or in wars entered into for the fake of those interefts, he was obliged to neglect the government of his kingdom; and of this negligence he now felt the bad effects. There had arifen, in his absence, a great diforder and malversation in the collection of the royal revenues, and in all judical proceedings, excepting only those of his own supreme court. To redrefs these grievances, of which complaints

A. D. 1170 plaints had been made from every part of his realm, was the first object of his care on his return into England. He kept his Eafter at Windfor, and held a parliament there, wherein he appointed a commission of enquiry, confisting of earls, barons, knights, and fome dignified clergymen, who were to divide the whole kingdom into different circuits, and, as they went over it, frictly to examine all perfons concerned in the administration of justice; archbishops, bishops, abbots, priors, earls, barons, fheriffs, and their deputies; vavaflors, citizens, burgefles, and officers employed under them; officers of the revenue, of the king's lands, and of his forefts; what they had taken of the feveral perfons under their jurifdiction. while the king was in Normaudy, judicially, or otherwife : upon what claim, occafion, or evidence : what extortion, what corrupt, what partiality, what in justice, what fraud, or what neglect of duty, any among them had been guilty of, during that period of time. The feveral articles the reader may fee more at large, in the appendix to this volume, where the whole record is transcribed from Gervale, fol. Gervale of Canterbury, who lived at that time. V. Appendix And certainly it deferves a particular notice: for in all the hiftory of this kingdom there cannot be found a more extraordinary exercise of the royal authority to the redrefs of mal-administration. The conftitution of England has vefted in the crown, allifted by parliament, that fuperintending power over the conduct of magistrates, without the exercife of which the welfare of no government, and much lefs of a free government, can long be maintained. For the wifeft fyftem of laws will be deftroyed by corruption, if there is not a continual and vigilant care to enforce their execution, to prevent the abufe of them, and to punish those ministers and officers of state, who break their truft. When therefore our kings, to whom

in

in the first place this care belongs, and by whom A. D. 1170. it is most easily and beneficially exerted, omit to attend to it, either through ignorance or neglect, or any partial affection, it then becomes the duty of parliament to demand the redrefs of fuch abufes, and take those methods to obtain it, which, by it's own proper conftitutional powers, that affembly is enabled to ufe, and has ufed, to the great benefit of the publick, even from the earlieft times of our government. The affiftance of those powers may also be prudently called in by the king in profecuting and punishing high mifdemeanors, when the offenders are numerous, and able to form a ftrong combination against the royal justice. For the intervention of parliament strengthens the royal authority, and shields it from the odium which all extensive correction is apt to excite. This Henry well knew, and accordingly, in effecting this reformation, he wifely chose to proceed with the advice and concurrence of his great council : nor ever, before or fince that time, has any king of England, in conjunction with his parliament, discharged a duty of this nature with such remarkable spirit. The whole nobility and magistracy of the realm was fubjected to the enquiry now made. Even the ecclesiaftical courts, established in each diocefe, were not excepted. Justice seemed to have returned with the king into England, and to have fummoned all who had abufed the authority of her name to a general judgment.

Upon the report of the commissioners, Henry turned out at once almost all the sheriffs in the Benediaus kingdom, and their bailiffs, or deputies, for op-abbas. Hoveprefling his people, or defrauding the crown of it's 1170. dues. Nor did he fuffer them to go off without finding fureties to make proper fatisfaction to the parties aggrieved, and likewife to the crown. The barons who had a judicature in right of their lands, could not be deprived of it in the fame manner as the sheriffs or bailiffs, who were removeable from VOL. II. Qq their

A. D. 117° their offices upon mifbehaviour : but, as all who Gervale. There only a upon infibenaviour; but, as an who "odem anno millioners of enquiry appeared with their fureties, in the following parliament, to answer that charge; and as, in the letters of Becket, written after this time. I find not a word accufing Henry of partiality in all this proceeding, I think we may conclude, that they were feverally obliged to make reparation for any injuries they had done to their fuitors and vaffals; though, from an expression in one of our ancient hiftorians, it may be inferred, that the king remitted to them the fines, and all pecuniary Chron fub demands, which were due to himfelf. Yet it does not appear, that he paft, on this occasion, any act of oblivion. He feems to have kept the rod ftill over their heads, that he might deter them thereby from any fimilar mifdemeanors in times to come.

When he had thus re-eftablished the good order of the ftate, and made his people the beft reparation in his power for what they had fuffered by his absence, he proposed to his parliament, which had been fummoned to meet him at London on the feaft of St. Barnabas, the affair of his fon's coronation. They agreed to it without one diffentient voice. Cervale, ib. Gervale of Canterbury. feems to infinuate, though obfcurely, that the terror which fome of them were under, on account of their paft mifbehaviour, made them more ready to comply with this requeft. But it is not clear that Henry wanted fuch an influence over them, to procure their confent. There is no trace of any faction among the temporal barons, from whence he might apprehend opposition

to this measure. And as for the clergy, the authority he had obtained from the pope put it out of their power, if it had been in their will, to oppose his defire. Yet, to induce them to concur therein with more chearfulnefs, he gracioufly connived at their late dilobedience with regard to the oath he had required them to take. There being therefore,

Gervale.

494 .

- fore no difficulty on any fide in this bulinefs, it was A. D. 1170. fettled in the great council, that on the following Sunday, the young prince, who was then fixteen years old, should be crowned in Westminster Abbey by the archbishop of York, which was accordingly performed on the fifteenth day of June, in the year eleven hundred and feventy; the bifhops of Durham, of London, of Salifbury, and of Rochefter, affifting in the ceremony, and (to use the words of an author who lived in those times) the Hoveden. clergy and people affenting and confenting thereto. fub ann.

1170. The prince had been knighted by his royal father that morning. On the next day homage was done to him by William, king of Scotland; which must have been for Lothian; that prince having furrendered the earldom of Huntingdon to David his brother, who in like manner did homage on account of that fief. No doubt, they had done it before to King Henry, the father, perhaps in the Benedict. parliament held by him at Easter, where we are 1170. told they were prefent. As this transfer of the earldom could not have been made without the confent of that monarch, it is probable the two brothers had come into England on that bufinefs. It must be also supposed that the demand of Northumberland had been waved by king William: for, that a grant or ceffion of that province was made to him now, or at any time before this, is not faid by any author who lived in that age.

If we may believe fome hiftorians of later times, Henry received a ftrong proof, even during the ceremonies of his fon's coronation, what he had to expect from the arrogance of that prince. It is faid by Matthew Paris and Polydore Vergil, that, Mat Paris, with his own hands, he ferved up a difh to his ta-dore Vergil. ble; and that the boy, inftead of thanking his father and fovereign for fuch an honour done to him, faid to the archbishop of York, who complimented him upon it, " that it was not a great condescen-Qq2 ficm

Book III

A. D. 1170 fion for the fon of an earl to ferve the fon of a king." Wilhelmas A contemporary writer to far confirms this acin Quadril. count, as to fay, that the father ministered to the fon at the feast, and declared that be bimself was no longer king. The fame writer adds, that be afterwards repented both of the words and the deed.

> Why he fhould thus fpeak or act, fo greatly to the prejudice of his own royal dignity, no reafon appears. For, that, in exalting his fon thus prematurely to the throne, he did not mean to defcend from thence himfelf, nor even to give him an equal fhare thereof, the referve expressed in the oaths, which were taken to that prince, undeniably proves.

> As this was the first fince the union of the Heptarchy, it was also the last coronation of a fon during the life of his father, in the kingdom of England. We also find that the practice was omitted in France after Philip Augustus; a more fettled principle of an hereditary right to the crown, in a lineal course of descent, having prevailed from that time in both these nations; which made such a precaution unnecessary to secure the fuccession.

The Princefs Margaret was not crowned at the fame time with her hufband, but remained in Normandy with Queen Eleanor, her mother-in-law, v. Epift. 11. till the ceremony was over. Some of Henry's 1. v. enemies, and, particularly, Becket's friends, fpoke of this as a contempt defignedly thrown upon her, and an affront to her father : which had fuch an effect on the latter, that he immediately took up arms and attacked the Norman frontier. Henry Benedict. was forced to leave England, and go to repell this Abbas. invalion, or to pacify Louis. He croft the fea a-Hoveden. Gervafe, fub bout Midfummer, and on the fixth of July had a 3nn. 1170. conference with the earl of Blois, whofe mediation he was defirous to employ in this bufinefs. It was no difficult matter for that earl to convince the king of France, when the heat of his paffion was over, that

that no flight was intended either to him or his A. D. 1170. daughter. For Henry, presently after his fon's V. Epift 33. coronation, had fent orders to Normandy, that the ". ". young princess should prepare to come over to England, as foon as ever the royal robes and other neceffaries for the pomp, which the was to appear in, could be provided for her. If the prince had waited for thefe, it would have given fuch notice to Becket, and caufed fuch a delay, as might have afforded fome means to that intriguing prelate, if not to defeat, yet to embarrais and perplex the affair with fuch difficulties, as might be very unpleafant. This Henry much feared, and this alone was the caufe why his daughter-in-law was not honoured with the enfigns of royalty together with her hufband. Otherwife his own interest would have made him defire to give her that fatisfaction, as he would have thereby engaged the king of France, her father, to concur with him in fupporting the validity of the act against Becket's objections: which one of that prelate's friends, a perfon of v. Epift. 33. good understanding, was fo fenfible of, that, in a ut fupra. letter he wrote to him concerning these transactions, he advifed him in no cafe to make any opposition to her coronation. This matter was therefore fo explained by the earl of Blois, that Louis was brought to an interview with Henry, in a meadow fituated near Frettevalle, upon the borders of Touraine, but in the diffrict of Chartres. The refult of this conference was a renewal of the peace between the two kings, and at the clofe of it Henry was induced to conclude a reconciliation with Becket, upon the terms before fettled between him and the pope.

The archbishop had exerted his utmost endeavours to delay the coronation of the young prince. As foon as he had received the letter from Alexander, which fo politively forbid what that pontiff himfelf had lately authorifed and commanded,

Qq3

namely,

A. D. 1170 namely, the crowning of the prince by the other metropolitan, fome means were found by him to transmit it into England, with others written by V. Epift. 44 himfelf to all the English bishops, wherein he de-45. 46. 1. vi. clared, " that he always had defired a peace in " the Lord, and was now ready to pay all due ho-" nour and reverence in Chrift to the king, and to " the yourg prince, his fon, and to anoint and " crown the faid prince (if it were the kirg's " pleasure) according to the duty of his office, " as his predeceffor had anointed and crowned " the king. He likewife notified to them, that, " by the authority of the pope, he forbad any of " them to prefume to invade this privilege of his fee, " or to affift at fuch an invalion, under pain of an " anathema, referring them to the apoftolical let-" ter or mandate, which he had fent over." But V. Epift, 11. l. v. the perfon to whom all thefe letters were delivered did not dare to produce them. Others were fent to the covent of Canterbury, with no better fuccefs; and the bifhop of Worcefter, who then was in Normandy, having been fummoned to attend the great council in England, upon the affair of the young king's coronation, an attempt was made to prevail on him to carry over with him, and fhew to his brethren, a transcript of the pope's mandate, or perhaps the original, if (as feems the more probable) that which Becket had before fent v. Epift. to, into England, was only a copy. The archbifhop wrote a letter, fetti: g before him in all the ftrong 1. iv. Appendix. colours of eloquence, the courage and magnanimity of his illustrious father, the brave earl of Glocefter, and expreiling great confidence that, upon fuch an occasion, he would not shew himself degenerate, by a timid behaviour. The whole ducovers fo much of the art and genius of Becket, that I have transcribed it into the Appendix belorging to this book. The bishop of Worcester, with much piety, was a vain and weak man. This

This flattery worked him up to a degree of en. A. D. 1170. thusiasim, and made him despise all the danger which fuch a committion would expose him to from the rigour of the law. Indeed he rifked lefs than any other perfor, who fhould commit the fame offence; becaufe the memory of his father was dear to the king, and the fimplicity of his character was an excule for his being milled, efpecially where he imagined, that religion was concerned. But, when he came to Dieppe, with an intention of passing into England, he received an injunction from Eleanor, and Henry's Norman jufticiary. Richard de Humet, not to go over, and, more effectually to prevent it, an embargo v. Fintewas laid on all the thips in that harbour. Whe-phen in vite ther this was done on fufpicion only, or in confe-Becket. quence of fome notice of what he had charged himfelf with, is doubtful : but it fnews the great vigilance of the government at that time to guard against the attempts which Becket might make to obstruct the coronation : from whence it may be prefumed that Alexander himf-lf had intimated to Henry, by the mouth of Richard de Barre or of his colleague, that the measures he was obliged to. keep with that prelate might force him to contradict the power he had given. Nothing indeed v. Epift. 42. could be apparently more inconfiftent than his<sup>1</sup>. v. whole conduct in this matter. For, befides the abovementioned letter to all the bifhops of England, by which he forbad any of them, except v. Epift. 43. the archbishop of Canterbury, to crown the young ibid. prince, he fent, not long afterwards, another to Becket, wherein that prelate himfelf, as well as his brethren, was politively commanded, " not to " officiate in or be prefent at any fuch coronation, " unless the king should first have released all his " fubjects from the observation of his customs, and from the oaths which he had lately compelled them to take." This was in effect an absolute prohibition Qq4

A. D. 1170. hibition of Henry's defign of crowning his fon: for Alexander could not but know that these conditions would not be complied with; and in the mandate he had fent, at the defire of the king, to the archbishop of York, there was not a word concerning the royal cuftoms. He moreover added here another condition, viz. " that Henry " fhould take the fame oath to the church, efpe-V. Fpin. 43. cc cially the church of Canterbury, which the kings " his predeceffors had ufually taken." This arole from a fufpicion of an intention to change the coronation oath, in which the pope was milled by fome falfe information : as he was still more in the notion that the church of Canterbury was particularly named in that oath. It does not appear that the archbishop thought fit to make use of this mandate; nor, in truth, could he do fo, V. Epift. 11. without great indifcretion. But he was advised by 1. v. a friend, whofe name is concealed, to try, as his laft refource, to induce the king of France to fend meffengers to Queen Eleanor and Richard de Humet, who should protest, on his part, against the coronation of the young prince. The counfel was judicious, and probably might have fucceeded, if the execution of it had not been a little too late; but, before the meffage was fent, the ceremony was finished.

How fenfible a mortification it was to Becket. that he could by no means prevent the archbithop of York from performing this function, and how paffionately he defired to do it himfelf, appears from a remarkable letter, written to him by his fecret friend abovementioned. "What will you do Y Epift 11. " (fays that perfon, who feems to have been much " in his confidence) what will you do, most wretch-" ed of men, if, by the shortness of the time al-" lowed you to act in with respect to this affair, " you fould be now defrauded of that, which you " have fighed for so long ? if he, who ought to have " reigned by usue but you, should be made king by " another 2"

ut fupra.

Appendix.

" another?" These words plainly discover, that A. D. 1170. one of Becket's views, in defiring to be elected archbishop of Canterbury, was that, by right of his office, he might crown the young prince, which would furnish a pretence to make him believe, it was by him that he reigned. Thus the bishops of Rome, becaufe their ministry was employed in crowning the emperors, prefumed to affert, that they gave the imperial crown, and that without their act an emperor could not be made. Becket hoped that the fuperstition and ignorance of the times would, in the fame manner, afcribe to the archbishops of Canterbury the virtue and power of making kings of England, and that he therefore fhould most highly oblige his pupil, in conferring upon him the royal dignity, by the ceremonies of unction and confectation. But he now loft this hope. The prince was crowned by another, and (what displeased him more) by the rival of his fee, and his perfonal enemy, the archbishop of York. From the influence of that prelate, which he apprehended would be much encreafed by this act. the royal youth might likewife become his enemy. and would be more eafily made fo by his denying the validity of that coronation. These thoughts were very painful to him, and his grief was inflamed to the highest degree of resentment by his fecret correspondent, who warned him, that, in his judgment, all Henry's professions of being difpoled to a reconciliation were only deceit, by which v. Epit. 11. he meant nothing more, than to gain him for him-1.v. felf, and to enfnare him afterwards more fecurely, Nor did he tell him this meerly as a notion of his own, but informed him that Richard de Ivelceftre, one of the king's excommunicated fervants, when he came to fetch over the prince of England from Caen, had faid to bim, (the perfon who wrote this anonymous letter) " that the king would by all " means delay the peace with the archbishop, and, " rather

A. D. 1170 " rather than make it, would difobey, to the end " of his life, not only the pope, but God himfelf." From hence it appears that the perfon, who correfsponded on this occasion with Becket, must have been one fuspected by the king's friends, and to whom they fpoke their opinions with the utmost V.Epia. 11. freedom, he concluded his letter with this advice Bt lupra. to that prelate, " use for the future no forbearance : " but pour out your whole fpirit, unfheath your " whole fword : for the eye of the king will never " more look upon you. But may the eve of God " look with favour upon you, and the fheep of his " pasture; and may be deign to give his church the glory of a victory over princes, rather than an infin-22 " cere peace with princes." The foul of Becket entirely fympathifed with these words. They encouraged him fo much that he inftantly wrote feveral letters to England, by which he put that realm V.Epift. 50. under an interdict, within fifteen days after the re-35, 36, 37, ceipt of them, and in a peremptory manner, without excepting even the cafe of his peace being made. But it does not appear that these letters where ever delivered.

The pope was fomewhat lefs hafty and acted with more decency; yet he fhewed that he would not bear a much longer delay. Henry had tried to V. Epift. 46. obtain from him fome prolongation of the term pre-1. v. feribed in his mandate, and employed the mediation of the chief magistrates of the confederated cities in Lombardy, and of the ambaffadors from the Greek emperour, Emanuel Comnenus, who were then at Beneventem: but their good offices in his favour had no effect. And when Alexander heared that he was gone into England, he wrote immediately to his legates, the archbishop of Rouen and V. Epid. 4 the bifhop of Nevers, " to follow him thither with-1. v. " out delay, and ftrongly admonished him to fulfill " what he had promifed in relation to Becket; " which if he would not effectually do within the " term

term of forty days after that admonition, or by A. D. 1170. " any artifice eluded the feeing of them, they were " ordered to put all his dominions on the continent " under an interdict. And they were further to " tell him, that, if he did not repent his Holinefs " was determined to spare him no more than he had " Spared the emperour Frederick (whom he speaks " of in his letter as being deposed) and would cer-" tainly publifb against him the feutence of excommu-" nication."

Before Henry was informed of these orders having been fent' he had written to affure the arch-V. Epift. 14. bishop of Rouen, "that he would fully and wil-" hingly ratify that form of peace, which, by his " advice and by that of the other lords of his coun-" cil, he had himfelf propofed to Alexander, and " of which his Holinefs had declared his grateful " acceptance." This letter is dated at Weft minfter, and feems to have been fent not long after the time of his arrival in England. The language held in Normandy by Richard de Ivelceftre agreed very ill with this declaration; but that minister rather spoke his own private opinion than the fentiments of his mafter, judging perhaps of those fentiments from the affront done to Becket in the affair of the coronation, and other acts that bore a face of hoftility and defiance, but were only defigned to humble the arrogance of that prelate. and fright him into a temper more condefcending. and fubmiffive, with regard to the king. Yet, as Henry delayed the execution of his promife, the legates would have immediately obeyed their inftructions, if they had not been prevented by a letter from him, in which he declared, that he fhould foon return into Normandy, and would y. Epife. 46. have them wait for him there, without expofing l.v. themfelves to the inconvenience and danger of paffing the fea. To this they agreed; which much offended Becket, who vehemently defired that no further

A. D. 1170. further complaifance fhould be fhewn to the king in this negociation.

He appears not to have known that all the articles of the agreement which Alexander prefcribed had been previoufly fettled by the archbishop of Rouen and Heary himfelf, before they were fent from Beneventum. For in a letter which he wrote V. Epift. 12. to one of the legates, upon their defign of going over to England, he defired them to conceal their inftructions from Henry, that he might not know how much they had power to yield, and pretend to break off the treaty, if that prince would not pay the thousand marks he had promised by Vivian. He also preffed them to infift, that fome of Henry's. chief nobles, or one of them at leaft, and all the bilhops of England, fhould make themfelves pledges, or guarantees upon oath, for the execution of the treaty. But if the king could not be perfuaded to give these securities, he infifted on their demanding, that the form of the peace should be fet down in letters patent under the great feal; and that one transcript thereof should be delivered to him, another to the pope, and a third to the legates. He further defired them to require, that the poffeffions of the church, which had been taken away, should be put into their hands, to be by them delivered to his officers. Without the performance of thefe two laft articles, he told them, they were not to confider the peace as certain; and therefore ought not to abfolve the excommunicated. Other conditions were added by him, which fhew how exceedingly cautious and punctilious he was, in his manner treating, and how little fatisfied with the plan of agreement fent to Henry by the pope. In the conclusion he thus directs them how to negociate with the king. "As " it is not eafy to difcover the manifold artifices " of that monfter; whatever he fays, whatever " fhape he puts on, fufpect it all as full of deceit, unless

L v.

" unlefs it be manifeftly proved by his deeds: for A. D. 1170. " if he fhould perceive that he can either corrupt " you with promifes, or fright you with threats, " or obtain any thing against your honour and the " fafety of the cafe, all your authority with him " will inftantly vanish, and you will become the " contempt and the jeft of him and his court. " But if he fees that he cannot bend you from your " purpose, he will at first counterfeit fury, he will " fwear, forefwear, take as many fhapes as Proteus " did, and at last come to himself again; and, if " it is not your own fault, you will be from that " time a God to Pharaoh." With fuch an infolent difrespect did this prelate talk of his fovereign! But all these admonitions proved ineffectual. The legates, well knowing that the king had feen their instructons, adhered to them strictly; and Becket had nothing left to ground a cavil upon, except the punctilio of the kifs, which Henry refused. He faid, "it was a form established, among all v. Epift. 14 " nations, and in all religions, without which !. .. " peace was no where confirmed. That if, in-" ftead of receiving it from the king, he received " it from his fon, fo it might be faid in the world " that he was only reftored to the prince's favour, " not the king's; which if the vulgar should " hear it would give them an occasion to reflect on " the peace." These arguments were fo triffing, that he himfelf must have felt the weakness of them For, if Henry intended to act infincerely in this reconciliation, how could he think, that his having been compelled, in fo offenfive a manner, to give the kifs of peace, would alter those intentions? how would it avail more to *bim* than it had done to the nobles of Poitou, mentioned in the fame letter, with whom he fays that the king had broken v. Epitt 12. his engagements, though taken under that pledge? I.v. In truth, he did not infift upon this ceremony for. the fake of fecurity. It was a humiliation to which he

A. D. 1170 he malignantly defired to bring the king, who could not recede from a vow he had publickly made, without a publick difhonour. The triumph of conftraining him to buy his peace, by fuch a ftain on his character, flattered the pride of Becket, and foothed his refentments. But it irreconcilably offended Henry, who could not forgive the cruel arrogance of fuch a proceeding. The V. Epift. 1. pope indeed of his own accord, and without his l. v. having afked it, had abfolved him from his vow : Eadmer but he remembered what answer his wife and Hift. Nov I. v. royal grandfather, Henry the First, had, by p. 126. the advice of his council, returned to Calixtus the Second, who offered to abfolve him from an oath he had taken, on a fimilar occasion. "The pope fays, that, by his apostolick authority, be will abiolive me from the vow I have folemnly made, if, against that vow, I will receive archbishop Thurstan in York. But it does not seem agreeable to the bonour of a king that I should confent to fuch absolution. For who will afterwards trust any promise made upon oath, if, by the example of what has been done in my case, it shall bave been thewn that the obligation of an oath may be fo eafily cancelled ? There was great dignity and truth in these words. Henry the Second must have felt, no lefs than his grandfather, that the pope's absolution in this case could not heal his honour : and therefore he shewed such reluctance to yield the point to Becket. Nevertheless, as that prelate continued ocifinately to refuse the expedient propofed, he was compelled to fubmit to this grievous indignity, or ftand all the confequences of not making the peace by the limited time. If he had poffeft no dominions out of this island, he would not have had much to apprehend from those confequences; for the English nation was certainly in no dispositions to join with Becket against the crown, much lefs to revolt from their allegiance to the king; and even supposing they might have fcrupled

fcrupled to pay him obedience, they would with-A. D. 1170. out difficulty have obeyed the young king, his fon, in whofe name the whole government might have been eafily carried on, till that ftorm was paft. But the danger was on the fide of his foreign dominions. Many of these, he was fensible, were disposed to rebel; being full of nobles whom he had punished for their former revolts, or who were confcious to themfelves that they merited punish-The king of France might be brought, by ment. the inftigations of Becket, to take on himfelf the execution of the anathema pronounced by the pope. or, in the language of that age, to join his fword to that of St. Peter. His bigotry and his policy would equally incline him to act this part. Nor could Henry much doubt that the renewal of the war between Louis and him, upon a pretence of religion, would produce a new infurrection in Poitou and Bretagne, and poffibly fhake the fidelity of all his other French fubjects. By two letters of Becket, V Epit. 61. written not long before, it appears that the confidence of that prelate was founded on the hopes of fuch a defection, in cafe that an interdict should be laid on the territories of Henry in France. If the pope (fays he to his friend the archbishop of Sens) would but do that, there is nothing he could require, which, without difficulty or delay, he might not obtain. For the nobles favour the church. In the other letter he fays, that, whatever the king might pretend or threaten, be would not dare to deny any thing, that the pope (bould ask of bim, if his Holine's would resolve to Aretch out his hand against his dominions on that fide of the water. Nay, the menace of it would be fufficient to obtain all demands, without putting the sentence in execution. And, in a former letter of confidence to fome of his friends in the court of Rome, hev. Epia. wrote thus: Know, that the archbishop of Rouen and 139 1. i. fome others have told the king to his face, that none of them would bold communion with him, against the mandate

Book III

A. D. 1170. date of the prope, but on the contrary, if. any fentence was past against kinn or his territories, they would Arielly observe it. The truth of this may, perhaps, be doubted; but it is certain that Henry had reafon to expect a great disturbance in his foreign domipions; and his apprelies from of it obliged him to act with lefs digrity, than, as king of England, he might, or would have acted. On the other hand. had hopes of great advantages from the accidents time might produce. The death of Alexander was a contingency, which, from the age of E. Epift. that pontiff, he might reafonably prefume would 166. 1. i. not be diftant ; and he thought himfelf fure, that the removal of Becket from Canterbury, if not a confirmation of all the dignities and cuftoms of his realm, would be eafily granted to purchase his acknowledgment of any other pope. He therefore had tried, by every art of delay, to avoid the neceffity of a fpeedy agreement; and, as he now faw, that he could use those evalions no longer, but must immediately make peace with Becket or war with the pope, he chofe the first, as the least evil. Such a conduct indeed was very conformable to the whole course of his policy, which always inclined him to temporize, and wait for the proper feafons to act with advantage. But one may venture to affirm, that, if the archbishop had been in his fituation, and he in the archbishop's, this affair would have concluded in a different manner. The intrepid fpirit of Becket would have braved the thunders of the Vatican; he would have hazarded, he would even have loft all his territories in France, rather than have fubmitted to grant a peace to his rebel fubject, without having reduced him to an humble ftate of duty and obedience. But Henry purfued his own maxims, and fince Becket would not be fatisfied without receiving from his mouth the kifs of peace, he promifed V. Epift. 46. to give it : but the legates having proposed, that 1. 1. their

the first meeting should be in the district of ChanA. D. 1170. tres, where he had appointed the conference with the French king, he defired to defer that part of the ceremony till he should return into his own territories. The reafon of this procraftination we learn from fome words he faid to the legates, when Fitstephen, they preft him to fatisfy the archbifhop in this, as well as other demands. His anfwer was, " In " my own territories I will kifs him, nay, bis very " hands and feet a thousand times : let him only de-" fer it now, that it may appear to be done out of my "grace and good will, and not by constraint." To which Becket with great difficulty, was brought to confent, and came to the conference, being perfuaded, or rather compelled to it, by his great friend and protector, the archbishop of Sens, who was then legate in France. Two days were em-ut fupra. ployed in fettling the differences between the two kings, of which an account has been given ; but on the third day, which was the feaft of St. Mary Magdalene, the archbishop of Canterbury was admitted, in the beforementioned meadow, near Frettevalle, to the prefence of Henry, who was attended by the earl of Blois, and many other princes, nobles, and bishops of France, as well as of his own territories, and by a great croud of spectators. But Louis was absent; that it might not be fuppofed he influenced Henry in this transaction : the latter being apprehenfive, and not without reafon, that his honour and the dignity of his crown might be hurt if fuch an opinion fhould prevail. I fhall V. Epift. 45. give the particulars of what was done there from V. Appendix an account fent to the pope by Becket himfelf.

"Upon the fight of your laft letters (fays that
prelate to Alexander) in which you threatened
"his realm with an interdict, and his perfon with
excommunication, the king of England immedi"ately made peace with me, to the hononr of
"God, and, as I hope, to the very great ad-Vol. II. R r vantage A. D. 1170." vantage of the church. For as be has not fo much "as prefumed to mention the royal customs, which he "was used to affert so pertinaciously. He exacted no "oath from me, nor from any of my friends. "The possession, which, on account of this disting fension between us, he had taken away from "the church of Canterbury, he granted to me, "as they were set down in the writing drawn by myself: peace and a safe return he promised "to all, and the kiss to me, if I would absoultely infist upon his being compelled to it: fo that not only be appeared, in every point, to be "conquered but was even faid to be perjured, by hose "who had beard bim swear, that he would not give

" me that kifs, upon our reconciliation ."

After this arrogant, malignant, unchriftian triumph over his fovereign, which plainly fhews what he meant in contending fo obftinately for the trifling article of the kifs, the archbifhop thus proceeds to relate to his holinefs the particulars of their meeting. " I found the king fo much " changed, that, to the wonder of all prefent, his " mind feemed not averfe to peaceful counfels. For " when he faw me at a diftance coming towards " him, haftily fpringing out of the croud that fur-" rounded him, he came to meet me, and uncover-" ing his head prevented me, by eagerly breaking " out into words of falutation before me : then after " a fhort conversation, at which only I and the " archbishop of Sens were present, he drew me 66 afide to the aftonishment of all the affembly, " and difcourfed with me a long time, in fo fa-" miliar a manner, that one would have thought " there had never been any difcord between us"

But, notwithftanding this affected gracioufnefs, with which Henry received him, he tells the pope, "he did not fpare to reprove that mon-"arch for his conduct, to fhew him his danger, 'and to beg and admonifh him, that, by making "the church a publick fatisfaction for the great "injurie

" injuries he had done her, he would clear his A. D. 1170 " confcience, and redeem his reputation, in both " which he had greatly fuffered, though more " from bad counfellors than his own inclinations." And finding that the king heard these offensive admonitions, not only with patience, but with benignity, and promifed amendment, he added a long difcourfe upon the particular wrong done to the fee of Canterbury, in the coronation of the young king by the archbishop of York; which, as it only contains, what has already been mentioned. I shall not repeat, but refer those, who may incline to fee it in Becket's own words to the letter itfelf, which they will find in the Appendix belonging to this book. He enforces his arguments with expoftulations, " why Henry would thus " defpoil his mother, the church of Canterbury, " without cognizance of the caufe, of her ancient " right, which the was known to have poffeft un-" fhaken for above four-fcore years ?" afking him, " whether he had a mind to perpetuate enmity " between the church and his children? why if " he was in hafte to have his fon confecrated, he did " not, at least, take care to exclude from the fo-" lemnity those, whom he knew to have been, " by name, excommunicated, both by him, and " the pope? In answer to these, and other queftions of the fame nature, Henry pleaded the mandate he had obtained of the pope, upon the death of the late archbishop of Canterbury, and produced it to him there, as if it had been the fole authority upon which he had acted, making no mention of that, which had fo lately been fent to the archbishop of York. Becket put him in mind "that the former had been obtailed by him, only for the fake of preventing the archbishop of York from crowning his fon; and that he often had publickly declared, in those days, that he had rather his fon should lose his head, than Rr2 that

A. 1170 that this prelate fould lay his beretical bands upon him." Why the archbishop of York was branded with herefy does not appear in this letter, nor

y where elfe: but Henry might have received fome prejudices againft him from ill offices done him by Becket, who then poffeffed the favour of that monarch; which prejudices, I imagine, were now removed.

Becket added, " that, even fuppofing the privi-" ledge the king had obtained did reach thefe times " yet ftill it was undeniable that it might be an-" nulled by a fubfequent mandate :" wherefore *his* being of a date pofterior to that alledged by Henry, and contrary to it, no regard fhould have been paid to the authority of the former.

The mandate here mentioned by this prelate to the king, could not be the laft which he had fent into England; (for that had not been delivered) but muft have been the more general one, obtained by him from Alexander fome time before. This he fuppofed was fufficient to abrogate Henry's, not knowing that one of a later date had been fent to the archbifhop of York, which as the king did not mention, we may conclude from his filence, that he was reftrained from fpeaking of it to Becket, by the particular defire and injunction of the pope.

In their difcourfe on this fubject, Becket ventured to throw out a plain intimation, that the V. Epiû.45. coronation was invalid; affirming, "that the king's "confectation, like other factaments, drew all it's va-"lidity, from the right of the perfon admini-"ftring to do that office. Nor think continued "he, I fay this, becaufe I defire that your fon "fhould be degraded, or any way leffened, (for "I ardently wifth him fuccefs, and encreafe of "glory; and will labour to advance it by all "godly means) but to the end that you may re-"move from yourfelf and from him the wrath of " of God, and of those faints who rest in the A. D. 117. " church of Canterbury, and have been grievoully " injured by this proceeding; which I do not be-" lieve can be done by any other means, than " making a full fatisfaction : fince it is a thing " unheard of for many ages, that any one has in-" jured the church of Canterbury, without being cor-" rected, or crushed, by our Lord Jesus Christ." The king answered, with an air of great satisfaction, " if you love my fon, you do what you " are bound to by a double tie ; first because I " gave him to you as a fon, and, you may remember, you received him from my own hand: " " next, becaufe he loves you with fo much fond-" nefs, that he cannot bear even to look upon " any of your enemies. For he would have re-" ftrained them already from doing you any harm, " if he had not been checked by the reverence " and fear of my name. But I know that he " will revenge you of them, even more than he " ought, as foon as time and opportunity fhall " give him power fo to do. Nor have I any 66 doubt that the church of Canterbury is the " most noble of all the western churches; nor " do I defire to deprive it of it's right; but will " rather take care, according to your advice, that " it shall have redrefs in this article, and recover " it's priftine dignity in every point. But to those " who have hitherto betrayed both you and me I " will by the bleffing of God, make fuch an an-" fiver, as the deferts of traitors require." At which words. Becket immediately defcended from his horfe (for both Henry and he were on horfe-" back) and threw himfelf at the king's feet; who ordered him to remount, bolding himself the ftirrup for him, and faid with tears in his eyes, " my lord archbishop, what occasion is there for " many words? let us now mutually reftore to " each other our former affection, and do one " another Rr 2

A. D. 1170. 66 another all the good we can, entirely forget-" ting the late difcord between us. But I defire " that you would honour me in the prefence of " those who are looking upon us at a distance." He then returned to the affembly, where cafting his eyes upon fome enemies of Becket, he faid aloud, " if, when I find the archbishop full of all " good difpolitions to me, I were not reciprocally " good to him, I should be the worst of men, " and prove the evil that is fpoken of me to be " true. Nor can I think any counfel more ho-"nourable or useful to me, than that I should " endeavour to go before him in kindnefs, and " excel him in charity, as well as in benefits." Which fpeech was received by almost all who were prefent, with the highest gratulation. And had the king gone no further, than to declare a forgiveness and oblivion of all past offences, or even, to footh the pride of Becket, by words and actions of grace and condescension, without any grofs flattery, or indecent humiliations, he would have acted a prudent, and perhaps, in that fituation a laudable part. For, as he thought it neceffary to be reconciled to him, it was better to endeavour to gain him by kindnefs, and quiet that fpirit he could not bend, than to exafperate him more by publick marks of averfion. But in fome parts of his difcourfe and behaviour he exceeded all the bounds of good fenfe or true policy; efpecially in calling those who had faithfully ferved him against the rebellious archbishop. by the odious names of traitors, and promifing to treat them as *luch*; if this part of Becket's narrative deferves any credit. The thing is very improbable; and as he fays this conversation was abart from the company, it refts only upon the evidence of his own word. I incline to fuppofe that fomething may have really been faid by Henry, which approached to the purport of what he

· he thus relates; becaufe no reafon appears why A. D. 1170. he should defire to impose upon the pope, as to the substance of what passed on this occasion; but in repeating the words he might tincture the expreffion with his own paffions, and give a force and acrimony to it, beyond the truth. Yet, even upon this fuppolition, the king was much to blame. He ought not to have uttered a fyllable which could give the archbishop even the flightest pretence to fuch a report. It dishonoured his character . it was falfe; it was mean; it answered no good purpofe. But men of ftrong paffions and high mids. who are forced to diffemble, are very apt to overact the part they affume; and it feems Henry did fo, most extravagantly, in this conversation.

Soon after he and Becket were returned to the affembly, he fent his bifhops, to acquaint him, that he would have him make his petition before v. Epift. 45. them all. Some of them advifed him to throw ut fupra. bimself and the cause of the church wholly upon the king's pleasure. But this he rejected as the iniquitous counsel of Scribes and Pharisees; and having withdrawn for fome time, in order to confult thereupon the archbishop of Sens and the companions of his exile, he was confirmed in his intention, by no means to fubmit to the king's judgement the question about the royal customs, or what had been wrongfully taken from the see of Canterbury or the complaint of the usurpation upon the rights of that see in the young king's coronation, or the damage the church had fuffered in her liberty, and he in his bonour.

Purfuant to this refolution he went back to the affembly, and not by himfelf, as he ought, in decency, to have done, but by the mouth of the archbishop of Sens, petitioned the king to reftore to him his royal favour, peace and fecurity to him V. Epift. 45. and his, with the church of Canterbury, and the ut. supra. Rr4 poffeffions

A.D. 1170' poffessions belonging to it, as fet down in the writing the king had feen. He further requested, that the king would be mercifully pleafed to amend what had been prefumptuoufly done againft him and his church in the young king's coronation, promifing him love, and honour, and whatever service could be performed in the Lord, by an archbishop, to his sovereign.

This petition was very different from that form of words, which had been fettled between the king and the pope, and in which Becket had no authority to make any change. But, being eucourageed by the great kindnefs with which the king had received him, he ventured to obtrude on that prince another form, varying but little in the expreffions, from that which he had himfelf propofed the year before at Montmartre, and which Henry had then rejected. This would have authorized the king to break with him, had he been in a fituation to take fuch a ftep: but after the extraordinary marks of favour, fo publickly given to Becket, he rightly judged, that he had gone too far to go back, and therefore, without objecting to the words of it, granted the petition. He likewife received v.Epift. 46 into grace all the archbishop's friends and companions in exile, who had been brought thither for that purpose.

It was natural to think that these excessive condefcenfions would have had fome effect; but they were not fufficient to fatisfy the archbifhor, or foften his mind. In writing to Alexander, on this fubject he told him, " that, because his Holinefs " had not enjoined a full restitution, of what had V.Epift. 45. " been taken away from him or his friends, that " demand was indeed delayed, but not given up : " for he was refolved to infift on it : and if his Ho-" linefs had enjoined it with the fame vigour as " the reft, the king would, unqueftionably, have " made fatisfaction, and have given an example to

616

I. v.

1. v.

" to posterity of perpetual advantage to the<sup>A. D. 1170</sup>" " whole church of God, and chiefly to the apofto-" lical fee." By full restitution he meant a conpensation for loss, as well as the reftoring of benefices and lands: for the latter had been injoined in the form prefcribed by the pope, and ftrongly infifted upon in the inftructions fent to the legates ; nor did Henry cavil about it. On the V. Epift. 34. contrary it appears, that, without having received l. v. any further injunctions on that point, he fent over letters patent to the young King his fon, notifying to him the peace he had made with the archbilhop of Canterbury, and commanding that this prelate and all they who had been banished on his account 1. v. bould have their possessions restored to them, as they had enjoyed them three months before he went out of England. But Becket wanted to obtain a full reparation for all the profits confumed, and damages done, during the time of their banishment : though, as the Pope had prohibited the clogging of the treaty with this condition, he durft not infert it in his petition to the king. Indeed fuch a demand was very inconfistent with the defire fhewn by that pontiff of reftoring union and quiet to the church and kingdom, by at leaft a temporary oblivion of offences on both fides; nor was there any probability that it would have been granted, without a violent contest, which the policy of Rome in that conjuncture was unwilling to rifk.

After the ceremonial of their meeting was over, Henry kept the Archbishop in familiar discourse v. Epist. 45 till late in the evening, and at parting they agreed, <sup>1</sup> v. that, when the king left that place, he also should go from thence, to take leave of Louis; and then return into Normandy, to make fome abode in the court and near the king's perfon; that it might be apparent to all, into what familiarity and favour that prince had received him. When he

Book III.

A. D. 1170 was going away, the bishop of Lisieux, in the prefence of the whole court and of Henry himfelf, earneftly exhorted him, that, as the king had now received his friends into favour, he should in like manner receive all the fervants of the king, who were present there. But he found a diffinction to elude this propofal, faying, "that those the bishop " interceded for were in various circumftances. " more or lefs guilty, fome excommunicated, o-" there not, fome for one caufe, fome for ano-" ther, feveral by him and their own paftors, o-" there by the pope himfelf, who, without an au-" thority given by his Holinefs, could not be ab-" folved. Therefore he could not indifcriminately " confound them together; but having fentiments " of peace and charity for them all, as much as in " him lay, he would, by the divine affistance, so " manage the matter, to the honour of the church " of God, the king's, and his own, as also to the " falvation of those for whom this reconciliation was " asked: that if any one of them (which he prayed " might not happen) should fail of reconciliation and " peace, he must impute it to himself, not to him, "He threw in likewife, that he defired to hear " the king's advice to him upon this point before he pro-" ceeded." To which evalive answer (which is indeed a mafterpiece in its kind) the archdeacon of Canterbury, who was one of the excommunicated perfons, making an angry and contemptuous reply, the king, for fear of a quarrel, drew off the archbifbop, and with great civility fent him home.

This is the fubftance of what Becket wrote to the pope on the peace he had made with the king, which (to use an expression of his in anov Epist. 48. ther letter on the fame subject) be hoped would h.v. uurn out to the advantage of the church, and the enlarging of the authority of the apostolical fee in England. But Henry did not intend that his triumph

6r8

triumph over the government fhould be fo great A. D. 1170. as he thought. Though the royal cuftoms were not confirmed by this treaty, they were not given np. The king had been very cautious to admit of no words which the pope himfelf could interpet into a promife, or engagement, that he would annul them; nor can I difcover the leaft evidence. that he was not as much determined to maintain them as before his agreement with Becket. That agreement was therefore no decifion of the diffute concerning those cuftoms . but Becket hoped that the terror of excommunication, which had forced the king to allow him to return to his fee, without any affurance that he would obey the conftitutions of Clarendon, would also protect him from any confequences of that monarch's difpleafure on account of his difobedience; especially being now the Pope's legate in England, which he thought would fecure his perfon in all events. Nor did he mean to leave the controverfy he had begun with the crown on the foot it flood at this time. In a letter V Epift. 49. he wrote to the bifhop of Oftia on this occafion  $\int_{L_{v}}^{VE}$ he tells that prelate, who had ferved him in all his affairs at Rome, " that the peace which, through his means, he had obtained from the father of mercies, was fuch as the world could not have given, or hoped for; but yet the whole substance of it confifted only in hope. Neverthelefs he trufted in God that fomething real would follow, and that be who made it would compleat his work."

It is worthy of notice, that, among other friends. It is worthy of notice, that, among other friends. In Alexander's court, Becket wrote to William of V. Epift. 50 Pavia, who, a little before he left France, had, V. Epift. 57 by the mediation of Louis, whofe favour he had 1. iv. recovered, been reconciled alfo to that prelate, and in making this peace had done him fome fervices, 'with which it feems he was well pleafed. For he was now as immoderate in his acknowledgements

Book III

A. D. 1170-ledgments as he had formerly been in his com-

ut supra.

V Epift. 50. " the Church of Canterbury, which his care and " diligent toil, with that of a few other friends. " had brought at length into port, should make " him and them, on account of that obligation. " the most grateful returns of fervice and devo-" tion. For her (fays he) you past the feas, pene-" trated and surmounted the Alps, fought with beasts " in this country; and in the court of Rome itfelf. " where we were most strongly and scarply attacked, " you have often and long endured the burthen and heat " of the day; and at last (because your labour was. " in the Lord) you have wifely and usefully tri-" umphed."

One fhould hardly imagine that this letter could be written to the fame man, at whofe behaviour in his legation Becket had often expressed the utmoft difguft! Nor yet had he really altered his opinion about that behaviour. For, in a letter he wrote not long before, and where he fpoke the undiffembled fense of his heart, we find him affirm-" ing, " that, of all whom the fee of Rome had fent " to the king of England for the caufe of the church,

" Gratian alone had done her no injury.

Henry was now returned into Normandy, where Renedictus Abbas Ho-Aubas Ho-veden, fub he was feized with an illnefs fo violent, that thinking himfelf in danger he made his will, by which ann, 170. he left to prince Henry, his eldeft fon, the dutchy of Normandy and the earldom of Touraine, befides Anjou and Maine, which had been ceded to him by the late treaty of Montmirail, that he might have his paternal inheritance entire and compleat. The kingdom of England had, in effect, been fettled upon him before, by his being crowned king; but the defignation was also confirmed by this teftament; and fo was the ceffion made of the dutchy of Aquitaine and all it's appurtenances, by the abovementioned treaty, to Richard, his

V Epift. 21 1. v.

his brother. It cannot be properly faid that Henry A. D. 1179. gave or bequeathed, Bretagne to Prince Geoffry : for to bim, it belonged, by his marriage with the heirefs of it, and not to his father, who had no pretenfions to any part of it, except the earldom of Nantez, which, when he contracted his fon to Conan's daughter, he immediately reannexed to the ducal demefne. Neverthelefs, from the words of fome contemporary hiftorians, it feems that by his will he recognifed and confirmed the fettlement made by that contract. To John his fourth fon, Benedictus who was at this time a young child, he did not give Abbas, p. 6. any territory or portion, in money, but recommend-1170. ed his fortune to the affection and care of his eldeft brother. When he had thus fettled his affairs, he defired to be buried in the monastery of Grammont, for which he had a particular and fuperstitious veneration, at the feet of one of their abbots, who was there interred. His bifhops and nobles very properly objected against it, as debafing the royal dighty; but he infifted upon it, and produced to them a written promife, which he had obtained of the monks for the performance of his will in this refpect. I mention this circumftance, becaufe it is what one fhould hardly have fuppofed in the fpirited antagonist of Becket and Rome. But it was very difficult, in those times. to feparate a fincere belief of religion from the fuperfitions mixed with it; and fome other weakneffes of a like nature fnew, that Henry's underftanding, however acute in other points, could not always diftinguish the genuine truths of the Chriftian faith from that impure mixture. His illnefs did not prove mortal; and the fame falfe religious notions made him afcribe his recovery to the protection of St. Mary of Roque-Madour in the Quercy, whom he had invoked in his danger, and addreffed to her a vow, that, if his health was reftored, he would go in pilgrimage to her fhrine ; which.

A. D, 70 which, as foon as he found himfelf able to bear the journey, he pioufly performed. Yet this devotion did not incline him to more complaifance in his difpute with the church and the archbishop of Can-

terbury. Though he defired, after his decease, to lye at the feet of a dead monk, he would not fubmit, in his life-time, to the infolence of an arrogant prelate.

The execution of the peace he had granted to Becket had now been delayed above two months. His illnefs was the pretence, but the true reafon was his anger at Becket's behaviour, and the inftigations of those who thought their credit and intereft facrificed in the peace, as well as the honour and dignity of the crown. Hence he naturally fought for any excufes, to avoid performing a treaty, which he had made with reluctance, and reflected upon with shame. When Becket's messengers came into England with the letters written in his favour from the king to his fon, they were a voided by most men, as perfons with whom it was dangerous to hold conversation. Nay, his best friends in that kingdom were fo ftrongly perfuaded of Henry's irreconcileable enmity to him, that they could hardly be convinced of his peace being made, even by the fight of the letters patent. Many of them fent their advice to him, not to come thither, upon any account, till he had found means to ingratiate himfelf more with the king. and had obtained from him a fincere reconciliation. Whereupon he wrote to that monarch a fubmiffive

V. Epilt 54 and decent letter, complaining of fome delays, which he fuppoied were affected, in making the reftitution agreed to be made, and, more particularly, of the infolence of Ranulph de Broc, who had publickly faid, that Becket should not eat a whole leaf in England before he took away bis life. The archbishop had also notice, from some of his correspondents, that the fame man had, fince the conclufion

clusion of the peace, committed great waste on A. D. 1170. the lands of the fee of Canterbury, which were in his cuftody, and even at this time, in direct contempt of the orders fent by the king, laid up the produce of them in his own caftle. To put a ftop to these proceedings, Becket defired of Henry, that he would permit him to go immediately over to England. "By your grace and permiffion (faid " that prelate to the King); I will now return to " my church, perhaps to perifb for her, unlefs your " piety deigns to afford us a further and fpeedy " confolation. But, whether I live or die, yours Iv. Epift. 52 " am, and will be, in the Lord; and whatever be-1. v. " comes of me and mine, may God bless you and your " children." Ose would think that he really apprehended fome danger: for he expressed the fame fears in a private letter to the Pope. His words are very remarkable: "I believe I shall go into Eng-" gland, whether to peace or to punifement I am doubt-" ful; but the divine providence has ordained " what shall be my lot. I therefore commend my " foul to you, O boly father, returning thanks to " you and the apoftolick fee, for all the comforts " you have administered to me and mine in our " diftres. "

He had indeed great reafon at this time to thank v. Epift 65 the pope. For letters had been fent to him from 66, 67.1. v. his Holinefs, by which that pontiff fulfpended the archbifhop of York, the bifhop of Durham, and all the fuffragan bifhops under the fee of Canterbury, who had been prefent at the coronation of the young king. He alfo charged them with having fuffered that prince to omit the ufual oath of the Englifh kings for the protection of the church, and with having themfelves, on that occafion, taken one to maintain the conflictutions of Clarendon : for the exacting of which he very angrily complained of the king. And the bifhops of London and Salifbury having made (as he expreffed it) an ungrateful

Book III.

A. D. 1170. ungrateful return for the favour he had fhewn them, in taking off their excommunication, he put them again under that fentence, and gave Becket power to proceed as he pleased against the bishop of Rochester, because that prelate, as vicar to the archbishop of Canterbury, ought to have been particularly careful of supporting his rights. These letters were dated in September of this year, eleven hundred and feventy; and were probably drawn from Alexander, by complaints fent to him from France of the injury done to Becket in the affair of v. Epift. 25. the coronation, particularly from the archbishop of Sens, who, with great freedom of longuage, reproached his Holineis on that fubied But, as for the charge brought against the Eighth prelates v. Epift. 77. abovementioned, of having allowed the young prince, at his coronation, to omit the usual oath, l. v. and having then taken one to support the royal cuftoms, it was abfolutely groundlefs. Probably Becket deceived by fome falle report had led the pope into this error. and though, when thefe letters came to him, he was better informed, he had not candour enough to own his miftake; but faid, V. Epift. 52 in his answer, "they were undoubtedly distated by J. v. " the Holy Ghoft, and corrected the king's enormities " with an authority becoming the fucceffor of Peter " and the vicar of Christ." Nevertheless he thought it adviseable not to make use of them, for Fear of offending the king, and disturbing the peace concluded with that prince. Wherefore he humbly entreated the pope to fend him others, "in which there should " be no mention made of the faults of the king, or " of the oath to observe the royal customs, or of the " omiffion of that for securing the church, at the " young king's coronation, but the fame fentence of " fuspention inflicted on the archbiskop of York, and "the other bishops there present, fingly on account of " the injury done to the rights of the fee of Canterbury." And, with relation to the bifhops of London

## Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

don and Salifbury, he made it his requeft, that be A. D. 1170. might be permitted to have mercy upon them, if they could not be punished, according to the mandate sent by his Holines, without occasioning a schifm in the church. On which account he defired a discretionary power to excommunicate them or not, as the times and the exigence of his caufe fhould require; and likewife to fuspend or spare the other prelates. except the archbishop of York, who being (as he faid) the incendiary and the head of all these wicked perfons, he prayed his Holinefs to referve him to his own judgement. In truth, as that prelate was actually legate for Scotland, he could not be fubjected to the legantine power committed to Becket. But the latter most artfully took this opportunity to advance the dignity of his fee, by defiring the pope to determine the difpute between Canterburyv. Epift. 52. and York concerning the primacy, which had been l. v. left undecided by the fee of Rome, in favour of Canterbury, without appeal; not (as he told his Holinefs) for his own glory, but for the peace of the church and prevention of schism. He likewise asked the fame power that his Holinefs had conferred on the archbilhop of Rouen and the bilhop of Nevers, or even a greater : (by which he meant a permition to excommunicate Henry, as well as to lay his dominions under an interdict) because (fays he) the more potent, and the more fierce that prince is, the stronger chain and the harder flick will be necessary, to bind and keep bim in order.

Before any anfwer to this letter arrived from theQuadrilogus pope, he went to wait on the king, who received him with a great deal of formal civility, but not with that air of cordiality, and reviving affection, which he had fhewn him in their meeting at Montmirail. Nor did he give him the kifs of peace, as, according to his late promife, he ought to have done, being now in his own dominions. Neverthelefs he was accompanied by him in a journey to Vol. II. S f

626

Book III.

A. D. 1170. the borders of Touraine, where he had appointed to meet the earl of Blois, for the adjusting of some difputes between them; and, as they rode together, the archbishop sharply expostulated with him upon the breach of his word ; which he returned by reproaching that prelate with ingratitude. The conversation was ftopt by the interview with the earl, and Becket took on himfelf to act the part of a mediator, in which he fucceeded; both parties being inclined to an accommodation. When that bufinefs was over, he renewed his complaints of the king's infincerity; and the earl interpoling in his favour, Henry repeated his promife of full reftitution, but faid, " that, before he performed " it, he would have him return into England, that " he might see how he would behave himself in the af-" fairs of the kingdom." This was a new condition annexed to the promife, and a very difagreeable one to Becket; yet he made no reply, nor did he return back with Henry: but not long afterwards he paid him another visit at Caumont, a town near Blois; where, as he did not importune him with any demands, but feemed to have come only to make his court, he was received with more kindnefs, and in a familiar difcourfe Henry faid to him eagerly, " Oh ! my lord, why will you not do what I defire ? I then should put every thing into your bands." This Becket repeated to one of his correspondents, and told him, it brought to his remembrance the words of the Devil to our Saviour. " All this will I give thee, if thou wilt fall down and worship me." He thought it more proper that the king (bould fall down and wor (hip him; to which as that monarch would not yield, it was impossible any lafting peace fhould be made, unlefs by the ruin of the one or the other. About the end of October the archbishop returned to Sens, intending to fee Henry once more at Rouen, upon a day appointed between them, and then go into Eng-In land.

## Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

In the mean while the pope, hearing from A. D. 1170. France that the agreement, concluded in July, was not yet executed on the fide of the king, wrote to his legates, the archbishops of Rouen and Sens, to go within twenty days after the receipt of this letter dated the ninth of October, v. Epift. 31. and admonish him in effect to accomplish the peace, 1. v. be had made only in words : which if, in thirty days from the time of his receiving this admonition, he did not perform, they were ordered to put all his dominions on the continent under an interdict. They were also instructed to fuggest to him, that be should soon afterward make restitutions and reparation in full for all damages, and entirely abolish bis evil and execrable constitutions. But it does not appear that thefe laft articles were injoined under the fame penalty, or were more than a bare exhortation. His Holinefs alfo wrote a general mandate to all the bifhops in Henry's v. Epift. 22. dominions on the continent, to observe the fen-1. v. tence which he had commanded the legates to pronounce, and take care of it's execution. But before the term was expired when this admonition was to be made to the king (perhaps upon notice having been given him of it) the treaty was executed in it's principal parts. The delay had been really no lefs hurtful to Henry than vexatious to Becket; for the former being forced to yield at last, the reluctance he had shewn made the difhonour brought upon him more apparent to the world.

In the mean time the letters, which Becket had asked of the pope, were granted by his V. Epist. 63. Holiness, without the mistakes that had been 69. 1. v. made in the former, and in fome particulars fuch as he had defired. For, with regard to the bifhops of London and Salifbury, two different mandates were fent, which he was to use at difcretion; one, by which they were excommunicated, and another, by which they were only fuspended, Sf 2 on

A. D. 1170. on account of their having affifted at the young king's coronation against the pope's prohibition, and in prejudice to the archbishop of Canterbury's claim. Yet in these letters that claim is so modestly set forth, that the antiquity of it is carried no higher than the coronations of Stephen and Henry the Second, " which (to use the words of the " pope) had given to that church a kind of possel-" hon of the dignity now in question." Whoever confiders the temporal confequences of excommunication in those days, (not to mention the spiritual) will be aftonished to fee it thus inflicted on bishops, for no worfe offence than the having acted against a claim to a privilege, which had no ftronger foundation than a kind of poffeffion. But it must have appeared still more wonderful to those prelates who knew that Alexander himfelf had injoined this act to be done, in contempt of that claim, and had declared expressly, that the right belonged to another. Even fuppoling they had feen his fubfequent order revoking the former, (which in truth they had not) it was an intolerable infolence to oblige them to follow every change of his mind, on pain of being cut off from the body of the church.

> In both thefe letters a power was given to Becket, to take off the fentence either of excommunication or fufpenfion, if he fhould think fit. A mandate was alfo fent to him which fufpended the archbifhop of York; but the power of relaxing that fentence the pope referved to himfelf. Becket had afked for another, to fufpend all the bifhops who had been prefent at the late coronation: but his Holinefs did not think it advifable at this time to grant that requeft; nor would he give him the authority, which he had defired, to excommunicate Henry, nor decide the difpute upon the primacy of his fee against that of York. He fems to have been driven

### Book III.

driven against his will to go fo far as he did, A. D. 1170 by the apprehenfion of difgusting the king of France. Perhaps too he might believe, that the archbishop himself, in his present situation, would not be inclined to make the most rigorous use of his difcretionary power, with refpect to the bishops of London and Salisbury; as he had, in his last letter, expressed a just sense of the ex-V. Epist. 52. pediency of healing and moderating measures, that be might not offend the king, and difturb the peace fo lately made. But this prudent confideration gave way in his mind to the violence of refentment. He was informed, that those prelates had endeavoured, in conjunction with the archbishop of York, to perfuade the king, that the reconcilation concluded with him was neither ufeful nor honourable to the kingdom, unlefs the prefentations to benefices which belonged to the fee of Canterbury, made by that prince upon vacancies, while he was in exile, might remain good; and unless be was compelled to obey the royal customs, which he had disputed. He also imputed to them a defign the king had entertained, of filling up the vacant bifhopricks, by calling over fix clergymen out of each of those diocesses, to attend him V. Epift. 53. in France, and there, as deligates from their 64. 73. l.v. brethren, to elect their bishops in his prefence, with the advice of the above-mentioned prelates. This was confidered by Becket as uncanonical, and contrived by them with an intention to occafion a new quarrel between Henry and him, if he should refuse to confectate the bishops to chosen. Thefe provocations fo incenfed him, that he paid no regard either to what he himfelf had written to the pope, or to the wife counfels given to him by two of his friends in the college of cardinals, who, in their letters of congratulation upon the V. Epift. 6a peace he had gained, advifed him with urgent admonitions, to exercise mercy, rather than judgement, V. Epin 6 towards 60. 62. 1. Šſ 2

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE

630

1. v.

Book III

A. D. 1170 towards those who had finned against him; and to endeavour to instruct the king in the spirit of lenity, and recover his favour. Notwithstanding these exhortations, he determined to fufpend the archbithop of York, and excommunicate the two bifhops of London and Salifbury. When he took this refolution, he fhould, in common prudence, have also refolved to defer his return into England, and not have joined his acceptance of the peace given by the king to him and his friends with these discordant acts of hostility : but, whether he fincerely thought it his duty to go back to his church, or felt a pride in braving his fovereign within his own kingdom, he continued his purpose of quitting his afylum in France; though at the fame time he determined to act in a manner that would bring him again into danv. stephen.ger. If we may believe one of the monks who has written his life, he faid to the king of France, p. 69, when he took his leave of that monarch, that he was going into England to play for his head.

The appointment Henry had made to fee him V. Epift. 44. at Rouen was put off by a letter under the hand of that prince, in which he faid, " he was " prevented from meeting him there, by the ne-" ceffity of going into Auvergne, to refift an at-" tempt, which, as he was credibly informed, " Louis intended to make upon that province, " But he had fent John of Oxford to attend him " to England, by whom he fignified to the king " his fon, that he would have him enjoy all his " poffestions peaceably and bonourably : and if, in " any particulars relating to bim less than ought to " have been done had been performed, that prince " should cause it to be amended." The promise was fair, but attended with circumstances very mortifying to Becket. No money was given him v. Fifteph to pay his debts, as he had been made to exin vit. Bec. pect; and, inftead of the archbishop of Rouen, who

who he hoped would have been charged to con-A. D. 1170° duct him to England, that office was afligned to John of Oxford, whom of all Henry's fervants he most detested. But, as the king's orders were preffing, that he fhould go to his church, and he had refolved to do fo for other reafons, he was forced to fubmit to this affront; and fet out under the conduct of his worft enemy, who had prefided in that very parliament which had tried and condemned him for perjury and treason, who had procured the first fuspension of his legantine power, who had been excommunicated by him, and abfolved without his confent; who now feemed to accompany him, rather as a guard over a prifoner, than as an attendant appointed to do him fervice. Being arrived at the port of Whitfand in Flanders, he stayed there fome days for V.Quadriloa favourable wind, and during that time was warned by a private meffenger from the Earl of Boulogne to take care of himfelf: for there were persons waiting for him in those parts of England. where it was thought he would land, with an intention to murder, or at least to arrest bim. The anfwer he fent back was, that he would return to bis flock, if he were certain to be torn limb from limb. He only defired of his friends, that they would carry him dead to his church, if he was not permitted to go to it alive. Other intelligence was v. Epift. 73. alfo conveyed to him, that his enemy Ranulf de l. v. Broc, Reginald de Warenne, and Gervafe de Cornhill, Theriff of Kent, had publickly threatned, that, if he came into England, they would cut off his bead. But, upon further enquiry, he was fatisfied that they meant him no other harm, than the fearching of his baggage, and taking from him the letters, which they very rightly fufpected he had obtained from the pope. To this they were infligated by the archbishop of York and v. Epic. the bishops of London and Salisbury, as Becket prad. Sf4 afferts.

A. D. 1170 afferts, in a letter to Alexander. It does not appear that they had any particular warrant to make this fearch : but there having been for fome time a general order ftrictly to examine all churchmen who landed in England, they thought they might be juftified in treating him with no more refpect than others, not confidering his high dignity, and the kings reconciliation with him and the pope.

As he was aware of their purpofe, he found means, the day before he embarked, to fend the letters he had with him into England by other hands. That for fulpending the archbilhop of York he gave to a nun, whom he encouraged to undertake the dangerous enterprize of delivering it to that prelate, by fetting before her the V. Epift. 70. examples of Judith, Efter, and those women, v. Append. who when his apoftles forfook him, followed our Lord to his crofs and to his fepulchre. The letter he wrote to her on this fubject is preferved, and I have transcribed it in the Appendix to this book, that it may be feen by what arts he worked upon the fimplicity of a credulous woman, to make her expose herfelf to the penalties of the law, in order to gratify his revenge in a matter which evidently had nothing to do with religion. Thefe are the words with which he concludes his paftoral exhortations: " A great reward, my daughter, is " proposed to your labour, the remission of your " fins, the unfaiding fruit, and crown of glory, "which the bleffed finners Mary Magdalene, and " Mary the Ægyptian, at laft received from our " Lord Jefus Chrift; the ftains of all their for-" mer lives being wiped out. The mistress of " mercy will affift you, and ask her fon, God " and Man, whom fhe brought forth for the " falvation of the world, to be the leader, com-" panion, and protector of your journey. And " may he, who breaking the gates of Hell, crufh-" ed

" ed the power of the Devils, and reftrained A. D. 1170 " their licentiousness, hold the hands of the wick-" ed. that they may not be able to do you any " hurt. Farewell, spouse of Christ, and think " that he is always prefent with you." This powerful rhetorick had the defired effect. The nun, who (as we may judge by the turn of this letter) had not always been chafte, refolved to gain remission of ber fins, at any rifque, and delivered the letter as the was directed to do. What other inftruments were employed we are not told; but the bishops of London and Salifbury received the pope's mandate, which excommunicated them, about the fame time as this was given to the archbishop of York.

After Becket had thus disposed of these dangerous papers, he ventured to face the king's officers, and on the first of December passed the channel. As foon as the thip arrived in Sandwich harbour, the sheriff of Kent, with Reginald de Warenne and Ranulf de Broc, came armed, and accompanied by a band of foldiers, to the fhoar : but John of Oxford immediately advancing to meet them, and with much anger commanding them, in the name of the king, to do no manner of injury to the archbithop or any of his followers, v. Epift. 73. because it would highly dishonour the king, after the 1. v. peace be had made, they did not fo much as attempt to make any fearch. But there being a foreigner, the archdeacon of Sens, in Becket's train, they demanded of him an oath of allegiance to King Henry and his fon, (I fuppofe during the time he fhould flay in the country) but Becket forbad him to take it, not because it was required without warrant of law, but because (as he told the pope) there was not in the oath any exception express in favour of the papal authority or any other; and he was afraid, if one of his houshold should confent to fuch an engagement, that by the authority of the precedent the

A. D. 117°, the clergy of the kingdom might be also compelled to it, which would greatly tend to the prejudice and diminution of the apostolick see. In short, he wanted the refervation of falvo ordine fuo, or falva libertate ecclesia, to be in every oath that was taken by clergymen. He fays himfelf, in his letter to Alexander, that the king's officers were obliged to yield the point, becaufe they were too few to force him, having the people on his fide, who were rejoyced at his return. Being thus difmift, he went to Canterbury, and on the road thither was met by all the poor of the country, who in great multitudes attended him into that city, fpreading their cloathes in his way, and finging, Bleffed is be, who cometh in the name of the Lord. His vanity was much pleafed, and it feems that his piety was not at all offended, with this application of Scripture. which fo blasphemoufly equalled him to the Meffiah. The parish priefts also came in folemn proceffion to meet him, with their croffes in their hands ; and the pageantry was closed by the monks of Canterbury, who received him into their convent, with ringing of bells, with the mulick of organs, and with hymns of praife to God. That he might not fail of this triumph, his fecretary John of Salifbury had written from France, a month before, to give them notice of the time of his intended return, and exhort them to meet bim with all due bonours, as their predeceffors had met Saint Anselm, when he came back from banishment. He was fo elated with thefe extravagant and impious adulations, that he could not help boafting of them in his letter to the pope. I was received, fays he, with great devotion by the clergy and people. But in fo expreffing himfelf he made a miftake which often proves of pernicious confequence, he mistook the mob for the people. Hence he fondly prefumed upon a ftrength he had not, and nourifh-

ed

V. Vita Becket præfix. Epift. Benedictus Abbas. Hoveden.

V. Epift. 63. ł. w.

ed that infolence which brought on his deftruc-A. D. 1170. tion.

While these impressions of vain glory were warm v. Epift. 64. in his mind, there came to him meffengers from 1. v. the archbishop of York and the two other bishops, who had received the letters he had procured from Alexander against them, notifying to him the appeal they had made to his Holinefs from the fentence there paft upon them. At the fame time alfo came officers from the young king, who in the name of that prince commanded him to abfolve the above-mentioned prelates, because what was done against them was an injury to the king, and tended to the subversion of the laws of the kingdom ; promifing, in cafe he obeyed this order, that the two bishops should come to him after they had received abfolution, and willingly fubmit to the canons of the church, faving the bonour of the kingdom. To which he replied, " It was not in the " power of an inferior judge to release from the " fentence of a fuperior, and that no man could " abrogate what the apoftolick fee had decreed." But by the pope's letters themfelves it manifeftly v, Epia. 73. appeared, that it was in his power to releafe the is.d. two bifhops of London and Salifbury, though not the archbishop of York, whom his Holineis fingly referved to his own judgment. The king's officers v Enil. 68. infifting that he fhould perform it, and adding 69. 1. v. very high menaces of what would be done to him, if he obstinately perfisted in disobedience, he faid at laft, that if the bifhops of London and Salifbury would take an oath before him, according to the ufual form of the church, to obey the pope's injunctions in this affair, he would, for the peace of the church, and out of reverence to the king, with his advice, and with the advice of the bithop of Winchefter and others of his brethren, venture to abfolve them at his own peril. Which being reported to them, the archbishop of York objected, thar

636

Book III.

A D: 1170. that fuch an oath ought not to be taken without leave of the king, by bifhops efpecially, becaufe it was against the dignity and the laws of the realm. To this Becket replied, that the fame bifhops had been before excommunicated by him, and were not then abfolved without having taken an oath to the fame effect: much lefs could they without it be delivered from a fentence imposed by the pope, to which neither his, nor any other human authority. could be compared. Hereupon they determined to take the oath he required : but the archbishop of York very earneftly diffuaded them from it, counfelling them rather to go to Henry in France, and fend meffengers to his fon, in order to fhew him. W. Epift 64, that Becket, by thefe violent proceedings, was endeavouring to tear the crown from his head. Of 75. L. V. which that prelate complained to Alexander, faving, " he called God to witnefs, that, inftead of " defiring to take this kingdom from the young " man, he wished him more and greater, if he " would be ferviceable to the church." But ( omitting any obfervations upon the nature and latitude of this condition) it is certain the acts done by him had an appearance which might reafonably alarm that prince. The two bifhops, convinced by the archbishop of York, refolved to go immediately over to Henry, and difpatch the archdeacon of V. Quadri- Canterbury to his fon. A few days afterwards log us. Becket fent a meffage to the latter excufing what he had done ; but audience was denied to his meffenger. He then refolved to go himfelf to the palace of Woodftock, where the young king relided, defigning to make him a prefent of three fine horfes. In his way, he paffed through London, attended by fome knights who held of the fee of Canterbury, and a great train of other folowers. His father and most of his family having been citizens, he was particularly popular there; to that he made his entrance into the capital with a vaft

# Book III. OF KING HENRY II.

a vaft mob at his heels, among whom were fome A. D. 1170. citizens of a better condition than the reft, who were afterwards questioned for it; but the profecution was let drop. He had defigned, in like v. Stephes. manner, to go through his whole province, and to p. 77. exercife therein with the utmost feverity both his metropolitan and legantine powers. But early the next morning an order was fent from Woodftock to ftop his progrefs, and forbid him to enter any of the king's cities or caftles; commanding that he should retire, with all who belonged to him. within the verge of his church. Which order he v. Quadril. declared, be would not have obeyed, thinking it his duty to vifit every part of his province, if the feaft of our Saviour's birth had not been fo near, upon which folemn occasion he intended to officiate himfelf in his church. Having made this haughty an- v. Epift. 64. fwer, he went back to Canterbury, where he was l. v. vifited by few perfons of rank or confideration. and every thing feemed to threaten him with very ill confequences from the imprudence of his conduct. But amidft the fears of all his friends he alone was undaunted, either from confidence in the protection of the pope and his order, or from his natural intrepidity, or perhaps from the heat of an enthusiaftical spirit, which defired to suffer martyrdom in what was accounted, by the zealots of those times, the cause of God. On Christmas Day he preached in the church of Canterbury, and at the end of his fermon told the congregation, that v. Quadratic his diffolution was near, and he should quickly depart Hoveden. from them. At this many of them wept; when fuddenly changing his looks and voice he vehemently inveighed against the vices of the age, and thusdered out an anathema in general terms against almost all King Henry's court. Then lighting the v. Quade li candles he by name excommunicated Ranulf de Broc, and Robert his brother, the latter of whom had been guilty of no other offence, than the

A.D. 1170. the having cut off the tail of one of his fumpter horfes the day before. But to his pride there could not be a more unpardonable fin than fuch an affront.

While he was thus preparing himfelf for that martyrdom which he faid he expected, the arch-V. Quadril, bishop of York and the bishops of London and Id. Grime, Salifbury had gone over to Normandy, and at the e Cod. Ma- feet of the king implored his justice and clemency, penes R. S. for themfelves, for his whole clergy, and for his kingdom. When he had heard their complaints he was extremely incenfed, and faid, that, if all who confented to his fon's coronation were to be excommunicated; by the eyes of God, he himself should not be excepted. The archbishop however entreated him to proceed with diferention and temper in this bufinefs. But not being able to mafter the violence of his passion, he broke out into furious exv. Gervale, preflions of anger, faying, " that a man whom he Quadrilogus had raifed from the dust trampled upon the whole

kingdom, difhonoured the whole royal family, had driven him and his children from the throne, and triumphed there unrefifted ; and, that he was very unfortunate to have maintained fo many cowardly and ungrateful men in his court, none of whom would revenge him of the injuries he sustained from one turbulent priest." Having thus vented his rage, he thought no more of what he had faid; but, unhappily for him, his words were taken notice of, by fome of those pefts of a court, who are ready to catch at every occafion of ferving the passions of a prince to the prejudice of his honour and intereft. Four gentlemen of his bedchamber, knights and barons of the kingdom, Reginald Fitz-urfe, William de Tracy, Hugh de Moreville, and Richard Brito, making no difference between a fally of anger, and a fettled intention to command a wicked action, thought they fhould much oblige the king by murdering Becket. Neverthelefs it appears.

638

Londini.

pears, that they rather defired to induce that pre-A. D. 1170-V. Edw. late, by threats and pretended orders from the Grime, king, to take off the cenfures which he had laid Quadrilog. on the bishops; or, in case of his refusal, to carry him forcibly out of the kingdom : but if, from his refiftance, they could not fucceed in either of thefe purpofes, they refolved, and even bound themfelves by an execrable oath, to put him to death. Thus determined they paffed haftily over to England, without the king's knowledge, and went to a castle belonging to Ranulf de Broc, about fix miles from Canterbury, where they flaid all the night, in confultation with him and Robert his brother, by what methods they fhould execute their flagitious undertaking. Ranulf had under his orders a band of foldiers, who had been employed for fome time in guarding the coaft. They agreed to take along with them a number of thefe. fufficient to hinder the citizens of Canterbury, or any of the knights of Becket's houshold, from attempting to aid him; and on the following day. being the twenty-ninth of December in the year eleven hundred and feventy, they came to Canterbury, concealing their arms as much as was poffible, and dividing their followers into many finall parties, that they might give no alarm. Prefently afterwards the four knights entered the palace unarmed, and a meffage being fent by them to acquaint the archbishop, that they were come to fpeak with him on the part of the king their mafter, he admitted them into his chamber, where they found him in conversation with some of his clergy. They fat down before him without returning his falutation; and, after a long filence, Reginald Fitzurfe faid to him, " We bring you or-" ders from the king. Will you hear them in pub- v. Epittre. " lick, or in private?" Becket answered, " that !. v. " fhould be as pleafed them beft." Fitzurfe then Grime, V. Edw. defiring him to difmifs all his company, he bid Quadrilog. them Hoveden.

Book III.

A. D. 1170 them leave the room; but the porter kept the door open: and after the above-mentioned gentleman had delivered a part of what he called the king's orders, Becket, fearing fome violence from the rough manner in which he fpoke, called in again all the clergy who were in the antichamber, and told the four knights, that whatever they had to inform him of might be faid in their prefence. Whereupon Fitzurle commanded him in the name of the king to release the excommunicated and fuspended bishops. He faid, the pope, not he, had paft that fentence upon them, nor was it in his power to take it off. They replied, it was inflicted by his procurement. To which he boldly made answer, that if the pope had been pleased thus to revenge the injury done to his church, he confeft, it did not displease bim. These words gave occasion to very bitter reproaches from the rage of Fitzurfe. He charged the archbishop with having violated the reconciliation fo lately concluded, and having formed a defign to tear the crown from the head of the young king. Becket made answer, that faving the honour of God, and his own foul, he earneftly defired to place many more crowns upon the head of that prince, inftead of taking this off, and loved him more tenderly, than any other man could, except his royal father.

A vehement difpute then arofe between Fitzurfe and him, about fome words which he affirmed the king to have fpoken, on the day when his peace was made, permitting him to obtain what reparation or juffice he could from the pope, against those bishops who had invaded the rights of his fee, and even promising to affist him therein; for the truth of which he appealed to Fitzurse himself, as having been prefent. But that gentleman constantly denied that he had heard it, or any thing like it, and urged the great improbability that the king should have contented to give up his friends to Becket's

Becket's revenge for what they did by his orders. A. D. 1170. And certainly, if it was true, one cannot but wonder, that the archbifhop fhould not have mentioned it in any one of his letters, and particularly in the account which he wrote to the pope of all V. Epift 45. that paffed on that day! The words he repeated V. Append. There, as fpoken by Henry, even admitting that they were given without any exaggeration, would not authorife the conftruction he now put upon them. But that he himfelf did not believe he had fuch a permiftion appears from the apprehentions he expreft to his Holinefs, in a fubfequent letter, V. Epift. 52. of the offence that he fhould give to the king by h. v. thefe acts, and from the extraordinary care he took to conceal his intention till after he had performed it.

Their conversation concerning this matter being Vid autho-ended, the four knights declared to him, it was ut fupra. the king's command, that he and all who belonged to him should depart out of the kingdom : for that neither he nor his fhould any longer enjoy the peace he had broken. He replied, that he would never again put the fea between him and his church : adding, that it would not have been for the honour of the king to have fent fuch an order. They faid, they would prove that they had brought it from the king, and urged, as a reafon for it, Becket's having opprobrioully caft out of the church, at the inftigation of his own furious paffions, the ministers and domestick servants of the king; whereas he ought to have left their examination and punishment to the royal justice. He answered with warmth, that if any man whatsoever prefumed to infringe the laws of the holy Roman fee, or the rights of the church of Chrift, and did not voluntarily make fatisfaction, he would not fpare fuch an offender, nor delay any longer to pronounce ecclefiaftical centures against him. They

VOL. II.

Τt

immediately

## HISTORY OF THE LIFE Book III.

642

A. D. 1170 immediately rofe up, and going nearer to him faid, " We give you notice that you have spoken to the peril " of your bead." His answer was, " Are you come " to kill me? I have committed my caufe to the fu-" preme judge of all, and am therefore unmoved at V. Edw. " your threats. Nor are your fwords more ready to Grime, " strike than my mind is to suffer martyrdom." At Gervale, Quadrilogus these words one of them turned to the ecclesiafticks there prefent, and in the name of the king commanded them to fecure the perfon of Becket; declaring, they should answer for him, if he escaped. Which being heard by him, he asked the knights, "Why any of them fhould imagine he " intended to fly ! Neither for fear of the king, nor " of any man living, will I (fays he) be driven to " flight. I come not bither to fly, but to stand the " malice of the impious, and the rage of affaffins." Upon this they went out and commanded the V Epia. 70, knights of his houfehold, at the peril of their lives. 1. v. e Cod. to go with them, and wait the event in filence Vaticano. and tranquillity. Proclamation was likewife made to the fame effect in the city. After their departure John of Salifbury reproved the primate for having fpoken to them fo fharply, and told him,

Vit S. T

he would have done better, if he had taken counfel of his friends what anfwer to make. But he præfax.Epift replied, "There is no want of more counfel. What I ought to do I well know." Intelligence being brought to him that the four knights were arming; he faid with an air of unconcern, "What matters " it ? let them arm." Neverthelefs fome of the fervants shut and barred the abbey-gate : after which, the monks who were with him, alarmed at his danger, led him into the church, where the evening fervice was performing, by a private way through the cloyfters:

The knights were now come before the gate of the abbey, and would have broke it open with in-The

ftruments they had brought for that purpofe: but A. D. 1170° Robert de Broc, to whom the houfe was better known, fhewed them a paffage through a window, by which they got in, and, not finding Becket in any chamber of the palace, followed him to the cathedral. When the monks within faw them coming, they haftened to lock the door; but the archbifhop forbad them to do it, faying, "You v.Quadeilo. " ought not to make a caftle of the church. It will Edw. Grime " protect us fufficiently without being flut: nor did " I come hither to refift, but to fuffer." Which they not regarding, he himfelf opened the door, called in forme of the monks, who ftood without, and then went up to the high altar.

The knights, finding no obstacle, rushed into the choir, and, brandifhing their weapons exclaimed. "Where is Thomas Becket? where is that " traitor to the king and kingdom ?" at which he making no answer, they called out more loudly, "Where is the archbishop?" He then turned, and coming down the fteps of the altar, faid, "Here am I, no traitor, but a prieft. What " would you have with me? I am ready to fuffer " in the name of him who redeemed me with his " blood. God forbid that I should fly for fear of " your fwords, or recede from justice. They once more commanded him to take off the excommunication and fuspension of the bithops. He replied, " No fatisfaction has yet been made; nor will I " abfolve them. Then (faid they) thou shalt in-" ftantly die, according to thy defert." "I am y. Fd. " ready to die (answered he) that the church may Grime. " obtain liberty and peace in my blood. But, in " the name of God, I forbid you to burt any of my " people." They now rushed upon him, and endeavoured to drag him out of the church, with an intention (as they afterwards declared themfelves) to carry him in bonds to the kirg; or, if Tt2 they

A. D. 1170 they could not do that, to kill him in a lefs facred V. Heriber place. But he clinging faft to one of the pillars tus in Qua of the choir, they could not force him from thence. drilogo.Ewd During the ftruggle he fhook William de Tracey Grime.

fo roughly, that he almost threw him down; and as Reginald Fitzurfe preft harder upon him than any of the others, he thrust him away, and called him pimp. This opprobrious language more enraged that violent man; he lifted up his fword against the head of Becket, who then bowing his neck, and joining his hands together, in a pofture of prayer, recommended his own foul, and the caufe of the church, to God, and to the faints of that cathedral. But one of the monks of Canterbury interpoling his arm to ward off the blow, it was almost cut off; and the archbishop also was wounded in the crown of his head. He flood a fecond ftroke, which likewife fell on his head, in the fame devout posture, without a motion, word, or groan : but, after receiving a third, he fell proftate on his face; and all the accomplices preffing now to a share in the murder, a piece of his skull was ftruck off by Richard Brito. Laftly, Hugh the fubdeacon, who had joined himfelf to them at Canterbury, fcooped out the brains of the dead archbishop with the point of a fword, and scattered them over the pavement.

Thus in the fifty third year of his age, was affaffinated Thomas Becket; a man of great talents, of elevated thoughts, and of invincible courage; but of a moft violent and turbulent fpirit; exceffively paffionate, haughty, and vain-glorious; in his refolutions inflexible, in his refentments implacable. It cannot be denied that he was guilty of a wilful and premeditated perjury; that he oppofed neceffary courfe of publick juffice, and acted in defiance to the laws of his country; laws which he had moft folemnly acknowledged and confirmed:

Une a

V. Edw. Grime, ut iupra.

nor is it lefs evident, that, during the heat of this A. D. 11 70" difpute, he was in the higheft degree ungrateful to a very kind mafter, whofe confidence in him had been boundlefs, and who from a private condition had advanced him to be the fecond man in his kingdom. On what motives he acted can be certainly judged of by Him alone, to whom all bearts are open. He might be mifled by the prejudices of a bigotted age, and think he was doing an acceptable fervice to God, in contending, even to death, for the utmost excess of ecclesiastical and papal authority. Yet the ftrength of his underftanding his conversation in courts and camps, among perfons whofe notions were more free and enlarged, the different colour of his former life. and the fuddenness of the change which seemed to be wrought in him upon his election to Canterbury, would make one fuspect, as many did in the times wherein he lived, that he only became the champion of the church from an ambitious defire of sharing it's power; a power more independant on the favour of the king, and therefore more agreeable to the haughtinefs of his mind, than that which he had enjoyed a minister of the crown. And this fuspicion is encreased by the marks of cunning and falseness, which are evidently seen in his conduct on fome occafions. Neither is it impoflible, that, when first he assumed his new character, he might act the part of zealot, merely or principally from motives of arrogance and ambition; yet, afterwards, being engaged, and inflamed by the conteft, work himfelf up into a real enthuliafm. The coztinual praifes of those with whom he acted, the honours done him in his exile by all the clergy of France, and the vanity which appears fo predominant in his mind may have conduced to operate fuch a charge. He certainly fhewed in the latter part of his life a fpirit as fervent as the warmeft enthusiast's:

A. D. 1170. enthuliaft's; fuch a spirit indeed as conflitutes beroifm. when it exerts itself in a cause beneficial to mankind. Had he defended the eftablished laws of his country, and the fundamental rules of civil juffice, with as much zeal and intrepidity as he oppofed them, he would have deferved to be ranked with those great men, whose virtues make one eafily forget the allay of fome natural imperfections: but, unhappily, his good qualities were fo mifapplied, that they became no lefs hurtful to the public weal of the kingdom, than the worft of his vices.

> End of the THIRD BOOK of the History of the Life of King HENRY the Second.

## CATALOGUE

O F

## BOOKS,

Printed and fold by

#### GEORGE FAULKNER, in Parliament-Street, the Corner of Effex-Street.

Zar's Life, 3 Vols. 12mo. Compleat Family-Piece, 12mo.	0	9	9
Compleat Family-Piece, 12mo.	0	3	3
Connoiffieur, 2 Vols. 12mo.	0	5	5
Delany's Sermons, 8vo.	0	4	0
Directions for Drawing,	0	2	2
Duplefis's Memoirs,	0	2	8 h.
Dunkin's Irene,	0	I	I
Darcy's Argument,	0	2	8 h.
Duhamel's Agriculture 2 Vols. 8vo.	0	8	8
Ellis on Sheep, 8vo.	0	5	5
Essay on Spirit, 12mo.	0	2	9
Effimate (Dr. Brown's) on the Manner	S		
and Principles of the Times,	0	I	I
Hawkey's Cyrus, 8vo.	0	5	5
Jasper Banks, 12mo.	0	2,	8 h.
Joseph Andrews, 12mo.	0	2	8 h.
Jacob's Law Tables, Fol.	0	2	8 h.
Juvenal's Satires, 12mo.	0	2	8 h.
Journey from Aleppo to Jerusalem.	0	3	6
Kitchen, Fruit, and Flower Garden,	0	I	7 h.
Lodge's Peerage of Ireland, 4. Vols. 8vo.	I	4	0
Life of King David, 8vo.	0	5	5
Letters from the East, 2 Vols. 12mo.	0	6	6
Life of Socrates, 12mo.	0	3	3
Love and Friendship,	0	2,	2
Lewis XIV. 2 Vols. 12mo.	0	5	5
Marianne, 3 Vols. 12mo.	0	6	6
Montesquius Spirit of Laws,	0	6	6
		Ma	tho,

### CATALOGUE, &c.

24.1 37.1			
Matho, 2 Vols. 12mo.	0	5	5
Maintenon's Letters, 2 Vols. 12mo.	0	4	4
Maintenon's Life, 12mo.	0	2	2
Memoirs of a Coxcomb,	0	2	2
O' Connor's Differtations on the Hiftor	y		
of Ireland,	0	5	5
Old Baily Trials. 4 Vols. 12mo.	0	8	8
Observations on Lord Orrery's Remar	ks,		
I 2MO.	0	2	2
Orrery's Pliny, 2 Vols. 8vo.	0	II	4 h.
Observations in Husbandry, by Lisle	2		Ŧ
8vo.	0	6	6
Preceptor, 2 Vols. plain Maps,	0	II	4 h.
coloured Maps,	0	II	11 ·
Port Royal's Greek Grammar, 8vo.	0	6	6
Pitt's Virgil, 4 Vols. 12mo.	0		0
Pilpay's Fables, 12mo.	0	13 2	8 h.
Prior's Works, 2 Vols. 12mo.	0		
Pompey the Little, 12mo.	-	5	5
Polydore and Julia,	0	2	2
Swift's Works, 16 Vols. 8vo.	0	2	2
Ditto, 12mo.	4	0	0
Ditto, 18mo.	2	3	4
Sheridan on British Education,	2	0	0
	0,	3	3
Shenfton's Works, 2 Vols.	0	6	6
Theophilus Ciber's Life, 12mo.	0	I	8
Turkith Spy, 8 Vols. 12mo.	0	17	0
Theory and Practice of Commerc			
8vo.	0	5	5
Tracts relating to Ireland, by Berkele	ey,		
Bishop of Cloyne, 12mo.	0	2,	8 h.
Tandon's French Grammer, Univerfal Hift. 7 Vols. Fol. bound.	· 0	2	8 h.
Universal Hilt. 7 Vols. Fol. bound.	4	II	0
Ditto, 20 Vols. 8vo.	5	10	0
Voltaire's Age of Lewis XIV. 2 Vo	ols.		
12m0.	0	5	5
Voltaire's Letters, 12mo.	0	2	
Hist of Peter the Great,	0	2,	8 h.
Victor's Hift. of the Stage, 2 Vols.	0	7.	8 h.

# INDEX,

#### TO THE

### SECOND VOLUME.

#### Α.

A GRICULTURE, canon of a fynod in K. Stephen's time in favour of it, page, 270 Aids, which, according to Glanville, the lord could demand of his vaffals, of three kinds, 320. one of them abolifhed by Magna Charta, 329. one more not mentioned by Glanville, but which naturally arofe from the feudal principles, *ibid.* more particulars concerning aids, 330.331.

- Albemarle, earl of, compelled by Henry to refign what he occupied of the royal demetric, 11.
- Albigenfes, fome of them, being come over to England, are cenfured by a fynod held at Oxford, 453.
- Alexander and Victor, rival popes, of whom, though the latter was supported Vol. II. U u

by the emperor and the northern potentates, the former, chiefly through the favour and affiftance of K. Henry, prevails at laft, 119.--124. Henry's policy wrong in not fupporting Victor, 392. 393. Alexander denies two requests made by Henry, and grants a third, but in fuch a manner as to render it ineffectual, 392.----394. encouraged by Louis le Jeune, he protects Becket, notwithstanding Henry's remonstrance, 418. gives audience to the English ambaffadors concerning Becket, 422. refuses to fend legates to England to try him, 426. lets him plead his caufe before him in perfon, 427. refolves to fupport him, 428. at Matilda's requeft, procures an interview between the two kings, Henry and Louis

Louis, 437. A conference proposed between him and Henry, but broken off, on a dispute whether Becket should be present. He goes from France toRome, 438. gives Becket power to proceed against his adverfaries, 468. promifes Henry to fend legates to determine Becket's caufe, 475. but limits their commillion on the road, 508. his difcreet advice to Becket, 515. he fuspends Becket's authority in England, till he had recovered the royal favour, 516. Reafons of his yielding fo far at that time, 518. he apologizes for it to Becket and Louis, yet perfifts in his measures, 520. being provoked by fome expreffions of Henry, he fends him a threatening letter, 547. refules to translate Bicket to another fee, 553. fends nuncios to Henry in Normandy, 559. confents that the archbish. of York thould crown the young prince, 584. His double dealing in that matter, 586. remarkable words in the mandate, 590. he commissions the archbifh. of Rouen and the bishop of Nevers to concludean agreement between Henry and Becket, 602,--604. threatens Henry and his dominions with excommunication and interdict, if he did not make peace, 627. after that was made, he enforces the execution of it by new mandates, 628--629.

- Alfred, king, increafes the maritime force of England, 175. a northern trade, one of the objects of his attention; he employs navigators to defcribe the coafts, inhabitants, and fifheries of the north, *ib*. 187. laws of his, concerning flaves, 275. author of the police of frank-pledge, 277. a patron of learning, 346.
- Almoner, the pope's, prevails with Becket to make fome conceffions, 380.
- Anfelm, his being canonized is propofed at the council of Rheims, 373. his conduct and Becket's compared, 471.
- Apparel, the mode of it in England before and after the Conquest, 360,-361.
- Appeals to Rome, 367. 394. fee Becket, Henry.
- Aquitaine, an infurrection there crushed in its first beginning by Henry, 525. his prefence there required again upon a like occasion, 558. Arundel,

- Arundel, earl of, his foothing fpeech to the pope, as ambaffador in Becket's affair, 424. meeting with a denial, he alters his tone, 427. conducts the young princefs of England to the duke of Saxony her Hufband, 537.
  - Auvergne, being a fief of Aquitaine, and the earl thereof having appealed to Henry, he leads an army thither, and does him juftice, 485.

#### Β.

- Baronies, rule of fucceffion in them and in earldoms, 225. Barons, how originally created, 231. fervices required of them, 234. had more or fewer knight'sfees under them, *ibid*. fee Nobility, Tenure.
- Barre, Richard, envoy from Henry to the pope, 584.
- Baffet, Richard, and Aubrey de Vere, joint sheriffs of eleven counties, 236.
- Battle-abbey, in imitation of the charter granted to that monaftery by William the Conqueror, others, efpecially the more ancient, endeavoured to free themfelves from the epifcopal jurifdiction, 355.

Beauchamp, William de,

fheriff of Worceftershire, and three other counties, 237.

Becket, Thomas, raifed to the office of the king's chancellor, 20. Account of his birth, education, and first negociations, 20, 21. He was the first Englishman raifed to any high office in church or ftate, fince the reign of W. the Conqueror, and why, 23. a conftant companion of the King, 31. his perfonal accomplishments, ibid, he manages the marriagetreaty between the young prince and the king of France's daughter, 88. the fplendid appearance he made on that occafion, 89. he advises Henry to befiege Touloufe, in hopes of his taking the king of France prifoner, 101, 102. his fervices and exploits in the war, 106. 107. Henry's reafons for promoting him to the archbishoprick of Canterbury, and his own conduct relative to that affair, 142. 148. The education of the young prince is entrusted to him, 146. he is elected archbishop, 149. great change in his manner of life thereupon, 368, 369. fends Uu<sub>2</sub> back

back the great feal to Henry, then in Normandy, 370. is coolly received by him at his coming to England, and required to give up alfo his archdeaconry, ibid, goes to the council of Rheims, where extraordinary honours are paid him by the pope, 371. 372. fpirit of that meeting, ibid. at his return, he fets up claims to feveral lands poffeffed by barons, and by the King himfelf, as having been alienated from the church, 373. excommunicates one of the king's tenants without acquainting him with it, 37.4. refules to vield up criminal ecclefiafticks to the fecular judicature, 375. opposes the reformation intended by Henry; proceedings thereupon. The king takes from him the government of his fon and the cuftody of the caftles, 377. 378. Intelligence given to him (Becket) by the bishop of Lifieux, ibid. He continues his opposition to the king's demand, but at last gives it up at the perfuafion of the pope's almoner, 380. Promifes to observe the customs of the kingdom without any

referve, 384. Proceedings at Clarendon and his behaviour there, 386 .- 391. observations thereon, ibid. to p. 392. He fecretly obtains abfolution from the pope for what he had done. 393. having failed in an attempt to go out of the land, he openly oppofes the laws enacted at Clarendon, 395. refuses to appear in the King's court, whether he was cited by John the King's marefchal, 396. Proceedings against him at Northampton, and his behaviour there, 396. 397. he flies from thence. Particulars of his flight till his landing near Gravelines, 408. 415. Obfervations on the proceedings against him at Northampton, 417. Account of what happened to him in travelling from the place where he landed to the abbey of St. Bertin, 415. 416. He has an interview with Richard de Lucy, at St. Omers, 419. goes from thence into France, 420. arrives at Soiffons, and receives a vifit from Louis, 427. goes to pope Alexander at Sens, ibid. pleads his caufe before him, and complains of the confli-

conflitutions of Clarendon, 428, Sc. offers to refign his fee to the pope. His refignation is not accepted, he retires to the abbey of Pontigni, 431.--432. writes letters of admonition and commination to the King, 459. fufpends the bishop of Salisbury, 460. watches three nights in a church at Soiffons before the fhrines of faints and the Virgin Mary, to prepare for his fpiritual combat, 469. having excommunicated feveral principal perfons, and intending to excommunicate the king, the English bishops and clergy appeal against him to the pope, 472. hearing that the king had obtained legates from the pope, in opposition to his legantine power, he prevails with the latter to make the grant of little effect, 483. he embaraffes their negotiation, 504. refuses to meet them on the borders of Henry's foreign territories, though promifed a fafe-conduct, 506. his report of this affair to the pope, and complaints against the King, 509. 512. is much diftrefied by being called upon again to make 653

up his pecuniary accounts with Henry, and writes to the pope, 515. fubmits himfelf to his fovereign at the inftance of the King of France, but with certain claufes, 543-----544. threatens to proceed to extremities with Henry, as foon as the reftraint laid upon him by the pope fhould be expired, 553,--554. his petition fent to the King at St. Denys, and the King's answer, 566,--567. a fecond petition, and the anfwer, 568. he stands out with the King about the formality of the kifs of peace, 570. exclaims againft the pope's conceffions to his prejudice, 589. bestirs himself, too late. to prevent the prince's coronation by the archbishop of York, and his chagrin on that account, 600 .-infifts ftill with the legates on the King's kifs, 606. and with difficulty confents to come to the conference, 609. his narrative, in a letter to the pope, of the circumstances of the reconciliation, 610. 611, his behaviour afterwards, 611, 612, 613, 614. while accepting peace, he carries refentments with him

him to England, 630. ibid. · is particularly mortified by the King's appointing John of Oxford to conduct him thither, 631. receives intelligence of threats against his life, ibid. fee alfo, 632. his landing at Sandwich, and triumphant reception at Canterbury, 633,--634. refuses to take off the excommunication laid on feveral bishops, 635, 636. his fermon and other acts on Christmas-day, 637,--638. his conversation with the four kinghts, 639, 640. his behaviour under the affault in the cathedral church, where he is affaffinated, 643, 644. His character, 644, 645.

- Blois. William de, dying, Henry procures a match between his daughter and the fon of the earl of Flanders, and thus ferves both houses, 113.
- Blois, the earl of, mediates with Louis in behalf of Henry, 597. fome difputes between Henry and him adjusted, 609.
- Blois, Peter of, an author in Henry's time, commended, 350.
- Boc-land, what? 269.
- stable of England in right times, 352, 353.

of his wife, danghter of Milo. earl of Hereford. 239.

- Boulogne, the earl of, takes up arms against Henry on account of the earldom of Mortagne, but is pacified by an annual penfion, 496, 497.
- Bretagne, the fucceffion to to that dutchy becoming difputable upon the death of Conan le Gros, a train of circumstances gives Henry at laft the right of deciding it, 84, 93. Through the marriage of his third fon Geoffry to the then duke's daughter, he obtains the government of it to himfelf, 457. fuppreffes an infurrection 486. his fon is there, eftablished in that dutchy, 539.
- Briftol, its great commerce taken notice of by W. of Malmfbury, 188.
- Brito, Richard, 638.
- Brac, Ranulph de, threatens Becket, 622. attempts to fearch his papers at his landing, 633. the four knights confult with him, 639.

#### C.

Behun, Humphrey de, con- Cambridge, state of it in those

Canen-

- Canon-law, a digeft of it publifhed by Gratian, a Benedictine monk at Bologne : account of that work, 279.
- Canutus, monk of Canterbury, abridged Pliny, 349.
- Caftle-guard, a species of knight's fervice, 196.
- Ceorls, 272.
- *Champagne*, earl of, his fcheme to promite the eliction of Victor to the papacy, 139.
- Chancellor of England, the nature of his office in Henry II's time, 25.
- Chandos, Sir John, made knight banneret by the Black Prince, 264.
- Charters, granted to towns, the import of, and motives to them, 317,
- Chaumont, a caftle and magazine of Louis in the French Vexin, burnt by Henry, 485.
- Chefter, an earldom palatine, 233.
- Chefter, earl of, excommunicated by Becket's order, 548.
- Chickefter, bifhop of, reprimanded by Henry, for pleading the papal againft the royal authority, 144. 145. is the only prelate who agrees to obferve the ancient cuftoms and laws of the realm, without the

faving claufe propofed by Becket, 378. endeavours to perfuade Becket to fubmit, 380. in the name of the clergy renounces to him, and cites him to appear before the pope, 404. fpeaks before the pope, as the King's ambaffador,

Cinque-ports, see Ships.

- Ciftertian monks how numerous, 354.
- Cities and boroughs, flate of them in those days, 319,--320.
- *Civil law*, account of it in that age, and particularly how far it prevailed in England, 279,---281.
- Clarendon, a parliament called to meet there, to fix the rights of the crown and cuftoms of the realm, 381. which the laity immediately fwore to, 382. and the clergy at laft complied alfo, 384. fixteen of these conftitutions related to ecclefiaftical matters, 386. ten of which are specified, 387,--389. alfo the other fix, 429. how treated by pope Alexander, 430. fee alfo,
- Cologne, archbifhopof, comes to England as ambaffador from the emperor, 448. Henry's letter to him after after his departure, 449. U u 4 he

fador in the fame affair, 537.

- Common law, account of it from the times of the first Saxon kings to those of Henry II. 289---292.
- Conan le Petit, becomes duke of Bretagne by means of Henry, 91. is relieved by that prince from a rebellion raifed against him, but goes into retirement, and cedes the dutchy to Henry, whofe third fon had been espoused to his daughter, 457.
- Constable of England, his authority and jurifdiction, 239.
- Cornage, what? 197.
- Cornwall, Reginald earl of, raifes an army againft Rhees ap Gryffyth, 151.
- Counties, each an earldom, 234. how far the earl remained governor of the county after the Conquest, 234. each county divided into hundreds and tythings, 277. each of these how made affiftant to the execution of justice, ibid.
- Counties, the three northern of England, reclaimed from the King of Scotland, 36. fee alfo 535, 536.
- Crown-lands, ainerated by Stephen and Matilda, but refumed by Henry II. g.

he comes again as ambaf- Cummins, John, ambaffador from Henry to the emperor, 421. fent to Alexander with Radulf de Tamworth and John of Oxford. He and Radulf de Tamworth procure for Henry all the letters, which Becket had written to the pope against him, or which other perfons had written in favour of Becket, 474.

#### D.

- Danegeld, meant at first money given to the Danes, afterwards money to build ships to result them, 177.
- Decretum, see Gratian.
- ancient, of the Demesne, crown. See Royal revenue.
- Dinan, an infurred toor there quelled by Heary, 540.
- Dombec, the book to called, 280.
- Domesday-book, 271. occasion of its being made, and nature of it, 272, 8c.

#### E.

- Eadmer, monk of Canterbury, an hiftorian, 347.
- Earls, had always a barony annexed, 227. value and extent of their poffessions, 228. their power, 231. difference between the Saxon and Norman earls, ibid. titular earls created by Stephen,

phen, deprived of their titles by H. II. 232.

- Eleanor, King Henry's queen, comes over with him to England, 3. did not poifon Rolamond, 160. left by him regent of Maine and Aquitaine, at his return to England from one of his foreign expeditions, 455.
- *Englifb*, were admitted more to places of honour and power under Henry, than during the preceding Norman reigns, 24. Character of the ancient Englifh, compared with the Normans, according to William of Malmfbury, 164. Reflections thereon, 165.
- Effex, Henry de, hereditary ftandard-bearer of England, behaves fhamefully in an action againft the Welch, 78. is accufed on that account by Robert de Montfort, whereupon a duel enfues, in which he is vanquifhed, 82. by the clemency of the king, is permitted to live a monk in the abbey of Reading. His honours and lands are forfeited, *ibid*.
- *Ethelred*, abbot of Rivaux, an author in Stephen's time, 348.
- Eudo, earl of Pontieure, has pretentions to the dutchy

of Bretagne, 90. upon a fecret treaty with Louis, he renews them, but is defeated by Henry, 529, 530. deprived of feveral of his honours, *ibid*.

- Evereux, Simon de Montfort, earl of, afliftant to Henry in his defign upon Touloufe, 106. who, in return, provides for his fafety, and makes certain flipulations for his benefit in the treaty concluded, 108.
- Exchequer, the methods of accounting there, fettled by William the Conqueror, 336. power and dignity of that court, *ibid*. Payments from thence for the king's use on various accounts, 334, 335.
- *Exeter*, it's great traffic noted by W. of Malmfbury, 188.

F.

- Feudal-law, account of the compilation published at Milan. What authority those books obtained in England, 278, 279. origin and different states of the fudal fystem, 279---283.
- Feudal Conflitution, account of it in England during the times treated of in this work, with obfervations upon it, 188---244. fee alfo 261, 263, 264, 307. Feudal

- Feudal military tenures, account of them as fettled in England after the Normans came in, 190---225. Good and evil arifing from them, *ibid*.
- Fines, 327, abuses therein, 328, 329.
- Fitz-John, Euftace, and Robert de Courcy, flain in fighting with the Welch, 77.
- Fitz-Urfe, Reginald, he, and three other knighs, fet out on a rafh enterprize againft Becket, 638. they firft come unarmed, and endeavour to perfuade him to take off the cenfures from the bifhops, or elfe quit the kingdom, 639---641. he refufing either, they come again armed, and affaffinate him, 643.
- Fitz-walter, Milo, earl of Hereford, faves the fifter of the earl of Chefter from falling into the hands of the Welch, 64. The young earl, his for, obliged to give up the caftles he had belonging to the crown, 13.
- Flanders, the count and countefs of, going to the holy land, choofe Henry to be protector of their dominions, which adds to his power, 34.

- Flanders, Theodoric earl of, articles of the treaty between Henry and him, 498, 499.
- Flemings, fent by Henry I. to fettle about. Tenby and Haverford-weft in Wales, 55. more fent thither by Henry II. 74. attacked by Rhees ap Gryffyth, 438.
- Florence, of Worcefter, an historian, 347.
- Foliot, Gilbert, bishop of Hereford, and afterwards of London, a paffage in his letter to Becket, 142. he complains of the fcutage laid on the clergy, 145. oppofes the election of Becket with extraordinary firmnefs, 149. his fpeech before the pope in behalf of the King, 422. writes a memorable letter to Becket, 462---464. being excommunicated by him, the King and feveral others intercede in his favour, with great teftimonies to his honour, 550, ibid. but none of the bifhops, except his fellowfufferer, the bishop of Salifbury, ventures to appeal to the pope in the cafe, 554, the pope orders him to be abfolved, and fpeaks honourably of him, 590. excommunicateshim again on

on false suggestions, 624. he goes to the king in Normandy, to implore his aid, 638.

Forfeiture of fiefs, 206.

- Fougeres, baion de, 91. raifes a rebellion against Conan duke of Bretagne, after he had been the inftrument of advancing him, 457. is defeated by Henry, ibid.
- Frankalmoign, a tenure peculiar to ecclefiafticks, 266. duties required by it, ibid.
- Frank-pledge, the inftitution, nature and use of it, 278.
- Frederic Barbaroffa, emperor of Germany, calls a council at Pavia, and efpoufes the part of Victor as candidate for the papacy, 117. another is held by him at Lodi about the fame affair, 121. and a meeting is propofed at St. Jean de Laone, between him and Louis on that fubject, 130, 131. caufes why it failed, and confequences thereof, 132---138. in encampments and fieges, he followed the rules of the ancient Romans, 166. constitution made by him concerning feuds, 230. why he advanced men of low birth to knighthood, 254. he commits to writing fome

part of the feudal law, y<sup>et</sup> is a favourer of the civil law, and carries his claims as emperor too high, 284, 285. fends an ambaffador, and propofes a confederacy with Henry, 453. comes into Lombardy at the head of a formidable army, 500. is crowned at Rome by the anti-pope, ibid. foon afterwards his army is much weakened by a peftilential fever, ibid. which obliges him to retire into Lombardy in great diffress, 501. A fentence of excommunication and deposition is paft against him by Alexander, which caufes moft of the cities in Lombardy to revolt from him, ibid. He is driven out of Italy, and efcapes with great difficulty, 517. Alexander's fentence of deposition against him difregarded in Germany, ibid. he fends another very fplendid embaffy to Henry, 537.

- G.
- Geoffry, King Henry's brother, why Henry did not refign to him the earldoms of Anjou, Touraine, and Maine, according to his father's will, 28. he rebels against that prince, and 101-S

lofes his three caftles of Mirebeau, Chinon, and Loudon. Honry leaves him the landsbelonging to thofe caftles, and attigns him a penfion, 30, 31. allifted by Henry in regard to the earldom of Nantes, 85. dies, 86.

Geoffry, Henry's third fon, contracted to the daughter of Conan le Petit, 456. becomes duke of Bretagne, 557.

Gerard, see Albigenses. Giraldus Cambrensis, 349.

- Glanville, chief juftitiary of England under Henry II. 203. his treatife of laws, 204, 205, 206, 207. *Ec.* 286. the moft ancient of our law books now extant, 288.
- Grand and petit fergeanty, what? 245, 246.
- Gratian, his decretum, 279.

Gratian and Vivian, nuncios from the pope, come to Henry in Normandy; Gratian's faying to that king; they yield fome points to him, 559. but other difficulties arifing, the conference is broke off. Henry's language frights them into more complaifance. They abfolve his iervants; but just afterwards complain of him to E X. the pope, as if he altered the agreement, 560, 561. discussion of that transfaction, 562, 563. they prepare to return into Italy, 565. Vivian is recalled by Henry, *ibid.* he tries to fosten Becket and bring him to approve of his return, but in vain, *ibid.* fends him a copy of Henry's offer, and entreats him to attend on a conference between that king and Louis,

*ibid.* being diffatisfied with Henry's proceeding, he refufes to meddle any more in the negotiation, 568.

Grentesmeinil, Hugh, baron of Hinkley, fenefchal in Henry I's time, 241.

#### H.

Henry II. hears of the death of Stephen, but will not haften to England, till he has first composed the affairs of Normandy, 1, 2. confers with his mother, happy agreement between them, 2. fummons a council of the barons and prelates of Normandy, and confides to his mother the government of that dutchy, 3. is detained a month at Barheur by contrary winds, puts to fea in fuch weather, that he is in danger of shipwreck :

wreck; but efcapes and lands in the New Foreft, ibid, welcomed as the deliverer of his country, 4. crowned, together with his queen, ibid. his conduct with regard to his coronation-oath, and the oaths to be taken to him: what measures he observed towards reftoring the tranquillity of the realm, and union among his fubjects, ibid. he meets his parliament, and in performance of the treaty of Winchefter, immediately fends away the foreign troops left by Stephen, 6. and demolifhes the caftles erected in the late reign, 8. profecutes W. de Peverel for the murder of the earl of Chefter, in purfuance of the above treaty, he refumes crown-lands and poffeffions which Stephen had alienated, 8, 9. wherein he meets with great difficulties, but furmounts them by firmnefs and clemency, 9, 10. he calls a parliament at Wallingford, which fettles the fucceffion upon his eldeft fon, and in cafe of the death of that prince on his fecond, 14. confirms to his people the charter of King Henry I.

16. looks after the administration of the laws, and good order of the kingdom. ibid. makes a wife choice of his ministers, 18. an account of thefe, and of the reafons upon which he chofe them, 18---25. excludes the bifhop of Winchefter from all power, and why, ibid, orders all that prelate's caftles to be demolished, on his leaving the realm without permiffion from him, 26. lets him return, but confines him to his episcopal duties, ibid. does homage to Louis for his fiefs in France, 27, 28. applies to the pope, for a difpenfation, to release him from his oath to obferve his father's will with regard to the three earldoms of Anjou, Touraine, and Maine, which he obtains, 28, 29. His brother Geoffry rebelling on that account, he takes from him his caftles, but leaves him an honourable maintenance in lands and money, 30. Obliges the nobility of Gafcony and Guienne to give him hoftages, 33. is made regent of Flanders and Vermandois, 34. recovers the three northern counties from the king of Scotland,

Scotland, and makes that prince acknowledge himfelf his vaffal for Lothian. but at the fame time confers on him the earldom of Huntington, 36, 37. ftrengthens the Flemish colony in South Wales, by fending thither fome of Stephen's mercenaries, whom he drove out of England, 74. makes war on the Welch, 75. 76. falls into an ambuscade, where his life is in great danger, but repells the enemy, and changes the plan of the war, by means of which, and by his kindnefs to Rhees ap Gryffyth, the prince of South Wales, he gains an honourable peace, 81. appoints the lifts for a duel between Henry de Effex, his conftable, and Robert de Montfort, on a charge of high treason against the former for his cowardly behaviour in the war against the Welch; mitigates the doom of the vanquithed, 82. Receives homage at Chefter, from Malcolm the third, king of Scotland, for the fiefs that prince held of England, but with a faying to all his royal dignities, 82. holds his court, not in the

city, but fuburbs of Lincoln, at the Christmas feftival, out of regard to an ancient superstition in the people, 82. an inftance of his contemning the fuperftitions of the Welch, tho' he paid this regard to those of his English subjects, ibid. 84. he reftores the coin of his kingdom to it's due weight and fineness, ibid, he goes over to Normandy, and fupports his brother Geoffry in the dominion of Nantes against Conan, duke of Bretagne, 85, 86. on the death of Geoffry he fucceeds to that dominion, ibid. to prevent the king of France from difputing his claim to it, he propofes a treaty of marriage between his eldeft fon Henry, and Margaret, daughter of Louis by his fecond wife, which Louis gladly accepts, 87, 88. He is invited to Paris by Louis, where he obtains from that prince an approbation of his title to Nantes, and a commission to judge and determine the difpute between Conan and Eudo, earl of Pontieure, upon the right to the dukedom of Bretagne, 90. Conan makes him a ceffion ceffion of the city and earldom of Nantes, and he paffes fentence in his favour, 91. foon afterwards he takes possession of Nantes, fuppresses a revolt in Poitou, and returns into Normandy to attend on Louis at Mont St. Michel: gains the favour of that king by the refpect he fhews him on this occafion, which he makes an advantageous use of for his greatness in France, 92, 93. brings the earl of Blois to yield to him the ftrong caftles of Fretteval and Amboife, which had been usurped from Anjou. and the earl of Perche, to restore two fortress unjustly taken from his demefne in Normandy, confenting that the latter fhould hold the town of Beleime as his vaffal, 93. recovers all that had been alienated, during the late civil war, from his demefne in Normandy, ibid. promifes Louis to be his confederate in a holy war against the Moors in Spain, but trufts to Pope Adrian for the preventing of that prince from executing this project, which was improper at that time, and avails

himfelf of the levies made. under pretence of it, in all his French dominions, to revive and enforce the pretenfions of his queen on Touloufe, 75--96. he forms confederacies for a war on this account, 97, 98. he returns into England to afk the affiftance of the Englifh, 98, 99. holds a parliament or great council on the Easter festival, at Worcefter, upon which occafion he and his queen wore their crowns (as was ufual) but when they came to the oblation, laid them down on the altar and vowed to wear them no more, 98. finds the barons of England, and all his military tenants, ready to affift him in the war againft the earl of Toulouse, 98, 99. reafons for that complaifance, ibid. he isattended to it by Malcolm, the young king of Scotland, 100. finding that Louis had thrown himfelf into the city of Touloufe, with a refolution to defend it, he abstains from attacking it, against the opinion of his favourite Becket, 102, 103. reasons for this resolution, ibid. but he profecutes the war with vigour and fuccels

cefs in other places, 105. concludes a fecret treaty with the earl of Evereux. which helps to bring on a truce, ibid. 106. he and Louis conclude a treaty of peace on terms that are honourable and advantageous to Henry : Particulars thereof, 108, 109. Henry confents, after the death of William de Blois. King Stephen's fon, that his fifter Mary, who was a nun, should be stolen out of her convent and married to the fecond fon of the earl of Flanders, by which marriage that prince gains the earldom of Boulogne; though this act is opposed by Becket, as offenfive to religion, 112, 114. Henry makes a provision for Hamelin, his natural brother, by marrying him to the widow of W. de Blois, daughter and heirefs to W. de Warren, earl of Surry, ibid. he concerts meafures with Louis on the part they should take in the schifm between two popes, 18, 19. he ratifies the peace with that king, but does not attend the celebration of his nuptials with a fifter of the earl of Champagne,

122, 123. on his return into Normandy, he celebrates the form of a marriage, or public and folemn espoulals between his fon Henry and the princefs Margaret, by which he gains the Norman Vexin, with three caftles on the frontier, 123, 124. he is juftified by the clear words of the late treaty from the charge of fraud in this matter, 124. he takes the caftle of Chaumont, in the county of Blois, from the brother of the French queen, delivers it to one of his vaffals, who had a claim to it, and expecting a war with Louis, ftrengthens his territories with additional fortifications, and repairs of the old ones, where wanting, 126. he alfo builds a palace in the neighbourhood of Rouen, and an hospital for lepers, ibid. he does not feek to fight with Louis, when he finds that a battle is avoided by that monarch, who had made a faint attempt against his frontier, but confents to a truce, during which he fuppreffes a rebellion in Aquitaine, and takesChatillonaboveAgen, 127. prefides, together with Louis.

Louis, at the council of Touloufe, Does a very important fervice to that monarch and Pope Alexander the third, by affifting them against the emperor, Frederick Barbaroffa, 136, 137. he and Louis have a meeting at Touci, on the Loire, with that' Pontiff, whom they lead to a Pavillion prepared for their reception, walking afoot on each fide of his horfe, and holding the reins of his bridle, 138. obfervations on that act, 138, 139. Thro' the mediation of Alexander, he obtains a peace from Louis without giving up any thing to him, 139. he receives an extraordinary embaffy from the Mahometan king of Valentia and Murcia. 140, 141. upon Theobald's death, he advances Becket to the fee of Canterbury, against the general sense of his clergy and of the whole nation, and though his mother Matilda did her utmost to diffuade him from it : His motives to this choice : Violent means by which the oppofition to Becket's election was got over, 142---147. Henry returns to England, and VOL. II.

appeafes a great commotion in South Wales; after which he holds his court at Woodstock, where the king of Scotland, and all the princes and chiefs of Wales pay their homage to him, and to his eldeft fon, 151---153. perfonal qualities of Henry, his private life and manners, 154, 155. he undertakes to correct fome great evils in the church, and to reftrain it's encroachments on the civil power, 369opposed herein by Becket. *ibid.* Is much alarmed at his fending him back the great feal, into Normandy, and ashamed of having been duped in the choice he had made, 370. comes to England on that account. and fhews him marks of his displeasure; yet permits him and most of the other English bishops to go to the council held at Tours by pope Alexander the third, 371. he takes occafion from this prelate's having protected fome clergymen guilty of capital crimes against the royal juffice, to begin his intended reformation of the clergy, 375, 376. his fpeech to the bifhops on Xx that

that fubject, ibid. further proceedings on this bufinefs, 377, 378. council of Clarendon and transactions there, 381, 389. Henry applies to the pope to obtain a confirmation from the apoftolick fee of the cuftoms and dignities of his realm, 390. A like request had been refused to him before, and this, though Becket feemingly concurred in defiring it, was also denied, 392. another demand of the legatine power for the archbishop of York is made by Henry, and without fuccefs, 383. he is much diffuibed at hearing that Becket had fled beyond fea, but that prelate being driven back, and coming to his court, he treats him mildly, yet drops words that alarm him, 395, 397. Is admonifhed by his nobles and counfellors to take care of himfelf and his fucceffors against the attempts of the clergy, and particularly of Becket, to encroach on the royal power, 396. He cites Becket to appear before him for denying juffice to John his mare-Ichal, 396. on that pre-

late's refufal he calls him to answer for this offence, and other matters, ibid. demands of him five hundred pounds, which he faid he had lent him, when he was chancellor, 397. requires him to account for the rents of feveral vacant abbies and bifhoprics, and other cafual profits belonging to the crown, which he had in his hands many years, 398. grants him a respite, which he prays for, before he makes his answer, 400. being informed of the manner of his coming into the chamber. where he and the peers were affembled, carrying a crofs in his hands, he retires into an inner room. and there complains of this behaviour, 402. he requires only that juffice fhould be done him on the , debt he claimed from that prelate, and fends fome lords to demand of him. whether he would give pledges to ftand the judgment of the court on that article, or was prepared to do the king right according to his promife. On his anfwer, it is refolved by Henry and the temporal barons to attaint him of high

high treafon : But Henry permits the bishops to appeal to the pope against him on account of his perjury, 403, ---- 404. while they declare this to him, Henry demands justice againft him from the temporal lords, and calls in certain fheriffs, and fome barons of inferior dignity, to affift in the judgment, 405. after his withdrawing himfelf from hearing that judgment, Henry fearing fome act of illegal violence against him, orders proclaimation to be made, forbidding all perfons, on pain of death, to do him or his people any harm, 107. promifes to advife with his council on his petition for a licence to go out of the realm, ibid. obfervations on all the proceedings at Northampton, 409,--413. on notice of his flight, Henry orders the ports to be guarded, and fends an embaffy to the king of France, the pope, and the earl of Flanders, 413. this proving fruitlets, he confifcates all the archbishop's eftate, does other acts, which he was impowered to do by law, against him and his

adherents, and ftops the payment of Peterpence to the pope, 433. but acts unjuftly in banifhing all the relations, friends, and dependants of Becket, Obfervations on that edict, 435,--436. letter to Henry concerning it from one of his friends, ibid. inutility and mifchief of it to his affairs, ibid, he has an interview with Louis, 437. confents to one with Alexander, but conditionally, that Becket be not prefent, ibid. returns to England and makes an unfuccefsful war against the rebellious Welch, 438, 442. punifhes their hoftages. Remarks on that act, ibid .--444. fee alfo 528,--531. Henry gives his eldeft daughter to the duke of Saxony, 448. writes a very exraordinary letter to the archbishop of Cologne, and fends an embaffy to the diet of Wurtfburg affembled against pope Alexander in favour of Victor, 449, 451, reflections thereupon, 451, 452. Henry prefides in a fynod held at Oxford for the trial of fome Germans accufed of herefy, and orders them to be cruelly X X 2 punished,

punished, 452, 454. he goes to France, and chaftifes fome of his barons in Maine for difobedience to queen Eleanor, whom he had left regent of that earldom as well as of Aquitaine, and fuppreffes fome commotions in Bretagne, which had induced Conan to treat with him for a ceffion of the adminiffration of that dutchy to him, in truft for Geoffry, his third fon, till he fhould be of age, on a contract of marriage between that prince and Constantia. Conan's daughter, he (Conan) retaining only the earldom of Guingamp for himfelf, 455, 457. importance of this acquisition, 458, 459. Henry's good goverment there, ibid. he calls a great council at Chinon, in Touraine, to advife with them by what means he should refift the excommunication he was threatened with by Becket; and follows the council of the bifhop of Lifieux to appeal against it to the pope : Orders two of his bifhops to notify this appeal to Becket, but they not finding him, he escapes exemmunication only by

DEX. his fickness which did not laft long, 470, 473, impropriety of this appeal, 473. He fends into England orders, for preventing letters of interdict being brought into that kingdom. or received there, or obeyed, under severe penalties. 471. he drives Becket from his retreat at Pontigni, *ibid*, cenfure of that act, 473. he negociates with Alexander, through the mediation of the marquis of Montferrat, who afks one of his daughters in marriage for his fon: Reafons against his employing John of Oxford in this bufinefs, which yet the event fo far juftified, the great points were gained for him, from Alexander, by that minifter, 474. ibid. what conceffions, or promifes were made by him, and how far authorifed by Henry, 476. to what his fuccefs muft chiefly be afcribed. Henry obtains, by other minifters, John of Oxford's collegues, the fight and

poffettion of fome very im-

portant letters, 477, 478.

he had fought to gain

time, in hopes of Alexan-

der's death, or of fome

distress.

diftress, into which the emperor might bring that prince, 482, ibid. a war breaks out between him and Louis on a feudal difpute about Auvergne, 484. events of that war, which brings on an armiftice, during which Henry fuppreffes a rebellion in Bretagne, ibid. 4.86. he receives an account of his mother's death, 487. his care to caution her against the arts of Becket in a mediation fhe undertook. between him and that prelate, at the urgent defire of the pope, 489, 490. a fpirited declaration made by him, about that time, in a letter to the college of Cardinals, 493. he pays large fums, bequeathed by Matilda, to pious and charitable uses, 494. pacifies the earls of Boulogne and of Flanders. who threatened to invade England, by a fubfidiary treaty concluded with the earl of Boulogne, 497. account of former treaties of the fame nature, ibid. 500, Henry fhews great indignation on reading the letters brought by the Cardinal-Legates, 513. his difcourse and offers to them,

ibid, he is definious to fill up the vacant fees in his kingdom : preffes the legates to hear his caufe with Becket, and offers them any fecurity, that he would ftand to their judgment on every article, if they would do him juftice, 512, 513: at the end of this conference he faid publickly, that he wished his eyes might never more see the face of a cardinal : Yet, at their audience of leave, he begged their interceffion with the pope to rid bim of Becket, and fpoke with fo much emotion that he even fhed tears, *ibid.* on receiving a letter from the pope, which fufpended Becket's authority over him or his realm, till that prelate should recover his royal favour, he refufes to fee him, which he had promifed to do before, and, befides other boafting expressions, fays, to the bishop of Worcester, that he had now got the pope and all the cardinals in his purfe. He even declares in his family what bribes he had given, and to whom of the fecret college, 515, 516. His offer to cardinal Otto, concerning Becket and the Xx3 royal

royal cuftoms, 521, 522. observations upon it, ibid. he suppresses a revolt in Aquitaine and treats of peace with Louis, 525, 526. he implores the bifhop of Chartres to reconcile him to his liege lord. the king of France, with whom, and for whom, he was ready to go to a holy war against Ægypt, 527. the conclusion of the peace is retarded by feveral incidents, particularly by the murder of Henry's general in Aquitaine, the earl of Salifbury, and by a revolt in Bretagne, both which are punish'd by Henry 528,530 isaccufedtoLouis, by Eudo. earl of Pontieure, of having debauched his daughter, whom he had delivered to him as an hoftage of peace, 531. an interview is proposed between him and Louis, but, he coming to it late, and with a multitude of armed knights, it gives an alarm to the French, and prevents the conference, 533. a description of the effects of the paffion of anger upon Henry approaching to frenzy, 536. he receives a splendid embaffy from the emperor, to offer him affiftance a-

gainst Louis, if he will join in the fchifin. He returns an anfwer, which intimates that he might accept this offer, if Louis and Alexander should continue to act as for fome time paft, 537. this accelerates the peace, which is foon afterwards concluded at Montmirail : Articles thereof, 538, 539. obfervations thereupon, 540, 541. declarations faid to have been thrown out by Henry, and confirmed by oaths, that he would never again do homage to Louis for the dutchy of Normandy : The truth of this very doubtful, 542. what paft between Henry and Becket at Montmirail. 543, 544. his answer to the monks, who delivered him a letter of commination from the pope, 547. he vainly uses his utmost endeavours to prevail on the pope, that Becket, by orders from his Holinefs, should be called out of France, and translated from Canterbury to fome foreign fee, 552, 553. he requires Louis to expel Becket out of France, 555. he compleats the cftablithment of his ion Geoffry in Bretagne,

tagne, and fubdues fome rebels in Gafcony and Poitou. Makes ftrong lines for the defence of one part of his frontier in the dutchy of Normandy, builds a caftle at Beauvoir. and carries on other great works for the be: efit of his people, particularly a dyke or bask, to reftrain the overflowings of the Loire, 557, 558. he receives Gratian a d Vivian, two nuncios from the pope, and angrily breaks off two conferences with them, which renders them more complaifant, 559, 560. but new difputes arife, which prevent an agreement, 561. the nuncios depart from Henry, but he recalls Vivian, 565. has a conference with Louis, in which he promifes to treat, in an amicable manner. with the earl of Touloufe. on the claim of his fon Richard, as duke of Aquitaine, to that earldom, and to fend that prince to be educated in the court of France, 566. his anfwer to an artful petition from Becket, 567, 568. and to another of the fame kind, 568, 569. dispute about the kils of Peace, 570. he

fends over fevere injunctions to England : Remarks on the 6th and 7th articles concerning the banifhment of the kindred of offenders, and of all who belonged to them, 571, 572. Henry proposes to crown his eldeft fon : Nature and intent of fuch coronations: Reafons for and against thepractice, 577, 580. It appears that the king had intended to do this act fome years before: What prevented in then, and determined him to it now, 581, 582. difficulty arifing from the archbishop of Canterbury's being out of the kingdom, 582. how got over, 584, 585. Henry makes a progrefs over all Bretagie with his fon Geoffry, to receive the homage of the nobles and freemen of that dutchy, who had not paid it before. He proceeds judicially against the earl of Pontieure, 585. returns into Normandy, and makes a new offer to Becket for an engagement between them, 585. Henry does not enough attend to the infidious words of the pope's bull about his fon's coronation, 591. he is in great danger from a temreft

peft in paffing the channel, ibid. having been absent almost four years, he finds that many diforders had arifen in his kingdom, particularly with regard to the collection of his revenues, and to all judicial proceedings, except those of his own court. In a parliament held at Winfor, he appoints a commission of enquiry into those abuses. Obfervations thereupon, ibid. 592, effects of this commission, 592, 593. he causes his fon to be crowned, and ministers to him at the coronation feaft, 505, words he is faid to have fpoken on that occafion, 595, 596. he goes to France in order to pacify Louis, who refents his daughter's not having been crowned with her hufband. *ibid*, has an interview with that king, in which the peace between them is renewed. He is irreconcileably offended at Becket's malignant and arrogant proceeding about the kifs of peace, 597. reafons that induce him to promife to yield this point, 606,--607. yet defires fome delay, 608, account of what past in their meeting in a

E X. meadow near Frettevalle, 609, 610. cenfure of Henry for things he faid to Becket on that occafion. 614, 615. after the extraordinary marks of favour. he had publickly given to Becket, he thinks he must not go back, and therefore grants his petition, though materially different from that form of words which he (Henry) had fettled with the pope, 616: his condefcentions are ineffectual to foften Becket. ibid. 617. being very fick in Normandy he makes his will, 620. contents thereof, and remarks upon one claufe therein, by which he defired to be buried in the monastery of Grammont, at the feet of an abbot there interred. 621. he delays the execution of the peace with Becket, 622. in a discourse with that prelate, he reproaches him with ingratitude, and annexes a new condition to his promife of full reflitution to him and

his followers, 626. in ano-

ther conference, he fays

to him, Ob ! my lord, why

will you not do what I defire?

I then should put every thing into your hands, ibid. On

hearing

- hearing the complaints of the archbifhop of York, and the bifhops of London and Salifbury againft Becket, he exprefies great refentment, and in the violence of his paffion throws out words which occafion the murder of that prelate 638.
- Henry, the King's eldeft fon, efpoused to Margaret of France, 88. the marriage folemnized, 124. he, as earl of Anjou, performs the office of fenefchal of France, 557. is crowned king of England in his father's life time, 595. an arrogant expression of his on this occafion, *ibid.* reafons why his confort could not be crowned at the fame time, 597. refuses to admit Becket to come to him, 609.
- Henry the Lion, duke of Saxony and Bavaria, propofes marriage to Matilda, king Henry's eldeft daughter, 445. that prince's illuftrious family, extensive dominions, and perfonal qualities, 446, 447. he fupprefles a rebellion in Saxony, 446. receives his confort, the princefs of England, 448. joins in the embaffy from the emperor

to his father-in-law, ibid.

- Heraldry, the origin of it, 171.
- Hides, or ploughlands ancient division of the and of England into thefe, l according to which the military or other charges of the kingdom were imposed, Definition of a hide of land, 180, 181.
- Homage, how performed, 211. the words of the oath to mefne lords, *ibid*. to the King, 212. from ecclefiaflick, *ibid*.
- Hoveden, Roger de, an hiftorian, 348.
- Humet, Richard de, jufticiary of Normandy, has full power from Henry to conclude a peace with Louis, 526.

I.

- Jews, how treated in England from the reign of the Conqueror to that of Henry II. inclusively, 331---334.
- Inberitances feudal, how fettled, 201, &c.
- John of Oxford, prefided in the parliament at Northampton, where Becket was tried, 582. fent from Henry to Wart/burg (or Wittenberg) his errand and conduct there, 416, 417. made

made dean of Salifbury. but his election is annulled. and he excommunicated by Becket, 426---434. fent to Alexander, refigns his deanry to that Pontiff, but receives it from him again with abfolution, 439. negotiates with him, and obtains great points for his master, 439---443. arrives in England, and declares the contents of letters he brought from the pope: confequences of that declaration, 444, 445. Henry fends him to caution the empress Matilda against the arts of Becket. His acculations against that prelate, 452, 452, conducts Becket to England, 633. protects him from infults, ibrd.

- Juscinian, that emperor's pandects discovered during the life-time of Henry II. 278. his code, novella, and institutes, 279.
- Justitiary of England, his fanctions and powers, 24.2, 24.3. as this office declined, that of the chancellor grew, 244.
- Ivelchefter, Richard of, archdeacon of Poitiers, Henry's embassador to the pope, 445. excommunicated by Becket, 469. proposes to

the clergy the articles and oath enjoined by the King, 576. words of his, which come to Becket's ears, 601.

#### Κ.

Knighthood, account of that inftitution, 247---266.

Knights bannerets, what they were, 264, 265.

#### L.

- Language, English, change in it after the coming in of the Normans, 344.
- Letters, remarkable ones, from the bishop of Lifieux to Becket, 378, 379, 544, 545. from Becket to Henry, 459. from the English clergy to the pope, 461. from Becket in answer to that letter, ibid. from Gilbert Foliot, bishop of London to Becket, 462, 463. (fee alfo 544. From the pope to Henry, 475. from Becket to his agent at Rome, 481. from the fame to the pope, 482, 183. from Becket to Matilda, 488, 489. from Henry to the college of cardinals, 519. from John of Salifbury to Becket, 505. from Becket to cardinal Otto, 596. from Becket to the pope, 566. from

· from the Cardinal-legates to the pope, 462. from Becket to the pope, 586. from the app.llanc English plelates to the popr, 488. from the pripe to Blocket, 480. from B ckat to his agents at Boneve tum, 500. from the priors of Montdieu and St. Peter's Vale to the pope, 545. from Becket to the pope, ibid. to the bithop of Oftic, 556, 557. from the prpe to Henry, 590. to Bicket, ibid. from Becket to cardinal Albert and Gratian, #80. to Back t from a fecret friend, 600. from Becket to one of the legates, 604. from Bicket to the pope, 618. to the bishop of Oftia, 619. to Cardinal William of Pavia, 617, 619. lo a nu , 583, 584.

Leivester, Robert de Bellomont, earl of, grand juftitiary of Eugland, 18, 227. goes from the ki g and peers at the parliament of Northampton, to demand of Becket to give an account of the money with which he was charged; or, if he refufed, to pronounce the fentence of the peers againft him, 405. he alone, of all the nobles, refutes to meet the archbishop of Cologne, at his entry as embassiador, on account of the excommunication which that prelate lay urder, 448, 449.

- L'fleux, the bithop of, his artful advice to Becket, 544.
- Literature, ftate of it in England in Henry IPstime, and before that reign, 344, 345.
- London, charter of Henry to that city, 337, 338. obfervations upo.it, 339. defeription of the flate of that city in his reign, with refp-citobuildings, wealth, number, manners, and cuftoms of the inhabitante, Ec. 340.
- Lothian, that carldom held in fief under Houry, 37.
- Louis le Jenne, king of France, receives homege from Henry for his fiels, 26. concludes a maritagetreaty with him concerning his daughter and Henry's eldeft fon, and invites him to Paris, 83. inte ds an expedition with him against the Moors in Spain, 94. appears and acts against him, when he attempts to posses himfelf of Touloufe, 97. makes peace, 108. his queen dying in child-bed. he

he fuddenly marries again, 122. quarrels again with Henry, 127. his perplexed proceedings in the affair of thetwoanti-popes, wherein Henry comes to his relief, 125. he treats Henry's embaffadors cooly, harbours Becket, and recommends him to the pope, 418, 419. vifits Becket at Soiffons, 427. the difcord between him and Henry encreafes, 436. he has a fon born, 445. remarkable words fpoken by him concerning his daughter, who was married to Henry's eldeft for, ibid. he promifes to fupport a revolt against duke Conan in Bretagne, 456. his zeal for Becket, 473. the occafion of his commencing actual war upon Henry, and the first operations on both fides, 484, 486. treaty of peace, defired by Henry, rendered ineffectual thro' offence taken by Louis, 526. it is concluded at haft, 539. Louis prevails on Becket to make fome inbmillion to his fovereign, 543. he protects him ftill, in fpite of all remonstrances to the contrary, 570. offended that his daughter had not been crowned

along with her hufband, 596.

- Lucy, Richard de, though he had been of Stephen's party, yet, for the reputation of his integrity, preferred to the highest trusts by Henry, wherein he proves faithful and able, 19. joined with the earl of Leicefter in the office of justitiary, ibid. fent over by the king from Normandy to effect the election of Becket to the fee of Canterbury, 147. his interview with Becket at St. Omers, 419. Becket excommunicates him, 470. he prevents an invalion, with which England was threatened, 496. empowered by Henry to conclude a peace with Louis, 526.
- Lusignan, Guy de, murders the earl of Salisbury, 528.

#### M.

- Malcolm III, kieg of Scotland, reftores the three northern Englifh counties to Henry, 36. does homage (with a faving to his royal dignity) for the fiefs he held of him, and attends his great council, 82.
- Malmfbury, William of, an hiftorian, 348. the character

ter he gives of the Normans and English, 162.

- Manor, a number of freemen requifite to conflitute one, 269.
- Marefchal of England, his duties military and civil, 240. the word in its firft fense fignified master of the horse to the king, 241.
- Marefchal, William, derived his family name from the office, 241.
- Matilda, the empress, mother to Henry II. acquiefces in his claim to the kingdom of England, without any formal renunciation, or refignation of her own, 2. her merit to him therein, 2. at his going to England fhe ftays in Normandy, the government of which is confided to her, 3, fhe does her utmost to diffuade him from promoting Becket, 147. fhe procures, by means of the pope, an interview between the French king, and Henry, 437, her decease, 487. her character, and fome account of her laft public act, the mediation between her fon and Becket, ibid.-494.
- Meredyth, Madoc ap, his fervices to Henry, 51. his death, 168.
- Military art, was in many Vol. II.

particulars the fame with that of the antient Romans, 166. moveable towers and *baliftæ* ufed, *ibid*. but the principal ftrength was in the cavalry, 167. account of their offenfive and defenfive arms. Obfervations thereupon, and facts relating thereto, 168. 173.

- Militia of the Saxons, to what number it amounted, 190. law of Athelftan concerning it, *ibid*. except in the cafe of *beneficiary tenants* reftrained to *the defence of the realm*, *ibid*. to this, and to the building and repairing of forts and caftles, and to the building and repairing of bridges, all the lands of the kingdom were fubject by a fundamental law of the Anglo-Saxons, 190.
- Monasteries, no less than three hundred built in England during the reigns of Henry I. Stephen, and Henry II. 354. the notions and motives, which in those days contributed to the increase of them. ibid. the pernicious confequences thereof to the public in feveral refpects, 355, 356. whether William Rufus had a defign of refuming their lands and poffessions, ibid. Yy their

their opulence and luxury, 357, 358.

- Montmirail, treaty concluded there between the kings of France and England, 538.
- Montferrat, marquis of, afks one of Henry's daughters in marriage for his fon, 474. procures a paffage for the emperor Frederic, in diftrefs, through the territories of Savoy, 517.
- Mortagne, difpute about that earldom's being re-annexed to the demeine of Normandy, 494.
- Mortimer, Roger de, together with the earl of Hereford, obliged, after refiftance, to cede the caftles they had belonging to the crown, 14.

Mortmain, 356.

#### N.

- Nantes, revolutions of that earldom, 85, 86, 87, 88. fee alfo Henry and Geoffry Plantagenet.
- Naval Power of the Englift, from the time of Alfred to that of Henry II. and of Richard I. 174-177.
- Nobility, their attendance on parliamentary meetings was coæval with the English monarchy, 226.
- Nobility and gentry, their way of living, magnificence in

buildings and apparel, modes of drefs in those times, 358, 359. good and bad effects of their high spirit, 362.

- Normandy, the government of it intrufted by Henry to his mother during his abfence, 3 his transactions there from time to time, fee under *Henry*. War between him and Louis in the Norman territories, 484, 485. He erects ftrong fortifications along the frontiers, 558.
- Normans, their character and that of the English compared, according to William of Malmsbury, 153, 154. observations thereupon, 162-166.
- Northampton, great council held there, 398.
- Norwich, bifhop of, publifhes Becket's fentence of excommunication against the earl of Chefter, notwithftanding the King's prohibition, 576.

#### О.

Otto, cardinal, legate in Becket's affair, his fpeech at taking leave of the king, together with the King's anfwer, and reply of the legates, 521. his queftion to Becket,

- Becket, and the answer 523.
- Oxford univerfity, flate of it in those times, 352.

#### P.

- Palatine, fome earldoms why made fo? 233. the jurifdiction enjoyed by fuch, *ibid*.
- Paris, Henry invited thither by Louis le Jeune, 90. it's univerfity, 351. Quotation from a late remonftrance of the parliament of Paris, 365.
- Parliament, enquiry into the ftate and conftituent members of it in those times, 293.
- Pafchal III, a fecond antipope fet up againft Alexander, 420. crowns the emperor Frederic, 462.
- Pavia, the council held there, 117.
- Pavia, William of, appointed legate in Becket's affair, 475. arrives in France, 501. his letter to Becket, and the latter's anfwer, 501, &c. he and his colleague have a conference with him, 504. they report to the pope the obftacles they met with, and the fmall fuccefs of their commifion, 504-508. Becket's letter of thanks to him, 619.

.

- Peerage, the number thereof in those times not exactly ascertainable, 363.
- Pembroke, Roger de Clare, earl of, posseffes Cardiganshire, 150.
- Penalties, extended to the innocent kindred of offenders, remarks thereon,

4.33, 572-575.

- Penance, a particular one enjoined to foldiers at a fynod in Wm. the Conqueror's time, 265.
- People of England, no certain effimate of theirnumber in this reign, 362. the country was then more populous, in proportion to the metropolis or other principal cities, than at prefent, 363.
- Peter-pence, the payment of them flopt by Henry, 433. fee alfo 479,-572.
- Popery, its principles tend to incroach on the civil authority, 366. the obftacle Henry met with in redreffing the grievances arifing from thefe principles, 368, &c.
- Primogeniture, right of, when introduced in feudal fuc ceffions, 220.
- Prisage, what ? 320.

#### R.

Raymond, earl of Toulouse, Y y 2 persuades

- INDEX.
- perfuades Louis le Jeune to interpole in his favour against Henry's pretenfions, 100.
- Regal power, in England, the nature of it in those times. great prerogatives and great influence belonging to the crown, 307-309. wealth of the crown, 309, 337. of what the royal revenues in those times confifted. ibid.
- Reliefs, 203, 210, 224, 228.
- Rheims, council of, Becket and other bifhops go thither, 370. acts of that council, 372.
- Rosamond, Henry's mistres, the true part of her hiftory diftinguished from the fabulous, 160, 161.
- Rouen, archbishop of, joined with Matilda in the mediation between Hen, and Becket, 494. empowered by Henry to conclude a peace with Louis 526. endeavours an accommodation between the King and Becket, 563. commiffion fent to him by the pope, 586. Henry's letter to him, 603. he and his collegue succeed at last in bringing about the reconciliatio., 609.
- St. Clare, Hubert de, gover-

- nor of Colchefter-caftle. receives in his own breaft an arrow, levelled at the King, and expiring, recommends his daughter to the protection of that prince, 13.
- Salifbury, the earl of, appointed by Henry as his general in Aquitain 526. is treacheroufly murdered on his return from a pilgrimage, 528.
- Salifbury, the bifhop of, fufpended by Becket, 460. appeals to the pope, as do alfo the other bishops, ib. he is excommunicated, 548. a second time, 623. goes to the King, 638.
- Salifbury, John of, account of his writings and character, 350. fee Becket and Letters.
- Scutage, a pecuniary commutation for perfonal military fervice, 110, 145, 198, 323.
- Sea-fight, an account of one in that age, 173.
- Seneschal, nature and extent of that office in England at different periods, 241.
- Seneschal of France, Henry invefted with that dignity, go, he and the family of Anjou deprived of it by Louis, 436. who reftores it again, 542. Henry's fon.

- fon, as earl of Anjou, acts in that quality, 557.
- Sheriffs, or vifcounts, 236. their functions, *ibid*. how appointed, *ib*. earls fometimes made fheriffs intheir own counties, 237. fheriffs collected the King's rents, 312-318.
- Ships, those of war, were all galleys, 173. King Alfred had built fome upon a new model, 175. description of thefe, ibid. remarks on what is faid of the fleet of Edgar, 175, 179. the Cinque-ports, and other towns, obliged to provide ships, 182. veffels of trade, and buffes, 183, 184. laws concerning wrecks, 188, 189, the felling to foreigners any English fhip, or drawing away any feamen into foreign fervice, forbidden, under the highest penalties to the buyer and feller, 189.
- Simeon of Durham, an hiftorian, 348.
- Socage and foc-men, 268. they held their land by free fervice, and were freemen, *ibid.* 269. all tenuresturned in latter times into common focage, 270.
- Stephen, King, his death conduced to the peace of England, 1.

poffeffors of alodial eftates, it made many covet feudal tenures, 224.

- Tenure, see Feudal constitution.
- Thanes and Thane-lands, what? 201.
- Theobald, archbishop of Canterbury, inftrumental in preferving the peace of the realm till Henry arrived, 3. treated by the king with great regard, 20. his character, and conduct in public affairs, *ibid*. his affectionate letter to the king, and his death, 141.
- Tilts and tournaments, their origin, and use, 171, 173.
- Touloufe, Henry's pretentions to that earldom in right of his queen, and his profecution thereof, 96, &c. fuccefs of his arms in that country, 106.
- Trade, the early encouragement given to it, 184, ftate of it in those days, *ibid.*—187.
- Tythings, see Counties.

#### V.

Valencia and Murcia, Mahometan king of, fends an embaffy and prefents to Henry, 140.

Vavasor,

- Vavafor, meaning of the word, 191.
- Victor, anti pope, see Alexander. His death, 420.
- Villeins and bondmen, account of them in those times, 270, 277. laws concerning them ; modes of infranchisement, ibid.
- Viscount, what it antiently fignified, 236. powers of the office. How held in those times, 236, 238.
- Vivian, the pope's nuncio, at Henry's request, returns back, and tries to bring Becket to comply, but in vain, 565. is difgufted alfo with Henry, 568. goes back to Italy, 570. his final exhortation to Henry, 571.

#### W.

- Wardship, its origin, 204. how far refigned by Henry I. ibid. how exercifed, efpecially with regard to the marriage of females, 207. Ec. profit from it to the crown, 318.
- Welch, abridged hiftory of that people from the time of the Romans out of Britain to the reign of Henry II. 37, 68, 74. Hen. II. fends fome Flemish mercenaries to their countrymen in Pembrokeshire,

At the inftigation of fome of the leffer princes in Wales, he determines to make war against Owen Gwyneth, king of North Wales, 75, 79, honour-. able peace, obtained by it, which eftablishes the fovereignty of England over all Wales, 80, 81. new commotions in S. Wales. how composed 151, 152. a new rebellion in South Wales, which foon becomes general, 438, 439. opposed by Henry unfuccefsfully, 440, 444. Ruthlan caftle and Prestatyn are taken by Owen Gwyneth and Rees ap Gryffyth, which makes them masters of Flintshire, 535, 536. all the Welch princes offer aid to the king of France against Henry, 534.

- William of Ipres, together with all the foreign troops obliged to leave England, 6. ends his days as a monk 8.
- William the Lion, king of Scotland, fent ambaffadors to Louis, with offers of a confederacy against Henry, 534. defires to regain Northumberland, 535.
- Winchefter, how confiderable a city in antient times, 340.

Winchester,

Winchester, the bishop of, goes abroad without his fovereign's permiffion, 25. at his return, difabled by Henry from molefting his government, but fuffered to live quietly in his bishoprick, ibid. reafons why he did not put himfelf at the head of the ecclefiaftical faction in Becket's affair, 389. his opinion at Northampton about the account demanded of Becket, and about refigning his archbishopric, 399. his excuse why he would not appeal to the pope in behalf of the bishop of London, 554. becomes at last feebly active in Becket's faction, 555. refuses to take the oath enjoined by the king, and is followed therein by the reft of the clergy, 576.

Worcester, bishop of, prevailed upon, by Becket's flattery, to attempt carrying to England the pope's mandate in his behalf, 598.

#### Y.

Yeomanry, 196.

York, archbishop of, his fpeech before the pope, as the King's embaffador, 423. Henry defigns to have his fon crowned by him, 583. the right of the archbifhop of York to do this act, stated, 583, 584. he accordingly performs the coronation, 595. is fuspended for it by the pope, though authorized to do it by that pontiff himfelf, 623. the proceedings with this prelate, not commited to Becket, but referved by the pope to himfelf, 628.

The END of the INDEX to the SECOND VOLUME.

DATE DUE						
Demco, Inc. 38-	203					

DATE DUE

Demco, Inc. 38-293



